



# **Senate Committee on Health and Human Services**

## **Medicaid Reform in Texas**

**September 19, 2006**

**Since 2003, significant changes have been incorporated into the Texas Medicaid Program. The changes have focused on:**

- **Containing Costs**
- **Managing Care**
- **Improving Health Outcomes**

# Provider Payments

---

- **Over the years, there has been a lack of recognition of increased provider costs. Rates have not been increased for some services in over 10 years.**
- **Beginning in FY 2004, provider payment rates were reduced:**
  - **2.5% Physician and Professional Services**
  - **5% Inpatient Hospital Services**
- **Increased efforts by CMS to reduce allowable federal reimbursement; for example:**
  - **Required revisions to the School Health and Related Services (SHARS) SPA will likely result in reduced funds to Texas schools**
  - **Much more stringent reviews of federal cost allocation methodologies**
  - **Extended lengths of time for review of state plan amendments that would provide additional federal reimbursement**

# Changes in Managed Care

---

Managed Care in Texas Medicaid has seen significant growth and change over the last few years. In 2003, 39.7% of the Texas Medicaid population was enrolled in a managed care program. That number has risen to 66.3% in 2006 and is projected to rise to approximately 72% by FY 2008.

- **HMO networks in place in all urban SDAs- most recently Nueces**
- **Primary Care Case Management (PCCM) expanded to rural areas serve a total of 202 counties**
- **New HMO contracts include strong performance requirements and expanded sanctions and remedies for poor performance**

# Preferred Drug List (PDL)

---

**HHSC implemented a PDL for Medicaid in February 2004. Pharmaceutical companies are required to offer a supplemental rebate or a program benefit proposal to be considered for the PDL.**

- **The PDL now covers 55 drug classes that represent approximately 70% of the Medicaid pharmacy expenditures**
- **The PDL controls spending growth by increasing the use of preferred drugs**
- **Non-preferred drugs require prior authorization but are still available through the Medicaid program**
- **Since its inception, the PDL has reached a savings of approximately \$488 million All Funds**

# Disease Management (DM)

---

**Statewide Texas Medicaid Enhanced Care Program (DM) began on November 1, 2004 with a contracted Disease Management Organization (DMO).**

- **Program developed for Fee-for-Service (FFS) clients with specific targeted chronic illnesses (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Congestive Heart Failure, Coronary Artery Disease, Diabetes, and Asthma)**
- **DM program expanded to include the Primary Care Case Management (PCCM) client population on September 1, 2005**
- **The DMO is at risk for reducing overall expenditures and meeting specific quality variable metrics**

# Current Initiatives

- **Care Management**
- **Programmatic/ Eligibility Changes**
- **SPA and Waiver Requests**
- **Hospital Studies**

# Care Management

- **Integrated Care Management (ICM)**
- **STAR+PLUS**
- **Senate Bill 1188 Projects**
  - Case Management
  - Emergency Room Utilization



# Care Management

---

## Integrated Care Management (ICM)

- **Authorized by Senate Bill 1188 and House Bill 1771, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005 & Senate Bill 1, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005, Article II, HHSC, Special Provisions Sec. 49**
- **A non-capitated managed care model that includes integrated acute and long-term care services and supports to Aged, Blind and Disabled clients in the Dallas and Tarrant service areas; expected to serve app. 70,000 enrollees**
- **Final Request for Proposals was released August 14; responses are due October 6; Contract execution targeted for mid-January, 2007; Implementation planned for July 1, 2007**
- **Federal waivers will be required**

# Care Management

---

## **STAR+PLUS**

- **In January 2007, STAR+PLUS will expand to Harris contiguous, Nueces, Bexar and Travis service areas**
- **STAR+PLUS HMOs will provide both acute care and long-term services and supports to approximately 140,000 SSI members (includes the 60,000 currently enrolled in Harris County)**
- **Inpatient hospital services are carved out to preserve hospital UPL payments**

# SB 1188 Projects

---

**Senate Bill 1188, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005, included provisions for approximately 87 projects relating to the Texas Medicaid Program. Two significant projects include Case Management and Emergency Room Utilization.**

**S.B. 1188 § 8 requires HHSC to optimize Case Management to enhance quality outcomes and cost savings throughout the HHS enterprise.**

