September 25, 2007
9:00 A.M.

Public Hearing

Regarding the Creation of a

Judicial Commission for

Children, Youth and Families

* * * * * * *

SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Chief Justice Wallace B. Jefferson
Justice Phil Johnson
Justice David Medina
Justice Nathan L. Hecht
Justice Harriet O'Neill
Justice Scott A. Brister
Justice Paul W. Green
Justice Don R. Willett

		Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCE INDEX		1	CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Good
2			2	morning. We're here today on a noble calling, to
3 4	OPENING REMARKS Chief Justice Jefferson 4		3	hear from experts how Texas can better ensure the
5	Justice O'Neill 6		4	well-being of the children who, through no fault of
6			5	their own, have entered the Texas justice system.
_	SPEAKERS		6	Since 1993 our Court, with the help of our Task
7	Honorable John Specia 11		7	Force on Foster Care, has made tremendous strides in
8	Honorable John Specia 11		8	securing the welfare of children plagued by abuse
	Honorable Leonard Edwards 20		9	and neglect, and we have Judge John Specia to thank
9	Hamanikla Dataisia Masisa		10	for much of that work.
10	Honorable Patricia Macias 34		11	Today we consider whether it is
	Christopher Wu 47		12	appropriate to take this cause to the next level.
11			13	Today's hearing mark the culmination of over two
12	Joyce James 57		14	years of work. In 1995 Justice Harriet O'Neill and
12	Honorable F. Scott McCown 70		15	I attended the National Judicial Leadership Summit
13			16	on the Protection of Children in Minnesota which was
14	BREAK Dr. David Sanders 81		17	convened by the Pew Charitable Trust, and what we
15 16	Dr. David Wanser 93		18	witnessed there, a national commitment to our most
17	Carl Reynolds 97		19	vulnerable citizens, inspired this Court to action
	Gina Schroeder 103		20	and committed this Court to take a leading role in
19 20	John J. Sampson 108 Honorable Hal Gaither 112		21	protecting families in crisis. Justice O'Neill has
21			22	taken the helm, and without her we could never have
22	Rebecca Lightsey 120		23	come this far.
23	1 2		24	And so today we're here to ask for
	Beverly Levy 130 Honorable Andy Hathcock 133		25	your help, because an enterprise of this nature
	Tonoracio : May riminecen	Page 3		Page 5
1	Honorable Rhonda Hurley 139	5		
2			1	cannot succeed without collaboration from all who
			1	cannot succeed without collaboration from all who
3	Barbara Elias-Perciful 144		2	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys
			2	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law
3 4 5	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers
3 4 5 6	Barbara Elias-Perciful 144 Lanis McWilliams King 148 Dr. Connie Almeida 153 BREAK Constance Barker 159		2 3 4 5	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of
3 4 5 6 7	Barbara Elias-Perciful 144 Lanis McWilliams King 148 Dr. Connie Almeida 153 BREAK Constance Barker 159 Trista Miller 162		2 3 4 5 6	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a
3 4 5 6	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Barbara Elias-Perciful 144 Lanis McWilliams King 148 Dr. Connie Almeida 153 BREAK Constance Barker 159 Trista Miller - 162 Susan Hopkins Craven - 169 Richard Lavallo - 174 Roy Block 180		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to make this system more efficient and fair and to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to make this system more efficient and fair and to protect our children with greater care. So thank
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to make this system more efficient and fair and to protect our children with greater care. So thank you for being here today.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to make this system more efficient and fair and to protect our children with greater care. So thank you for being here today. Justice O'Neill will be emceeing this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to make this system more efficient and fair and to protect our children with greater care. So thank you for being here today. Justice O'Neill will be emceeing this day, but let me give just a few ground rules. We
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to make this system more efficient and fair and to protect our children with greater care. So thank you for being here today. Justice O'Neill will be emceeing this day, but let me give just a few ground rules. We have asked for rather short presentations by the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Barbara Elias-Perciful		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have an interest in child protection, from attorneys to counselors, from caseworkers to judges and law enforcement and the media and foster care workers and future adoptive children and the parents, all of the stakeholders in this important work. This is a community effort, to be sure, but we recognize that the courts must play a central role, and that's why we're so grateful that you are here today. Thank you for your participation. One thing that we discovered when we were at the national summit is that a child who is entering the system is overwhelmed by the process, and even one 30-day extension of time, the sort of thing that lawyers often agree to, can mean a year to a child in the mind of a child. And so we're here today to ask you for your help in helping to make this system more efficient and fair and to protect our children with greater care. So thank you for being here today. Justice O'Neill will be emceeing this day, but let me give just a few ground rules. We

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 6

7

8

9

everybody by early afternoon. We plan to take two breaks, one at 10:30 and one at noon; and if we are on time, we should conclude these proceedings by around 1:30 or so this afternoon.

And so now I would like to turn it over to Justice O'Neill who has done just an incredibly impressive work on this project. Justice O'Neill?

JUSTICE O'NEILL: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice. I want to welcome you here, first of all, for this historic hearing. The Court scheduled this hearing to take place before we begin hearing cases in the new term, and that is to emphasize the important role that courts play in protecting our children in foster care and improving outcomes for foster children.

17 As the Chief Justice said, this 18 hearing is a culmination of a process that began several years ago when Chief Justice Jefferson and I 19 20 were privileged to be part of the Texas team at a 21 national summit to improve the nation's foster care 22 system. That summit resulted in a national call to 23 action by the National Center for State Courts to help reduce the length of time that children spend in foster care and to improve the outcomes for

Page 8

1 Attorneys and judges need specialized training in 2 areas like substance abuse, domestic violence, and 3 mental illness, and courts must be equipped to 4 respond in a manner that respects the family's needs

5 while mindful of the child's need for timely 6 resolution.

To improve our foster care system several care strategies have been identified. They include fostering collaboration among courts and executive branch agencies and providing judicial 10 11 leadership in championing the needs of children in the system and effecting changes to improve 12 13 outcomes.

14 At the end of last year this Court 15 appointed a Consultative Group to advise the Court on these key strategies. The group's cornerstone 16 17 recommendation was for the Court to establish a permanent Commission on Children, Youth, and 18 19 Families to serve as an umbrella organization for 20 efforts to strengthen courts for participants in the 21 Texas child protection system. The Court has sought 22 and continues to seek input from the various 23 stakeholders in the child protection system, and 24 that is what brings us here today. We've had a very 25 enthusiastic response from the public about this

Page 7

children who are living in foster care.

On any given day in America there are more than 500,000 children who live in foster care. In Texas alone there are approximately 20,000. Nationwide statistics show us that almost half of these children spend at least two years in the system, and nearly 20 percent will spend five years or more in the system.

Foster care is often an essential step to keeping children safe from abuse or neglect, but court delay can often extend the time between when children enter the system and when they are placed in safe and permanent homes. As the Minnesota Chief Justice put it, when a court case

15 sits on the docket a child sits in foster care. 16 Courts act as gatekeepers for 17 families in crisis. No child enters foster care, 18 leaves foster care, or does anything significant in 19 between without a court order and court supervision. To make good decisions courts must have input from 20 the family, department placement and service 21 22 providers, and other court participants. 23 Unlike other cases, child protection

24 cases require a collaborative and a multidisciplinary approach to decision making. 1 hearing, and many have signed up to provide

2 comments. We're happy about that. 3

We're especially honored to welcome 4 retired Judge Leonard Edwards from the Santa Clara 5 Superior Court in California. Judge Edwards is the

6 Judge in Residence at the California Center for 7 Families, Children and the Courts. His awards and

8 his accolades are too numerous to list them all

9 here, but I will say that in 2004 he won the

10 prestigious William H. Rehnquist Award for Judicial

11 Excellence, and he has been a pioneer nationally for

12 improving our foster care system. We're looking 13

forward to hearing from you, Judge.

Also here from California is 14

15 Chris Wu. He is the Executive Director for the 16 California Blue Ribbon Commission on Children in

17 Foster Care. Mr. Wu and Judge Edwards have been

18 invaluable in providing information as we consider

19 creating a commission here in Texas, and we very

20 much appreciate you traveling here today.

21 We're also pleased to welcome

22 Dr. David Sanders, a Ph.D. from Princeton with a

23 Ph.D. in clinical psychology. He is the Executive

Vice President of Systems Improvement with the Casey

Family Programs, which is a national operating

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

(512) 301-7088

Page 9

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Page 10

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

foundation whose mission is to provide, improve, and ultimately prevent the need for foster care.

I also want to welcome and to especially thank the members of our Supreme Court Task Force on Foster Care, and particularly Judge John Specia who has led that task force, for their dedication and their leadership. Several of those members are here today. The Honorable Patricia Macias, she's one of our very own from El Paso. She serves on the task force. She also serves on the Pew Commission and is the president elect of the National Center for Juvenile and Family Court Judges.

The Honorable Hal Gaither, I don't know if he's here, Senior District Judge, but he plans to be here; the Honorable Gil Jones, Judge of the 33rd District Court; and Dean Rucker, Judge of the 318th District Court and Presiding Judge of the 7th Administrative Judicial Region of Texas. We want to thank you for your hard work on the task force and for being here. And I want to thank everyone for taking time out of their busy schedules to be here today. You do important work, and we want to hear about it.

Page 12

1 the state and lawyers and experts that have worked 2 in this area. They work tirelessly on these issues 3 and provide leadership to make courts more 4 responsive to the sensitive needs of children and 5 families. I would like to thank these 6 individuals -- many of them have served since the 7 inception of the task force -- for their service.

Although great strides have been made making courts more responsive to the needs of children and families, there is much more to be done. Today there are more children in foster care 12 than ever before. Texas has the second highest 13 child population in the United States, and one of 13 14 children in the United States lives in Texas. Some of the growth is just due to the demographics and the growth of Texas and our communities.

These families present to the court with complex issues involving abuse, neglect, substance abuse, family violence, and mental health issues, and these are the kind of issues that the courts must deal with. The courts have a responsibility to provide oversight to these children and families under both state and federal law. Like Justice O'Neill said, no child comes into

foster care without a court order, and no child

Page 11

going to try to keep everybody within their time frames. If anyone would like to submit written comments to the Court, you can do that by providing our Clerk of Court, Blake Hawthorne, with those comments, and he will make them a part of the record of the proceedings today. Thank you, Chief Justice

Jefferson, and that concludes our remarks.

We do have a tight schedule, so we're

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you, Justice O'Neill. Then I suppose it's time to turn to our first speaker this morning. He has already been introduced, and that is a great friend of mine, I've known him for years, Judge John Specia from Bexar County.

JUDGE SPECIA: Thank you, Chief. I just might have broke this thing. Not a good way to start. My name is John Specia. I'm chair of the Supreme Court Task Force for Foster Care since 1993. This task force was appointed by the Court under the leadership of retired Chief Justice Tom Phillips.

19 20 The task force is made up of judges and lawyers from across the state and has worked on 21 22 the Court's issues related to abused and neglected 23 children for about the last 13 years. I am a 24 retired district court judge from San Antonio, and this task force is made up of people from all over

leaves foster care without a court order.

most constant influence in that child's life.

Caseworkers change, but sometimes the court -- and I have had kids that I actually have worked with for 15 or 20 years. I'm the most consistent person they see throughout their period of time in the foster care system. Without appropriate intervention these children graduate to the juvenile system and into the adult correction system. These children are over represented, when they age out of foster care, 12 in the homeless population and in the ranks of the

And in some cases the courts are the

mentally ill. Judges have historically been at the forefront of change to courts related to children. The entire juvenile court movement was a response to court, traditional court's inability to deal with children who had committed crimes. The creation of a judicial commission which has been recommended by the Consultative Group will create a vehicle to focus the energy of the judiciary on these problems. It will provide a vehicle for

leadership, for a statewide approach to these problems, and basically work on those problems in each of our 254 counties. We will be able to study

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

(512) 301-7088

Page 13

1 2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

Page 14

and recommend best practices and solutions to assist the judges in every -- at every level who work with 3 these children; and we have judges that have very, very large, exclusive dockets, and we have many, many judges, general jurisdiction district court judges, who deal with these problems on an ad hoc basis in the middle of the rest of their docket.

We will foster collaboration between the public and private institutions to bring additional resources to the families. A court in this particular area has -- is hamstrung and really can't do much unless you can bring resources to bear. And so developing those resources, utilizing those resources, helping provide leadership for those people is a critical function of the judiciary.

17 We will provide appropriate technology, and one of the problems is, is 18 that -- and it's a national problem -- we do not 19 20 have a good handle on how many children are in the court system, how many court hearings there are, how 21 22 much time the judiciary spends on these cases. 23 California is working on this problem right now and has entered into a contract with Deloitte & Touche, but it is critical that a judge who's handling one

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

19

20

21

22

what is happening across the state and across the nation. We will hear the good, the bad, and the ugly of what happens to children in the foster care system. And one of the things is we will begin a process to develop a roadmap of how courts interact with families for the 21st century, and that's what I hope that a judicial commission can do.

At the conclusion of this hearing I request this Court sign an order creating a judicial commission along the line of the recommendations of the Consultative Group and that we take this step that has already been taken by other states to put children at the highest priority in the judiciary. Thank you all very much. I'm actually going to give you-all some time back, unless you've got questions. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Are there questions?

JUSTICE O'NEILL: Judge, can you give us an idea, you mentioned children aging out of foster care. I know we have about 20,000 children in foster care at any given time in Texas. About how many children age out of foster care per year?

JUDGE SPECIA: I don't have the 24 exact answer to that, but it's at least a couple of 25 thousand. How many? A couple of thousand per year.

Page 15

of those cases knows what his docket looks like, knows how many children are on that docket, or families, and then knows how that family interacts with the court system.

In Bexar County we're working on an information system that would allow me to look at the child abuse case but then find out if there's a divorce case pending, if there has been a protective order issued, if there's criminal charges pending against the parent or other children in the family, to get a complete picture of the family. Right now we're blind. The children and family may be involved in five different courts, but the courts are not talking to each other and they don't know exactly what's going on.

The Consultative Group has recommended that a Supreme Court Justice chair the commission to demonstrate the commitment of this Court. This Court has been committed since 1993 and has supported the Supreme Court Task Force, but this commission would provide a different level of support, and we feel that the chair should be a Supreme Court Justice.

24 Today we will hear from people who work with our children in the courts. We will hear 25

Page 17

I've actually had those foster kids walk up to me on the streets of San Antonio. One time I was walking 2

3 down the street and I saw this group of pretty

4 undesirable-looking kids, and, you know, you kind

of -- and all of a sudden I hear, "Judge Specia," 5

6 and this young woman walks out of that crowd and 7 comes over to me. And she was a child in the Casey

8 Family Program that I had monitored for years and

9 had aged out. 10

She actually had problems. I sat 11 down and I visited with her, encouraged her to 12 reconnect with Casey; and one of the wonderful things about the Casey Program is, they don't stop 13 14 providing services to children when they turn 18. 15 They're there whenever. And I gave her five bucks 16 for cab fare. But, and it changed my view of that 17 group. I wasn't scared of those group of kids as I 18 was before.

But those kids are on the streets and they're in the homeless shelters. It speaks to us not doing the kind of job that we should do with these children. More people are retiring today than ever before. We're relying on this younger

23 generation to support us in our retirement. I would much rather have them out being productive citizens

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

correctional institution. So --

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

6

system.

care?

foster care later.

and good voters than supporting them in a

average length of time a child spends in foster

think that under state law we have to achieve

foster care. And I've seen those stats, and I'm

permanency for a child within a year and no later

than 18 months, but that doesn't mean they're out of

sure somebody else has them, but unfortunately, the

Very young children who go into

foster care and can't be returned home who do not have special needs are adopted very, very quickly,

but older children and children with special needs

sometimes end up aging out into the foster care

mentioned that, it struck me when we went to

Minnesota the question was asked, "How much time

does a judge spend on average on a case involving

children?" And the answer was, "We don't know."

average is probably over two years, and there are

many children -- particularly children that go into

JUSTICE WILLETT: Do we know the

JUDGE SPECIA: Yes, we do, and I

Page 18

1 to focus on the technology issues, and at our

training program in San Antonio a few weeks ago we

3 had people from the state courts organization

4 talking about that project on a national basis.

5 Thank you, Chief Justice, and thank you Justice 6 O'Neill.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

8 vou, Judge.

7

14

15

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

9 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 10 Court, the Honorable Leonard Edwards, Commissioner

11 and Judge in Residence of the California Center for 12 Families, Children and the Courts in San Francisco

13 has requested 15 minutes for testimony.

> JUDGE EDWARDS: Good morning. Thank you for inviting me here. I am honored to be

16 here before this august body, especially on this

17 auspicious beginning of an enriched and empowered 18

commission that is going to be the foundation for

court improvement in child protection cases in 19 20 Texas.

21

I'd like to talk to you about my 22 experience. I was a superior court judge for 26

23 years, almost all of it in juvenile court, and

24 that's a rarity in California because we rotate our

judges; and it's a rarity because the status of

Page 19

Page 21

JUDGE SPECIA: Right. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: And

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: As you

so that made me wonder, well, why don't we know, and what could we do? The Office of Court Administrative is working with funds, with grant

funds to help find that out. But my question to you

7 is, if we have that knowledge, wouldn't it make our

8 case better to the Legislature in Texas or to 9

Congress for additional funding to help us? 10 JUDGE SPECIA: Absolutely. I

11 know that in Bexar County we don't spend enough time, and there are recommendations out of the 12

13 National Council of Juvenile and Family Court 14 Judges, best-practice recommendations about how much

15 time you should set aside for a review hearing.

16 Unfortunately, until we get the technology to

17 capture what judges are doing, what their docket is,

how much time they spend on the initial hearings and 18

19 the review hearings, we can't go to the Legislature

20 and say, We either are inadequately funded or we

21 have enough judges and we can reorganize things.

22 And so the time study that the Office 23 of Court Administration is doing is a step in the

right direction. We received grant money this year 24

for the first time through Court Improvement Project

iuvenile court is the lowest in California, as it is 2 in most jurisdictions around the country. Few 3 attorneys reach the bench after having practiced in 4 juvenile court. 5

and criminal practice, and so it's understandable that judges do not like to go to juvenile court, would prefer to stay with what they've done most of their lives. And getting dedicated juvenile court judges is a challenge to the court system,

Most of our judges come from civil

11 particularly since this type of law, child abuse and 12 neglect law, really is in its infancy, having begun

13 in the early 1980s after the federal legislation was 14 passed.

So I'm going to ask myself a couple of questions and answer then. First of all is, why is court improvement and child protection important? And it's important because we're not doing a very

19 good job in it. I'm speaking on behalf of all the 20 judges around the country and all the court systems

21 that I'm familiar with; and I've been in most of the

22 states, and my job now is to go to every county in

23 California and to look at court improvement and to

24 see what judges can do and give them suggestions

25 about what they can do to improve outcomes for

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Page 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

children and families.

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

2 We're not going a good job. We're 3 not following the law. We're supposed to do things 4 in timely fashion, but we all know the court system is a slow system where the word "continuance" is one 6 of our favorite words. And it's easy to get a 7 continuance, and that does not serve children well, 8 because children who come before the juvenile court 9 need to be treated as we would a child or an injured person in an emergency room. Their sense of time is 10 different, as the Chief Justice pointed out. They 11 need to get permanency, they need to get it in a 12 13 timely fashion, and it's not forthcoming in our 14 courts. We move slowly. We get off to a slow 15 start.

16 Secondly, we have inadequate resources, and that may be a reason why these cases 17 18 take so long. We have inadequate resources in terms 19 of the time it takes to complete hearings. In 20 answer to your inquiry, Chief Justice, we can figure that out pretty easily. If you see a calendar or a 21 22 docket with 30 or 40 families on it, you know that those families are not getting enough time. You can 23 look at the gross numbers and see very quickly that the judge is not taking enough time in these cases.

the audits that are taking place of our foster care system. Now, the audits are of the executive branch of the Department of Social Services. However, the courts are integrally involved in some of the measures that those audits are undertaking.

For instance, timely permanency, timely reunification services. The agency can do a great job, but if the court is taking its time, the state will be fined. If the court's not making the 10 correct orders, the state will be fined. In 1988 11 California was fined \$54 million because judges 12 didn't write the right orders in their minute orders 13 after these cases were heard.

14 The audits -- I'm part of the audit 15 process from HHS. I am involved with them, and I 16 will tell you that many states are going to be fined 17 because judges made mistakes in these cases. So 18 there are a host of reasons why these matters 19 deserve our attention and why we've got to improve 20 the way we're doing business. 21

I'll tell you that in my view, the trial judge is the critical person in this system from the court's perspective. It is the trial judge who sees every child, who makes those critical decisions about whether the agency acted within the

Page 23

We also know that the attorneys aren't adequately trained. We're studying that very carefully in California right now. The legal profession isn't producing quality attorneys for these types of cases for the same reasons that it's not producing interested judges for these cases. We have -- we're not using best practices, and this is understandable because courts don't communicate well with one another. And one court may develop a very good practice in this one area, but no one else in the state may know about it.

11 12 And the great lesson that I learned 13 in joining and becoming a lifetime member of the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges 14 15 is that we learn from other states. We thought we 16 knew it all in California, and we were dead wrong. 17 And I have been to many states which say, Well, 18 we're doing just fine here. And I will tell you 19 that no state has got it right, and every state 20 can learn from every other state in some best practices. So judges in the local jurisdiction 21 22 don't know what the best practices are and need to 23 learn about them. 24 We also are about to lose money. 25 Every one of us are, but Texas included, because of

Page 25

law when they removed a child. The trial judge is the person who needs to have the information that I'm talking about, who needs to have the resources and the know-how to make the system run better, to make his or her system locally run better.

The trial judge can't do it alone. The commission is going to serve the trial judge very well. Let me give you some examples. First of all, I think the commission is going to inspire judges to do a better job. By hearing from the 11 highest court in the state that this is important 12 work, judges will take note of child protection 13

cases as they never have before. Secondly, the commission can disseminate. It can collect best practices and disseminate them, and this is a critical function. In fact, in California I've -- in my court -- which was a model court, Justice John Macias's court was -- I had more than a hundred different court systems visit my court over the past 10 years. It'd be a judge, attorneys, social workers, they would come and just sit in my court for a day and see how we did business and take the practices that we had developed and took them home to develop them in

their own jurisdiction. This is a wonderful way to

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

3

4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

25

learn, and it's something that the commission can help happen in Texas.

I think the -- one of the goals of the commission will be to form local commissions. Texas is a big state. You've got a lot of things going on here, and a lot of people will say, Well, that commission's up there, but they don't know how we do things over here. And so this is a goal in California, and I think it should be a goal in Texas: Form local commissions.

And finally, I think you ought to cultivate a culture of problem solving. That is to say, we ought to take a look at what we have in front of us and say in each court system, How can we do this better or that better? Identify a problem, discuss it, look for best practices and then implement those best practices.

18 Let me give you a couple of examples. 19 You mentioned aging out. It's a very important 20 issue. We know that our foster children throughout life, they are more likely to go on welfare when 21 22 they age out of the system, to have their children 23 come before the same system that they were foster children in, to go to jail, to be homeless. We have the statistics, we know them well.

Page 26

Page 28

- 1 workers, and attorneys don't rear children. They
- 2 don't do a very good job with that. We kind of
- 3 manage systems, but we can't do the day-to-day
- 4 things. We need help from the community. There is
- 5 no better organized help from the community than
- 6 CASA. Texas has a strong CASA program. This new
- 7 effort on the part of the commission should
- 8 strengthen and take CASA so that every child in
- 9 foster care has a CASA volunteer in Texas. Another
- 10 crucial role for the commission, and I have a film 11 on that too.

