

# RESEARCH ENABLING GRANT (REG) EVALUATION FORM

**Applicant Name:**

**Department:**

**Reviewer:**

*Note to Reviewer: Click on the boxes for an option to "check" them as desired. For ratings of "Hi/Med/Lo" use your own judgement regarding standards of excellence within the applicants field. Type numeric scores into spaces provided.*

## Fundability

1. The applicant states that funding in the proposed area is unavailable. Do you concur with that argument? YES  NO

If you answered NO, add rationale to comments on Page 2 **Score (15 points possible)**

*\*Assign high point values if research in this area appears totally unfundable; assign fewer points if that is in question.*

2. Given the applicant's research area and accomplishments, do you believe that she/he is or could be engaged in alternative research programs that are viable for external funding? YES  NO

If you answered NO, add rationale to comments on Page 2 **Score (10 points possible)**

*\*Assign high point values if research in this area appears totally unfundable; assign fewer points if that is in question.*

## Evaluation of Research Plan

Criteria	HI	MED	LO
1. Originality (creative/uniqueness/ingenuity)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Research Significance (merit/advancement in knowledge)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Research Design (soundness/feasibility)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Predicted Quality of Deliverables (research product/publication)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Broader Impacts (contributions to society/diversity/learning)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Potential for <i>This Project</i> to Elevate Research Profile of Applicant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Potential for <i>This Project</i> to Elevate Research Profile of UNT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Score (45 points possible)**

## Review of Vita

### Criteria

1. Research Accomplishments Consistent with Proposed Work
2. Demonstration of Promise in the Area of the Proposed Work
3. Research Productivity Given Length of Time as a Researcher

HI	MED	LO
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Score (30 points possible)

*Note to Reviewer: Feel free to remain brief with the following notes regarding the strengths and weaknesses. These are primarily for the prompting of your own memory during the Review Panel discussion of the proposals on the funding cusp. However, please note that we may share de-identified comments with the applicant.*

### *Strengths of the Research Plan*

### *Weaknesses of the Research Plan*