**Pursuing contract with outside vendor to assist in optimization analysis:**

- **Proposals due – September 21, 2006**
- **Contract start date – November 2006**

**Reports required of contractor:**

- **Analysis of current case management**
- **National best practices in case management**
- **Waiver feasibility (and application if feasible)**
- **Recommendations for case management optimization**
- **Stakeholder involvement**

# SB 1188 Projects

---

## Emergency Room Utilization

- **Fiscal Year 2004 data analysis of Medicaid non-emergency visits:**
  - More than 1.6 millions emergency department (ED) visits serving more than 880,000 clients
  - Infants and toddlers are more likely to use the ED than others.
  - Non-metro clients are more likely to use the ED than metro clients.
  - Clients who frequent the ED more than 3 visits during the year are much more likely to use the ED for non-emergency medical conditions.

# SB 1188 Projects

---

## Emergency Room Utilization, continued

### The average cost per visit by facility type:

- **ED setting & Outpatient Hospital Clinic - \$144.51**
- **Doctor's office - \$36.13**
- **Rural Health Clinic - \$66.29**
- **Federally Qualified Health Center - \$123.95**

# SB 1188 Projects

---

## **Emergency Room Utilization, continued**

### **Senate Bill 1, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005, Article II, HHSC Rider 55:**

- **Medicaid Quality Initiative Pilot Project in a PCCM service delivery area to reduce inappropriate utilization of EDs**
  - **Region 7 – McLennan County**
  - **Targeted Implementation of January 2007**
  - **Public awareness and case management**
  - **Availability of alternative health care providers and settings in the region**
  - **Opportunity to decrease high ED utilization**

# Programmatic/Eligibility Changes

- **Women's Health Program (WHP)**
- **CHIP Perinatal**
- **Medicaid Buy-In**

## **Women's Health Program (WHP)**

**Set forth in Senate Bill 747, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005, and Senate Bill 1, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005, Article II, HHSC, Rider 71**

### **Purpose:**

- **To expand health services to low-income women by January 1, 2007. Eligible services include comprehensive health history and evaluations; physical exams; health screenings for diabetes, STDs, high blood pressure, cholesterol, tuberculosis, and breast and cervical cancers; family planning services and non-emergency contraception**

### **Eligible Population Women:**

- **ages 18-44**
- **Net family income at or below 185 percent FPL**
- **US Citizens and Texas residents**

### **Impact**

- **\$49.6 million in savings by end of SFY 2008**
- **Expansion of women's health services to 200,000 more women by end of SFY 2008**



## **CHIP Perinatal**

- **Senate Bill 1, 79th Legislature, Regular Session 2005, Article II, HHSC Rider 70, authorized HHSC to expend funds to provide unborn children with health benefit coverage under CHIP**
- **Beginning January 1, 2007, provides prenatal benefits for CHIP Perinates (unborn children) and services related to labor and delivery**
- **Upon birth, CHIP Perinate Newborns will have access to the same CHIP benefits as regular CHIP Members**
- **Eligible for 12 months of continuous coverage, beginning with the month of enrollment as a CHIP Perinate**
- **Allows the state to draw down the more advantageous CHIP match rate (app. 70%) for services currently being provided by Medicaid (app. 60% match rate)**

## **Medicaid Buy-In**

**Required by Senate Bill 566, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005**

- **Implemented statewide September 1, 2006**
- **Allows people of any age who have a disability and are working to receive Medicaid by paying a monthly premium**
- **Disability criteria must be met if not already receiving disability benefits from SSA**

# State Plan Amendment (SPA)/ Waiver Requests

- **CHIP Premium Assistance**
- **3-Share Waiver**
- **Upper Payment Limit (UPL) SPAs**

# SPA/ Waiver Requests

---

## **CHIP Premium Assistance**

**SB 240, 78<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2003, changed Texas law to meet the requirements necessary to obtain a Health Insurance Flexibility and Accountability (HIFA) §1115 Demonstration Waiver**

- **Purpose:**
  - **Decrease number of uninsured parents.**
  - **Offer a private sector coverage alternative to CHIP families and allow Texas to gain experience with public sector subsidies for private health coverage**
- **Benefits:**
  - **Health care benefits offered under the parent's private health plan and must include certain basic services**

