






Note To Reader

December 3, 2001

EPA released today its preliminary assessment of the cumulative risks of organophosphorus pesticides. EPA considers the results to be preliminary and is seeking both scientific peer review and widespread public comment on its analysis and the many new scientific techniques used to develop the risk assessment. EPA will soon open a comment period in which the public and all interested parties are invited to submit comments on the preliminary assessments. Comments should directly relate to the issues and information in the assessment.

The Agency is examining the results of these analyses. Therefore, it is too soon to draw firm conclusions about risks or consider risk management possibilities. The Agency cautions against premature conclusions based on this preliminary assessment, and against any use of information contained in these documents out of their full context. The risk mitigation measures that have already been taken on individual members of this group of pesticides have led to significant reduction in potential risk. The Agency is reviewing the results of the assessment to determine if any issues may need to be addressed related to food commodities, drinking water and residential uses. However, EPA has confidence in the overall safety of our food supply and emphasized the importance of eating a varied diet rich in fruits and vegetables.

Preliminary Cumulative Risk Assessment of the Organophosphorus Pesticides



**Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
December 3, 2001**

CUMULATIVE ORGANOPHOSPHATE RISK ASSESSMENT

Preliminary Assessment

Risk Assessment Team:

Office of Research and Development: R. Woodrow Setzer, Jr.

Health Effects Division: Karl Baetcke, Vickie Dellarco, Elizabeth Doyle, Jeff Evans, Kit Farwell, David Hrdy, Jessica Kidwell, Sherri Kinard, Carol Lang, Sarah Levy, Anna Lowit, David Miller, Steve Nako, Yuen-Shaung Ng, Randy Perfetti, Sheila Piper, Mohsen Sahafeyan, Bernard Schneider, William O. Smith, Linda Taylor, William Wooge

Environmental Fate and Effects Division: Kevin Costello, James Hetrick, Stephanie Irene, Nelson Thurman

Biological & Economic Analysis Division: Leo LaSota

Special Review and Reregistration Division: Laura Parsons

Management:

Division Director: _____
Margaret J. Stasikowski, Date

Table of Contents

Glossary	Page 44
Acronyms	Page 46
Technical Executive Summary	Page 48
I. Preliminary OP Cumulative Risk Assessment	I.A Page 1
B. Hazard/RPF	I.B Page 1
1. Introduction	I.B Page 1
2. Cumulative Hazard and Dose-Response Assessment Methods ..	I.B Page 2
a. Overview	I.B Page 2
b. Summary of Preliminary Hazard and Dose-Response Assessment from July 2001	I.B Page 2
i. Selection of Endpoints	I.B Page 2
ii. Selection of Routes and Duration of Exposure for Potency Determination	I.B Page 3
iii. Available Toxicity Database	I.B Page 3
iv. Collection of Cholinesterase Activity Data from Oral Studies	I.B Page 4
vi. Collection of Cholinesterase Activity Data From Dermal and Inhalation Studies	I.B Page 4
vi. Dose-Response Analysis used for the Oral Route	I.B Page 4
vii. Identification of Steady State	I.B Page 6
vii. Selection of the Index Chemical (Methamidophos)	I.B Page 6
ix. Points of Departure (POD)	I.B Page 7
c. Summary of Refinements and Revisions to the Preliminary Hazard and Dose-Response Assessment	I.B Page 7
i. Selection of Relative Potency Factors for the Female Brain Cholinesterase Data Set	I.B Page 7
ii. Exponential Equations Used	I.B Page 8
iii. Joint Analysis of OP Cholinesterase Data	I.B Page 9
iv. Estimates of the Horizontal Asymptote	I.B Page 9
v. Incorporation of Fixed and Random Effects	I.B Page 10
vi. Weighting: Modeling the Relationship of Variance-to-Mean	I.B Page 10
vii. Use of BMD ₁₀ for Relative Potency Determination	I.B Page 11
viii. Use of Comparative Effect Levels (CELs) for Dermal and Inhalation Relative Potency Determination	I.B Page 11
d. Determination of Chemical Potency	I.B Page 11
i. Pathway of Exposure: Oral Route	I.B Page 11
ii. Pathway of Exposure: Dermal Route	I.B Page 21
iii. Pathway of Exposure: Inhalation Route	I.B Page 23
3. Results	I.B Page 24
a. Dose-Response Modeling: Oral Route of Exposure	I.B Page 24