12 How about ADR? We know that the

13 adversarial process damages families. Now, that may 14 take you back a bit, but this is clear. This is

15 clear. The adversarial process was meant for

16 criminal courts and some civil matters. Families

17 don't do well in the adversarial process, and so we

developed mediation programs for resolving matters 18

19 outside of the courtroom. We evolved things like

- 20 family group decision making, team decision making,
- 21 family team meetings, mediation. These are all
- 22 models in which people get together, family members,
- 23 extended family members, with social workers, with
- attorneys on occasion, and sit down and resolve
- 25 matters without going through trial. The families

Page 27

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 29

So how can the court system provide a safety net for those kids? Well, we have a number of ways of approaching this, and I'm not going to go into them right now, but that's a problem. There are a number of solutions. We've got to do a lot better, but we are -- we have technical assistance for you. In fact, I brought a whole briefcase of technical assistance to you today, and I know that you'd love to read some more stuff, so I brought some articles and I brought some films, from films

10 of -- that I made, with the help of some people who know how to work a camera, about best practices. 12 And let's try this one. We all know 13 14 that when you enter the child welfare system it's 15 very likely there's a substance abuse problem in the 16 family. That is one of the key indicators that a 17 family is not doing well with its children. And so 18 we developed through the years drug treatment 19 courts, family drug treatment courts. And Judge Specia came out and visited my court and went back 20 to San Antonio and started his own. And that's the 21 22 way things work, is that judges learn from judges. 23 I have a wonderful film about that, and I will leave 24 that with your Clerk here.

We also know that judges, social

like it better, the results are longer lasting. We 2 have good data on this. I brought you an article on 3 that. 4

Court structure. We think, well,

we've been doing it this way for so long, but what about one judge, one family? What about the unified family court? What about concepts where you rethink the way that you do business and the way you structure your court? All of that is something the 10 commission can take a look at. I have material on 11 that for you.

Meaningful hearings means taking enough time. The resource guidelines tell us how much time that is. That's a lot of time for those of us who are used to doing five-minute hearings, but when you start getting better information and taking longer time, you get better results. That's a resource issue. The commission needs to identify that, give good data on that and move that agenda forward. We need to attract and retain higher-quality attorneys, social workers, everybody in the system, and judges. It all, it's all going to come from leadership from the commission. The commission's

got to have high visibility, and one of the things

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

that our Blue Ribbon Task Force has done in 1 2

California is it has hired a media specialist, and

3 we are -- our Blue Ribbon Task Force is in the media 4 regularly. The Justice who is heading our Blue

5 Ribbon Task Force, Justice Moreno, regularly writes

6 letters that appear in editorial columns in the 7

state about the need for judicial involvement and

8 the plight of these children who are drifting in 9

foster care.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

25

The high visibility helps, because there's no silver bullet for this. You have to come at it legislatively, you come at it through the judiciary, you come at it through the community, through social workers, through attorneys. Everybody has to pitch in on this enormous effort to produce better outcomes for our most disadvantaged citizens.

18 As you said, Mr. Chief Justice, these 19 children didn't ask to be in foster care. They are 20 here in foster care through no fault of their own, and they've been entrusted to us. And we as judges 21 22 need to follow at minimum the law. That's what we 23 raised our right hand when we took our oath of office, to follow the law. Well, the law is all about timely, safe, permanency for these children.

And in order to do that we have to

Page 32 1 approach to this, and I was talking to one of your

- 2 leaders in social services yesterday about how do we
- 3 educate judges to sign orders in the correct way.
- 4 It was the judge's fault we lost \$54 million. So
- 5 there needs to be -- and the commission would
- 6 identify this in my vision of how you'd move
- 7 forward, and it would say, How are we going to
- 8 educate our judges statewide to make orders in an 9

appropriate legal fashion?

10 And that can be done. We've been 11 doing it for about 20 years. I made a deal with our state director. I said, "Look at, for a few hundred 12

13 thousand dollars you can help us hire attorneys to

14 train every judge and clerk in the state," and

15 that's what we've been doing for about 20 years.

16 But there are other ways of doing it, but that's an

17 issue on the table, a problem to be solved.

18 JUSTICE O'NEILL: Judge Edwards,

19 is it my understanding that the Blue Ribbon

20 Commission will terminate and is about to expire its

21 charge and then we'll maybe develop a permanent

22 structure to oversee local commissions in

23 California?

JUDGE EDWARDS: I believe so.

and we'll have a report. I think it's in February,

Page 31

change the way we're doing business, and the foster care commission can be the signal carrier, the flag

24

carrier for that need to change in Texas. And I

know you can do it, and I volunteer to be of technical assistance in any way I can, because this is my life's work. I'm so pleased to be here in

this environment, to sit with the Chief Justice last night to hear him tell me about how he's committed

10 to this. This is inspirational for me.

So I only have a half-time job in California, and I'm willing to come out here and work with you in any way I can. That concludes my remarks. I'll be glad to answer any questions.

15 JUSTICE MEDINA: Judge Edwards, 16 you said that states lose money because of federal 17 audits.

18 JUDGE EDWARDS: Yes.

19 JUSTICE MEDINA: What's being 20 done to resolve that problem so that states don't

lose money in the future? 21

22 JUDGE EDWARDS: Well --JUSTICE MEDINA: What can be

23 24 done?

JUDGE EDWARDS: -- I have my own

1 Chris will know exactly the dates, because he's

2 directing that operation. The Blue Ribbon

3 Commission for me is an opportunity to do some

4 high-level publicity about these issues. It could

5 go away tomorrow and wouldn't stop me from doing 6

what I'm doing. It's just a very handy opportunity

7 to take off and do some high-level publicity and

8 changes that wouldn't happen without a commission.

9 JUSTICE O'NEILL: Have the local

10 commissions been created yet, or was that going to 11 be just a recommendation for creation in the future?

12 JUDGE EDWARDS: One of my job

13 descriptions is to try to help form those local

commissions, and I have several in the mix. What we 14

15 do have in most of our juvenile courts in California 16 are monthly meetings -- if it's a rural county,

17 maybe quarterly -- of the juvenile court judge

18 convening the players in the child protection system 19

and bringing up items -- not individual cases, but 20 court operations -- as issues that need to be

21 addressed. This is what I call a court system's

22 meeting. It's extremely effective and I think

23 necessary for local court improvement.

24 Because a commission can do a lot. 25 but it can't really change what's happening on the

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Page 33

Page 34

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

accountability.

1 ground in the local communities; and the local court systems meeting convened by the local judge -- and

3 judges are excellent conveners -- they don't necessarily have to have all the answers, but they

bring people together around the table and say, This 6 is the way our system is working. Here are some new

7 ideas. Do you have new ideas? Let's move it

8 forward.

9 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Okav. 10 Any further questions? Thank you very much, Judge 11 Edwards.

12 JUDGE EDWARDS: Thank you. My

13 pleasure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

THE MARSHAL: May it please the 14 15 Court, the Honorable Patricia Macias, Judge of the 16 388th District Court of El Paso and President-elect of the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court 17

Judges has requested 15 minutes for testimony. 18 19 JUDGE MACIAS: Good morning,

20 Chief Justice Jefferson and Justices of the Supreme 21 Court. My message this morning in support of the

22 creation of a Texas Judicial Commission on Children.

23 Youth and Families is informed within the national

perspective based on the Pew Commission on Children

in Foster Care recommendations and from the National

17 18 and drug abuse.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

19 The next question the commission was 20 asked is, Who is responsible? Is it the court that 21 causes the delays in children achieving a permanent 22 family? Are the delays a function of overcrowded

appropriately look to the court for leadership and

questions the Pew Charitable Trust asked when it

million children in this country are in foster care.

waiting for a safe and permanent family. Nearly

39,000 infants under the age of one entered foster

attachments and early brain development. 19,000

youth aged out of foster care without a permanent

adulthood, and more likely than not these young

adults will experience homelessness, unemployment,

care for so long? This is the first of four

care, and they are at risk of receiving the

stability of care essential for building healthy

family to support them in their transition to

Why are so many children in foster

convened the Pew Commission on Foster Care. Half a

About 50 percent spend over two years in foster care

23 dockets? Is it judges who are assigned to 24

children's court as a steppingstone or, worse, good 25 judges rotated out of children's court for no

Page 35

Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, the largest judicial organization in the country.

The national perspective I am sharing this morning is also shaped from the work you have heard about from Mr. Len Edwards in California and others in Oregon, Minnesota, Illinois, Louisiana, Michigan, Florida, New York, and all points in between, judges from every state whose role as a child abuse and neglect judge far exceeds the traditional function of quiet decision-maker.

My remarks are also grounded in examples from throughout our state. Many of my Texas colleagues are motivated to do the best possible job from the bench. Yet their challenge continues to be a lack of resources, bureaucratic obstacles, and in some instances burnout from the secondary trauma experienced as a result of listening to child abuse cases.

Finally, as a district court judge my message this morning is inspired by the foster children in my home community of El Paso, by the 21 22 foster and adoptive parents, the caseworkers, the 23 CASA volunteers, the school teachers, the 24 therapists, drug treatment professionals, law

enforcement, probation officers, and others who

Page 37

apparent reason?

2 Is it a lack of coordinated data 3 collection systems, lack of accountability, judges without a basic knowledge of child development or of 5 best practices in the courtroom? Is there a lack of 6 accountability because data systems are nonexistent, 7 systems that could provide information to assist 8 judges in making timely decisions about a child's 9 well-being?

And next, what can be done? The commission was asked to analyze federal foster care financing policy as well as state child protective agency funding priorities. The courts were then examined for their critical role in ensuring children have permanent families. And the last question, how to make it happen. This question, perhaps the most important, focused on how to create leadership state by state and court by court. Equipped with these questions the

commission's charge was to develop practical and evidence-based recommendations related to foster care financing and court oversight of child welfare to improve outcomes for children. Specifically, the task was to expedite the movement of children in foster care to safe and permanent families.

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 41

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

Page 38

1

The Pew Commission, using guiding principles, recommended what courts need to help children get safe and permanent homes, and the recommendations were the following: First, courts are responsible for ensuring children's rights to safety, permanence, and well-being are being met in a timely and complete manner;

Second, to protect children and promote their well-being, courts and public agencies should be required to demonstrate effective collaboration on behalf of children; third, to safeguard children's best interests in dependency court proceedings, children and their parents must have a direct voice in court, effective representation, and timely input of those who care about them; and fourth, Chief Justices and state court leadership must take the lead acting as the foremost champion for children in their court systems and making sure the recommendations are enacted in their state.

Since the launch of the recommendations, foster care reform movements have gained national momentum. Currently 27 states are in process of forming high-level commissions to promote collaboration between courts and the child

best practices produce some of the best outcomes for children who are victims of abuse, domestic

2 3 violence, and custody-related issues. 4 Courts around the country are 5 struggling, some more than our Texas courts. There

6 are courts that fail to allow children to attend 7 their own hearings, there are courts that prohibit

8 foster parents from participating in hearings, and

9 there are courts whose conflict with the child

10 protective agency is so severe that caseworkers 11 ignore court settings; and when they do appear, they 12 are less than forthright with the judge about the

13 last time they visited with the foster child.

14 There are abuse and neglect courts in 15 this country that are treated as sub-courts, 16 ill-equipped without adequate resources, a rotation 17 system of judicial assignment to children's cases, and a lack of communication between systems. The 19 National Council works with such courts providing 20 technical assistance and resources for best 21 practices.

The missing element for these struggling courts is leadership at the highest judicial level. The National Council salutes the Texas Supreme Court for its leadership and vision in

Page 39

22

23

25

1

8

welfare agencies, and now Texas is among those states. Federal legislation, based on the Pew recommendations about the need for subsidized guardianship to help children move out of foster care into safe and loving homes of grandparents and other relatives, will be introduced this fall.

Organizations all over the country are partnering, networking, and collaborating to implement the policies recommended by the Pew Commission, efforts aimed at expediting the movement of children in foster care to safe and permanent families.

13 I am proud today to represent one of 14 those organizations, the National Council of 15 Juvenile and Family Court Judges. Since its 16 founding in 1937 by a group of judges dedicated to 17 improving the effectiveness of the nation's juvenile 18 courts, the National Council has as its mission to 19 improve courts and systems practice and raise 20 awareness of the critical issues that touch the lives of our nation's most vulnerable children and 22 families.

23 And each day our membership of more 24 than 2,000 judges, along with other child abuse, delinquency, and family law professionals, through forming the Judicial Commission on Children, Youth

2 and Families. It stands ready to assist in

3 providing technical assistance, research, and other

5

resources to achieve the goals as prescribed by the Consultative Group. 6 As a trial court judge for the past 7 13 years I have had the privilege of teaching and

learning about innovative programs and best 9 practices with courts around the country and

10 throughout our state. I can attest to the strengths 11 in our Texas courts. The commitment to excellence

12 is unsurpassed and merits replication: Judicial

13 leadership as demonstrated by Judge Ron Pope from 14 Fort Bend County, 323rd District Court, who leads

15 the court teams for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers

16 Project. This multidisciplinary team develops a

17 comprehensive approach to the complex needs of young 18

children zero to three in foster care. 19 Our Texas courts are strengthened by 20

the leadership demonstrated by Judge Robin Sage, 21 307th District Court in Gregg County, whose efforts

22 to increase the number of foster parents resulted in 23 tripling the number of foster parents in Gregg

County. The program of fostering partnership is a 24

faith-based and community foster and adoptive

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Page 45

Page 42

recruitment and support strategy.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Our Texas courts are strengthened by the leadership of Judge Sergio Gonzalez, County Court at Law in Val Verde County who implemented a video conferencing system which enables foster children living outside Del Rio to participate in their permanency hearings via teleconference technology.

And Texas courts are strengthened by the work of Judge Camile DuBose, Child Protection Court of South Texas, a pioneer judge in the cluster court system who logs thousands of miles traveling from county to county dispensing justice and ensuring children's cases are given the priority they deserve.

While these are examples of leadership, innovation, and best practice, every court that hears children's cases within each of our 254 counties should be examples of leadership. The Judicial Commission on Children, Youth and Families can address those gaps in leadership and provide the policy framework for courts throughout this state to achieve the goal of ensuring each foster child has a safe and permanent home.

This Honorable Court through this

1 work together, for working harder, more productively, and with greater accountability; and 3 most of all, thank you for being the champions of 4 our children.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 6 you, Judge Macias, and the Court appreciates your 7 leadership itself. I can't stress how important it 8 is to have someone of your stature, a national 9 leader, participate in these efforts, and we'll take

10 a lot of instruction from you; because you'll be 11 seeing what's going on around the country, and I'm 12 sure that you'll give us some advice along the way.

13 Are there any questions for Judge Macias? 14

JUSTICE MEDINA: I have one, 15 Judge Macias. Thank you for being here. It was 16 very well said. You made a comment about the child protective agency and judges having conflicts in 17 that CPA officers missed their settings. What's

19 that conflict about, and how can that be fixed? 20

JUDGE MACIAS: Across the 21 country I've observed a natural tension between the 22 courts and the child protective agency because 23 courts have the responsibility, the supervisory responsibility to oversee every aspect of the

child's case, and when there are two branches of

Page 43

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

proceeding today has accepted the Pew

- 2 recommendations that chief justices and state court
- 3 leadership must take the lead as the foremost
- 4 champion for children in their court systems and
- 5 making sure their recommendations are enacted in our
 - state. Ensuring the well-being of our children
- 7 takes courage, hard work, and a commitment to
- judicial excellence.

Chief Justice Jefferson, you have demonstrated that courage by placing the commission for children and families as a priority. Justice O'Neill, your energy and leadership will take this commission and will surpass even California's expectations. And Judge Specia, the foster children whose lives you have enriched through your work continue to be your legacy.

16 17 And finally, in honor of the late 18 Judge Jim Farris from Beaumont, who mentored many of 19 us to be the type of judges whose vocation is child advocacy, to each of you on behalf of the foster 20 21 children in this state, on behalf of the judges who 22 hear their cases, on behalf of caseworkers, foster 23 parents, adoptive parents, CASA volunteers, attorneys, and all others who comprise the judicial system, muchisimas gracias for challenging us to

1 government who are responsible for the same child, 2 perhaps there's a tension. But the courts have the 3 responsibility of oversight.

JUSTICE MEDINA: Is that a problem in Texas as well?

be some pockets where there are challenges where the communication may be lacking, and that's one of the gaps that must be filled throughout Texas, to make sure that there's a partnership and a collaboration; 11 because we're all reaching the same goal, and that's 12

providing a home for a child. JUSTICE MEDINA: Thank you,

14 Judge.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Judge,

JUDGE MACIAS: I think there may

16 can you speak a little bit more, and I'm sure we'll 17 hear more testimony, about the coordinated data 18 systems? What does that entail? Judge Specia 19 mentioned that when a court opens the docket it sees

20 not only the proceedings before it, but the criminal

21 proceedings in another venue and other things going

22 on. What -- if you can elaborate on that, and how 23

do we get there?

24 JUDGE MACIAS: Because judges 25 are obligated to follow both Texas law and federal

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

law regarding the time frame within which a child 1 2 needs to achieve permanency, it's important for a

3 court to know how long the child has been in the

4 system, how many changes of homes there have been 5 which provide the lack of continuity. It's

important to know how the parent is doing on the service plan to ensure that there is substantial and complete compliance so the child can be returned

9 home.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

1

2

3

7

8

17

18

And so all these data pieces of information are important for a court to know in order to supervise the case. We now receive federal funding to enhance data systems so that we can look at both Child Protective Services data and court data and blend the two and ensure that there's permanency for a child in 12 months or at the high end in 18 months. But we want to make sure that our children return home or are adopted or placed with family way before that time.

20 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 21 you. Any further questions? Thank you very much. 22 JUDGE MACIAS: Thank you. And

23 if I can be excused, I have an afternoon docket in 24 El Paso.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

Page 48

court issue, comment on why we believe a commission 1

2 is a catalyst for reform in this area, and share a 3 few examples of the difference I think our

4 commission is already making in California, which

may be helpful to you as you consider your own 5

6 foster care commission in Texas.

7 It's been emphasized before, but 8 while children are in foster care they're our

9 children. We share this responsibility in the

10 courts with child welfare and other relevant

11 agencies, but the buck stops with the courts. As Justice O'Neill emphasized, judges are charged with

12 13 making some of the most intimate decisions any

14 person can make about another, whether children will

15 be removed from their homes, where they'll live.

16 And if our courts don't do a good job, children and

17 families suffer.

18 Part of the original impetus for our 19 Blue Ribbon Commission in California was the 20 national Pew Commission on Children in Foster Care.

21 It was a bipartisan national panel that examined the

22 role of the courts, as Judge Macias mentioned, and

23 they outlined several important areas for change.

24 Bill Vickery, who is our 25 administrative director of the courts,

Page 47

Page 49

you.

THE MARSHAL: May it please the Court, Mr. Christopher Wu, Executive Director and supervising attorney of the California Center for

Families, Children, and the Courts in San Francisco 5

6 has requested 10 minutes for testimony.

MR. WU: Good morning.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Good

9 morning. 10

MR. WU: Mr. Chief Justice,

11 Associates Justices, I'm happy to be here this morning to add to Judge Edwards' enthusiasm about

12 California's Blue Ribbon Commission and comment on 13

14 the opportunity ahead of you in Texas to undertake similar efforts.

15 16

The California Blue Ribbon Commission has been charged by our judicial council and Chief Justice Ron George with developing

19 politically-viable recommendations on how the courts

working with our partners can improve outcomes for 20 children and families in our foster care system.

I've been asked today to share a few thoughts about 22

our experience in California. 23 24

I'd like to echo a few words that

25 have already been said about why foster care is a Carl Leonard's counterpart in California, was a

2 member of this commission along with Judge Macias

3 and brought this vision of joint court and child

4 welfare reform back to California. We have clear 5 and visible problems in California to solve. As you

6 may know, we have the largest foster care population

7 in the nation.

8 And to highlight just a couple of our 9 challenges, I would echo Judge Edwards' remarks on

10 caseloads. Dependency court judges in California

11 have an average of a thousand cases, active cases at 12 a time. Attorney caseloads for parents and children

13 average 270, and in some of the largest counties

that number can rise to 500 or 600. It's important 14 15 to remember, I think, that these cases are not like

16 general civil and criminal cases in which the

17 court's responsibility ends at disposition. That is

18 merely the beginning of many of these cases which

19 must be reviewed at least twice a year while 20 children are in care.

21

So obviously, these tight caseloads 22 mean that children and families don't always receive

23 the effective representation that they need. Judges

24 and attorneys don't always have sufficient time to listen to a child's hopes and dreams or a parent's

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Page 50

1

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 concerns.

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

2 Secondly, child well being is a 3 collaborative responsibility, but as I'm sure you 4 know, large government bureaucracies outside of 5 Texas don't always play well with others. A 6 high-level commission gives us the ability to 7 explore the complexities of these various systems 8 that work with children in foster care and how we 9 can work better together. A direct result of our commission is that we're forging a statewide 10 11 consensus on how to improve child welfare outcomes, and we're exploring ways to replicate that consensus 12 13 in all 58 of our counties. I know you're thinking, Just 58? That's what we have in California. 14 15

Our Blue Ribbon Commission members are representative of all the agencies and systems involved with children and families, so our discussions about collaboration have a grounding in reality. The commission is made up of leaders who know how to get things done, judges and attorneys, we have legislators, state and county government officials, advocates, community leaders, academics, we have people from the world of philanthropy, and tribal leaders.

As has been -- as Judge Edwards

And along the way we've already made some progress

committees that are hard at work on recommendations.

- 3 to tell you about, two key areas. One is
- 4 collaboration between the courts and child welfare
- 5 on the data system that has been mentioned. We're
- 6 developing our first statewide court data and case
- 7 management system in California. This is, not
- 8 surprisingly, a very involved and complex process
- 9 and one that would have happened more in a vacuum if
- 10 not for the commission. We've been able to work

11 with our child welfare partners to design vital

12 information exchanges between our systems when 13 they're completely developed.

A second example, which is related to the first and which the data system will allow us to 16 develop, is a set of court indicators of performance and accountability. These indicators notably are aligned with the child welfare outcomes of safety, permanency, and well being which, as Judge Edwards mentioned, the federal government uses to track performance and, when necessary, determine state 22 sanctions.

2.3 Our court indicators have benefited 24 from commission input and consensus among that wide 25 array of stakeholders I mentioned earlier. Without

Page 51

mentioned, our chair is Supreme Court Justice

Carlos Moreno, who is also a foster parent himself and brings personal experience and passion to this work. As he often says, if he finds these systems

intimidating, what chance do most parents and

caregivers have?

In fact, Justice Moreno would have been here today if not for a conflict with his Supreme Court duties in California. He did send a letter of support for your efforts in Texas, and I believe it will be waiting for you when you return to your chambers this afternoon.

13 And finally, the timing is right. 14 As has been mentioned by Judge Macias and 15 Judge Edwards, California's Blue Ribbon Commission 16 is building on national and statewide momentum for better outcomes in child welfare. We have a 17 18 legislative Task Force on Foster Care. State and 19 county child welfare agencies are stepping up to the plate, and because of the key role that the courts 20 play in the foster care system we have to join in 21 22 these efforts.

23 We've had seven full commission 24 meetings around the state in California, numerous hearings, public and conference calls, we have

Page 53

1 the commission these indicators, if they were 2

developed at all, would have been done so in 3

isolation and we would have missed a critical 4

opportunity to use data and performance indicators

5 to enable collaboration and information sharing 6

between the courts and child welfare.

Also, I want to highlight the progress we've made in building community support and conducting public education and outreach. We have open meetings. There's outreach to the media. We're working to build that community support that will be needed to implement our recommendations. As commission members listen and learn and take information home, they're already expanding ideas and innovations to the county courts and relevant agencies.

As Judge Edwards noted, we also have 18 in place an ongoing public education effort that includes outreach to local stakeholders whenever we meet, and we've had many commission members conducting media interviews, op ed articles. One of our commissioners, a foster youth on the commission, was profiled in August on "This American Life" for Public Radio.

We have a long ways to go before

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Page 57

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

19

20

19

20

Page 54

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

18

24

25

we're done. Certainly as you consider your commission here in Texas, I would encourage you to emphasize the need for public education and awareness as well as utilizing strategic planning to accomplish your outcomes.

We have a county-administered child welfare system, but in any state we have to have success on the front lines where families and children appear in courts. As Judge Edwards noted, our commission is charged with building a network of interdisciplinary commissions at the county level and to promote continued collaboration and take our proposals forward.