# SPA/ Waiver Requests

---

## CHIP Premium Assistance, continued

- **Eligibility:**
  - Parents of CHIP-eligible children and their spouses, and other siblings of CHIP-eligible children
- **Waiver Status:**
  - Waiver Submitted in December 2004
  - CMS has sent a series of questions to HHSC; HHSC submitted a response to the most recent questions in September 2006
- **Other Cost Sharing**
  - The employee would be responsible for any coinsurance, co-payments, or deductibles required by the employer plan

# SPA/ Waiver Requests

---

## 3-Share Waiver

Authorized by HB 3122, 78<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2003

### Purpose:

- **Expand employer-based group health insurance coverage in Galveston County**
- **Working with the University of Texas Medical Branch (UTMB) and UTMB Health Plans to enroll working parents of potentially eligible or enrolled Medicaid or SCHIP children.**
- **3-Share health premiums paid by:**
  - **Employees: 1/3**
  - **Employers: 1/3**
  - **UTMB: 1/3 = Uses state/federal unspent SCHIP funds**

# SPA/ Waiver Requests

---

## 3-Share Waiver, continued

### Benefits:

- **Limited package**

### Eligibility:

- **Employees:**
  - Earn less than 200 percent FPL (subject to asset tests if above 150 percent FPL)
  - Have been uninsured for 90 days
- **Businesses:**
  - Primary location in Galveston County, with two or more employees
  - Have not offered group health coverage for past 12 months

### Waiver Status

- **Submitted 1115 HIFA waiver to CMS December 2005**
- **Working with CMS to answer all outstanding questions**

# Upper Payment Limit – Active and Recently Approved Programs

---

## **Urban Non-State Public Hospital**

- Non-state owned or operated publicly owned hospitals or hospitals affiliated with a hospital district in Bexar, Dallas, Ector, El Paso, Harris, Lubbock, Nueces, Midland, Potter, Randall, Travis Counties. Annual amounts total \$659.4 million (\$259.4 million IGT and \$400 million Federal).

## **Rural Hospital**

- Public, non-state rural hospitals affiliated with a hospital district. Annual amounts total \$75.1 million (\$29.6 million IGT and \$45.5 million Federal).

## **State Hospital UPL**

- State-owned hospitals including UTMB, MD Anderson, UT Tyler, and the Texas Center for Infectious Disease. Annual amounts total \$65.2 million (\$25.6 million IGT and \$39.6 million Federal).

- Recently received CMS approval
- Next steps- Agency rule-making to complete final implementation and begin paying

## **Select Private Hospitals (recently approved)**

- Non-public hospitals in Bexar, Hidalgo, Maverick, Midland, Montgomery, Potter, Randall, Travis, and Webb counties. Annual estimated payments will total \$200.4 million (\$78.8 million IGT and \$121.5 million Federal).

## **Statewide Hospitals (recently approved)**

- UPL supplement reimbursement for Medicaid inpatient and outpatient hospital services provided by privately owned hospitals with an indigent care affiliation agreement with a hospital district or other local government entity. Annual estimated payments will total \$369.8 million (\$145.5 million IGT and \$224.3 million Federal).
  - May impact approximately 75 hospitals.



# Upper Payment Limit – Proposed Programs

---

## **Children's Hospital**

- **HHSC Rider 73 directs the use of \$25.0 million General Revenue for the 2006-2007 biennium to provide UPL reimbursement for children's hospitals. If approved, annual estimated payments would total \$31.25 million (\$12.5 million General Revenue and \$18.75 million Federal).**
- **Waiver submitted April 2006; CMS is reviewing**

## **State University Physicians**

- **Members of practice plans affiliated with a state academic health center. If approved, annual estimated payments would total \$111.9 million (\$43.9 million IGT and \$68 million Federal).**
- **Waiver submitted May 2006; CMS is reviewing**

## **Tarrant County Physicians**

- **Members employed by, or under contract with, non-state owned or operated publicly owned hospitals or hospitals affiliated with a hospital district in Tarrant County. If approved, annual estimated payments would total \$6 million (\$2.4 million IGT and \$3.6 million Federal).**
- **Waiver submitted November 2004; CMS is reviewing**

