RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY GRANT (ROP) EVALUATION FORM

Applicant Name:	
Department:	
Reviewer:	
Note to Reviewer: Click on the boxes for an option to "check" the Lo" use your own judgement regarding standards	m as desired. For ratings of "Hi/Med/
Evaluation of Research Plan	
Criteria	HI MED LO
1. Originality (creative/uniqueness/ingenuity)	
2. Research Significance (merit/advancement in knowledge)	
3. Research Design (soundness/feasibility)	
4. Predicted Quality of Deliverables (research product/publication)	
5. Broader Impacts (contributions to society/diversity/learning)	
Score	e (40 points possible)
Review of Vita	
Criteria	HI MED LO
1. Research Accomplishments Consistent with Proposed Work	
2. Demonstration of Promise in the Area of the Proposed Work	
3. Research Productivity Given Length of Time as a Researcher	
	e (15 points possible)
Score	e (15 points possible)
Score Review of Past Grant Activity	
Review of Past Grant Activity Criteria	HI MED LO
Review of Past Grant Activity Criteria 1. Follow-Through on Past Commitments (e.g., ROP-to-external grant)	HI MED LO

Fundability		
Criteria	HI MED LO	
1. Availability of funding in the area of the proposed work		
2. Likelihood that <i>this applicant</i> will follow through on external grant proposals		
3. Likelihood that continuation/extension of this project <i>will obtain</i> external funding		
Score (30 points p	oossible)	
Note to Reviewer: Feel free to remain brief with the following notes regarding the strengths and weaknesses. These are primarily for the prompting of your own memory during the Review Panel discussion of the proposals on the funding cusp. However, please note that we may share de-identified comments with the applicant.		
Strengths of the Research Plan		
Weaknesses of the Research Plan		