Blue Ribbon Commissions come and go. Sometimes they make a lasting effort. Too often they issue long reports that sit on the shelf. We're fortunate in California that the judicial 17 council has given us the resources and staff to do 18 the job. We're determined that this commission will make a difference in the lives of our most vulnerable children and families. 21

22 Finally, I'm most impressed by what I've seen so far in Texas. There's much potential 23 for great success in the future with the foundation of the great leadership from this court, Justice

1 incalcitrance in California? What are the tools to

2 do that? 3 MR. WU: Well, certainly

4 developing the local commissions is, I think, a 5 major tool. Hiring Judge Edwards to help accomplish

6 that task is certainly one of those; and as I 7

mentioned, I think that, as I mentioned, the timing 8 in California is right in the sense that we have a

9 real confluence of interest and energy from the

10 Legislature on foster care issues, from the 11 executive branches of government.

And I know Judge Edwards' experience 13 is long enough that he will remember when the branches of government on these issues were not talking with each other at all, and it was actually more than almost 20 years ago now that he helped us get together our first statewide conference called Beyond the Bench where we had a child welfare and the court sitting down at the same table trying to iron out problems.

And it was very much at the beginning sort of what I call the seventh grade dance, with the boys on one side and the girls on the other and not mixing; but it's been a very long haul for that, but that's the kind of synergy that I think we can

1 develop and you can develop here in Texas.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

3 you very much, Mr. Wu. 4

MR. WU: Thank you.

5 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 6 Court, Ms. Joyce James, Assistant Commissioner of

7 Child Protective Services, Texas Department of

Family and Protective Services in Austin has 8

9 requested 10 minutes for testimony.

10

MS. JAMES: Good morning. My 11 name is Joyce James. I am the Assistant 12 Commissioner for the Texas Child Protective Services

13 program with the Department of Family and Protective

14 Services. I am honored to have the opportunity to

15 testify today on behalf of creating a Texas Judicial

16 Commission on Children, Youth and Families. 17

I have worked in the Child Protective Services program for the past 28 years, starting my career as a front-line caseworker in the field. I

19 20 have experienced the system at every level, but my

21 greatest career challenge came when on April 1st, 22 2004 I was selected to provide the leadership for

23 the Texas Child Protective Services program.

I have always had a passion for working with children and families, but over the

Page 55

O'Neill, Chief Justice Jefferson, judges like

2 John Specia and Judge Macias and other people who

3 will be speaking shortly, the work of the foster

care task force, and great staff support from people

5 like Tina Amberboy and Christi Taylor, and

6 relationships with key partners like Joyce James.

7 And now is the time to institutionalize that 8

progress to the creation of a permanent judicial

9 commission. Thank you.

10 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 11 you, Mr. Wu. Are there any questions?

12 JUSTICE O'NEILL: I want to say

13 that our team was very impressed with what's

happened in California. It was sort of overwhelming 14 15 the resources that have been put into this in

16 California, and I hope we can have a partnership in

17 the future that we're looking forward to. 18 MR. WU: We look forward to

working with you in the years to come.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Chief Justice George charged you with making

21 22 politically-viable recommendations, and that sounded

sort of an alarm with me. How -- you know, many 23 24 people are resistent to change. They form habits

over years, and how have you begun to overcome that

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

Page 58

1 children. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 60

1 last three years I have felt an increasingly personal responsibility for the children and 3 families served in the child welfare system. Each 4 day I am keenly aware of the fact that the child 5 welfare system and family courts across Texas are 6 charged with meeting the needs of approximately 7 30,000 children that we have legal custody of and approximately 20,000 children who depend on us for 9 safety, permanency, and well being in a timely 10 manner.

I am also more and more aware that this mission is becoming challenging and that the outcomes for these children and their families are often determined by our response as a system. The question that I am committed to asking myself on a daily basis and the question that we must ask ourselves as a system is whether our response is in line with what we would want for our own children and families.

My personal response is that our 21 child welfare system is getting better, but for the children for whom we really are the parents, they deserve a system that is much more responsive in the following areas and more. We must invest in resources in the front end of our system to serve

Family courts and child welfare agencies must begin to recognize the need for change based on the ever-changing needs of families who are plagued by issues of poverty, substance abuse, domestic violence, and mental health problems. We cannot continue to operate as if these issues do not exist and continue to maintain systems that do not adequately respond, resulting in more children 10 entering and staying in the foster care system. We 11 must improve our system's ability to track the 12 progress of children and use data to hold all parts 13 of the system accountable.

14 I believe creating a Judicial 15 Commission on Children, Youth and Families can alter 16 the lives of children and families served by the 17 courts and child welfare and provide a unique opportunity for Texas to respond to the above 18 19 challenges by changing the way business is done so 20 that children spend less time in foster care and so 21 that they experience improved outcomes. 22

My personal belief is that children and families should be better off as a result of our intervention in their lives. Too often this is not the case in our current system. A judicial

Page 59

Page 61

families so that their children can be maintained safely in their homes, including family group decision making, family team meetings, and mediation. We must engage families early in the process to decrease the amount of time to reunification when their children must be removed.

We must increase our support for relative placements when children cannot remain safely in their own homes. In Texas we have a 10 little over 8,000 children living in kinship care placements. We must reduce the amount of time that 12 children wait for permanent adoptive homes, and we must reduce the number of children who age out of the foster care system with no permanent supportive connections and who often repeat the cycle of abuse as they become adults and have their own children.

13 14 15 16 17 We must develop a culturallycompetent child welfare system, committed to addressing issues of disproportionality related to concerned about data that reflects a Texas African American child population of 13 percent, yet 26

18 19 20 African American children and families. We must be 21 22 percent of the children removed are African American 23 24 children and 33 percent of all children waiting for permanent adoptive homes are African American

commission can provide the leadership for 2 development and expansion of innovative programs and 3 training for judges and systems working together to alleviate the trauma associated with the plight of 5 abused children. 6

A judicial commission can provide a vehicle for expanding relationships, to develop a network of public and private partnerships, to create new ways of working with resource-challenged systems, to bring about best practices in addressing issues of abuse and neglect. A judicial commission can provide our children with the greatest chance possible for safe, healthy, and permanent families.

Collaboration and strong leadership is essential in creating the commission on children. The courts and child welfare agencies must share equal commitments of trust, time, and resources and must be willing to work toward common, mutually-beneficial goals that support improved outcomes for all children.

My passion for this work is fueled by the possibility of a commission led by a judge who has the ability to provide the leadership for comprehensive change in the Texas child welfare system. Judges hold a position that invites

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

community leadership and are seen as individuals of 1 2 authority and fairness. Judges who also have a 3 passion for working with children and families also 4 have the ability to inspire and empower others to act. People do not change unless their leaders 6 change and model that they are serious about the 7 change and hold each other accountable in the direction-setting process so that the best thinking 9 of all concerned is brought to the table.

A Judicial Commission on Children. Youth and Families will provide for better collaboration among all stakeholders, and each member will be charged to inspire change within their own agencies and organizations. The children in the legal custody of the state of Texas deserve to have a child welfare system in place to meet their individual needs in the same manner that we would expect for our own children.

It is on behalf of these children and future ones to come that I speak today in support of the development of a permanent Judicial Commission on Children, Families, and Youth. There is no doubt in my mind that the beneficiaries of a commission and the changes that will occur will be the children and families served by the Texas child welfare

Page 64

1 2002 that resulted in a finding that we had some 2 room for improvement in engaging families around 3 creating service plans designed to meet their needs 4 and the needs of their children for permanency. 5

Shortly thereafter we began to really 6 look at how we could move to a more family-focused 7 approach to working with families, and we began by 8 learning from other states who had already been 9 using the family group decision making, and in 10 December of 2004 we actually rolled out this 11 initiative in Texas.

12 And what it does is create an avenue 13 for families to be at the table. It gives them decision-making authority regarding how to keep 14 15 their children safe. It involves a facilitated 16 conference where families are able to decide who 17 their supports are that they would like to bring to 18 the table. It could be relatives, grandparents. It 19 may be friends that they have a significant 20 relationship with, people in the community. 21 Sometimes the clergy are brought to the table. 22

The family is allowed some alone time after we have had time to discuss what the issue is, to make it understood by all that the discussion is

about the child and the hopes and dreams that we all

Page 63

23

24

25

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

system.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

24

you.

I want to conclude by conveying my commitment to work with members of the commission should the Court decide to create it and also share that my commissioner, Kerry Cockrell, who is the Commissioner of the Department of Family and Protective Services, supports the development of a judicial commission and is committed to our department doing its part to create a better child welfare system for the children of Texas. Thank

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you. Are there questions?

13 14 JUSTICE O'NEILL: Well, I would 15 like to just say, Joyce has been a member of the Consultative Group, she's been at the table in every 16 17 meeting that we've had. She'd been very -- she's 18 demonstrated the commitment she's expressed today. 19 I would love, if you would, to please tell us a little bit about family group decision making and 20 how the department is using that in processing 21 22 children through the system. 23 MS. JAMES: Well, it actually

goes back to the Child and Family Service federal

review that Texas went through for the first time in

have for that particular child and how we can all 2 work together to keep the child safe. We have seen that become just a wonderful, wonderful model for families and for children in Texas in that families 5 are creating plans, that they're coming out and 6 sharing those plans with the caseworkers. And in 7 the majority of those cases we are accepting the 8 plans that families make for ensuring safety of 9 their children.

We recently did an evaluation, a 11 formal evaluation of the family group decision-making process in Texas, and the evaluation shows that when a conference occurs children spend less time in foster care. They are also more likely to be placed with a relative if they cannot return to their own family, and it has served to really address some of the issues associated with disproportionality.

It has better outcomes for children

of every race, but in particular for African American and Hispanic children there has been a significant increase in the number of those youth who go home or go to a relative after a conference. We are moving that to the front end of our system.

We had a stakeholder meeting

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

(512) 301-7088

Page 65

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

foster care.

Page 66

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

19

yesterday where we'll be using another method of family group decision making called family team meetings where at the time when it appears that a child cannot maintain -- be maintained safely in their own home, we will offer the family the opportunity to do a family team meeting where they bring in family, and we develop a safety plan that may result in the child not having to be placed in

JUSTICE WILLETT: Do -- I'm sorry, Justice O'Neill. Go ahead.

JUSTICE O'NEILL: I was just going to say, do you find that those cases that have been through family group decision making end up requiring less court involvement, less hearings, less time consumption in the court system?

MS. JAMES: Absolutely. We have found that in some cases when we're able to do the conference early enough, the case may not end up going to court at all. In some instances, however, we do maintain legal custody of the child, and the child may remain in their own home or they may be placed in a relative placement, and we continue to have hearings before the court on those cases.

JUSTICE WILLETT: You mentioned

Page 68

1 MS. JAMES: Well, certainly the 2 court dockets are crowded. More time for judges to 3 really be attentive, to hearing from the youth, to 4 hearing from the families, to hearing from the 5 caregivers of these children, making sure that we're 6 family friendly and that we're looking for ways to 7 engage families in the process early are just a 8 couple of things that come to mind. 9

Looking for opportunities to have our courts have technology that allows for maybe youths to be able to be video-conferenced in, because for a 12 long time now we've heard, especially from youths who have aged out of the system, that they want to be a part of the decision-making process. They've coined the phrase "nothing about us without us," which we really value and respect, because they have a right to have their voices heard and to be a part of what decisions that are being made about them in the court system.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: How about the facilities themselves? There are some courts that have special rooms set aside for families that are kid-friendly. Have you seen that growing across the state, or is that -- and is that good for --

Page 67

briefly the racial makeup of children awaiting adoption. How does that compare with the racial makeup of families wishing to adopt?

MS. JAMES: We have a lot of work to do in our recruitment efforts to ensure that we are recruiting families so that we can make good matches. We're not looking for one to one, because that doesn't give us the greatest options for children. And I must stress that although the 10 majority of our -- there's a high percentage of African American children waiting in the system, we are charged with recruiting families that match the 12 ethnicity of waiting children; but we're also

13 14 charged with recruiting families of every ethnicity, 15 because we do not use race in selecting families for 16 those children.

17 JUSTICE WAINWRIGHT: Ms. James, 18 I appreciate your comments and thank you for being 19 here this morning. I'm going to surmise that in your three decades of working in this area, starting 20 with being a caseworker, that you've been in Texas 21 22 courts cross the state involved with child custody 23 and foster care matters. Inside the courtroom what 24 are a couple of things that this commission, if created, could do to make things better quickly?

MS. JAMES: It is, I believe,

Page 69

yes, that it is good for children. I have not seen it nearly enough in the state of Texas. There have

4 been few courts that have been able to manage to 5 create child-friendly courts where they have special

6 rooms and places for children who are brought to

7 court that are child-friendly. We have a long way

8 to go in really creating this type of court

9 environment, but I do believe that the leadership of 10

a commission will help us to really look at those types of practice models and share that with courts

12 across the state of Texas and share the impact and

13 outcome that these types of courts are really having 14 on the results and improved outcomes through the

15 courts for our families and for our children.

16 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you very much, Ms. James, for your testimony today 17 18 and for your service to our state.

MS. JAMES: Thank you.

20 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 21 Court, the Honorable F. Scott McCown, retired

22 district judge and Executive Director of the Center

23 for Public Policy Priorities in Austin has requested 24

10 minutes for testimony. 25

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Judge

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

5

6

7

9

2

19

Page 70

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

2.0

23

24

McCown, before you begin, we will after your testimony is concluded take a brief 15-minute break.

3 I just wanted people to be aware of that, and thank 4 you for coming.

JUDGE McCOWN: Thank you and good morning. I very much appreciate being here. I am Scott McCown, and I'm a retired state district judge from here in Austin and the director at the Center for Public Policy Priorities, which is a

10 state research group and the home of the Texas Kids 11 Camp Project.

12 As a district judge I heard about 13 2,000 child abuse cases involving about 4,000 14 children and had the privilege of serving by 15 appointment of this Court on the Supreme Court Task 16 Force for Foster Care. But before all of that I had 17 a background like many of you. I went to UT law school and I studied private law and I went out to 18 19 practice with Vinson & Elkins and later in the 20 Attorney General's office and did a lot of fancy civil litigation before going on the bench. And I 21

22 just want to share with you briefly the story of my

23 first CPS case, because I think it's important for

24 what I want to say to you today. 25

In my first year on the bench I heard

Page 72

1 not adequately prepared, and I think you've heard 2 some of the complexity of these cases explained, and 3 we do not have adequate judicial resources given to 4 these cases.

Our civil judges will go home early when our family court judges have long dockets, and I had a docket of civil and family cases and would be spending hours in a discovery dispute or reviewing documents in camera where I had 15 minutes on a good day to review a CPS case that was coming back before me. And we have a serious misallocation of resources and a lack of training and a lack of commitment.

14 And I really applaud the Court today 15 for considering a judicial commission to strengthen 16 our system, but I'm going to imagine three unspoken 17 concerns that people might have and set them up and 18 knock them down. But if I don't put them out there, 19 they may stay unspoken.

Now, the first legitimate concern is 21 about the whole concept of judicial leadership. Is 22 it appropriate for a judge to exercise judicial leadership in the way that this commission would be doing? And yes, under the Code of Judicial Conduct 25 judges not only can, but are charged to work to

Page 71

my first CPS case, involved a two-year-old who the department reported had been pretty badly physically

3 abused. After hearing the evidence I came to the

conclusion that it was the mother's boyfriend, and

5 she agreed to run him off and I placed the child 6

back with her. And a few weeks later the department 7 was back in court because the child had been abused

again. And the evidence was very cloudy as to 9 whether it was the mother who was the perpetrator or

10 whether the boyfriend wasn't around.

11 But the father was in court with his wife, his second wife, and she was expecting a 12 13 child. And the department and the district attorney were very much opposed to the child being placed 14 15 with them without adequate investigation, without 16 knowing a whole lot more than I thought we needed to 17 know; and I placed the child with the father and the 18 expectant stepmother and two weeks later was met at the door of my chambers one morning to be told by 20 the social worker that the stepmother had killed the child.

21 22 And I realized then that nothing 23 about my education or background prepared me for 24 these cases and I needed to know a whole lot more

about what I was doing. Even today our judges are

improve the administration of justice; and a

1 2 judicial commission can actually enhance exercising

3 judicial leadership in an appropriate way consistent

with the code by bringing some really high-level 5 organization and scrutiny to that effort. In our

6 paper in my written testimony I've cited work that

7 we've done on that if you're interested in it

8 further. 9

But the second concern that someone 10 might have is, well, judicial leadership is fine, but are we really being asked to engage in social 12 work? Now, this is really not what courts do. Well, I think that betrays a misunderstanding about 13 14 the responsibilities of today's judges. For 15 example, judges work regularly to improve our 16 criminal justice system. In fact, judges administer 17 our local adult probation systems and administer our 18 local juvenile probation systems requiring them to become deeply engaged in the budget, in the

19 20 management, and the collaboration required to run 21 these systems. 22

And this sort of engagement is really 23 required to fulfill the judicial responsibility we 24 have as judges to ensure the effective

25 administration of justice. And we should be no less

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Page 73

Page 77

Page 74

1 deeply engaged in our dependency docket than we are in our delinquency dockets. And in fact, we need to 3 be engaged if we're going to make our orders 4 effective.

5

2

3

5

6

7

8

21

Now, finally, some might be concerned 6 about the creation of new infrastructure. Do we 7 need another commission? Do we need a Commission on Children, Youth and Families? And I want to put 9 this concern in context, and in our written 10 testimony there's a bunch of pretty graphs and 11 charts with all the numbers, but the Texas courts 12 are responsible for ensuring the safety and a path 13 to permanency for almost 32,000 children. 32,000 children. An umbrella commission of the sort you're 14 15 being asked to create is not too much infrastructure to support and guide that effort, but in fact, is 16 17 particularly appropriate.

18 I would note, because there's been 19 mention of it, that 67 percent of all the money that 20 gets spent on child protection in Texas comes from 21 the federal government. And so Congress has made it 22 a bipartisan policy to want accountability for those dollars that, in fact, children are being kept safe 2.3 24 and are moving appropriately to permanency; and Congress has called for judicial leadership and is

branch and know how to work respectfully with the 1

- 2 legislative branch; and ultimately, whatever
- 3 resources the Legislature provides are what the
- 4 Legislature provides, and you do your best with it.
- 5 But one of the downsides of this is that you will be
- calling for change not only inside the judiciary,
- but potentially in those collaborative efforts with
- 8 your partners in the executive and legislative 9
 - branch.

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Judge Hecht and I are old enough to remember that just getting judges to wear robes in court caused quite a stir not that long ago, and change is hard. But it's important here. And I want to close with these words from a CPS caseworker. "Children do not slip through cracks in the system. Children slip through the fingers of our hands." And I want to commend you for this effort, and I very much hope you'll create this commission and would be happy to help you in any way

that I can. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you, Judge. In part of your testimony you mentioned that judges are not adequately prepared or trained, and I wanted to ask you whether we in Texas and

whether and to what extent do we have courts that

Page 75

willing to pick up part of that tab.

And one of the geniuses behind the commission, if it works like the Access to Justice Commission worked, is that the commission will be able to leverage additional resources, philanthropic resources and other resources. So I think those are all legitimate concerns, but I think none should stand in the way of you moving forward.

9 I want to toss out one last note of 10 caution. There's really two different things that this commission will be doing. One is looking inward to the courts. Are we doing the best we can 12 do to discharge our responsibility? But inevitably 13 14 when we start looking at that question and we start 15 thinking about -- and there was a question about it 16 earlier -- about are our orders effective, can we 17 order visitation and have it be accomplished, we're 18 going to find ourselves in tension with the 19 executive branch, and we're going to find ourselves in tension with the legislative branch as we call 20

22 orders effective and to properly administer justice. And that is a downside of going down 23 24 this path. Now, I don't think it's a danger,

for the resources that are necessary to make our

because judges know how to work with the executive

1 specialize in children, youth and families?

> 2 JUDGE McCOWN: Well, one of the 3 things we've done in our rural communities through

> the Supreme Court Task Force on Foster Care -- and

this is a great example of collaborating with the 6

executive branch and securing funding from the 7 legislative branch -- is we created the cluster

courts, which many of our rural areas now have more 8

9 expert child protection courts than our urban areas,

10 because they have dedicated courts with special

11 training who work on these cases. 12 I forget the figure, someone else

13 will have to give it to you, but it's something like 14 80 percent of rural Texas is now covered by cluster

15 court, something of that magnitude. Many of our

16 urban counties have strong courts, though they have

17 overwhelmed dockets; good judges, but too much work.

Where we tend to actually hurt is in the mid size, 18

19 in the place that's too big to be urban and

20 specialized and -- I mean, excuse me, too small to

21 be urban and specialized and to big to have a

22 cluster court. And so it's really that mid-level

jurisdiction that I think lacks the specialties and 23

24 the training and the resources most of all.

25 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: How is

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

Page 78

that accomplished when our courts are courts of 1 2 general jurisdiction? Are there statutory changes 3 that, in an urban setting, for example, conferred 4 special jurisdiction on family matters to the court, or is it by custom in the locality? How does that 6 work?

JUDGE McCOWN: Well, oddly enough, the local administrative judge statute gives the local administrative judge tremendous assignment power. They don't exercise it much, but in our larger settings you have enough judges that someone will be designated or recruited to handle these cases, and hopefully, that's a someone who's interested in doing the work.

But you're quite right that the real hole in the system is that court of general jurisdiction that may have several counties and few resources and is trying to cover a very broad array of cases. And, you know, another key issue is not just with the judges, it's with the representation, and this is where a commission could help 21 22 tremendously. But lawyers, lawyers for the 23 department, lawyers for the children, lawyers for the parents are all undertrained and undercompensated, and that's a serious problem as

Page 80

1 JUDGE McCOWN: Well, I think 2 it's a great idea. Our statute already has a child 3 welfare board at the local level. Some of our 4 communities like Harris County and like Fort Worth 5 and Dallas have pretty vibrant child welfare boards. 6 They might could be the nucleus of an effort to 7 organize locally, but I think that there should be 8 some local connection to the commission. Whether 9 you do that -- that there ought to be some way, 10 because you're right that these problems are going 11 to be best attacked at the local level. And it's 12 not going to be the same plan in every community, 13 because you're going to have different needs and 14 different judges. But I think that's a good --15 JUSTICE O'NEILL: I would 16 welcome any input on that in terms of whether they 17 should -- any sort of local board would be structured according to judicial districts, judicial 18 19 administrative regions. How local should local be? 20 JUDGE McCOWN: Well, then you 21 might want to pick the department's regions and 22 organize them by the department's regions. That 23 would be another option. Well, thank you again.

Page 79

well. So that would be another area that the commission looking inward could focus on.

JUSTICE MEDINA: Judge, you made a comment that there are not enough resources, and you said something like civil judges will go home early when family court judges are trying to resolve these cases. How do we resolve that problem? JUDGE McCOWN: Well, that is a

tough problem to resolve and one that this Court and others have worked on for years. But we don't put the docket hours into CPS. I mean, I was with a great group of colleagues here in Travis County, very supportive -- you're going to hear from a

Travis County judge later -- but if I had asked them 14 15 to give me as many hours as the job really demanded, 16 they would have been real upset with me. We don't

17 have the time on these dockets, and that is a tough 18 problem.

19

JUSTICE O'NEILL: Judge, what do you think about the concept of local commissions as well? Texas is a little bit different than California in a number of respects. We don't have

22 23 an integrated judiciary, we have 254 counties. What 24 do you think about the idea of creating local

commissions?

24

9

1 take a brief recess, and we will return here at 2

11:00 a.m. sharp. We'll see you then. 3 (At this time the proceedings

4 recessed.)

5 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Be seated, please. The Marshal will announce our next

25 you very much, Judge McCown. The Court will now

6 7 witness, please. 8 THE MARSHAL: May it please the

Court, Dr. David Sanders, Executive Vice President 10 of Systems Improvement for Casey Family Programs of 11 Seattle has requested 15 minutes for testimony.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

Page 81

12 DR. SANDERS: Good morning. 13 Thanks to Chief Justice Jefferson and to Justice 14 O'Neill and the Supreme Court of Texas for this

15 tremendous opportunity, and I'm truly honored. I'm

16 David Sanders, the Executive Vice President of 17 Systems Improvement for Casey Family Programs, the

nation's largest operating foundation focused solely

19 on providing, improving, and ultimately preventing 20 the need for foster care. You also have written

21 testimony from William Bell, the foundation's 22 president and CEO.