# Hospital Studies

---

**SB 1, 79<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Regular Session 2005, Article II, HHSC Riders 60 and 61 required HHSC to conduct studies on certain Topics related to hospital financing and uncompensated care.**

**Rider 60- Medicaid Provider Reimbursement HHSC shall:**

- **Study and recommend changes to the hospital reimbursement rate methodology, including waivers to combine GME, DSH and UPL**
- **Report with options and fiscal impact of recommendations due October 1, 2006**

**Rider 61- Study Regarding Uncompensated Care HHSC shall:**

- **Study the components and assumptions used to calculate uncompensated care in Texas hospitals**
- **Report with recommendations on standardizing hospitals' uncompensated care amounts due to the 80<sup>th</sup> Legislature**

# Deficit Reduction Act (DRA)

- **DRA Mandatory Provisions**
- **Options Under the DRA**

## **Citizenship Verification**

**Effective July 1, 2006, applicants for Medicaid must provide documentary evidence to establish both citizenship and identity – previous policy allowed self-declaration.**

- **Acceptable verification is prescribed in the law and through Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance**
- **Current recipients are allowed until their next review to provide proof**
- **New requirement is delaying eligibility determination, and increasing workload**

## Third Party Recovery

The DRA improves states' ability to recover third party payments by:

- **Expanding the definition of health insurer to include self-insured plans, managed care organizations, pharmacy benefit manager or other parties that are responsible for paying claims for health care**
- **Stipulates that state laws must require health insurers to:**
  - **Provide States with eligibility and coverage information;**
  - **Honor the States assignment of rights**
  - **Not deny claims based on procedural reasons (e.g. timely filing, failure to present card at point of sale, claim format, etc.)**
  - **Allow 3-years for a state to file a claim**
  - **Allow 6-years from the date a claim was submitted to address procedural issues before a claim is denied**

## LTC Asset Eligibility Changes

- **Transfers made on or after 2/8/2006 have a 60 month look-back period, instead of 36 months**
- **If asset transfers are made before eligibility for Medicaid, new DRA requirements begin the penalty period (for transfers made on or after 2/8/2006) at the time of eligibility for Medicaid- current policy begins asset transfer penalties on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of the month the transfer was made**

# DRA Mandatory Provisions

---

## LTC Asset Eligibility Changes, continued

- **Current Texas Medicaid Eligibility requirements for long term care exempt individuals' home equity from consideration**
- **The DRA limits home equity to \$500,000**
  - **Does not apply if spouse or children reside in home**
  - **Amounts increase starting in 2011 based on CPI**
  - **Effective January 1, 2006**
- **The DRA provides a state option to increase the home equity criterion to \$750,000**
- **Agency rule for Texas Medicaid LTC eligibility maintains the DRA limit of \$500,000**

# Options Under the DRA

---

## **Cost Sharing: Premiums, Co-payments, Deductibles**

- The DRA makes costsharing enforceable-- If premiums required and not paid, eligibility can be denied; If costsharing not paid, providers can deny service
- Limited to annual cap of 5% of family income

## **Premiums: For non-exempt adults over 18 with income over 150% FPL**

- Exemptions include: pregnant women; children in mandatory coverage groups and foster care; clients in institutional care
- In Texas, only a small number of Medicaid clients could be required to pay premiums (under 5000 clients)



## Cost Sharing: Premiums, Co-payments, Deductibles

Co-payments and Deductibles can be required for those: over 100% FPL; aged 18 or older; and children in non-mandatory groups

- Can not be required for pregnant women when service affects pregnancy; foster or adopted care coverage children; those in institutions; family planning services
- No co-pays or deductibles for any preventive services for any client
- Can require cost-sharing for non-emergent use of E.R. only if actual access available for care at other setting and if other conditions met
- In Texas, cost-sharing largely limited to a small group of non-mandatory children up to 5 years old

