## INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT DWC101 - FOR REJECTED RISK EMPLOYERS

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY OR TYPE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM

#### PART I: NOTIFICATION INFORMATION

- 1. Date Notification Letter Received Date notification of Rejected Risk Requiring Accident Prevention Service status was received by employer.
- 2. TMIC Policy Number Rejected Risk Program policy number for employer identified by Texas Mutual Insurance Company (TMIC)
- Federal Employer's Identification Number, (FEIN) Obtain from the insurance policy for Rejected Risk Requiring Accident Prevention Services. Verify with the employer's records.
- 4. **North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) -** Obtain from the insurance policy for Rejected Risk Requiring Accident Prevention Services. Verify with the employer's records.

#### PART II: EMPLOYER INFORMATION

- 1. **Employer's Name -** Name of the specific company identified as a Rejected Risk Requiring Accident Prevention Services.
- 2. Employer's Mailing Address The exact mailing address, for the employer, to which this form will be sent or delivered.
- 3. City, State, Zip, and Telephone Numbers For the address in item #2.
- 4. Employer's Contact Name Full name and title of authorized employer contact.
- 5. **Texas Business Name -** The actual name of the operation in Texas (if different).
- 6. Physical Address for Texas Location Street address or physical location information for primary Texas work site. (NO P. O. BOX).
- 7. City, State, Zip, and Telephone Numbers For the address in item #6.
- Texas Contact Name Full name, title, and e-mail address of authorized Texas contact.

#### PART III: CONSULTANT'S INFORMATION

- Name Full name of consultant
- 2. **Telephone Number -** Best contact phone number for the consultant.
- 3. **DWC Number –** Approved Professional Source Consultant's Number assigned by DWC or previously assigned by Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
- 4. Mailing Address Current mailing address (contact Workers' Health and Safety if address changes)
- 5. City, State, Zip For the address in item #4.

#### PART IV: OPERATION SAFETY ANALYSIS

Each item must be answered by circling the response or filling in the blank. Additional pages may be attached to provide more information or details. Reference additional comments by item number.

#### PART V: HAZARDOUS WORKPLACE CONDITION

Each item must be answered by circling the response or filling in the blank. Additional pages may be attached to provide more information or details. Reference additional comments by item number.

#### PART VI: SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS, FINDINGS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The seven mandatory safety program components form the foundation of the Accident Prevention Plan.

If the employer has these components in place, indicate by checking the YES column. If the component is in place and effectively implemented, write YES in the appropriate column. If the component is not effective, check YES in the "in-place" column, write **NO** in the "is it effective" column, and identify, by name and title, the person responsible for correcting the identified problem(s).

If the employer does **NOT** have one of the components in place, check the No column and write in the name and title of the individual responsible for its inclusion in the submitted Accident Prevention Plan.

#### PART VI: SIGNATURE BLOCK

Consultant's Signature - Signature, DWC#, and date signed.

Employer's Signature - Signature - Signature, title of person signing the form and date signed. The person signing the form must be on the payroll of the employer and have company authorization to sign legal documents.

### **DWC101 - FOR REJECTED RISK EMPLOYERS**



PART I: NOTIFICATION INFORMATION

## **Texas Department of Insurance**

Division of Workers' Compensation
Workplace Safety, MS-26
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609
512-804-4000 • 512-804-4001 fax •

### **PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

Date Of Notification Letter:	2. TMIC Polic	cy Number:	3. Federal ID Number (FEIN):
4. NAICS Code:	,		
PART II: EMPLOYER INFORMATION	l		TEXAS INFORMATION
1. Employer Name:		5. Texas Business N	Name:
2. Employer Mailing Address:		6. Physical Address	for Texas Location:
3. City: State: ZIP:		7. City:	State: ZIP:
Telephone No.: ( ) Fax	Number: ( )	Telephone No.: (	) Fax Number: ( )
4. Employer Contact Name And Title	:	8. Texas Contact, Na	ame, Title, and E-mail Address:
		7	
PART III: CONSULTANT'S INFORMA	ATION		
1. Name:		4. Mailing Address:	
2. Telephone Number:	3. DWC Number:	5. City:	State: ZIP:

# PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT PART IV: OPERATION SAFETY ANALYSIS

1. MANAG	2. ANALYSIS	
1a-1. HAS MANAGEMENT ADOPTED AND PUBLISHED A SAFETY POLICY STATEMENT YES NO	1b-1. HAS MANAGEMENT ASSIGNED THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACCIDENT PREVENTION PLAN YES NO	2-1. IS THERE A SAFETY ANALYSIS COMPONENT IN PLACE YES NO 2-2. IS DATA CENTRALLY COLLECTED YES NO
1a-2. HAS MANAGEMENT SIGNED THE SAFETY POLICY STATEMENT YES NO	1b-2. DOES MANAGEMENT ENFORCE ITS SAFETY RULES YES NO	2-3. IS THE DATA ANALYZED YES NO
1a-3. DOES MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THE SAFETY POLICY YES NO	1b-3. HAS MANAGEMENT MADE SAFETY THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ALL EMPLOYEES YES NO	<b>2-4.</b> WHAT FREQUENCY IS ESTABLISHED FOR THE ANALYSES (MONTHLY, QUARTERLY, ETC.)
1a-4. HAS MANAGEMENT ESTABLISHED CLEAR GOALS FOR THE SAFETY PROGRAM(S) YES NO	1b-4. HAS SAFETY BECOME A DAILY PART OF ALL EMPLOYEES' JOBS AND ACTIONS YES NO	2-5. ARE TRENDS WIDELY COMMUNICATED YES NO
1a-5. HAS MANAGEMENT INFORMED THE EMPLOYEES OF THESE GOALS YES NO	1b-5. DOES MANAGEMENT FOLLOW ALL OF ITS OWN SAFETY RULES YES NO	2-6. DOES MANAGEMENT FOLLOW UP ON ADVERSE TRENDS
1a-6. HAS MANAGEMENT INVOLVED ALL LEVELS OF EMPLOYEES IN THE DEVELOP-MENT OF THE SAFETY PROGRAMS YES NO	1b-6. LIST THE COMPONENTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES NOT ASSIGNED NA	YES NO  2-7. ARE TRENDS USED TO ADJUST THE
1a-7. HAS MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATED THE SAFETY PROGRAMS TO THEIR SUPERVISORS AND EMPLOYEES YES NO	A	ELEMENTS OF THE PROGRAMS (INSPECTION, TRAINING, ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION, ETC)  YES NO
1a-8. DOES MANAGEMENT REQUIRE TRAINING OF THEIR SUPERVISORS AND EMPLOYEES IN THE USE OF THE ACCIDENT PREVENTION PLAN YES NO	C D	2-8. IS AN OPERATION SAFETY ANALYSIS USED TO DETERMINE POTENTIAL NEEDS FOR COMPONENT CHANGES YES NO
PREVENTION FLAN	F	2-9. WHAT IS ANALYZED  DOCUMENTATION
		A
		C
		A
		C  2-10. WHAT ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS INPUTS ARE NEEDED NA
		A B
		c

# PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT PART IV: OPERATION SAFETY ANALYSIS

### (CONTINUED)

3. RECORD KEEPING	4. TRAINING AND EDUCATION	5. INSPECTION & AUDIT
3-1. HAS MANAGEMENT ESTABLISHED REQUIREMENTS FOR ITS RECORD KEEPING SYSTEM YES NO	4-1. IS THERE A TRAINING COMPONENT YES NO	5-1. IS THERE AN INSPECTION COMPONENT IN PLACE YES NO
3-2. IS THERE A DOCUMENTED PROGRAM YES NO 3-3. HAS MANAGEMENT TRAINED	4-2. IS THERE A DOCUMENTED TRAINING PROGRAM YES NO	5-2. IS THERE A DOCUMENTED INSPECTION PROGRAM IN PLACE WHICH MEETS THE NEEDS OF THE COMPANY YES NO
SUPERVISORS AND EMPLOYEES ON THE RECORD KEEPING SYSTEM  3-4. DOES THE RECORD KEEPING SYSTEM SUPPORT THE COMPONENTS  YES NO	IF YES, WHAT ARE THE PROGRAMS AND FREQUENCY:	5-3. ARE FREQUENCIES ASSIGNED FOR THE INSPECTIONS YES NO
3-5. IS DATA USED IN TREND AND OPERATION ANALYSIS YES NO	B	5-4. ARE RESPONSIBILITIES ASSIGNED TO FOLLOW UP ON CORRECTIVE ACTIONS YES NO
<b>3-6.</b> WHAT DOCUMENTATION DID YOU REVIEW: <b>A.</b>	D  4-2. DOES NEW HIRE ORIENTATION	5-5. ARE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS VERIFIED IN A TIMELY MANNER YES NO
B	INCLUDE SAFETY TRAINING YES NO	5-6. ARE INSPECTION REPORTS USED IN TREND ANALYSES YES NO
D E F	4-4. DOES TRAINING COVER ALL OPERATIONS AND MEET ANALYZED NEEDS YES NO	5-7. ARE ALL OPERATIONS COVERED ON THE INSPECTION REPORT YES NO
3-7. WHAT ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED:	IF NO, WHAT OPERATIONS SHOULD BE ADDED TO TRAINING:	IF NO, WHAT NEEDS TO BE ADDED:  A
A	A B	B
В	c	D
C	D	5-8. IS THE INSPECTOR(S) TRAINED ON THE INSPECTION PROGRAM YES NO
E F	4-5. HAS MANAGEMENT TRAINED ITS SUPERVISORS IN THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ACCIDENT PREVENTION PLAN YES NO	5-9. ARE REQUIRED STATE POSTINGS AND EMPLOYEE NOTICES DISPLAYED YES NO
	4-6. HAVE THE EMPLOYEES BEEN TRAINED IN THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ACCIDENT PREVENTION PLAN YES NO	

# PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT PART IV: OPERATION SAFETY ANALYSIS

### (CONTINUED)

6. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION	7. REVIEW AND REVISION						
6-1. IS THERE AN ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION COMPONENT YES NO	7a-1. IS THERE A SPECIFIED FREQUENCY FOR THE PERIODIC REVIEW  YES NO	7b-1. IS A SPECIAL REVIEW TRIGGERED BY PLANNED CHANGES IN OPERATIONS, EQUIPMENT, OR THE WORK PLACE ENVIRONMENT YES NO					
6-2. IS THERE A DOCUMENTED ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION PROGRAM IN PLACE MEETING THE NEEDS OF THE COMPANY YES NO	7a-2. ARE CHANGE(S) IN THE ESTABLISHED INDUSTRY PRACTICES INCLUDED IN THE REVIEW YES NO	7b-2. HAS MANAGEMENT ASSIGNED A PERSON(S) TO COMPLETE THE TRIGGER REVIEW YES NO					
6-3. ARE ACCIDENT INVESTIGATIONS USED TO IDENTIFY CAUSES YES NO	7a-3. HAS MANAGEMENT ASSIGNED A PERSON(S) TO COMPLETE THE PERIODIC REVIEW YES NO	<b>7b-3.</b> IS THE TRIGGERED REVIEW USED TO ADJUST THE FOLLOWING COMPONENT(S) OF THE ACCIDENT PREVENTION PLAN					
6-4. ARE ACCIDENT INVESTIGATIONS USED TO ESTABLISH ACCOUNTABILITY YES NO	7a-4. IS THE REVIEW USED TO ADJUST THE FOLLOWING COMPONENTS OF THE ACCIDENT PREVENTION PLAN:	A. INSPECTION COMPONENT YES NO					
6-5. ARE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS VERIFIED IN A TIMELY MANNER YES NO	A. INSPECTION COMPONENT YES NO	B. TRAINING COMPONENT YES NO					
6-6. IS A NEAR MISS REPORTING SYSTEM IN PLACE YES NO	B. TRAINING COMPONENT	C. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION COMPONENT YES NO					
6-7. IS THERE EVIDENCE OF A TREND(S) FROM THE REVIEW OF THE LAST 12 MONTHS OF ACCIDENTS NA YES NO	C. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION COMPONENT YES NO	D. MANAGEMENT COMPONENT YES NO  E. RECORD KEEPING COMPONENTYES NO					
IF YES, DESCRIBE:	CONTROLLE TEST	E. REGORD REEL ING COMIT CHENT IES NO					
	D. MANAGEMENT COMPONENT YES NO	F. ANALYSIS COMPONENT YES NO					
	E. RECORD KEEPING COMPONENT YES NO						
6-8. WAS CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN FOR	F. ANALYSIS COMPONENT YES NO						
THE NOTED TREND YES NO							
6-9. ARE SUPERVISORS TRAINED ON ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES YES NO							