23 During my time today I'm going to

24 cover three areas. First, Casey Family Program's work in Texas; second, my experience as a child

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Page 85

2

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

Page 82

welfare director in Hennepin County, which is 1 Minneapolis, and in Los Angeles County; and third, a 3 couple of recommendations. 4

5 foundation's strong support for the Court's consideration of a Commission on Children, Youth and 6 7 Families. You'll hear throughout my remarks the reasons for this support, but I commend the 9 leadership of the Court in taking on this issue. Casey Family Programs has worked with Child 10 Protective Services in Texas for many years. We 11 have two offices in Texas that provide foster care 12 13

First, I want to express our

services and are proud of our work in this state. As a foundation we believe that child welfare systems through strong leadership must work to prevent children from being abused or neglected in the first place, must accurately assess the child's risk of further abuse, must accurately assess whether children are in imminent danger in their current environment; and if they've been abused, intervene appropriately to reduce that risk.

And that might include out-of-home placement; and if a child is in out-of-home placement, move quickly to permanency either through reunification, some form of legal guardianship, or

Family Programs is supporting continued leadership 1 development for the child welfare agency's top

3 management. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

Finally, Texas has been a national leader in recognizing the need to address the disproportionate number of children of color, specifically African American children, entering into and remaining in foster care, and our foundation continues to support this effort, the

efforts in Texas in this regard.

Second, I want to talk briefly about 12 my experience in Hennepin County and in Los Angeles. 13 In Hennepin I experienced firsthand through the dramatic changes when the child welfare agency court advocates and others work closely to change a system. The Minnesota Supreme Court Chief -- the Minnesota Supreme Court Chief Justice during part of my tenure there, Kathleen Blatz, whom many of you know, had been a juvenile court judge in Hennepin County prior to her appointment to the Supreme Court.

During my time in Hennepin the court and agency worked closely to improve reasonable efforts and to significantly shorten the time to permanency. We supported an increased frequency of

Page 83

adoption. Finally, the child welfare system must ensure a child's well-being, specifically in the areas of education and mental health while in the system's care.

You will notice that I mention the importance of strong leadership. You heard earlier from Joyce James, and state child welfare directors turn over every 18 months on the average; and when a state has a strong child welfare leader, it's critical to support them. Texas has one of the best in Joyce James, and with the leadership of Ms. James and the Department of Family and Protective Services Commissioner Kerry Cockrell, we believe Texas is well positioned to continue the improvements evident during the past few years.

Specifically Casey Family Programs is working with Texas to support the initiation of family team meetings prior to initial placement decisions, which we believe is a key strategy to ensuring reasonable efforts. Furthermore, Texas' significant infusion of investigative and, more 21 22 recently, conservatorship staff will result in both 23 improved safety and shortened time to permanency. However, it will require leadership to continue to move the system to improved outcomes, and Casey

hearings, the means to more diligent court

2 oversight, and shortened time to permanency. This 3 was accompanied by more frequent contact between social workers and families, more rapid access to 5 services for families, and significantly-improved 6 information to the court.

Finally, in Hennepin we believed it was equally important to assure strong representation of children and families, and the overall result was a system that approached permanency with a much greater sense of urgency.

12 Upon her appointment to the Supreme 13 Court and subsequent appointment as Chief Justice, 14 Ms. Blatz created what was probably the first 15 statewide judicial commission, of which I was a 16 member. The commission led many changes in the 17 state, including changes in judicial rules, in 18 advocacy for legislative changes that resulted in 19 significantly-improved time to permanency. In fact,

20 Minnesota now has one of the shortest times to 21 permanency for children served in its child welfare 22 system of any state.

23 Finally, the commission supported 24 the very controversial act of opening the juvenile 25 court to the public, which I believe resulted in a

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

Page 86

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

9

18

19

20

more accountable, improved system. Finally you've 1 2 heard much about the work in California from 3 Christopher Wu and Len Edwards. I was also part of 4 the Supreme Court Foster Care Commission from my 5 position as director of Los Angeles County's child 6 protection system in from 2003 until 2006. 7

Let me provide just a brief context about Los Angeles. Los Angeles is the largest local child welfare system in the country. In fact, Los Angeles serves more children in foster care than the entire state of Texas. When I started, Los Angeles was also described as the most dangerous foster care system in the country. In addition to some of the work you've heard from Christopher and from Judge Edwards, I want to make a couple of additions to their earlier comments.

In Los Angeles we believe we were able to make significant improvements in outcomes for children served in Los Angeles County during the time that I was there. Perhaps most significantly, the median days in care dropped from 1,200 at the beginning of 2003 -- that's 1,200 days, median days in care for children in foster care -- to 570 when I left in 2006.

In addition, we improved safety in

1 and the child welfare agency and the leadership of

2 both the Supreme Court and the agency resulted in

3 improved outcomes. Children were safer, time to

4 permanency was improved, the court had better

information with which to make very difficult

6 decisions and could make reasonable efforts findings 7 with greater certainty, and overall many fewer

8 children needed to be in care.

In Los Angeles County that number dropped from 30,000 in 2003 to 21,000 in 2006, and I think the most important piece of the work in both jurisdictions was the recognition that both the judicial and executive branches have important roles and must support each other in the efforts.

Therefore, I'm going to close with a 16 couple of recommendations. First, I strongly support the Court's leadership in establishing a commission, and my experience with two commissions has been extremely positive, and I believe they contributed to improved outcomes for children in the two systems that I was part of.

I would just mention that the commissions functioned very differently. In Minnesota Chief Justice Blatz had a clear vision of where she wanted to take the judiciary, and that

Page 87

Page 89

all of the federal measures as well as state

- 2 measures for children, both children who remained in
- 3 their own home after an intervention as well as
- children who were removed and placed in foster care.
- 5 One primary reason for the improvement in outcomes,
- 6 and particularly the improvement in outcomes in time
- 7 to permanency, was our close relationship with the
- court. Specifically, Chief Judge -- Juvenile Court
- 9 Judge Michael Nash and I defined the roles of the

10 department and the court very clearly, and we

11 assured we were working together in moving the 12

system forward in complementing the efforts of each 13

other as well as supporting the efforts of each of

14 the branches.

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

The department's role in Los Angeles 16 County was to define the outcomes and set the direction, and the court's role was to ensure accountability for everyone in the system responsible for achieving those outcomes, including workers, biological families, foster families, lawyers, providers, and others. Finally, we met weekly to assure that a consistent direction was set.

24 In both of the systems that I've been a part of the close relationship between the court

- really resulted in much of the work of the
- 2 commission. In California, as you heard earlier
- 3 from Christopher Wu, it was a much more
- 4 collaborative process and consensus-based process.
- 5 And I think both have their place, and I think that
- 6 Texas will obviously end up with a commission if the
- 7 Supreme Court supports it that will be tailored
- 8 based on the needs of this state.

Second, it's imperative that the 10 court and child welfare agency work closely together 11 with defined roles, and I would suggest that you 12 consider the feasibility of whether the commissioner of the Department of Family and Protective Services 13 14 should serve as a co-chair, a number two, or at 15 least in a clearly-stated prominent role that 16 reflects the joint responsibility that the executive 17 and judicial branches have for these children.

Thank you once again for the opportunity to testify, and I am available for any questions.

21 JUSTICE JOHNSON: I have a

22 question. How did you reduce the median time from

1,200 days to 500 in about three years? Was it an 23

influx of resources, or was it a combination of 24

25 factors?

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

DR. SANDERS: Let me talk

about -- it was a combination of factors. Actually,

the month I started we were in our budget process,

and I found we were going to have to cut 400 staff.

So we didn't have a huge influx of resources at

increased resources, but there were a couple of

things that we did that I think probably are best

One is that as an agency I believed

we had given up on permanency for older youth and

that there were a number of older youth, 12 and up,

through adoption or through reunification or through

that we felt couldn't move to permanency whether

guardianship. And so we -- one specific project

that we did was bring retired social workers back

into the agency to work with older youth to move

that most older youth actually had people in their

lives that they could identify that were interested

taking legal custody of them. So that was one

piece, to really focus on older youth.

in adopting them or relatives who were interested in

A second was that we implemented a

them to permanency. And in fact, what we found was

least initially. Over time we did see some

characterized by general principles.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

14

15

16

Page 90

1 multitude of factors.

JUSTICE JOHNSON: Basically

focusing?

2

3

9

24

1

2

4 DR. SANDERS: Uh-huh. And 5 saying that it was no longer acceptable. We just 6 weren't going to allow children to stay in care the 7 length of time that they had, and that message came

8 from both the court and the agency.

JUSTICE O'NEILL: I think too a 10 point that we've struggled with here in Texas is the 11 Legislature put more resources into investigation 12 recently, and that has resulted in more removals, 13 but that resource is on the back end to process 14 those removals. It's my understanding that was done 15 knowing that that would be the result but as a first step to improve the system. So that may affect our

16 17 numbers in the short run.

18 DR. SANDERS: I think so. I

19 also think that the quality of investigations will 20 improve as workers get trained and brought on and by 21 having sufficient resources that the right decisions can be made at the front end, and that's really

22 23 critical.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Other 25 questions? Thank you very much, Mr. Sanders.

Page 91

and Ms. James talked about family team meetings. We thought that that was really important, because what

number of changes at the front end of our system,

- 3 we wanted to do was accomplish a move to permanency
- from the day the child came into care and really
- 5 having a clear plan. And that's something we worked
- 6 closely with the court on, that we not remove a
- 7 child without also speaking to the court about what
- our permanent plan was for that child and to
- 9 actively move towards that with the court assuring
- 10 that our workers were moving in that direction and 11
 - us having identified family at an early point that

12 could be available as resources for children. 13

I think probably the third major thing that we did was we worked to put resources around families when they first came into care. And so if you actually look at what changed in part, it

- 17 was the percentage of children who were reunified
- 18 within a year, and that number increased
- 19 dramatically; and partly because, again, from the
- very beginning of placement we said that the goal 20
- has to be permanency. We have to bring resources
- 22 that surround the child and surround the family. So
- 23 we were able to move to reunification much more
- 24 quickly in addition to the other permanency options.
- So those were three of the elements, but it was a

Page 93

THE MARSHAL: May it please the Court, Dr. David Wanser, Visiting Fellow of the 3 Lyndon B. Johnson School of Public Affairs at the

4 University of Texas at Austin. He has requested

5 five minutes for testimony. 6

DR. WANSER: Mr. Chief Justice, 7 Justices, thank you for allowing me to be here

8 today. Until recently I was Deputy Commissioner at

9 the Department of State Health Services and had 10 responsibility for mental health and substance abuse

11 and primary care services for many of the folks that

12 end up in the foster care system. Previous to that

13 I was the Executive Director of the Commission on

14 Alcohol and Drug Abuse for three years. 15

But my first job was working for a 16 district judge who said, "I've got to have choices 17 other than sending these kids to foster care or 18 sending juvenile offenders to institutions," and 19 that's where I got started over 35 years ago. So 20 I'm here today to talk about this intersection of

21 what we know and what we do.

22 A recent national study reported that 23 68 percent of the children on protective service

24 caseloads are mothers with substance abuse problems.

Other statistics talk about 75 percent of the

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

Page 94

parents of kids in foster care have a mental health 1 2 problem, a substance abuse problem, or both. In 3 Texas 2,000 infants a year end up in CPS involvement 4 because their -- they have tested positive for 5 drugs. We graduate 2,000 kids a year out of the 6 system.

And we know that the longer a child spends in foster care the less likely they are to succeed as adults. Odds ratios for children with histories of abuse and neglect and being exposed to other adverse circumstances like parental substance abuse, mental illness, violence in the home have exponentially-increased odds of having physical as well as behavioral health problems as adults.

The personal toll is so compelling, but the financial toll is even more so. It's estimated that in this country we spend \$248 million a day on the results of child abuse and neglect. In contrast we spend \$177 million a day in the war in Iraq. But yet there's no sustained national debate about how do we turn this around, how do we change this history.

I know judges have a responsibility to find the balance between the needs of child and those in the families, particularly when there's

Page 96

1 Another example is the Texas 2 Partnership for Family Recovery. This is a

3 cross-agency effort that has involved the Department 4 of Family and Protective Services, the Department of

5 State Health Services, the Office of Court

6 Administration, the Court Improvement Project, Texas

7 CASA. And for the last two years this project has

8 had the benefit of national technical assistance in

9 creating a best-practice guide. And the title of

10 this guide is "Integrating Child Welfare, Substance

11 Abuse, Judicial and Legal Service to Support 12

Families."

13 There's specific concrete strategies 14 in there for all the parties involved, and to be 15 effective this guide has to be used. And I believe 16 the commission can serve children of families in the 17 foster care system by using both the structure and the content of this guide as a vehicle to show 18 19 throughout the state what can be achieved, the 20 successes that can be realized by these immediate 21 opportunities to improve collaboration. 22

Beyond making this resource available and supporting its use, I think the ability to measure outcomes, to share outcomes of these types of models compared to business as usual and in doing

Page 95

23

cloudiness around what's in the best interest for all parties concerned. And I clearly feel there's a role for courts that extends beyond the individual case, which is why the creation of this commission gives an opportunity for a voice in policy and practice to be heard in all the reaches of the state.

There are evidence-based standards for clinical care, and some of the collaborations that you've heard about today, and another one I'd like to bring to your attention to point out, those standards can be things that you can promulgate; and I think as a part of that that you can serve as a quality-improvement vehicle for courts all across the state. I have two quick examples of what can be

16 achieved by that. You've heard about family drug 17 18 treatment courts. Family drug treatment courts in a 19 national evaluation showed that children spend less time in out-of-home care, there's a third more 20 children reunified, there's fewer adoptions, fewer 21 22 children in residential treatment care, and that 23 there's millions of dollars of savings that could be 24 redirected into treatment as a result of using models like that.

Page 97

so to show the return on investment of these better 2 models, will go a long way toward the commission

3 really leaving a lasting impact on children and

4 families in Texas. Thank you.

5 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 6 you, Dr. Wanser. Are there questions? Thank you 7 very much.

8 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 9 Court, Mr. Carl Reynolds, Administrative Director of 10 the Office of Court Administration, Austin, has 11 requested five minutes for testimony.

12 MR. REYNOLDS: May it please the 13 Court, it's an honor to address you today, and it's

14 a privilege to be your Office of Court

15 Administration Director. I hope you feel well

16 served by our office. I want to thank Chief Justice

17 Jefferson and the entire Court for taking the time

18 to hold this powerful hearing today. My special

19

thanks to Justice O'Neill for her leadership on this

20 compelling topic and for her being so collegial and

21 welcoming of our office's increased involvement in

22 this area. I want to say a little bit about our

23 involvement and then close with my own

recommendation or my own endorsement of the 24

commission concept.

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Page 101

1 2

3

4

6

7

8

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

Page 98

The Pew Commission recommendations talked about strengthening courts in four ways: Providing tools and information, strong judicial leadership, effective representation for children and families, and encouraging meaningful collaboration. OCA has been particularly involved in the first of those four areas, the tools and the information.

9 We -- for one thing, we support and provide technology to the child protection cluster 10 courts that you've heard mention of today. I think 11 Judge Specia and Judge Macias both mentioned the 12 13 cluster courts. Camile DuBoise was mentioned by 14 Judge Macias. She's an example of one of the 15 cluster court judges. Karin Bonicoro is here in the 16 courtroom today. She is one of our cluster court 17 judges. It's a unique program that has been admired by other states. It's a creation of the Task Force 18 on Foster Care, and we're very proud to support it. 19 20 It's also, Mr. Chief Justice, a good example of a specialization, judicial specialization in a complex 21 22 litigation context.

Second and more recently, we are the recipient of court grant funding, CIP funding, for a project we call TexDECK, Texas Data Enabled Courts 1 they're doing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

25

1

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And when I say "how they're doing," I mean in ways that you've heard about a little bit today, measures that where the court system and the child protection system share the goals of moving children through the system justly and as quickly as possible. So things like the percent of children in care who achieve permanency within 12 months would be a measure or the median length of stay in foster care.

11 But we'd like to go much further in 12 terms of judicial support and informing judicial 13 practice with data. There's a national effort by 14 the ABA Center on Children and the Law, the National 15 Center for State Courts, and the National Council on 16 Juvenile and Family Court Judges. They have jointly 17 developed a toolkit for performance measurement in these child abuse and neglect cases. The court 18 19 measures in the toolkit cover four areas, safety, 20 permanency, due process, and timeliness, and there 21 are -- what we'd like to do is drive the Texas court system towards nine performance measures, nine core 22 23 measures that reflect those four pieces.

I'm running out of time, so I'm going to move briskly to conclusion. I want to reiterate

Page 99

for Kids, which has, depending on how you count 2 them, about four pieces to it, and I'll talk a

3 little bit about that. Long term what we want to do

is enable, is to provide Ace management systems and

information for courts -- and this is going back to 5

6 what Judge Specia described to you as an effort in

7 Bexar County. We're trying to feed that effort

statewide -- so that courts have the functionality

9 and the information they need for this kind of

10 high-maintenance litigation.

In the mid range we want to ensure that judges have the most up-to-date information about the kids who are in front of them gleaned from the DFPS data system, which is called IMPACT, and present it on a secure-access judicial web page.

In the short term we're working on two projects. One is a weighted caseload study of the work of district courts in Texas which will provide great insight into the amount of time that judges have to spend on these types of cases as well as other case categories. And we are also continuing to work with your CIP staff looking at

23 this, at the very powerful information that DFPS already has in its IMPACT system and seeing how that

can be used to run reports that will tell judges how

the four Pew recommendations: Strong judicial

2 leadership; and collaboration, first and foremost,

3 and I think that a judicial commission would

4 inherently achieve both of those recommendations;

5 the issues of effective representation as have been

6 expressed could be furthered by a commission; and by

7 providing governance for the grant funding you could

8 also further the efforts of the TexDECK project and

9 other initiatives that will assist courts with tools

10 and information.

> When this Court is so keenly focused on its own productivity, I think it's perfect timing. You've got a great staff that you've put in place, very talented and committed staff on this work, and establishing a commission such as this would allow you to turn to your cases and focus on them knowing and with confidence that you've put in place the governance that this most important mission of the state court system deserves.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you, Mr. Reynolds. Are there questions? One question I have is, TexDECK, where are we in terms of -- when will it be rolled out, or are we getting close? Are aspects of it already coming together? MR. REYNOLDS: Well, there are

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 102

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

9

sort of different strategies over different lengths of time. We've just started doing interviews with judges who hear these cases to develop what are the functional requirements, what does a software system need to be able to do to help a judge manage a docket like this. So that's just done, and that's a long-term project.

Just last week we met with your staff with DFPS and started really looking at some of the data that's available on that system already. So we're beginning to realize the power of what's already at our, almost at our fingertips. So we're going to be moving forward with some strategies around informing you-all in the judicial branch about what we can tell about how the system is working now. So there are different pieces that will come into place at different times.

18 JUSTICE WAINWRIGHT: Are there 19 statutory hurdles that erect legitimate 20 confidentiality barriers that need to be addressed so that this information can all be presented to a 21 22 judge who can then have a holistic picture of what's going on with a child? 23

24 MR. REYNOLDS: I suspect that there have been statutory and simply sort of

Page 104

1 My name is Gina Schroeder, and I have been a CASA

2 volunteer for 15 years. As the court-appointed 3

special advocate we are appointed as the children's 4 guardian ad litem here in Travis County. Volunteers

must complete at least 40 hours of classroom

6 training, and we have continuing education each year 7

that we're required to do of 12 hours.

I come before you today not as my voice, but of the voice of the children that I have had the honor of advocating for. The children are very aware that their future is in the hands of the 12 courts. They want and need hope for their future. 13 I am very thankful to be an advocate in Travis 14 County where there is strong court leadership. Our judges have given us the access and the tools to bring before them any concerns we have for the children.

18 Having talked to volunteers in other 19 counties, I know this is not always the case. In 20 many cases their court reports go unread and they 21 are not allowed to speak openly in court. Being 22 appointed as a children's guardian ad litem enables 23 me to effectively advocate for the children's 24 educational and medical needs, adding an additional level of stability for the children.

Page 103

Page 105

ex parte considerations that clearly need to be taken into account so that you're not just giving a judge all kinds of information that they shouldn't have. We have to be very selective about what's made available and when it's made available. So that -- but that is a great point and something we need to attend to.

JUSTICE WAINWRIGHT: There may need to be some legislative changes --

MR. REYNOLDS: I can't tell you the legislative change that would be needed, but I don't think that that's -- that would be surprising.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you, Mr. Reynolds.

15 MR. REYNOLDS: Thank you. 16 THE MARSHAL: May it please the

17 Court, Ms. Gina Schroeder, a CASA volunteer in 18 Austin, has requested five minutes for her

19 testimony.

20 MS. SCHROEDER: Chief Justice Jefferson, Justice O'Neill, members of the Court, I 21 22 would like to thank you for your efforts in establishing this commission. The need for 23 24 collaboration and consistency within our courts is

essential for providing our children with stability.

1 Even with all these there remain 2 serious challenges. I'd like to take you back 13 3 years to 1994 and introduce you to a newborn child named Matthew. Matthew was born HIV-positive with bipolar disorder. Within 48 hours of his birth the 6 State of Texas became his parents. Matthew is a 7 perfect example of the need for these judicial 8 initiatives.

10 group home for medically-fragile children. There were no efforts to find a home to adopt him. In 12 2004, nine years later, the only home he knew was 13 shut down. Matthew spent the next four months in a shelter, three weeks in a psychiatric hospital, and

Matthew was immediately placed in a

14 15 the next year in a residential treatment center

16 primarily because of the instability, and we could

17 not find a home for him. It became obvious to CASA

his life-threatening illness played a role in

19 hindering us from finding a foster placement.

20 Additional concerns was his low level of 21

functioning. 22

The availability and access we

23 have to the court has been invaluable to his care.

In 2005 the court granted orders for a 24

neuropsychological evaluation to determine his level

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

5

6

7

8

Page 106

of functioning. Matthew was diagnosed mentally 1 2 retarded. This diagnosis alone may be the single 3 factor that keeps him from ending up living under a 4 bridge some day. He will be eligible for MHMR services when he ages out of the system now. He's 6 been in a shelter since January of this year. In 7 June a foster home was found, but that placement proved to be inappropriate for him. A month later 9 he was back in the shelter.

He is losing hope he will ever have a home, even a temporary one. The State only licenses homes to children with a basic level of care. Matthew is specialized. Private placement agencies that contract with the State have few homes that will accept children that are HIV-positive or have mental disabilities. Nobody within our system is required to provide a placement for this child.

17 18 After almost four years of being his 19 advocate I fear for his future. This child has 20 found a gaping hole in our system. We have serious placement issues that need to be addressed. There 21 22 is a crisis with a significant shortage of 23 psychiatrists that will accept Medicaid that see our children. My hope is that the efforts of this

commission, all the children will have an

Page 107

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

opportunity to have a foster placement and we can ensure discrimination does not take place, that core principles such as all children should be treated equally and deserve safe and permanent homes can be enacted and children like Matthew will have opportunities from birth to be adopted by warm, loving families. No child should be condemned to a lifetime of parenting from our courts.

9 And finally, my hope is that the 10 Texas Education Agency will play a significant role 11 in this commission. Children in foster care have special issue and special needs. We need to be 12 13 collaborating with TEA and school districts on 14 educating and meeting the needs of our children in 15 foster care. The courts are parenting, caring for, 16 and trying to educate children going through what 17 will probably be the most significant trauma of 18 their lives. Their education is key to a hopeful 19 future. On behalf of Matthew and all the children 20 in foster care I thank you for your commitment in considering this commission. 21

22 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Ms. 23 Schroeder, thank you for that powerful testimony, 24 and the Court is well aware of the volunteer services provided by CASA. We know they're not Page 108

1 compensated, so you're giving yourself back to 2 community, and we appreciate that very much. Thank 3 you. Are there any questions? Thank you very much. 4 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 5 Court, Mr. John J. Sampson, William Benjamin Wynn

6 Professor of Law at the University of Texas at 7 Austin School of Law has requested five minutes for

8 his testimony. 9 MR. SAMPSON: Thank you for

10 allowing me to speak briefly on a subject dear to my 11 heart. I have provided two documents for your later review if you choose to do so. The first one is 12 13 very ambitiously titled. It's called "A One-Page 14 History of Legal Representation, Abuse, and Neglect 15 to Childrens in Texas Under the Texas Family Code." 16 I got it on one page. It covers three decades-plus, 17 and I -- there's some gaps, perhaps, but it does hit 18 the highlights.