# Options Under the DRA

---

## Basic Benefits Packages

- **The DRA allows states to use a basic or benchmark benefit (like the SCHIP benefit) for a limited group of Medicaid enrollees**
- **Exempted populations include individuals who are: pregnant, blind or disabled, dual eligibles, in institutions; medically frail or have special needs; receiving long-term care services; and TANF eligibles**
- **Children under 19 can be provided a basic benefit package, but only if they are also provided additional medically necessary services meeting EPSDT requirements**
- **The basic benefit package can not be used for a Medicaid expansion; it is only for those groups eligible at the time the DRA become law**
- **In Texas, a small group of Medicaid eligibles could be provided the basic benefit package: foster children with incomes between 200 – 400% FPL and pregnant women with incomes between 133% FPL and 185% FPL. Texas could provide a basic benefit to children IF it has provisions to provide EPDST services to those children needing them.**

# Options Under the DRA

---

## Disabled Children Buy-In Option

- **DRA allows states to expand Medicaid to children up to 300% FPL who meet SSI disability criteria; would require SPA**
  - **Current SSI eligibility is about 74% FPL**
- **Coverage phases in by age groups starting 2007**
  - **Up to age 6 in January 2007**
  - **Up to age 12 in 2008**
  - **Up to age 18 in 2009**
- **If families have coverage under group health plans, parents must apply for, enroll in and pay premiums for that coverage if the employer pays at least 50% of premiums and coverage is effective at reducing Medicaid**
- **States can choose to implement sliding scale premiums**

# Options Under the DRA

---

## **Disabled Children Buy-In Option, continued**

**State Decision whether to pursue this optional coverage.**

### **If Yes:**

- **Income level of families (up to 300% FPL)**
- **Whether to impose premiums**
- **Whether to use sliding premium scale**

### **Would require:**

- **additional state match**
- **systems and eligibility processing changes**
- **a Medicaid state plan amendment**

***Children included would have access to all EPSDT services***

# Options Under the DRA

---

## Long-Term Care (LTC) Partnerships

- **The DRA allows states to implement Partnership programs through State Plan Amendments to:**
  - **Support purchase of private LTC insurance**
  - **Allow individuals who purchase LTC insurance to protect some of their assets and still qualify for Medicaid**
  - **Help shift LTC funding from public to private sector: Medicaid changes to payor of last resort instead of payor of first resort**
- **Goal: to delay, shorten or avoid use of Medicaid to pay for LTC for those who, without the Partnership insurance, would seek Medicaid**
- **Thought to provide an incentive for those who would have used Medicaid, to buy insurance**
- **Four states have programs that started in 1992 and 1993; and claim Medicaid savings: New York, California, Indiana, Connecticut**

# Options Under the DRA

---

## Money Follows the Person (MFP) Grant

- **The federal Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) includes an opportunity to expand MFP initiatives**
  - **Under the DRA – MFP grant, any Medicaid-eligible individual who has resided in a nursing facility, hospital, or ICF/MR for a specified period of time depending on state policy (at least 6 months up to 2 years) would be eligible for MFP**
  - **CMS would pay an enhanced rate for 12 months for qualifying individuals who choose to receive services in the community**
  - **For Texas, the enhanced rate would result in an increase in the federal match from 60 percent to 80 percent of eligible client costs for one year**
- **DADS will work with HHSC to submit a grant application in order to provide more services in community settings at an increased Medicaid match**

# Options Under the DRA

---

## Home & Community Based Services (HCBS) for Mental Health

- **Effective 1/1/07, States may provide certain HCBS under their State Plans to Medicaid clients who are under 150% poverty**
- **Criteria for receiving the HCBS services must be less strict than for receiving institutional care**
- **States:**
  - **can limit the number of individuals served and limit the services geographically**
  - **are not required to demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to institutional care**
  - **may allow consumer-directed care**
- **DADS anticipates maintaining current waiver programs without moving to a SPA; DSHS assessing SPA as a possibility for serving MI populations**

## Health Opportunity Accounts (HOA)

- **CMS will allow up to 10 states to pilot HOA demonstrations starting in 2007. Populations include:**
  - **Non-disabled adults and children; limited number of MCO enrollees (no more than 5% of state total)**
- **Accounts funded with:**
  - **Adults—\$2,500**
  - **Children—\$1,000**
- **Clients use HOA funds:**
  - **To pay for medical services**
  - **To pay for applicable deductibles and co-pays**
  - **To rollover to following year**
  - **To pay for private insurance or approved self-advancement expenditures**
- **Traditional Medicaid is payor of last resort**