



Consultations with Federal Agencies

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) has broader mandates than simply directing the Fish and Wildlife Service to protect listed plants or animals. It directs *all* Federal agencies to participate in endangered species conservation. Specifically, section 7 of the ESA charges Federal agencies to aid in the conservation of listed species (section 7 (a)(1)) and requires Federal agencies to ensure that their activities will not jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or adversely modify designated critical habitats (section 7 (a)(2)).

What types of conservation activities are we doing under section 7(a)(1)?

One way that we actively carry out conservation activities for listed species under section 7(a)(1) is through our Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program. This program is geared toward habitat restoration on private lands. Listed species are considered a priority in this program; as a result, habitat restoration efforts funded by the Partners program have directly benefitted a number of listed species, such as the Louisiana black bear (*Ursus americanus luteolus*) and the red-cockaded woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*).

Other Federal agencies also have used their existing authorities to conserve listed species. For example, some wildlife conservation programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service, including the Wetland Reserve Program, the Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program, the Conservation Reserve Program, and the Environmental Quality Incentive Program, have incorporated listed species.

What is the consultation process that occurs under section 7(a)(2)?

The provision under section 7 that is most often associated with the FWS and other Federal agencies is section 7(a)(2). It requires Federal agencies to consult


with the FWS to ensure that actions they fund, authorize, permit, or otherwise carry out will not jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or adversely modify designated critical habitats. FWS issued regulations in 1986 detailing the consultation process, and we have since completed a handbook describing the process in detail. The handbook is available on our web site at <http://endangered.fws.gov/consultations>.

How is the consultation process started?

Before initiating an action, the Federal action agency (the agency planning a specific action), or its non-Federal permit applicant, must ask the FWS to provide a list of threatened, endangered, proposed, and candidate species and designated critical habitats that may be present in the project area. If we answer that no species or critical habitats are present, then the Federal action agency has no further ESA obligation under section 7(a)(2) and consultation is concluded. If a species is present, then the Federal action agency must determine whether the project *may affect* a listed species. If so, consultation is required. If the action agency determines (and the FWS agrees) that the project *does not adversely affect* any listed species, then the consultation (informal to this point) is concluded and the decision is put in writing.

What happens if a federal project may adversely affect a listed species?

If the Federal action agency determines that a project *may adversely affect* a listed species or designated critical habitat, formal consultation is required. There is a designated period of time in which to consult (90 days), and beyond that, another set period of time for the FWS to prepare a biological opinion (45 days). The determination of whether or not the proposed action would be likely to jeopardize the species or adversely modify its critical habitat is contained in the biological opinion. If a *jeopardy* or *adverse modification* determination is



Red-cockaded woodpecker

USFWS photo by John & Karen Hollingsworth

made, the biological opinion must identify any *reasonable and prudent alternatives* that could allow the project to move forward.

How does the Service manage projects that require the 'take' of some listed species?

If the FWS issues either a *nonjeopardy* opinion or a jeopardy opinion that contains reasonable and prudent alternatives, it may include an incidental take statement. "Take" is defined as harassing, harming, pursuing, hunting, shooting, wounding, killing, trapping, capturing, or collecting or attempting to engage in any such conduct. ("Harm" is further defined to include significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to a listed species by significantly impairing behavioral patterns such as breeding, feeding, or sheltering.) "Incidental take" is defined as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, an otherwise lawful activity. The FWS must anticipate the take that may result from the proposed

project and, providing such take will not jeopardize the listed species, describe that take in the incidental take statement. The latter contains clear terms and conditions designed to reduce the impact of the anticipated take to the species; these terms are binding on the action agency.

What is the consultation workload?

The vast majority of evaluated actions have no effect on listed species or their designated critical habitat. In fact, from 1998-2001 the Service conducted over 219,000 informal and formal Section 7 consultations. Of those, only 367 received a “jeopardy” opinion which required reasonable and prudent alternatives to further reduce adverse impacts to listed species.

A large percentage of projects that would have, at least as initially planned, adverse impacts to listed species are dealt with through informal consultation, in which the Federal action agency makes changes to the project design so that impacts to listed species are avoided.

As more and more Federal agencies begin to work with the FWS under section 7(a)(1), the conservation benefits should be reflected in an even lower number of jeopardy opinions.

What type of guidance is available for other Federal agencies?

Guidance is available on our section 7 webpage at <http://endangered.fws.gov/section7>. Please call us at 703/358-2106, if you have any questions or see our contacts webpage at <http://endangered.fws.gov/contacts.html> to locate a Service office in your area.



The Louisiana black bear in this picture was one of the largest ever captured on Tensas River National Wildlife Refuge, weighing in at over 400 pounds. The bear was trapped using a leg-hold cable snare that does not injure the animal. The biological information obtained, including weight, sex, a tooth for aging, and other measurements, are part of the Service's ongoing research efforts to aid in the recovery of this threatened subspecies. Afterwards, the bear was released on site.

Photo by Dan Anderson/USFWS

**U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Division of Endangered Species
703/358 2106
<http://endangered.fws.gov>
February 2002**