We started with the Family Code in 1973. There was one little section that dealt with representation of children, and it provided that a guardian ad litem could be appointed -- should be appointed, should be appointed, in every case in which termination of parental rights was sought. There was an implicit presumption, I guess, that

Page 109

that was a lawyer at least sometimes, had to be sometimes, could be sometimes, might not be sometimes if you could get another professional that would serve in the role and no lawyerly duties were expected.

The first major change in basically a nonstatutory directive was in 1978 when a three-judge panel, federal judge panel in Houston held that our Family Code was unconstitutional with regard to State action in protecting childs and the chapter that did that in a variety of ways. And it 12 came up with half a dozen or so defects that caused the statute to be unconstitutional. Said one of those was that the child was not represented by counsel when the State intervened in the family.

The Texas Legislature reacted quickly, although grudgingly, in 1979 by enacting a statute that provided for an attorney ad litem, and one of the things that wasn't provided was any particular means for paying such a person. Obviously it would be a lawyer and it would be pro bono, involuntary pro bono, but that works

23 some -- that works pretty well in rural counties. 24 The bigger the county the more difficult it is for a

judge to convince a lawyer that he needs to do this

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

1 particular pro bono work. 2

3

4

6

7

8

9

17

19

20

In any event, that took place in 1979. This is an aside, I guess, but it is a part of the history of legal representation of abused and neglected kids. The University of Texas in 1980 formed a Children's Rights Clinic, and it continues on today. The statistics are not bad. I think there are close to a thousand students who have represented 3,000 -- have handled 3,000 cases and represented between 5,000 and 6,000 clients. Now, 10 11 those students are out there, and many of them, a large number of them now serve as pro bono lawyers 12 13 in these kinds of cases because of their experience 14 in law school.

15 Back to my main history of legal 16 representation. The next big thing doesn't have 17 anything to do with legal representation. In 1981 CASA arrived in Texas, in Dallas, and went from its 18 original one county to coverage in 216 -- I'm sorry, 19 20 201 counties with 60-some programs. Now, why CASA is important in the legal history is, 1981, well, 21 we're talking now 14 years. In 1995 there was a sea 22 2.3 change in the Family Code, and that sea change was in large part triggered or spurred on by the experience that CASA had had in representing

Page 112

1 Judge in Quinlan and member of the Texas Court 2 Improvement Program Supreme Court Task Force on

3 Foster Care has requested five minutes for his 4

testimony.

5

15

16

17

18

19

2

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE GAITHER: Chief Justice

6 Jefferson, members of this Honorable Court, it's a 7 pleasure and an honor for me to be here today and

8 speak in favor of the creation of this commission.

9 Children are the forgotten people in our society.

Many years ago it was illegal for you to leave your 10 11 dog in your car in the middle of the summer but not 12 to leave your child in there. Children don't vote 13 and so oftentimes politicians overlook them, and I'm

14 so happy that this Court is not doing so.

I echo the comments of everybody who's talked to you about the problems inside the courtroom, and they're certainly worthy of consideration. But I want you to look at things that could be done by this commission outside the courtroom. Now, children really only need four

20 21 things. They need permanency, they need protection,

22 they need guidance, and they also need nurturing.

23 Now, when problems exist they'll exist in one of

24 these four areas.

25

When the family breaks down this is

Page 111

Page 113

children in their role.

2 And so they talked the Legislature 3 into dramatically changing the Family Code, putting very detailed directives to laypersons and also to 5 lawyers, and the lawyers got in there with regard to 6 legislative directions because of the statements by 7 the CASA witnesses that lawyers weren't doing a very good job. And so I'll read one little provision 9 that came in in 1995 that does seem peculiar. That 10 is, an attorney ad litem was directed as follows, quote, "Within a reasonable time after the appointment of an attorney ad litem shall interview 12 the child if the child is four years of age or 13 14 older." In other words, the lawyer had to see the 15 client. Now, you would think that is an 16 obvious non-needed directive.

My last -- to conclude, my one-page 18 document here isn't something of a sham, because I have provided an annotated copy of the Family Code chapter that now deals with the subject. It's 25 21 pages long. Thank you, Your Honor. 22 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 23 you. Are there any questions? Thank you very much. 24 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 25 Court, the Honorable Hal Gaither, Senior District

where you look to find out what has happened. Is there a problem with protection? Is there a problem

3 with permanency? Is there a problem with nurturing

4 and guidance? That's where the breakdown is going 5 to be. And like it or not, the family, breakdown of

6 the family leads to crime and delinquency, it leads

7 to school dropouts and lack of education, it leads

to drug and alcohol abuse, and it leads to poverty.

9 So it's to all of our benefits to see to it that the

10 family structure remains intact when possible. 11

Families deserve to raise their 12 children without government interference, but what happen when the family will not or cannot do its 13 14 job? Then there needs to be a structure to protect the children. How do you structure a protection for the children? How do you identify the problems? You look at these issues through the eyes of a 18 child.

Now, one year out of your life and out of my life probably is less than one percent of the time we've lived, but one year out of the life of a three-year-old is 33 percent of that child's lifetime. And so when we look at the problems that exist in permanency, in protection, in nurturing, and in guidance we have to look at it through the

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2

16

17

18

19

Page 114

eyes of a child and we have to structure the solutions through the eyes of a child.

Let me give you a couple of examples of things that this commission might address. For example, it's difficult for families to extract themselves from the poverty level and from the welfare system because they lack the job training that's necessary to give them the skills that will be necessary. They can't get the job training because who's going to watch the kids?

Suppose this commission came up with an idea like state-funded daycare? How would that work? Well, the kids would come in at 7:00 o'clock in the morning, they'd leave at 7:00 o'clock in the evening. That gives the parents 12 hours. They'd spend six hours working in the daycare to help defray the cost. The other six hours they'd go to job training. Then when they were trained adequately they could use that six hours to go out and look for a job. Think of the benefits of that: Permanency, protection, nurturing, and guidance all in one place.

23 A lack of foster care is a problem 24 with the department, and when foster care exists often it's inadequate, because if you have a large Page 116

Page 117

JUDGE GAITHER: I'm sorry. Were

2 there questions?

3 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: There

4 were none. Thank you.

JUDGE GAITHER: I thought I

6 covered it so thoroughly there wouldn't be any. 7

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Indeed,

8 indeed.

1

5

9

THE MARSHAL: May it please the

10 Court, Ms. Carol Grimm, CASA volunteer in San Marcos

11 has requested five minutes for her testimony.

12 MS. GRIMM: Chief Justice

13 Jefferson and members of the Texas Supreme Court,

14 thank you for this opportunity to speak to you in

15 support of the creation of a Judicial Commission

16 for Children, Youth and Families. My name is

17 Carol Grimm. I'm a court-appointed special advocate

guardian ad litem for CASA of central Texas serving

19 the counties of Caldwell, Comal, Guadalupe, and

20 Hays. Our mission is to advocate for abused and

21 neglected children in the court system by

22 recruiting, training, and supporting community

2.3 volunteers.

24 I've been a CASA volunteer for over 25 six years. After 34 years working with children as

Page 115

number of children and siblings, they won't fit in one small foster home. Why not consider something

3 maybe like small group homes; not to replace the 4 single-family foster care, but to supplement it.

5 Now, consider the advantages. If you have a large

6 number of siblings, you've got a place for them.

7 And when it comes time for the foster parents to move on, they move. The children stay where they 8

9 are. They've got the same pictures on the wall,

10 they've got the same faces that they see at

11 dinnertime, they've got the same school that they go

to. Everything's the same for the child. 12

13 Permanency, protection, nurturing, and guidance.

These are things that this commission could address. 14 15

Judges have a lot of things that they can do, but the things we can do best is to point out the problems. Nobody is in a better position to point out the problems than the judges. And then working with interested citizens on the -- from the

20 community that would be on this commission we could 21 come up with solutions that address permanency,

22 protection, nurturing, and guidance. I commend you.

23 Thank you. 24

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

25 you, Judge. Questions? a first and second grade teacher then a resource

2 teacher and finally an educational diagnostician, I

3 wanted to continue to make a difference in the lives

4 of children, and CASA offered me that opportunity.

5 I have been appointed in six cases totaling 11 6

children ages 4 months to 17 years.

7 In central Texas the court appoints 8 CASA as a guardian ad litem. In each of my six

9 cases I have remained with the children until the

10 case is closed by CPS and the court and permanency 11 has been achieved, where children have been reunited

12 with the parent or parents or the children have been

adopted or, in the case of one of my children, he 13

14 aged out in foster care. 15

After attending a state CASA 16 conference I learned that not all courts in Texas

17 appoint CASA as guardian ad litem. In those other

18 districts the CASA's role is significantly limited

19 in its impact on the quality of service to the 20 children and families in their area. I strongly

21 support the creation of a Judicial Commission for

22 Children, Youth and Families to establish

23 best-practice guidelines that will ensure all

stakeholders in each case have the same 24

25 understanding of their role in improving the

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

8

9

Page 120

1 outcomes for children and their families.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

And now I would like to share the case of an eight-year-old girl for whom our system failed. Most eight-year-olds are emotionally bonded with his or her mom, regardless of the system's opinion of the mother's ability to provide a safe and secure environment. This little girl was removed from her mother's care because of an older sister's outcry against the eight-year-old's father, the seventeen-year-old's stepfather.

Our eight-year-old had experienced no neglect, no abuse, so this removal was a traumatic experience for her. Go back to when you were eight and consider what it would feel like to be removed from the only home you'd known and to not understand why. It is not unusual for children in similar situations to act out hoping to get people to do what they want, and really act out. Understand the stress this little girl must have felt in strange settings, new schools, unfamiliar people, and very limited opportunity for visits with her mom.

From the temporary shelter where she was placed after removal she was placed with a maternal aunt, but after several weeks the aunt reported tantrum behaviors and requested the girl 1 you very much, Ms. Grimm. Are there any questions? 2 Thank you for your service.

MS. GRIMM: You're welcome. THE MARSHAL: May it please the

5 Court, Ms. Rebecca Lightsey, Executive Director of 6 Texas Appleseed, Austin, has requested five minutes 7

for her testimony.

MS. LIGHTSEY: Thank you for this opportunity to address the Court. I'm Rebecca Lightsey, Executive Director of Texas Appleseed. Our mission is to leverage the talent and resources of the pro bono bar to address systemic issues in the state, and we have been at the forefront of addressing societal issues the

14 15 state, the courts have faced, particularly with beginning in the Fair Defense Act of 2001. 16

Our work with the passage of the Fair Defense Act led us to examine the treatment of children and criminal justice system in our current project looking at this school-to-prison pipeline where we are documenting the effects of school discipline on children who get into this system.

23 Unfortunately, children in foster 24 care are particularly vulnerable to this pipeline, 25 and we know that they are more likely to perform

Page 119

and her sister be removed. She was then placed with a paternal aunt after a family group conference. This placement too ended when the second aunt reported noncompliant behaviors and tantrums.

At this point the girl was placed in a youth shelter while a foster home was sought. Again, the shelter reported similar behaviors by our eight-year-old traumatized little girl. No therapy or psychological services were given to this child until court ordered after the second aunt had complained and the child had been placed in that youth shelter again. She remained in that shelter for six weeks until a permanency hearing returned this girl to her mother's care on a monitored status.

The services this child should have received from the beginning would more likely have been initiated with better collaboration between stakeholders and with best-practice guidelines in place for each of these stakeholders. With the establishment of a Judicial Commission for Children, Youth and Families you, the Supreme Court of Texas, can make a positive difference in the lives of Texas 23 children. Thank you. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

Page 121

poorly academically and to have behavioral problems, as we were just discussing, and then end up in the

3 juvenile justice system. Data also tells us,

though, that children in foster care who -- can 5 succeed in school with the types of assistance that

6 we know that they need. 7

We have worked closely with the judiciary on our children-focused programs, and so we particularly want to commend this Court for 10 looking at the issue of foster care. It is an issue 11 that Appleseed is recognizing as a significant 12 problem in the state. In fact, today we are 13 releasing a report on the state of foster care in Texas, and our author, Steve Ryan, will be here to

14 15 address you in a moment. 16

This work to us is very analogous to 17 what we have seen the courts do in the area of 18 defendants with mental health and mental retardation in the criminal justice system. The Court of

19 20 Criminal Appeals has created a task force -- which,

21 incidentally, has drawn down some federal

22 money -- to look at how to best address defendants

23 with mental health and mental retardation issues.

24 We see that the same can be done with foster care

25 children.

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

September 25, 2007

Page 124

Page 122

7

8

1 This is, as I mentioned, a critical 2 issue which you-all know about, but I want to just 3 briefly address one of the issues and how this 4 commission could impact that. We are seeing a 5 significant increase in the number of children in 6 foster care. The number of children in foster care 7 has increased from about 13,000 in 2004 to 17,000, over 17,000 at the end of '06. We're looking at 9 nearly a 40-percent increase in the number of children in foster care in this state in the last 10 11 four years.

12 We are, unfortunately, not looking at 13 an increase in funding or corollary increase in 14 funding and training for conservatorship 15 caseworkers, those caseworkers who are charged with 16 seeing that these children get the services they need and get out of the foster care system. In 17 fact, what we're seeing is the caseloads for the 18 caseworkers have increased from 40 cases in 2005 to 19 20 45 cases in 2006. Now, this compares with a national average of 24 caseworkers. So clearly, the 21 22 caseworkers are seriously overworked in Texas. 23 What does that mean for the courts? 24 Well, obviously, an unmanageable caseload results in poor quality which results in the -- affects the

1 funding for investigators so that there was more

investigations of children in danger. And that

3 caused an increase in number of children being

4 removed from dangerous situations, but

5 unfortunately, it left them with huge caseloads in 6 dealing with that.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you very much.

9 JUSTICE O'NEILL: I'd like to 10 also thank Rebecca Lightsey and Stephen. They have 11 come to the Consultative Group meetings and had 12 tremendous input on some of the recommendations to

13 the Consultative Group. So thank you for the time 14 you've put into the process.

15 MS. LIGHTSEY: Thank you, and 16 thank you all for your leadership.

17 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 18 Court, Mr. Stephen Ryan, Associate at LeBoeuf, Lamb, 19 Green & MacRae, LLP in Houston has requested five

20 minutes for testimony.

21 MR. RYAN: Chief Justice 22 Jefferson, members of the Court, thank you for this

2.3 opportunity to speak about foster care in Texas

24 today and the Judicial Commission on Children, Youth

25 and Families. I've given a copy of the report that

Page 123

11

Page 125

ability of the courts to be able to get the 2 information that they need and make decisions that

3 are in the best interest for the child. So with

this serious strain on the caseworkers we feel that

5 it's imperative that the courts be able to do

6 everything they can to have a coordinated, informed

7 system; and we think that the state -- the courts

are already beginning to take those steps, for

9 instance, with the cluster courts and the work that 10 you have done with the case management system.

But we recognize there is much more to be done, so we want to thank the Court for considering this issue and offer our support for anything we can contribute as you move toward. Thank you.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you, Ms. Lightsey. Are there questions? JUSTICE MEDINA: What caused the

significant increase from 2004 to 2006 in the number of children in foster care?

21 MS. LIGHTSEY: Steve Ryan will 22 be talking about this a little more in a moment, but 23 basically, there were some, frankly, some deaths of 24 children in the foster care system in '04 and in '05. The Legislature recognized that and increased

1 we've worked on with Appleseed for the Court to look 2 at later.

3 I'd like to take a couple of minutes 4 to highlight two of the major issues that Texas

5 Appleseed is most concerned with in foster

6 care -- and that's inadequate funding and the

7 caseworker overload problem that Rebecca

8 mentioned -- and then discuss some of the ways we

9 think a judicial commission could improve the foster 10 care system in Texas.

Since 2004 when the Forgotten

12 Children Report exposed many of the serious problems 13 in the Texas foster care system there have been two

14 major legislative efforts to reform the system.

15 Senate Bill 6 in 2005 and Senate Bill 758 in 2007.

16 And both of those did good things and brought about

17 necessary reforms, but there's still a lot of work

18 that needs to be done. SB 6's reforms, as Rebecca

19 mentioned, were primarily aimed at improving CPS

20 investigations and reducing CPS's large,

21 unmanageable investigative caseloads, and it appears

22 to have achieved that goal in large part.

23 It improved investigations, improved 24 training for investigators, and provided funding for the hiring of many more investigators. It also

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

24

Page 126

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

resulted in more children being removed from their homes because of the improved investigations and increased number of investigation. And so it increased the strain on the already overburdened foster care portion of the system, which is the back end of the system.

Now, none of the new funding that was provided in 2005 -- which was about \$250 million -- went to the foster care portion of the system. This year along with the passage of SB 758 the Legislature provided about \$100 million in new funding for the foster care portion of the system, and most of this was intended to help reduce these foster care caseworker caseloads. But that funding is only projected by DFPS estimates to reduce the caseloads from 46 per caseworker down to 41, which is still higher than the 2005 average of 40.

18 In addition, that estimate assumes 19 that the number of children in foster care will 20 remain steady, which we already know is an unreasonable estimate. It's increasing. So given 21 22 the rapidly increasing number of children in foster care, the small increase in funding is likely to 23 do -- is not likely to do much other than perhaps reduce the rate of increase in the caseloads.

Page 128 1 to do something, because there are things that can

2 be done from within the court system and within the 3 players in the system. 4

Cluster courts are a good example of how court initiatives can improve the efficiency of the court system and improve the well being of children in the system. And with the help of the commission that concept could be improved, it could be expanded to other areas; parts of it could be put into areas that don't need a cluster court, perhaps they need special training for judges and other people in the system.

The creation of a permanent

14 commission also presents other possibilities to 15 improve the system. For example, earlier this year 16 CPS disclosed that a large number of children, 17 hundreds, had spent at least one night in the State office because of a lack of foster care facilities 18 19 to place the children in overnight. The Travis County district courts, the judges of those district 20 21 courts issued a standing order on their own 22 initiative that prohibited CPS from putting children 23 in State offices overnight absent an emergency 24 affecting the physical health and safety of the child. And the number of children spending the

Page 127

In the foster care system the caseworkers are the means by which the children access the critical services they need, and the caseworkers are the only ones charged with making sure they get those services. And when they have, the caseworkers have caseloads that are three times the recommended maximum and is almost double what the national average is; and also when they don't have enough training and experience to do the job 10 because of high turnover and burnout, they can't 11 provide the services the children need. And the children in the system are the ones that suffer.

12 13 Turning to the commission, even 14 though the proposed commission won't be able to 15 directly address those important issues of funding 16 and caseworker overload, it nevertheless has great 17 potential to affect several other issues affecting 18 children in the foster care system and improve them. 19 The commission has the potential to affect these issues because it will allow a high level of 20 21 interaction and collaboration between the critical 22 components of the foster care system outside of 23 individual cases which might allow innovative

solutions to be discussed and implemented without

having to wait every two years for the Legislature

night in State offices declined as a result of that.

If a commission, like the one proposed, had been in existence, it's possible that with the increase in collaboration and communication with the courts and other people across the state in the foster care system, it might have resulted in either standing orders being issued in other counties or, through that increased collaboration, you know, between CPS attorneys, CASA and others, alternative solutions might have been found quickly to solve the problem or at least reduce the problem short of creating a standing order.

So to conclude, the commission is a great way also to keep foster care issues in the forefront by having a commission that's dedicated to improving child welfare outcomes in the Texas foster care system, it will increase public awareness of the problems and issues facing the system, and, hopefully, increase public support for resolving those issues, including those issues of inadequate funding and caseworker overload. We think the proposed commission has the potential to improve court efficiency, improve outcomes for children and families in the system, and keep these important

issues in the public eye. Thank you.

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

(512) 301-7088

Page 129

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 1 2 you very much. Are there any questions? Thank you, 3 Mr. Ryan. 4

MR. RYAN: Thank you, Your

5 Honor.

6

7

8

23

THE MARSHAL: May it please the Court, Ms. Beverly Levy, Executive Director of Dallas CASA. She has requested five minutes for testimony.

9 10 MS. LEVY: Good morning and thank you, Chief Justice Jefferson and members of 11 the Court, for allowing me to be here today, and a 12 13 special thank you to Justice O'Neill for your 14 leadership and for that brilliant article in the Bar 15 Journal. The actions under consideration by this 16 Honorable Court today announce to the people of Texas the high priority that our judiciary places on 17 18 children under the protective care of our courts. I'm honored to be a part of this historic and 19 20 unprecedented process. 21 Dallas CASA is the oldest CASA 22

program in Texas and the third oldest of the over 900 CASA programs in the country. I've been Executive Director of Dallas CASA for 14 years. I'm the longest-serving director of a large urban CASA

Page 132

1 I'm here to speak to one successful example in 2 Dallas County.

It started out with Dallas CASA and local judges meeting together, meetings initiated by CASA to identity solution to common concerns. For example, we are all deeply concerned about the lack of communication about psychotropic medications our kids were being given. An early important result of these discussions was the creation of a psychotropic meds reporting tool to give judges and everyone

10 11 involved in the child's case at every hearing clear 12 and essential information about what meds kids are 13 on, then training on these medications, important 14 steps in realizing cohesive care for our kids. 15

So a seven-year-old child -- I'll call Johnny -- no longer trembles because of the five different behavior-modifying meds he was on. In fact, Johnny is no longer on any meds, and he is doing beautifully.

The initial meetings were fruitful, and so the meetings were expanded to including the leadership of CPS, locally and regionally, and the chief ADAs and selected attorneys who represent children and parents. Through these monthly 25 meetings facilitated by CASA we've established

Page 131

program in the country, but most people just call me the oldest.

2 3 I've seen firsthand how much abused 4 and neglected children need all of us to work 5 together on their behalf. In almost three decades 6 our volunteers, staff, and board have been able to 7 learn much from our experiences working with nearly 1,000 abused and neglected children in care every 9 year in Dallas. We realize, as I know you do as 10 well, that a courthouse is not a home, that those unfortunate children who come to our attention benefit most when we, the courts, Child Protective 12 13 Services, district attorneys, and organizations such as CASA are truly collaborative, working together to 14 15 achieve the best outcomes possible for each one of 16 our kids. Yet the proposed creation of a judicial commission to help our courts better serve child 17 18 victims in Texas is recognition of the fact that 19 ideal collaboration doesn't naturally occur. 20 Even with the identical objective of

advancing the best interest of children in care, 21 22 fostering that ideal collaboration takes time, it takes focus; and to use a term which Justice O'Neill 23 24 has used, it takes a gatekeeper. Although you've heard about many good examples of best practices,

Page 133

1 regular communication about even more topics, like 2

common issues, obstacles, and new approaches to

3 improving all of our efforts for children in care. 4 Although this process has created new tools to get

essential information not only about medication,

6 it's also helped on various issues like placement

7 changes and permanency efforts. And we've used

these meetings to coordinate important projects like 9

identifying records to expedite adoption.

10 This experience has given me two 11 messages to offer you today. The first is that the 12 most critical element in the success of these 13 initiatives has been the extraordinary leadership provided by our judges, judges who have indeed taken 14 15 on the role of gatekeeper, responsible not just for 16 admission of the abused and neglected children into 17 the court system, but also for ensuring that clear 18 focus is applied to areas in which these children 19 can be better served. The involvement of our judges 20 has facilitated accountability and understanding. 21

And as I've said, judges aren't the only ones with the best interests of our children at the forefront of their mind. However, I would offer that judges must be seen and must see themselves as first among equals in this regard. In the complex,

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Page 134

1

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

institutional world of child welfare system the fact 1 2 that everyone has responsibility often means that no 3 one feels responsibility for the outcome. Having 4 judicial leadership as gatekeeper in all the best

senses of that word has been a tremendous benefit to 6 the children of Dallas County. By the end of the 7 day it's been accountability insisted upon by our 8 judges which has made these meetings effective.