### **PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

### PART V: WORKPLACE EXPOSURES

Include a detailed description of each condition found; the possible result or occurrence from the condition; recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan components to prevent recurrence.
Were condition(s) identified YES. NO.
Item #Location:
Operation:
Condition:
Potential effects:
Affected component(s) of the plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.
Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):
Item # Location:
Operation:
Condition:
Potential effects:
Affected Component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.
Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):
Item # Location:
Operation:
Operation:
Operation: Condition:
Operation: Condition: Potential effects:
Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:  Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.  Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):
Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:  Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.  Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):  Item # Location:
Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:  Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.  Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):  Item # Location:  Operation:
Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:  Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.  Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):  Item # Location:  Operation:  Condition:
Operation: Condition: Potential effects: Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):  Item # Location: Operation: Condition: Potential effects:
Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:  Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.  Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):  Item # Location:  Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:  Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.
Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:  Affected component(s) of the Plan: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.  Recommended changes to the Accident Prevention Plan component(s):  Item # Location:  Operation:  Condition:  Potential effects:

### **PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

## PART VI: SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

COMPONENT	IN F		If Yes, is it effective?	List Name and Title of Person Responsible for Corrective Action.	
	NO	YES	(yes or no)		
1. Management					
<b>MANAGEMENT:</b> A management compone responsibilities and authority.	ent with	a writte	en safety policy sta	tement and assignment, by position or title, of safety	
Review of the Management Component reveal	s:				
Recommendation(s):					
	IN PL	_ACE	If Yes, is it	List Name and Title of Person Responsible	
COMPONENT	NO	YES	effective? (yes or no)	for Corrective Action.	
2. Analysis					
<b>ANALYSIS:</b> An analysis component includes a effectiveness of existing programs and to detect interval between the accomplishment of the an	ct existin			ation and employer operations to evaluate the alysis component will contain a statement as to the	
*	alyses.				
Review of the Analysis Component reveals:					
Recommendation(s):					

# PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT PART VI: SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

COMPONENT	IN F	PLACE	If Yes, is it effective?	List Name and Title of Person Responsible for Corrective Action.
	NO	YES	(yes or no)	ioi dell'estate / lottori.
3. Record Keeping	-			
				nat records are maintained, where they are kept, the person(s) ords should be retained as required by law and operational
Review of the Record Keeping Component rev	eals:			
Recommendation(s):				
COMPONENT		PLACE	If Yes, is it effective? (yes or no)	List Name and Title of Person Responsible for Corrective Action.
Safety & Health Education and Training	NO	YES	(903 01 110)	
	osition	or title),	and who will re	and health education plan or schedule, stating the training topics, eceive the training. This component also assigns the responsibility Plan and its components.
Review of the Safety & Health Education and 1	raining	Compor	nent reveals:	
Recommendation(s):				

## PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT PART VI: SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## (CONTINUED)

COMPONENT		LACE	If Yes, is it effective?	List Name and Title of Person Responsible for Corrective Action.
5 Audit/Inchestion	NO	YES	(yes or no)	
5. Audit/Inspection				
the audit/inspections. Clearly state what in	nspections a e conducted	are condi	ucted, who perf	entification, by title or position, of a qualified person(s) to conductorms the inspections, the training of inspector(s) for this and corrective actions documented? Who is responsible for
Review of the Audit/Inspection Componen	t reveals:			
Recommendation(s):				
COMPONENT	IN P	LACE	If Yes, is it effective?	List Name and Title of Person Responsible for Corrective Action.
	NO	YES	(yes or no)	
6. Accident Investigation				
includes investigation procedures, identific	cation of acc	ident inv	estigations and	I to identify the cause factors of injuries. This component determination of corrective actions needed. The component s. What documentation supports the investigation and notes
Review of the Accident Investigation Com	ponent reve	als:		
Recommendation(s):				

## PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT PART VI: SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### (CONTINUED)

COMPONENT	IN PLACE		If Yes, is it effective?	List Name and Title of Person Responsible For Corrective Action.
	NO	YES	(yes or no)	
7. Review and Revision				
PERIODIC REVIEW AND REVISION: This co	mpone	nt ensure	es review and ı	revision of the safety program when changes in operations,
equipment, or employee activities are determine	ned or a	nticipate	d to insure cor	ntinued effectiveness of the program requirements. The
component also includes the periodic review a	nd revis	ions of t	he safety progr	ram, including a statement as to the interval (minimum of
annually) between reviews.				
Devices of the Program Devices and Devices (	Compon	ont rove	olo:	
Review of the Program Review and Revision C	-ompon	ent reve	ais: 	
Recommendation(s):				
PART VI: SIGNATURE BLOCK				
				he personally audited the safety programs for the above- oyer's signature attests that the contracted consultant ascribed
Note: Signature and dates are required.				
CONSULTANT'S SIGNATURE			DWC#	# DATE

REMEMBER, REMOVE DOUBT & ESTABLISH ACCOUNTABILITY.

TITLE

**EMPLOYER'S SIGNATURE** 

DATE