The second message I'd offer is the obvious one, the need for clear agendas and clear accountability for those whose job it is to improve services to our children. We've seen this cooperation work effectively on a local level, and we understand how powerful it can be on a state level. No abused and neglected child under the protective care of the courts should have to wait for the best care to help them heal from their abuse or to wait for safe, permanent homes where they can thrive.

Gabriela Mistral, the poet, wrote, "Many things we need can wait. The child cannot. Now is the time his bones are being formed, his blood is being made, his mind is being developed. To him we cannot say tomorrow, his name is today." The actions under consideration today

counties. The idea was relatively simple, to

2 combine a group or a cluster of rural counties

3 together to create a dedicated docket and have a

4 full-time judge assigned to hear the child

5 protection cases in those counties. And it worked

6 very much as others have described it. The cases

7 got the attention that they deserved, we had as much

8 time as they needed, there was one judge to follow a

9 case from the beginning all the way to the end, and

10 we achieved permanency in a timely fashion.

But I wanted to talk briefly about 12 some unanticipated consequence that was very positive. Simply by existing, the concept of the cluster court sent the message to the community that the judiciary takes these cases seriously, that they are important, that they're worthy of having a specialized court hearing them and having their own docket. And that effect created a synergy, first among the stakeholders.

20 The local CASA program became 21 revitalized. They began to recruit more volunteers. 22 Volunteers felt that there was someone there 23 listening, that their work was valued, it was much more meaningful, and the program was able to recruit 25 and train more volunteers. The morale of the CPS

Page 135

Page 137

if implemented with strong leadership and insistence upon collaboration and accountability can keep our abused and neglected children in Texas from waiting until tomorrow. Thank you.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Very nice testimony. Thank you very much. Are there questions? Thank you, Ms. Levy.

THE MARSHAL: May it please the Court, the Honorable Andy Hathcock, Associate Judge in the Travis County District Courts in Austin has requested five minutes for testimony.

12 JUDGE HATHCOCK: Chief Justice 13 Jefferson, Members of the Court, I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today. Professor 14 15 Sampson mentioned the Children's Rights Clinic. I 16 embarked on my current career path first as a 17 student in the Children's Rights Clinic and later 18 came back to the law school to teach at the clinic 19 for 11 years until I was appointed as the first associate judge for the Child Protection Court for 20 Central Texas, which is one of the cluster courts 22 that has been discussed previously today.

23 At the time it was, I believe, the 24 fourth such court created -- this was in January of 2000 -- and today there are 15 courts covering 123

caseworkers improved. They felt they had a forum where they could be heard and present their side of things. They said that they appreciated being held accountable by the court to do the job that they were supposed to do.

And that synergy expanded beyond just the stakeholders to the larger communities. We implemented family group conferencing, mediation; one of the local children's shelters expanded its services, one local community created a children's advocacy center.

12 And I see the creation of a State 13 Commission on Children, Youth and Families offering 14 that same opportunity for synergy statewide. This 15 Court is the head of the judicial branch in the 16 state of Texas, and by creating this commission 17 you're sending the message throughout the state that 18 the judiciary values these cases, places high 19 importance on them, we value children and we want to 20 improve the standards that we've established and 21 make sure that children's needs are adequately met. 22 So I enthusiastically endorse the 23 idea of a State Commission on Children, Youth and

Families and urge the Court to adopt the recommendations of the Consultative Group. Thank

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

Page 138

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Page 140

```
you.
           CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Judge,
you travel from county to county; is that right?
           JUDGE HATHCOCK: I did. I
started out with four counties and expanded to six.
           CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: And
when that happens do the commissioners courts in the
various counties make accommodation for you? How
does that work?
           JUDGE HATHCOCK: It varied from
```

county to county. Some counties were more -- had more resources than others, and we often made do with what we had. I tried a termination jury trial in one county using the local fellowship hall of the Presbyterian Church as a courtroom. It kind of went back to the old days of circuit-riding judges and holding court under the tree in the courthouse square. But we made it work, and it did and the communities became very supportive of the idea. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you. Are there any questions? JUDGE HATHCOCK: Thank you. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you, Judge. THE MARSHAL: May it please the

And what I came to realize from that experience as her lawyer was that not only did she fail, but the system that was set up to help her failed her. The problems that she faced are all too well known by everyone in this room. There's a waiting list for treatment, there's inadequate funding for treatment, treatment is not long enough and does not provide for a continuum of care both before entering treatment and following treatment, 10 and there is inadequate oversight of the treatment 11 plan and the clientele while they go through this 12 process.

13 There are also inadequate wrap-around 14 services or services to help with all the other 15 needs that these people have after they achieve 16 treatment. We have estimated that in this county we 17 have -- a conservative 60 to 70 percent of the cases on the docket are due to a substance abuse or 18 19 addiction issue as a significant factor that lead to 20 the removal of the children. Many of these parents 21 are not successful in getting their children 22 returned to them or in getting clean and sober. If 23 they do, they often come back into the system multiple times with relapse issues and additional 25 children.

Page 139

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 141

Court, the Honorable Rhonda Hurley, Associate Judge of the 200th District Court in Austin has requested five minutes for testimony.

JUDGE HURLEY: Good morning, and thank you for this opportunity. My name is Rhonda Hurley. I'm an associate judge here in Travis County. I preside currently over a large portion of the CPS docket here in this county, and I want to talk to you today about drugs. Too many children are in foster care and stay in foster care too long because we are not successfully rehabilitating families.

12 13 Before taking the bench I was in 14 private practice, and I had occasion to represent a 15 woman who'd had two children removed from her care 16 due to a serious heroin addiction. She initially 17 got clean and got her children returned to her only 18 later to relapse, get arrested, and lose her children a second time. The children spent months 19 20 in foster care after the second removal. I watched her struggle, as her lawyer, to work services and 21 22 regain custody of her children all the while struggling with her addiction. She ultimately 23

failed to obtain her sobriety, and she permanently

We need to change our approach to these cases, and family drug treatment courts are the starting place for this shift. They are specialized courts set up to deal with parents who have had children removed due to substance abuse and addiction issues. And the court becomes part of the treatment team and has frequent interaction with these clients, offering support and accountability through the use of incentives and sanctions.

These courts have proven to be successful in improving outcomes for successful treatment or frequent reunification and lowering 12 recidivism rates. This could ultimately result in fewer children in foster care, fewer and shorter stays in foster care. One study of four sites reported that there was a 14 to 36-percent reduction in the number of days that children spent in out-of-home placements of children who were involved with drug treatment courts. And it might result in children not coming into foster care at all by avoiding the revolving door if we could prevent relapse and subsequent birth of children with -- to drug-addicted parents. Drug courts work because the judge,

through his or her relationship with the client,

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

(512) 301-7088

lost custody of her two children.

becomes an integral part of the treatment and the 1 2 recovery process. The implementation and

3 sustainability of these courts requires

4 multidisciplinary collaboration, judicial

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

16

17

leadership, and continuing federal funding, but has 6 the possibility of having some great results in this 7 system.

More time and money is needed to be spent on innovative approaches to problems that focus on successful rehabilitation. If we can rehabilitate the parents, we can benefit the children and achieve better outcomes for these children.

Judicial leadership is absolutely essential to making the needed systemic changes, and this judicial commission would be a wonderful, wonderful start to providing that judicial leadership. Had my client had a drug court available to her, she might have been successful in her recovery, and she might not have lost the custody of her two children. Thank you very much. JUSTICE O'NEILL: Judge, we've

heard a lot about the drug courts and understand 23 24 that they're very effective nationwide. Could you talk just a minute about the methamphetamine use Page 144

1 that particular drug and the environment that that 2 creates if you're -- particularly if you're talking

3 about parents who are involved with meth labs and 4

circumstances that that puts their children in.

5 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Any

6 other questions? Thank you very much. 7

JUDGE HURLEY: Thank you.

8 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 9 Court, Ms. Barbara Elias-Perciful, Director of Texas

10 Lawyers for Children in Dallas. She has requested

11 five minutes for her testimony. 12

MS. ELIAS-PERCIFUL: It is a great honor to be here on such a historic occasion, and I commend the entire Court for considering this very important issue, and I strongly encourage you to create the commission under discussion.

I'd like to focus on the effective 18 representation of children and what good legal representation needs to be in a child protection case. You probably all remember the case of

21 Lauren Atkinson. You may not recognize the name, 22 but she was the little girl who was locked in the

23 closet in Hutchins for six years, locked in the

closet of a trailer where she was tortured, starved,

and sexually molested by her stepfather.

Page 143

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I understand is on the rise and presents another set of problems?

JUDGE HURLEY: It does. And that is a problem that is somewhat unique to rural counties. We do not in Austin deal with a great deal of methamphetamine use. However, I do see methamphetamine addicts in court on a regular basis. It's an extremely difficult drug to get off of. It has tremendous health consequences for these people, and they often end up with long-term, lifelong problems and addiction issues because of methamphetamine. It's a -- it is a very, very difficult drug to get off of and has longtime --

14 JUSTICE O'NEILL: These drug 15

courts --

JUDGE HURLEY: -- consequences. JUSTICE O'NEILL: -- could

18 specialize to deal with particular types of 19 addiction as well?

20 JUDGE HURLEY: Yes. I mean, the general philosophy is that we deal with any kind of 21

22 addiction, and that would even include alcohol or 23 marijuana or any other kind of substance abuse

24 that's interfering with their ability to parent.

But methamphetamine does warrant a special look at

What many don't know was one line in one of the newspaper articles that gave us part of the reason why Lauren ended up in that closet. It was through a mistake an attorney made, and as an attorney that just gives me chills to know that that's -- torture was at the result of an attorney's mistake.

Lauren's mother didn't want her when she was born and put her up for adoption, and the attorney for the adoptive parents made an error in 11 the affidavit of relinquishment of the birth 12 mother's rights. So later under family pressure she revoked that affidavit and got the child back and made her a scapegoat, and that's what led to her torture.

Effective representation in these

cases is absolutely critical to protect the children from further harm during the legal process. You've heard a lot today about the child welfare system. The attorney for the child is appointed by the judge when a child is placed in foster care. It's the attorney for the child who is responsible for getting all of the information to the judge for making sure that the children's services are

enacted. Yes, it is the Child Protective Service

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

(512) 301-7088

Page 145

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Page 146

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

agency's responsibility to get those services, but it's the attorney who can make that happen by going 3 to the judge and seeing that it happens. But in our state there are tremendous gaps across the state in the level of quality of representation of children.

I am currently the vice chair of the State Bar Committee on Child Abuse and Neglect, and I was on that committee in 1994 when we had to write the piece of legislation Professor Sampson referred to that requires an attorney to meet his or her client if the child client is over the age of four. I mean, imagine the malpractice in not even meeting vour client.

Well, I'm sad to say that in 2005 there had to be another piece of legislation to require attorneys for children to meet with their client before every hearing. The Legislature said, "Okay, in 1995 we told you you have to meet with your client. In 2005 we're going to tell you you do have to meet with your client before every hearing. You can't go in and make recommendations to a judge about a person's life without having even talked to your client."

So we do still have ongoing serious problem with the legal representation of children. Page 148

Page 149

1 Court, Lanis McWilliams King, Executive Director, 2 CASA of Southeast Texas of Beaumont, has requested 3 five minutes for her testimony. 4

MS. KING: Thank you, Chief Justice Jefferson and all members of this Court. I am so honored to be here today. I want to thank you for your work, Justice O'Neill in spearheading this commission. And also I want to thank Judge John Specia for his leadership on the task force. I'm 10 also reminded, and I appreciated the comment 11 earlier, of Judge Jim Farris, our dear judge from Jefferson County who was so important in our state 12 13 and even across the nation in pushing for children's 14 issues, specifically for groundbreaking for CASA 15 programs. 16

I'm thankful to our judges, Judge Thorne and Judge Shelton of Jefferson County for continuing those efforts and being open to new ways of court support for our children and giving precedent to our children. New initiatives such as the family drug court have been really instrumental in changing things in Jefferson County, and children do have a better outcome there as the result of their efforts.

I just wanted to share a couple of

Page 147

A judicial commission could provide leadership in

2 training for attorneys, in getting more resources.

3 There is a tremendous pressure on attorneys with the

underfunding of counties not to meet with the

5 client. In some areas if attorneys do too many

6 services for the children and bill those to the

courts and the county can't afford to pay them, the

judges stop appointing those attorneys who do their

9 job well. So it's very serious issues that are

10 systemic and deep, and a judicial commission could

do a lot to alleviate those.

I think the representation of children is just a key part of what we've been talking about in collaboration with the other stakeholders in the process, and we need to bring the judicial leadership to raise the standards of practice not only of attorneys, but all of the players with the best-practice initiatives that have also been discussed. The list is endless, and the work is before you, but I praise you and commend you for undertaking this important task.

22 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 23 you very much. Are there any questions? Thank you 24 very much.

THE MARSHAL: May it please the

examples today. It was really difficult sitting

2 back there on my hands to keep from clapping and

3 trying not to scream out amen because of all the

points that were being made. And rather than go

back over those, I'd just like to give you a couple

6 of examples of cases that really bring home some of

the things that were pointed out.

One of those is the plight of our 9 children who remain in foster care for many years 10 and age out. I'll call her Sue. Teen writes to her 11 CASA, "You are the only person I have. I'm 16 years 12 old, and you're the only one I have." She had been

13 in care so many years that all of her support,

everyone had dropped off and the only one that 14

15 visited her anymore was her CASA. The only one who 16 wrote her anymore was her CASA. And she, the only

17

thing she had to look forward to was aging out and 18 no family to go to when she left care.

19 Now I'll take you to someone I'll

20 call Joe. He came and spoke to us after being aging

21 out of care for two years on his own. He spoke to 22 our board of directors, and when he came this is

23 what he had to tell us. "I was in foster care for

24 over eight years. I moved from home to home. I 25

became involved in the criminal justice system, and

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

3

4

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14 Page 150

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

when I finally aged out the only place for me to go after all those years was to either live under a bridge or return to my drug-infested family from whom I was removed all those years ago."

With the help of community support, pro bono services from an attorney, additional help from the CASA program we were able to get him assistance, and I am happy to report today that he is enrolled in college and has a full-time job. He did have one thing in his favor. He was one of the few -- and I believe the statistics are around 40 percent or less -- of the children in long-term care that actually graduated high school. So that was in his favor, but still, homelessness and hopelessness were looming before him.

15 We know that the longer children are 16 17 in foster care the less likely their chances of 18 succeeding. Another area that was touched on today, and I really want to give you an example of that, 19 20 but the most vivid example I can think of I can't give you any details because it is on appeal. But 21 22 I'll just say that there does need to be cooperation 23 and communication at all levels of the justice system, the family courts, the criminal justice 25 system; because in the particular baby's case that

Page 152

1 time his criminal -- the criminal case against his 2 parents was expedited so that it went forward. They

3 went to prison, mother relinquished, and at that

4 time he was placed in a legal rest foster care.

5 From the time he was just few months and brought 6 into care he stayed in the same foster home. He was

7 not moved, he was allowed to bond with these people

8 at those early, critical, first three years of life. 9

And his father who was in jail, his natural father, on drug charges, eventually was released. The plan was reunification. He was 12 unable to sustain, but back on drugs and eventually relinquished. I'm happy to say that at a year and a half that little boy was running around at our CASA carnival in July and was adopted in August.

It can work. I think this commission can bring hope and help to all of our children, and 18 I want to thank you so much for making it important, putting it on the forefront and making it your job to be involved with our children and to pass that leadership on down. Collaboration at every level to meet the challenges faced by our children is what we need, and I wholeheartedly support the creation of this commission. Thank you.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

Page 151

I'm thinking of, a child who's now three years old, this child was victimized and received permanent injury, permanent brain damage while the offender was out on bond.

It was a matter of communication. Had the Child Protective Services' new caseworker that just got the case known that there was a court order that this man was not to be with any other children, had she known that, she may have reported that he was in the home of yet another lady with another infant. And this child who -- excuse me -- who I held in my arms and looked into his sightless eyes, this child who could not respond in any way might have been saved. Forgive me.

15 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Not at 16 all, Ms. King.

17 MS. KING: Now I want to give 18 you a positive example of how it can work and why 19 this commission is so important. I'm going to call this child Joe. Joe came into care at 10 months 20 whenever his mother and her boyfriend disciplined 21 22 the child by beating him, breaking his leg, and many

other injuries because he was bad, because he 23 24

wouldn't be still to have his diaper changed. 25

Joe came into care, and during that

Page 153

you very much, Ms. King, and the Court thanks you 1 2 for your dedication to your work very much. Thank 3 you. Ready for our next witness.

4 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 5 Court, Dr. Connie Almeida, Court Team Leader for the 6 Fort Bend County Court Team for Maltreated Infants 7 and Toddlers in Richmond has requested five minutes 8 for testimony.

DR. ALMEIDA: Good afternoon.

10 I'm delighted to have the opportunity to speak with 11 you briefly about the work we're doing in Fort Bend 12 County under the judicial leadership of District

13 Court Judge Ronald Pope and to express our support

14 for the establishment of this commission to 15 strengthen child protection courts.

16 The Fort Bend Court Team, the Fort 17 Bend County Court Team for Maltreated Infants and 18 Toddlers is spearheaded by Zero to Three, which is a 19 national organization for infants and toddlers in

20 Washington, D.C. and is led by District Court

21 Judge Pope, who collaborates with a child

development and mental health specialist, in this 22 23 case myself, to create a team of child welfare,

24 health professionals, child advocates, attorneys,

and community leaders. Together we strive to

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

1

2

3

4

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

23

24

Page 154

provide multidisciplinary services for abused and neglected infants and their families. Our goal is to prevent the recurrence -- I'm sorry?

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Could you speak a little louder?

5 6 DR. ALMEIDA: Oh, I apologize. 7 Our goal -- I never get that asked of me. Our goal is to prevent the recurrence of abuse and to improve 9 the outcomes for infants and toddlers. As we know, the Pew Commission's report on children in foster 10 11 chair indicated that half a million children each 12 year are in foster care. Those most at risk are 13 babies and toddlers. In fact, they are the 14 fastest-growing population in foster care. They 15 represent one-third of the children coming into 16 foster care, and they are six times more likely to have developmental delays which, if untreated, will

17 compromise their ability to have healthy lives. 18 19 Contrary to the common belief that 20 babies are too young to suffer lasting harm, research shows this is simply not true. We know 21 22 that abuse and neglect have great impact on a young 23 child's social, emotional, and intellectual development. Babies and toddlers who are abused have more behavior problems, lower IQs, less

toddlers along with their siblings and families. We 1

have made significant strides, first of all, in

3 minimizing the number of out-of-home placements. We

4 know that multiple placements are detrimental to a

5 child. Therefore, we strive to make the first

6 out-of-home placement the last. Most of our

7 children are placed in a relative's care, and 75

8 percent of our children remain in one out-of-home 9

placement.

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

We've also increased the number of early-intervention services by coordinating services with Part C, our early childhood intervention programs. We've increased parent house visits. Very young children need to see their parents multiple times a week to develop a trusting relationship for the reunification process.

We still face many challenges, including a high percentage of families with substance abuse, mental illness, and the scarcity of services. 90 percent, I would say probably over 90 percent of our cases come into care because of parental substance abuse.

Transportation problems are 24 significant in the intergenerational cycle of poverty and violence. However, through our monthly

25

Page 155

empathy, more problems in school, and are 59 percent more likely to be arrested as a juvenile.

This is a tragedy for these children, and it's a tremendous cost for us as a society. The good news is that there's hope and we know how to help. Research tells us that by intervening early we can change the lives of these children, because the developing brain has the ability, the ability to recover and to compensate from early deprivation and early abuse.

11 I heard someone say that by our interventions we can make the difference between 12 toxic levels of stress and tolerable levels of 13 14 stress in these children's lives. Where do we 15 begin? We can start by adopting the Pew 16 Commission's recommendation to invest in training and support of judges and core personnel who are 17 18 responsible for ensuring the well being of children 19 in their care. This is a sound place to start, 20 because unquestionably, judges can be powerful 21 agents of change. 22 This is the cornerstone of the Zero

to Three court team's project. In Fort Bend County

project, and we have monitored nearly 50 infants and

we are now completing the second year of the

Page 157

court hearings we help prevent children from falling 2 through the cracks and ensure that the services they

3 are receiving are addressing identified needs.

4 According to Judge Pope, the effective

5 representation of children is critical to improving 6 their well being.

7 The result of our training and education efforts can be seen in our monthly 8

9 hearings when attorneys use the information they 10 have acquired to advocate for children by requesting

11 increased visits to facilitate the bonding between a

12 child and a parent or an assessment of the

parent/child relationship. The entire court team 13

14 works together to assist in the delivery of needed

15 services to both children and their families. We've 16 also prepared, presented -- there's a DVD that Zero

17 to Three has produced, "Helping Babies from the

Bench, Using the Signs of Early Childhood 18

Development in the Court," and we'd be more than 19

20 happy to make this available. It's been

21 disseminated to several judges throughout the 22 country.

23 In conclusion, it's easy to

24 become overwhelmed by the complexity of the foster

25 care problem, to be disillusioned by the

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

intergenerational cycles of abuse and violence. We have research that tells us we can change this. We

3 can make a difference in the child's life by

4 providing safe, consistent, nurturing environments

5 along with appropriate early interventions. Thank 6 you.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you very much. Are there any questions? Thank you, Doctor.

DR. ALMEIDA: Thank you.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: The 11 court will now take a brief 10-minute recess and be 12 13 back here around 10 till 1:00.

14 (At this time the proceedings

15 recessed.)

1

2

7

9

10

18

19

2

3

12

13

14

15

16 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: There 17

are some members of this Court who have prior engagements, so around the hour of 1:30 you'll see some of us leave if we're not through with the

20 testimony, but the rest of us will remain and we will apprise our colleagues of what transpired. 21

22 We're ready for the next witness.

23 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 24 Court, Ms. Constance Barker, Director of Government

Affairs, DePelchin Children's Center in Houston, has

Page 159

requested five minutes for testimony.

MS. BARKER: Good afternoon, Honorable Justices. First of all, thank you very

much, Chief Justice Jefferson and Justice O'Neill,

5 for arranging this somewhat unprecedented, I think,

6 hearing on this important matter. I have submitted

7 written comments and also have given to the Court a

booklet that was put together pro bono by some folks 9

in Houston who had some wonderful before-and-after 10 stories about the children who have been in our

11 system.

For those of you who don't know who we are, we're one of the largest foster care agencies in the state and served the Houston metro area. That's a quarter of the state, so that's a

16 lot. 17

I would like to note to you today I've heard a theme, which is, the whole theme today

18 19 is how do we do a better job for the children? And that's what we're all here for, and I wanted to let

20 you know that I talked to a colleague recently who 21

22 used to work for Lieutenant Governor Hobby, and he 23 told her that if you can't get your position down to

24 a bumper sticker, you haven't thought it through

25 enough. Page 160

1 I've gotten it down to a sentence, 2 and I think I can summarize, which is we strongly

3 support the commission. We think it's a wonderful 4 idea, and if you want it to succeed, we really

5 strongly believe you need to involve the caregivers.

6 And by "the caregivers" I mean the charities like

7 us. They're called CPAs, or child placing agencies,

8 but they're community and faith-based charities who

9 administer, with the foster care system under

10 contract with the department, the foster families

11 themselves and the kinship caregivers. 12

We really believe that the child placing agencies and the foster families and maybe the kin caregivers should be on the commission itself and either expand the commission or replace some of the public members with those

17 representatives.

13

14

15

16

18

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

What we do is, under contract with 19 the department we recruit the foster families, we 20 train them, we supervise them, we make sure that the 21 things are going well, and at least in DePelchin's case, we have clinicians who are in the homes giving

22 23 home-based therapy, because many of our staff are

24 licensed clinicians. And so we do Medicaid contract 25

and home-based therapy with the children in our care

Page 161

1 too, because we have very, very few children who 2 don't need major therapy.

We also then place children for adoption from the CPS system. We got about a hundred kids out of the system last year through adoption, and this year we're on track for that as well. So because of all these things we really think it's important to the deliberations of the

9 commission to have the folks on that commission who 10 are caring for the children. 11

Additionally, the Legislature made a couple of changes in our functions in the last year so that we now will be receiving notices of all the court hearings, and the foster parents will be entitled to testify and present evidence at the hearings; and also there will be some cases, five percent of the cases will be contracted out in case management and part of that will be that we will be

18 19 working with the department in court as a case

20 manager to help the children as well.

21 So for all of those reasons, we think 22 it would be very, very valuable to the commission's

23 work if there are representatives from those

24 agencies as part of the commission. I will be happy

25 to answer any questions.

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

Page 162

7

8

9

JUSTICE O'NEILL: I'd be curious about how you're funded. What percentage are you funded by the state and what percentage is probably raised?

MS. BARKER: Our total funding, because we do much more than foster care, is about 60 percent state and federal grants and contracts, 40 percent charitable money. In the foster care I think it's 80 or 90 percent is our contract money, and the rest is charitable donations. But like our prevention services, our mental health services we have to subsidize much more heavily. So, and we are 12 the old charity in Houston, so we do have a lot of wonderful donations. Even a football came in our honor.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Are there any other questions? Thank you very much. MS. BARKER: Thank you very much, Chief Justice.

20 THE MARSHAL: May it please the Court, Ms. Trista Miller, Youth Specialist of Child 21 Protective Services, Texas Department of Family and 22 Protective Services in Austin has requested five 23 24 minutes for testimony.

MS. MILLER: Hello everybody.

Page 164

1 really know anything about anything except for what he'd seen for the last six months; and knowing,

3 didn't really realize it then, but knowing now that

4 that person had the ability to make decisions that

5 affected my entire life, or could have, was 6 something that really stuck out to me.

And there were other little things, like when I became a PMC, when the State got permanent managing conservatorship of me, nobody 10 really had sat down and explained to me that I would 11 no longer have an attorney ad litem, I would no

12 longer have somebody there that I would be meeting 13

with before I came to court. And even when I had 14 one I had only met with them one or two, maybe three

15 times. I didn't really know who they were. Of all

16 the names that I can remember, I can remember all my 17 foster parents' names, I can remember all my

caseworkers' names. Can't remember any of my 18

19 attorneys' names. I don't even honestly know who they were.

20 21

And I just actually found out about 22 two months ago why I no longer had an attorney. 23 They -- when children become under the permanent

24 managing conservatorship in the state they no longer

have an attorney ad litem. The State is considered

Page 165

1

their guardian. I never knew that. I just learned

2 that at 22, so it was kind of neat. Just things

3 like that, just, you know, not being able to talk to

4 my caseworker as often as I would have liked to. 5

Real little things that -- I was 6 fortunate enough to have a very loving family of 7 symbolic relatives who stepped up to the plate and

took care of me, but not everybody has that. And I

9 think that, you know, when I look at those things,

10 those aren't things that I felt, that I ever felt

11 were done maliciously, but when we have thousands

12 and thousands of youth coming through the system and 13 when we have caseworkers with multiple times over

14 the loads that they're supposed to have, it's never

15

intentional. 16 But it becomes a work overload, and 17 it's easy, I think, for us to forget that the real

little things is what can mean the world to any 19 youth in care. It's the little things, letting you

20 know what's going on, you know, things like that.

21 And I think that this commission, if we could bring

22 it together and we could get advocates from

23 different -- you know, CASA, the Supreme Court, you

24 know, as many people as possible, it's kind of like

a third party not looking in, but being active and

Page 163

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to be here

2 today. A couple weeks ago when I started talking

3 with the very nice ladies at the Clerk's office

about what we were going to be doing today we talked

a little bit about the changes and the commission

6 that we wanted to try to bring about, and it really 7 got me thinking about the time that I had spent in

care -- and I actually spent six years in CPS care

from the time I was 12, and I aged out when I was 9 10 18 -- and it really got me to thinking about some of

11 the things that I had went through in care that

maybe this commission that we're trying to get 12

13 together would be able to help or address. 14

And a lot of little things came up, a 15 lot of little things I started thinking about. 16 Like, one time I went to court, and I was lucky 17 enough for the majority of my time in foster care to

18 have Honorable Scott McCown as my judge, and he was 19 very, very involved and knew my mom, knew me, knew my history, could go back to day one. Knew 20

21 everything.

22 But I remember a couple of times 23 coming to court and there would be a judge there for

now picking up my file for the first time, didn't

24 one reason or another filled in for the day, just

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

Page 166 Page 168 1 being invested in establishing the best practices 1 MS. MILLER: Yes, sir? 2 and things like that. 2 JUSTICE MEDINA: -- I'm very, 3 3 very impressed with your presentation here today. I think it could help remind all of 4 4 us, caseworker, judges, attorneys, that the little You're very articulate. 5 things do count, and the little things are what can MS. MILLER: Thank you. shape the lives of our youth. And I know that, you 6 JUSTICE MEDINA: You have great 6 7 know, with the little things that didn't happen, 7 poise, and we hear your plea. 8 there were the little things that did. The times MS. MILLER: Awesome. Thank you 9 that my caseworker did call me, the times that, you 9 guys, and thank you for the opportunity to come and know, she remembered my birthday and called me up on 10 speak. 10 11 her day off on my birthday to tell me happy 11 JUSTICE WILLETT: Do you have birthday. You know, the personal things I think 12 any idea --12 13 also really helped me be successful. 13 MS. MILLER: Yes, sir? 14 14 And I think having a commission to JUSTICE WILLETT: -- maybe establish those best practices, to establish just Ms. James knows, of workers within the CPS, how many 15 15 methods of working with these youth in care can 16 of them were themselves, once upon a time, within 16 17 really bring an outside perspective where you have a 17 the CPS system? load of overworked people, stressed-out, overworked, 18 MS. MILLER: I honestly only 18 19 underpaid, and it really would bring an outside 19 know of one. I'm sure that there are more of them 20 perspective to allow them to remember that these are 20 out there, but I don't have the numbers. No, sir. youth. Even when times are hard, even when you're 21 I don't know. 21 22 22 stressed out, even when you just worked almost 24 JUSTICE WILLETT: Okay. Thank 2.3 hours, I think having an outside committee would 23 you. 24 really help us remember that these are all youth and 24 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank everything that we do affects us every day. 25 you very much for your impressive testimony. Page 167 Page 169 1 1 And that's why I would be for and I THE MARSHAL: May it please the 2 would strongly advocate for and I would hope that we Court, Ms. Susan Hopkins Carven, Executive Director 3 would be able to put together a Commission for 3 of Texans Care for Children in Austin has requested 4 Children, Youth and Families like the one that has 4 five minutes for testimony. 5 5 been discussed and brought about today. MS. CRAVEN: Thank you so much, 6 JUSTICE O'NEILL: Trista, let me 6 Chief Justice Jefferson and the members of this 7 ask you, when you aged out of foster care did you 7 Court. It has been a very exciting day for me and for many of the people in this room to hear the 8 remain with the family you were with? 8 9 9 MS. MILLER: When I came into support that we have heard for a Judicial Commission 10 care actually some very close friends of the family, 10 on Children, Youth, and Families. Texans Care for 11 they're my symbolic relatives, my grandparents, even Children is a nonprofit, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit that advocates for the needs of children in six areas today, they went through a lot of steps where 12 12 symbolic relatives didn't have rights at the time 13 13 that we consider connected: Child poverty, child when I came into care. They took several steps to 14 health, child mental health, early care and 14 15 actually become foster parents. And I went with 15 education, child welfare, and juvenile justice. them, had some behavioral issues, so I got to come 16 And you heard some testimony today 17 back and do some time in a residential treatment 17 about child care, the quality of child care. You 18 center. 18 heard some testimony today about poverty and the 19 And they stuck with me all the way, 19 effect on families living in poverty that end up and about six months before I turned 18 I was 20 within this system. So I think by creating a 20 actually allowed to go back and live with them. And 21 commission on children and youth it will be an 21 22 I see them pretty much -- well, once a month now, 22 opportunity to look at all these areas and how they 23 they just moved to Louisiana, but I see them very, 23 interconnect and how we can improve the lives of 24 24 very often. Yes, ma'am. children that happen to have to come into our JUSTICE MEDINA: Ms. Miller --25 25 system.

Page 173

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 170

1

2

3

4

5

We need a high-level state body to bring attention to the needs of children in the child welfare system. We need accountability. We need your influence to change things for children and to cause the best outcomes for children through your study and through your evaluation of best practices and through your work with other states to create the best system in Texas for our children. The Pew Commission on Children in Foster Care made those very thoughtful recommendations, and it sounds to me like many of those have been embraced here.

I'd like to mention a few that, just today, that Texans Care is extremely supportive of. One is the kinship care area, that judges need alternatives to just placement. We are starting to move towards better kinship care, allowing children to maintain that family and community connections, maintain their ethnic and cultural identifies and reduce that trauma of loss of their family and attachment issues.

When judges are aware of the benefits of kinship care, which is what I'm sure one of these tasks would be, they consistently ask, Have all kinship options been exhausted? And they keep going down that trail until they often find a family and

child's well being, it's critical that the judge take into account that whole child, and that's where bringing various groups in, various stakeholders in would have an effect.

One of the areas several stakeholders

6 have talked about recently, about a checklist for 7 judges for children whose parents are incarcerated, 8 and that's being developed now, that there's certain

9 questions that ought to go through a judge's mind 10 about children whose parents are incarcerated. And

11 along that line is a bill of rights for children of 12 incarcerated parents. Texans Care for Children a

13 number of years ago was able to access through the

14 New York courts a checklist for infants and

15 toddlers, and we distributed that to CASA and to

16 many judges across the state. And it was a one-page 17 that could go in the bench book that would say, Has

this child had a well baby check? Has this child 18

19 had its immunizations? Does this child have a

20 medical home? What -- who is this child with, and

21 has he made attachments to those people that he's,

22 that the child's with? Particularly in infants and

toddlers. 23 24

5

6

7

8

We are extremely supportive, again, 25 of the family group decision making, bringing

Page 171

can make a better placement decision.

The training that has been going on in the state would even be enhanced by this group and would equip judges with the tools and awareness to view placement decisions through the lenses of keeping families together. If the parent can't take care of the child at that moment in time, at least an aunt, a cousin, a relative that has connection to that child could.

We support the specialized dependency courts and expansion of those across the state. I think the cluster courts have proved to be very successful and that we would hope that we would expand that kind of specialized dependency court across the state.

Another recommendation of the Pew was a stakeholder collaboration, that stakeholders need to come together, work together around these children's issues. And that's what Connie Almeida's doing with Judge Pope, is one of those, bringing the stakeholders together to make the best decisions for those children. So supporting stakeholder collaboration is, I think, vital to successful child 23 placement and successful outcomes for these

children. When a court's making a decision about a

1 families in, and I think that's showed that it's 2 working well and that we think that that could be 3 expanded as well. 4

And just lastly I'd like to say to you that having this permanent high-level entity with the independent character that the judicial branch has can really help in all areas of the child welfare issues and would be a great step for

9 accountability in the state and for visibility.

10 Often these children are invisible to their own 11 communities and to much of the leadership at the

12 state level, and we think that your involvement and

13 the involvement of a commission would really bring

14 focus on these children and the needs of these 15

children. These are some of our future citizens in 16 Texas. We need to do a good and proper job for

17 them. 18

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you, Ms. Craven. Are there any questions? Thank

19 20 you for your testimony.

21 MS. CRAVEN: Thank you very

22 much.

23 THE MARSHAL: May it please the

24 Court, the Honorable Carmen Rivera-Worley, Judge of

the 16th District Court in Denton has requested five

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

Page 174

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

minutes for testimony. (Brief off-camera comment to the

3 Marshal.)

1 2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

THE MARSHAL: May it please the court, Mr. Richard Lavallo, Senior Attorney of Advocacy, Incorporated in Austin has requested five minutes for testimony.

MR. LAVALLO: I appreciate the opportunity to testify today. I'm testifying on behalf of Advocacy, Incorporated. Advocacy is the protection and advocacy system for Texans with disabilities. I personally represent foster children with disabilities throughout the state of Texas. I've been doing it for about 25 years.

Most of my clients are foster children who are under the permanent conservatorship of the department, and frequently I get calls from judges around the state asking me to represent kids when they're having problems trying to figure out where the kids should be placed or having problems in schools or what have you. These kids, the kids that are in the permanent conservatorship of the department, I've -- my personal feeling is that most of them are languishing in foster care. Very often

1 behavior. The State did an exhaustive job of trying 2 to find an adoptive placement for the kids. They 3 went nationwide. And once they got the records for 4 my clients, you know, prospective adoptive families, they would look at them and say, "There's no way 6 we're going to adopt these kids," because of their 7 behavior. 8

The only person who was willing to adopt three boys and keep them together was their foster mother. However, the department would not approve the placement because they said that the 12 foster mother could not afford to raise the boys, 13 even though she'd been raising the kids with the 14 foster care payments; and what happened was that the 15 adoption subsidies that the boys would have received 16 from the State was about half the amount that the 17 State would receive in -- or that the foster parents 18 would receive through foster care payments.

And so what I -- I was appointed by Judge Hurley, who testified earlier, and I was appointed as an attorney ad litem to try -- everyone wanted this adoption finalized, the CPS caseworkers, everyone. I filed a counterclaim in the case -- it was in the CPS case -- and I tried to assert that the kids were entitled to a subsidy that was equal

Page 175

Page 177

system.

Section 107.012 of the Family Code mandates that foster kids or kids coming into care be appointed an attorney immediately upon their removal from their family and that the attorneys represent the kids until permanency, until they're returned home or until placement with a relative or until there's termination.

their needs are not being met in the foster care

However, Section 107.016 makes the continued representation of an attorney to represent a child in foster care after termination permissive. 12 So it's up to the court. It's not uncommon, and I 13 think this young lady had just testified a few 14 minutes ago, that it's not uncommon for the court to remove or dismiss the attorney ad litems representing the kids post-termination. And the problem is and the reality is, it's money, that the counties do not want to pay for attorneys to continue to represent kids in foster care. I'd like to talk -- tell you a story

about three boys that I represented, and these are 21 22 three boys who had been in foster care for over four 23 years. The mother's rights were terminated, and no 24 one would adopt them. These were boys, they were African American, they had pretty challenging

to the amount that the foster mother made. The 2 State of Texas would save half the amount that it 3 was paying out to a child placement agency, we would 4 avoid having court time, wouldn't need, you know, 5 all the caseworkers or whatever involved in the 6

And on appeal for the first time the Attorney General's Office that was representing the department filed an objection to my representing my client, and they said that the court lost the jurisdiction to appoint me as an attorney ad litem 12 to represent my clients. And the reason was, is that the court did not appoint me four years ago, and so they lost the authority to do it.

Even though on appeal the Austin Court of Appeals did not address this issue, I think you-all need to. I think you need to make it real clear that any point a judge can appoint an attorney 19 to represent a child. The need -- the legal needs of foster kids that are under the permanent conservatorship of the department are totally different from the legal needs of the kids that are initially removed. You have CASA volunteers, you have guardian ad litems, you have attorney

ad litems, you have parents who are represented by

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

25

Page 178

10

11

1

11

lawyers. Everyone is stumbling over each attorney to ensure the kids are protected.

The problem is that when kids end up in permanent conservatorship people disappear. And the problems that the kids face in foster care you've heard about. We have problems with children being over medicated with psychotropic drugs. We have problems in displaced -- disruption of placement. I represent kids that have 15, 20 placements. We have kids that are placed in and out of residential treatment centers. We have kids who at the age of 11 are told they're never going to be able to live with a family.

We have problems at school. Schools 15 do not want our kids. Kids that are in foster care that have disabilities create challenges in most communities, and they're often rejected or disciplined or, you know, the school goes after them. And the other problem we have which I think is the disgrace of the system is kids aging out of foster care. Ever day I hear of cases of kids just leaving the system with nowhere to go.

And so I personally believe, and there's been some discussion today about this crisis in the current foster care system, with kids

Page 179

sleeping in caseworkers' offices. There's been media attention about that, and I'm willing to bet if you went back and looked at every one of those cases, the kids sleeping in caseworkers, almost all of them were not represented by an attorney.

And I strongly believe that if these kids had counsel that were competent to represent their needs, we would not have a problem with children sleeping in caseworkers' offices. The lawyers would come to court and they would -- if it happened to one of my clients, I'd file a motion in three days and tell the judge, Do you want one of your kids sleeping in the caseworker's office? But these kids are not represented.

14 15 And so I ask this Court if you create 16 the commission, on the top of your agenda you need to do two things. First of all, you need to examine 17 18 the need to appoint counsel to represent children 19 that are under the permanent conservatorship of the department; and secondly, you've got to deal with 20 training. You need to look at what skills do these 21 22 lawyers need in order to effectively represent the 23 kids based on their needs post-terminations. I 24 appreciate the opportunity, and thank you very much.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

you, Mr. Lavallo, and are there any questions?

1 2 JUSTICE O'NEILL: Mr. Lavallo, I

3 have to thank you for all that you do for Access to 4 Justice as well. He's been a champion in the field

5 for a long time in a lot of areas, and --

6 MR. LAVALLO: Thank you very 7 much.

8 JUSTICE O'NEILL: -- thank you 9 for your service.

COURT MARSHAL: May it please the Court, Mr. Roy Block, President of the Texas Foster Families Association in San Antonio has 12 requested five minutes for testimony.

13 14 MR. BLOCK: Mr. Chief Justice, 15 members of the Court, thank you for this opportunity 16 to speak before you. I am Roy Block, the President

17 of Texas Foster Families Association. TFFA is a

34-year-old organization that advocates for the

19 8,000 foster families in Texas. I came here today

20 to endorse the commission, the creation of this

21 commission, but when I look through the

22 recommendation inclusion I did not see anything for

23 foster parents. I would strongly recommend that you

include a tenured foster parent, the people that

25 knew the children the best, the people that spend

Page 181

24/7 with these children.

2 We've heard earlier about the 3 information that's given to a court, and all too

4 often a judge only has 15 minutes to read a file.

5 No one knows the child better than the foster

6 parents. The best source of information that, quite

7 frankly, is frequently overlooked. I've been in

8 court where a caseworker had to look at file to know 9 a child's name because they've maybe been handed

10 that file that morning and they may have 40, 50 or

more cases.

12 That foster parents wouldn't have to 13 do that. The foster parent could tell you what that 14 child had for breakfast, they can tell you that that 15 child did last night. These are the people that are 16 with that child when they experience that trauma of

17 being separated, when they experience the night 18 terrors, when they experience a lot of the negative

19 behaviors that are children who experience because

20 of what they've been subjected to. So I would

21 strongly recommend the inclusion of a foster parent. 22

I'd also like to see this Court take 23 action to see that all foster parents are recognized

in court. I am fortunate that I come from San 24

25 Antonio where we have a very good court system, but

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

1 2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

2

5

6

7

9

10

12

13 14 Page 182

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

7

8

that's not true throughout the state. I was speaking to Judge Specia earlier, and he told me he was going to be listening to this on the web. I've got to tell you what a wonderful court he has, had. But that's true, and it's easy to say because it's true.

I have a friend down in the Valley who told me horrendous stories of the court system. He had a child placed in San Antonio. He came up to court and he couldn't believe it, he thought he was in heaven. The difference of the courts is remarkable throughout the state. We need to have it 12 where foster parents are utilized as a resource for the best information so that a judge can make the best decision for the future of that child.

16 I'd like to tell you about a couple 17 of kids real quickly. One of them was a boy from 18 San Antonio, Eric, who came into the system at age 14. Eric was a very troubled young man. I didn't 19 20 become acquainted with Eric until he was 16. In fact, it was right after Eric had been told by the 21 22 court that he could go to live with an aunt, and 23 Eric made the decision not to. He made the decision to remain in foster care. And I said, "Why, Eric?" 25 He said, "Because if I was back there, I'd be back

1 get her to read. By the time Sky left our home you couldn't pry a book out of her hands.

There's more to that story, as Paul Harvey would say. Sky was adopted at age 14 with her three younger siblings. Sky graduated from high school, National Honor Society, is now a member of the U.S. Air Force. Unfortunately, this is not true of all of our children. And we've heard testimony earlier,

10 and I will reinforce, we need to do more for our 11 kids. You know, statistically, a child or a young 12 person is not fully weaned from their family until 13 they're age 27, and these are children that are 14 raised in a mom-and-dad environment with the 15 structure and nurturing and the encouragement that 16 they need. How can we expect and do less for our 17 children who grow up and age out of care?

Fortunately, many families do continue to support those young people, but it's not always true. We have some good success, but it's not enough. Thank you.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank you. We should never forget the successes at the same time that we hear of the disasters out there as well. So --

Page 183

where I was, and I've turned the corner." I think that was in large part through the intervention of a

3 good, solid family that Eric had been with. 4

Eric took me on a tour of his old neighborhood. He showed me where he used to live, he showed me the streets he used to run, he even showed me the store he used to steal from. Well, that was two years ago. Since then Eric has graduated from high school, the first in his family to ever do so. Eric was college material, but Eric made the decision to join the U.S. Army. Right now as we speak he's in boot camp, and he might be rethinking that decision. But Eric will do well, I'm confident.

15 Sky, a young lady who was placed in 16 my home at age 11. Most horribly-abused child that I had ever experienced, full of rage and anger, as 17 18 many of our children are. Sky, who was a 19 low-achiever, she'd never spent a full year in the same school. She was a caregiver to her three 20 younger siblings, which is not unusual. In the 21 22 three years that Sky was in our home Sky went from a 23 C-D student to the A-B honor role in large part 24 because we require children to read. Well, at first you would have thought we were beating that child to

Page 185

1 MR. BLOCK: Well, we all need to 2 hear those, sir, because otherwise we might get 3 discouraged.

4 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: That's

5 right. 6

MR. BLOCK: But if you have even one, it's like the little boy throwing the starfish back in the ocean. It meant a lot to that one; and while one is not enough, one is something.

9 10 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: That's

11 right.

12 MR. BLOCK: One at a time.

13 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thanks

14 for your testimony.

15 MR. BLOCK: Yes, sir.

16 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 17 Court, Ms. Barbara Richardson, Executive Director of 18 Amarillo Area CASA has requested five minutes for

19 testimony.

20 MS. RICHARDSON: Thank you very

21 much for having me today, Chief Justice and 22

Justices. Justice O'Neill, thank you so much for 23 spearheading this commission. I'm very excited at

24 the possibility of what this commission can do for

25 our children, and I'm excited about your leadership

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

1 2

3

4

6

7

9

10

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 186

4

13

15

16

17

18 19

1

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

20

22

23

1 fact that's when the collaboration came together.

strengthening our child protection courts.

My name is Barbara Richardson from Amarillo, Texas. Our CASA program covers seven counties in the Texas Panhandle. I'm also the regional representative for Texas CASA of the Western Region, and I cover areas from Dalhart to El Paso, and I hear the same sentiments when we meet at our regional meetings, the concern about the improvement of collaboration between all entities

working with child abuse cases. 11 We have a case that we are currently 12 working on where collaboration was not in the best 13 interest of the children. Let me rephrase that. Collaboration didn't happen, and because of that 14 15 these children were returned to a home of a sexual 16 perpetrator. My CASA volunteer had tried to share 17 the information that she had with all individuals involved, and no one would listen. 18

19 In Amarillo we are friends of the 20 court. We are not guardian ad litems, and sometimes people don't want to listen to us. Also in our 21 22 area, per one thousand cases of child abuse 26 23 percent are abused. The state average per one thousand it's 10 percent children are abused and neglected. In Potter County it's 26 percent. We

They removed the children and now they are in a safe 3 foster home.

I want to encourage this commission

5 to continue to fight for collaboration for these, 6 all these entities so these children can be safe. 7 Excuse me. Thank you so much for giving me this 8 opportunity to come and visit with you today. All

9 parts of this system needs to work together. I 10 think we have all the entities there, they just need 11 to get together and share all their information.

12 Thank you very much.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 14 you very much.

THE MARSHAL: May it please the Court, Ms. Irene Clements, Vice President for Advocacy, Child and Family Services, Lutheran Social Services of the South in Austin has requested five minutes for testimony.

20 MS. CLEMENTS: Good afternoon. 21 I'm Irene Clements. Professionally I'm an advocate 22 for children and families, but I was a foster parent 23 for 27 years and adopted four children from the system. I've served both on state and national foster parent association boards of directors.

Page 187

Page 189

are assigned some of the most difficult cases because of so many cases in our area.

In this particular case no one would listen to my volunteer. We repeatedly tried to share our information. The child was returned home to the mom, who is a registered sex offender. My volunteer knew that the parents -- that the mother had been seeing the biological father who was a sexual perpetrator. She was not to have contact with him. We shared that information. When the two children were returned home my volunteer went and 12 camped out at their house, at the residence of the 13 mother.

At 9:45 one evening she saw that the mother came home with the two children, and the father was present who's also a registered sex offender. She came to our office the next morning and handed me her badge and said, "I can't do this anymore." She said, "I have failed these children. I have told everyone what I've seen, and I'm not doing any good, and you need to appoint someone else."

23 At that point our office called Statewide Intake. We reported all the evidence that 24 she had to Statewide, we called CPS, and after the

The system has come a long way. Back in 1974 when we got our first two siblings they were aged 10 months and two and a half years. Four years later they were still in foster care. Everybody in the system said the rights had to be terminated, CPS, therapists, attorney ad litem, that we actually had one. But the judge didn't want to hear the case. Kept putting it off because the parents had asked for a jury trial. Never had one in that 10 county before.

So I sat at his office Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday of one week and 12 13 went back the next Monday. And he came out and finally said, "Are you ever going to go home?" And 14 I said, "No. Not until you set this case." He then said, "Well, I guess the squeaky wheel does get the grease." He set the case. We walked into court for the case, and we had a visiting judge. Very 19 interesting.

We've come a long way. We have rules 21 now that are designed to help us move children through the system. I'm really proud to have served on the Committee for Adoption under Governor Bush

24 where we set the timelines of 12 months and 18 25 months well before the federal government made that

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

Givens Court Reporting

2d3410b1-f631-405e-ab90-9ab12b9958d9

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

Page 190

1 the people who know them best not to have an 2 opportunity to speak. 3 We have staff who come back so

federal law. We recognize the need to move children speedily through the system, always needing to look at the best interest of the child over all else. Lutheran Social Services -- and

4 frustrated when they are allowed to sit in the 5 courtroom. Foster parents voice the same thing, 6 it's that, "Why didn't the CPS worker give them the 7 information? We gave it all to them. Why didn't 8 they share it with the Court? Why aren't they 9 sharing vital information with the Court?"

you'll see some information that I've provided for you -- is the largest child placing agency in the state, faith-based organization, nonprofit, and we serve over 1,300 children a day. You'll find a graph that also shows that last fiscal year Lutheran Social Services actually served more children than CPS did in their own foster homes.

10 The rest of the information you'll 11 see in your packets. I truly appreciate the

We've facilitated over 6,800 adoptions since our inception. 217 of those were children in CPS care last calendar year. We're all over the state in 18 offices with foster care and adoption. We have contracts for post-adoption services in the Dallas region and the Austin region. 12 opportunity to speak to you, and after 34 years in 13 the system I haven't given up yet. A friend of mine

15 16 17 We provide many other types of treatment services 18

14 once told me back in, I think it was 1990 I saw a 15 letter from him -- he was a true advocate and one of my mentors. He said, "You know, Irene, advocating 16

through three residential treatment centers. 19 20

in the child welfare system, particularly Child 17

We truly applaud the work of this commission and the setting up of the commission, particularly Judge Specia who I really had the honor of being able to participate in court with many of my children because I lived in the San Antonio

region. One of the things we looked about is her

18 Protective Services, is like playing leapfrog with a 19 unicorn," and I have tell you that some days it

22

you.

feels that way. Today it doesn't. Today I've got 21 lots of hope. Thank you. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

23 24

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

20

THE MARSHAL: May it please the 25 Court, Mr. Joe Gagen, Chief Executive Officer of

Page 191

Page 193

testimony that a representative of the child placing agencies should be a member of the commission. We currently serve about 83 percent of the children that are in out-of-home care, 99 percent of all the children with treatment service needs. We are an integral part of the daily lives of those children.

1 Texas CASA in Austin has requested five minutes for 2 testimony. 3 MR. GAGEN: Mr. Chief Justice,

You heard Mr. Block talk about the need for an experienced tenured foster parent or someone who was a foster parent for a long time to serve on the commission, because they can tell you where the rubber hits the road every day; not only on the care of the kids, how difficult it is to get 12 services, how hard it is to get the attention of those people who are responsible for them, and how hard it is to get the attention of the attorney ad litems often in this system.

4 members of the Court, I'll tell you how hard it is 5 to stay up here and not say "may it please the 6 Court." I was taught that in law school. But I 7 wanted to thank you-all for this opportunity, and I want to particularly thank the Chief Justice and 8 9 Justice O'Neill for setting the stage for this 10 hearing today.

16 17 We have Senate Bill 759 now that 18 requires at least a 10-days notice to foster parents 19 and the child placing agency. We want -- we'd love to know when we're going to start getting that. And 20 Bill 759 also says that the foster parents -- and I 21 wrote down the words here -- "are entitled to be 22 present and heard at hearings." We have courts in 23 24 the state that do not allow foster parents in the

courtroom. It's an injustice to these children, to

And also, if the Court would indulge 12 me, I'd like to just tell you how proud I am of the two CASA volunteers and the three executive directors that we had testify today. We covered across the state from Amarillo to Dallas to Beaumont, and those volunteers represent over 4,000 CASA volunteers that we have across the state, and they indeed represent 67 programs that we have throughout the state.

And our community is very supportive of what's going on here today. We support the commission for all the reasons that have been stated by all the witnesses. But there's one particular

reason that was stated by Judge Edwards at the very

beginning of the proceedings, and he talked about

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Page 194

the role of the commission inspiring the district

And I think that's one of the reasons that our community is so excited about this, is because of the potential and the opportunity for you to inspire the local courts to bring about the collaboration and the excellence that we need in this system. And I want you to know that we stand willing as a CASA community to support this commission in any possible way that we can. Thank you very much.

JUSTICE HECHT: Do you have a -- do you experience difficulties in courts around the state intervening or helping the way you think would be best for the particular cases?

MR. GAGEN: Yes, we do.

JUSTICE HECHT: Are there

18 procedural kinds of problems or --19

MR. GAGEN: I think it varies

20 from court to court, but it's -- and oftentimes it's the perception of the court as to the proper role of 21

22 the CASA volunteer in the proceedings.

23 JUSTICE HECHT: And how does

24 that vary? What are the ranges?

25 MR. GAGEN: Well, in some courts

Page 195

1

10

today.

the -- they see the CASA volunteer as a critical element for them to be their eyes and ears of what's happening and very much solicit that input from the CASA volunteer. They see them as kind of a resource for the court to understand what's going on and how to make the best decision.

In other courts they see them more as someone to be called upon if the attorneys feel it's appropriate to be called upon or someone that should only be a player if the litigants, so to speak, see that that volunteer has an appropriate role. And so there's probably a continuum and some more places in between.

JUSTICE HECHT: Thank you. JUSTICE O'NEILL: Is that continuum reflected in the role that the court appoints them to? For example, if they go ahead and appoint them as a guardian ad litem, I would presume they have a more active role than if someone appoints them as a friend of the court, so to speak.

20 21 MR. GAGEN: I think that's 22 exactly right. I think that how they appoint them

23 oftentimes is reflected in that. Although there are 24 courts that appoint CASA volunteers as friend of the

courts that allow that CASA volunteer or use that

Page 196

1 CASA volunteer as a very critical resource for them 2 in that decision-making process, but obviously if

3 they're appointed as a guardian ad litem, their

4 status in the court is going to cause them to -- for 5

more attention to be paid. 6

JUSTICE O'NEILL: And would you say that's a matter of training, or is that more a matter of local practice?

9 MR. GAGEN: I think that's a 10 matter of maybe a combination of both. Thank you. 11 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank

12 you very much.

7

8

13 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 14 Court, the Honorable Gil Jones, Judge of the 33rd 15 District Court in Burnet has requested five minutes 16

for testimony. 17

JUDGE JONES: Mr. Chief Justice, 18 members of the Court, there can be no further

19 question in the minds of the citizens of Texas about

20 how the judiciary regards children and the

21 protection of children after this. I doubt there

22 was much doubt, but there certainly can be none

23 further. It's been my pleasure to serve on the

Court Improvement Project with Justice O'Neill, and

what she and the Chief and every one of you is doing

Page 197

here is momentous.

2 I want to give you just a little bit 3 of my context as the judge of a rural court; I want 4 to support the concept of this commission; I want to 5 express a couple of ideas about the commission 6 composition; and lastly, from a rural court 7 perspective just highlight a few things that have been mentioned in the Collaborative Group's 9 discussion and further mentioned by many people here

11 Mine is a rural court. I have four 12 counties, general jurisdiction court. The question 13 about meth came up. I deal with meth every day, 14 whether it's the family docket, the CPS docket, the 15 felony docket, or the juvenile docket. I actually 16 had one case in one of the counties that I won't 17 mention where I had, with one juvenile and those 18 parents, every bit of my jurisdiction invoked. That 19 was a unified family felony juvenile court, to say 20 the least.

2.1 I also run a drug court. As I said, 22 I deal with meth almost every day on one docket or 23 another. I now have an associate judge for the CPS 24 cases. I have a cluster court: but I heard those 25 cases directly for many years, and I still conduct

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2

Page 198

4

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

all of the jury trials involved. I was on the Court Improvement Project, still am, have been for about 10 years.

I support the concept of the commission. I won't repeat what has been said often here today, but I support it strongly. I encourage the creation of it, and I commend to it and to this Court's continued oversight of it a view of the process of the system and view it as a process with many components. I think the strength of the current task force is the diversity of its membership and the multidisciplinary approach that it's able to take, and I hope that the commission mirrors that.

I hope the trial court bench is well represented on that commission. When the multidisciplinary collaboration process breaks down, let's face it, it is, after all, then an adversarial system. It requires the trial court then to be involved and to know what is going on when that happens.

I think frequently the referring courts hear the jury cases because our cluster court judges -- mine has 12 counties, and they're occupied every single day on their regular dockets. So I

Page 200

1 guardians ad litem. That's what we do in my 2 jurisdiction. 3

I, in fact, went through the CASA training before I took the bench. I know what they do, I know what they're trained to do, and I have seen what they can do in court. I would push that support to the end that every child in every county in every case has a CASA advocate and that that advocate is the guardian ad litem separating the 10 roles of attorney ad litem and guardian ad litem.

11 Thank you for what you're doing here 12 today, not only in grading my papers, but especially 13 in what you're doing in this process.

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Judge, thank you very much. And are there any questions? JUSTICE O'NEILL: I have to say

17 Judge Jones has been a very active member of the 18 task force. He never misses a meeting. I'd love it

19 if you could just real quickly, one of the things

20 I've picked up on through this process is the

21 benefits of mediation. And as judges and former

22 trial court judges, my first reaction was, how can

23 you mediate a child protection case? And you had a

24 comment on that in our last meeting. 25

JUDGE JONES: Yes. That seems

Page 199

continue to hear the jury trials and am glad to do so.

3 There are a couple of areas that are 4 important for all of the courts, but I think 5 especially for the rural courts. Now, one of those 6 is the training for ad litems that has been 7 mentioned several times. It's very difficult for us where we have a relatively small number of lawyers 9 who usually practice in all areas of the law to have 10 enough lawyers to be ad litems, and it's more difficult than in the cities to have them properly trained and to have continuing education focusing in 12 those areas. I know there are tools in the process 13 for enhancing the collaboration among the judges, 14 15 both district and the cluster court judges, and I 16 encourage that effort. I have spoken frequently 17 with Mr. Reynolds about those processes and am very 18

interested in those. 19 I would commend the look at the 20 cluster court prosecutors. There was a pilot project for that. I think that can enhance the 21 22 cluster court judge process that has proven to be so effective. And I also want to voice the strongest 23 24 possible support for CASA, for the courts' use of CASA, for the courts' appointment of CASA as

Page 201

difficult. I think I was probably one of the first 2 judges in Texas to refer a termination case to

3 mediation. I was told it could not be mediated, it

just wouldn't work. They worked it out in two

5 hours. Seriously, two hours. We send all of the

6 cases to mediation before we set them for trial.

7 I'm not sure of the number exactly, but I'd say 80,

85 percent of them -- and these are the termination 8

9 cases -- settle at mediation. Sometimes it takes

10 all day, but that, as you know, is a collaborative

11 process, and that is a better result even for the

12 parent whose rights are terminated. 13

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Are

14 these volunteers or --

15 JUDGE JONES: I have -- who are 16 the mediators?

17

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: That's 18 right.

19 JUDGE JONES: These are

20 mediators who regularly mediate in family law cases

21 and also specifically in the CPS cases. I pay them

22 out of the same budget as for court-appointed attorneys. I pay them at that rate. There are a 23

24 number of them also who do that pro bono, and of

course, at the rate we pay it's about two-thirds

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

Page 202

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

23

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

1

collaboration.

pro bono anyway. Highly effective. JUSTICE O'NEILL: I've heard it said, and I would want to hear your experience, that often in that venue parents are more willing to voluntarily terminate than they are if they are adversarial in a courtroom. Have you found that to be true?

8 JUDGE JONES: Absolutely.

9 Absolutely. Anything else?

CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: No. 10

11 Thank you.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

2

3

5

6

7

9

12 JUDGE JONES: Thank you for this 13 opportunity.

14 THE MARSHAL: May it please the 15 Court, Mr. Mike Foster, President of the Texas 16 Association of Child Placing Agencies in Austin has requested five minutes for testimony.

17 18 MR. FOSTER: Good afternoon, 19 and thank you for the opportunity to comment. I'm 20 Mike Foster. I'm Director of Program Development for Caring Family Network, which is a local child 21 22 placing agency. I'm also the current President of 23 the Texas Association of Child Placing Agencies. 24 I've been working with kids and families in the

25 child welfare system in Texas for over 36 years, and

families need our help more than ever.

The only viable short-term solution in improving an underfunded and inadequate system is to maximize our strengths and resources. Every stakeholder should leverage their participation by effectively collaborating with one another. We must all work together to empower each other to do our best work. A permanent judicial commission to strengthen child protection courts can be that 10 powerful catalyst to provide more effective

Courts have long provided the guidance and leadership to the participants in the child welfare system, but that job has become much more difficult. The issues continue to grow, the

16 difficulties that families face now are more acute,

17 more chronic, and more complicated. Child welfare is no longer confined to just custodial issues,

19 permanency, and safety. Well being is not easily 20 achieved by those who have experienced abuse and

21 neglect. 22

It is our belief that every child who enters our child welfare system has experienced trauma, and that trauma needs treatment.

Maltreatment will seldom heal itself. We now need a

Page 203

Page 205

I want to share one of our early stories.

We had a young man in care named Kevin who was 10 years old and had already been in 14 placements, and I was accompanying him to a court hearing in Gonzalez, Texas. And I had worked with Kevin for over a month to teach him to be respectful, to share his feelings, to be articulate. And we were worried that we weren't going to get the opportunity to continue to work with Kevin. There 10 were people involved in the case who thought that he should leave treatment and return home, and we 12 didn't think he was ready.

Well, we got to court and the judge 13 14 asked Kevin a question, and he replied by saying, 15 "Shut up, you old bald-headed fool." The judge 16 brought his gavel down and said, "This young man 17 needs to stay in residential treatment," and I'm ashamed to say I took Kevin straight to the Dairy Oueen and bought him a chocolate shake.

18 19 20 Current services to maltreated children and families in Texas are insufficient. 21 22 They're very hard to access, they're broken, 23 fragmented, and underfunded. Everyone is severely challenged to provide the care and environment that 24 continues to border on crisis. Kids and their

1 court-directed child welfare system that embraces 2 all the services and resources needed to succeed 3 with kids and their families. Those services should include an array of resources that begin with comprehensive assessment and include mental and 6 behavioral health services throughout the continuum 7 of care.

Stability is often the most difficult service component to deliver to kids and families. Justice O'Neill's observation that stable kids 11 become responsible adults should be a guiding 12 principle. Dr. Bruce Perry of the Child Trauma Academy said that without external consistency children cannot develop internal consistency. Improving stability is a critical outcome for

16 helping children and families. The courts have an important role in 18 the timely direction of service delivery. Generally 19 the work of the court does not include prevention. 20 Usually families have already experienced 21 significant problems by the time they're in court. After prevention the most important step is early

22 intervention. Too often kids and families don't 23

receive the help when they need it the most. 24

25 Services delivered too late or inefficiently are

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

Page 206

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ineffective. It is too little too late.

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Every child and family has a window of opportunity when services are most effective. When that window is lost the work becomes much more difficult, more time-consuming, more expensive, and less likely to succeed. Early intervention is a very important component to public health care, and it should be a compelling issue in improving the delivery of services to kids and family. We should 10 provide all the services and the resources to repair 11 every family. If we can't repair that family, then 12 we need to replace that family with a safe, stable,

healthy, and permanent home as soon as we can.

The Texas Association of Child Placing Agencies fully supports the creation of a permanent judicial commission to strengthen the child protection courts. We recommend that the number of at-large members be reduced so that the commission can include at least one member from a child placing agency, one member from the foster care alumni, one member from kinship care, one birth parent, and one foster parent. If it is not possible for them to serve at the commission level, then they should be standing members of the advisory

1 JUDGE RUCKER: Mr. Chief Justice, members of the Court, good afternoon. I'm 3 Dean Rucker. I'm judge of the 318th Family District

4 Court in Midland, the oasis of the desert. I'm also

5 the presiding judge of the 7th Administrative

6 Judicial Region, a 40-county region in the heart of 7 west Texas.

On behalf of the Consultative Group and those who have spoken today I want to thank you for this historic hearing, and I give special thanks to Justice O'Neill for her inspiration, her passion, 12 and her visionary leadership which has led us to this important day. She has been a tireless champion for the children who are victims of child abuse and neglect.

And finally, I give heartfelt thanks to those who spoke today and gave us their compelling testimony. I know the concerns and 18 recommendations that they voiced have been heard by this august body of jurists. Today you've heard about a number of problems or issues that are faced in the child welfare system and in the disposition of abuse and neglect cases and how a commission can assist in their resolution.

As I'm sure you've discovered, these

Page 207

Page 209

served kids and families in the child welfare and 3 mental health environment. Public education is an important component, and educators should be included on that council. Data-driven, best-practice, evidence-based treatment that is outcome focused is critical to improving care to

stakeholders and practitioners who have historically

council. The council should also include

kids and families. Equal treatment should include comprehensive assessment designed specifically for maltreated children and their families. That assessment should be a roadmap to directing effective and timely services to these kids. The comprehensive assessment should be the first step in the continuum of care that includes early intervention, stability, and availability of mental health services and the repair or the replacement of a safe and healthy permanent family. Thank you. CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: And thank you. Are there any questions? Thank you, sir. COURT MARSHAL: May it please

the Court, the Honorable Dean Rucker, Judge of the

318th District Court in Midland has requested five

are not just local problems. They are statewide problems which affect our local courts and our communities. Some may question the judiciary's involvement in bringing stakeholders to the table and engaging in multidisciplinary discussions about improvements to the child welfare system. And to them I say, if not us, then who? Collectively we are the judges who have consistently presided over these cases. We 10

know these children we serve and the problems they face. We have taken these children into the court system as infants, we have seen them leave the system as adults. I have been on the bench for almost 20 years, long enough to see a young child taken into foster care and then have her own children taken into care many years later.

We have experienced the shortage of qualified foster homes. We have felt the pain of failed placements. We have shared the frustration over the lack of services and resources that are simply not available in our communities. We have felt the gut-wrenching emotional pain over the loss

of a child who died because the system failed him, 23

24 and we know the joy of a family that has

successfully and safely reunited or of a family who 25

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

minutes for testimony.

Page 210 Page 212 Christi Taylor at the court have been so 1 has adopted the child that they always wanted. 1 2 Leadership is needed to bring people enthusiastic, Cary Moran who we've just brought on 3 3 and resources together as we attempt to effect board, Carl Reynolds. We have a very strong 4 systemic change and effect court improvement, all in-court team. Joyce James has been at the table 5 with the goal of providing safe, positive outcomes every step of the way. So I feel like with this for children in our court system. This Court has 6 core strong group we can move forward with the solid 7 already demonstrated its leadership in this area by 7 support of the Supreme Court, and I thank you all 8 its creation of the Supreme Court Task Force on for the very important work that you do every day. 9 Foster Care 12 years ago, and now you have the 9 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: And I would just like to add that the Court has heard the opportunity to enhance your leadership and create a 10 11 Judicial Commission for Children, Youth and 11 term "forgotten children," and I think, as I hope 12 Families. 12 our presence demonstrates, we have not forgotten the 13 By your order creating a commission 13 children [at this point the webcast sound went out 14 you will not only underscore your leadership, you 14 for approximately a minute and a half] -- a Commission for Children, Youth and Families, and we 15 will also be telling your fellow Texans that this is 15 important work of the highest calling. It is one 16 16 thank all of you for your presence today and for 17 thing to have judicial leadership at the trial court 17 your contributions to this Court and to this state. 18 level, and Texas has been very fortunate to have 18 The Marshal will now adjourn the Court. 19 19 many visionary leaders such as Judge Specia and THE MARSHAL: Oyez, oyez, oyez, 20 Judge Macias. As trial judges we have the power to 20 the Honorable, the Supreme Court of Texas, now 21 move a community, our own local community to action. 21 stands adjourned. 22 However, moving an entire state to action calls for 22 (At this time the webcast concluded.) 2.3 a commission under the leadership of this Court. 23 This will bring together all stakeholders with the 24 singular goal of improving the child welfare system. 25 Page 211 Page 213 1 1 Therefore, I respectfully urge this REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 OF SEPTEMBER 25, 2007 WEBCAST 2 Court to create a Judicial Commission for Children, 3 I, Sandra S. Givens, Certified Shorthand 3 Youth and Families, and I thank you for the honor of 4 Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby 4 addressing you today. 5 certify to the following: 5 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: Thank 6 That this transcript of the September 25, 2007 6 you very much, Dean, for all your service to the 7 Supreme Court Public Hearing Regarding the Creation 7 state and in all kinds of capacities. I don't know 8 of a Judicial Commission for Children, Youth and 9 Families is a true record of the proceedings as if everyone understands that judges are paid pretty 10 taken down by me via webcast on October 1, 2007; 9 much a set salary, and when they take on additional 11 That the hearing transcript was submitted on 10 obligations like Dean Rucker has done, it comes with 12 October 5, 2007 to Tina Amberboy of the Supreme 11 no additional pay but with the gratitude of a 13 Court Task Force on Foster Care; grateful Court. So thanks for everything you do. 12 14 I further certify that I am neither counsel 13 JUDGE RUCKER: Thank you. 15 for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties, 16 members, or attorneys involved in this proceeding, 14 CHIEF JUSTICE JEFFERSON: And 17 and further, that I am not financially or otherwise 15 Justice O'Neill, would you give a response to the --18 interested in the outcome of the action. 16 JUSTICE O'NEILL: Well, I will 19 Certified to by me this 5th day of October, 17 echo what the Chief Justice and Judge Rucker have 20 2007. 18 said. I thank everyone for taking their time. I 21 GIVENS COURT REPORTING 19 know everyone's busy. I'm constantly struck and 9532 Morgan Creek Drive Austin, Texas 78717 20 touched by the commitment of everyone who's involved 22 (512) 301-7088 in this field. It's relatively new to me, but from 23 22 the time I walked into my first Foster Care Task 24 23 Force meeting I was hooked. SANDRA S. GIVENS, CSR 24 And I'm proud to say we have a 25 Certification No. 5000 phenomenal team in Texas. Tina Amberboy and Certificate Expires 12/31/07