i.	Results of Model Fitting: Basic vs. Expanded Equation . . .	I.B Page 24
ii.	Parameter Estimates	I.B Page 28
iii.	Benchmark Dose Calculations	I.B Page 28
iii.	Comparison of Sexes	I.B Page 30
b.	CELs Determined for Dermal Endpoints for OPs with Residential/Nonoccupational Exposure	I.B Page 30
c.	CELs Determined for Inhalation Endpoints for OPs with Residential/Nonoccupational Exposure	I.B Page 30
d.	Points of Departure for the Index Chemical (Methamidophos)	I.B Page 40
e.	Relative Potency Factors (RPFs)	I.B Page 41
4.	Comparison of relative potency factors from July and December analyses	I.B Page 41
a.	RPFs Derived From Different Methodology: Analysis of Discreet Data Sets vs. Joint Analysis	I.B Page 41
b.	RPFs Proposed for Extrapolation of Cumulative Risk: Male RBC Database vs. Female Brain Database	I.B Page 45
i.	Brain Acetylcholinesterase Inhibition Dose-Response Data show Tighter Confidence Intervals Compared to RBC CHEI . . .	I.B Page 45
ii.	Brain Cholinesterase is Concordant with RBC Cholinesterase	I.B Page 45
iii.	Inhalation and Dermal RPFs	I.B Page 53
iv.	Points of Departure for Brain Cholinesterase Compared to RBC Cholinesterase	I.B Page 54
5.	Discussion	I.B Page 54
a.	Determination of Relative Potency	I.B Page 54
b.	Dose Additivity	I.B Page 55
c.	Future Directions in Cumulative Dose-Response Assessment: Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK) Modeling . . .	I.B Page 57
C.	Cumulative Risk From Pesticides in Foods	I.C Page 1
1.	Introduction to Food	I.C Page 1
2.	Sources of Residue Data	I.C Page 2
a.	USDA-PDP	I.C Page 2
b.	FDA Surveillance Monitoring Data	I.C Page 2
c.	FDA Total Diet Study (TDS)	I.C Page 3
3.	OP Pesticides Included in Cumulative Assessment	I.C Page 3
4.	Foods Included in the Cumulative Risk Assessment	I.C Page 4
5.	Method of Estimation of Cumulative Dietary Risk	I.C Page 6
a.	Manipulation of Residue Data for Exposure Assessment	I.C Page 6
b.	Generation of Cumulative Equivalent Residue (Residue _{IE})	I.C Page 7
c.	Relational Database	I.C Page 8
d.	Generation of Exposure Values	I.C Page 9
e.	Food Consumption Data	I.C Page 9
f.	Hazard Data used in the Cumulative Food Assessment	I.C Page 10
6.	Results	I.C Page 10
7.	Discussion	I.C Page 15
a.	Some PDP residue data were excluded	I.C Page 15

b.	Composite samples were used to estimate residues in single- servings as consumed	I.C Page 16
c.	PDP samples were assumed to reflect residues in foods prepared for consumption	I.C Page 16
d.	Residue data were assumed to reflect co-occurrence of OPs in single-day diets	I.C Page 16
e.	It was assumed that all OPs of concern on an analyzed food sample were accounted for in the residue analysis	I.C Page 17
f.	PDP residue data were translated in some cases to foods for which no residue data were available	I.C Page 18
g.	The food exposure portion of this cumulative assessment is considered to be constant throughout the year and across regions	I.C Page 18
h.	Some residue data are under consideration but not included in this assessment	I.C Page 19
8.	Work currently in progress	I.C Page 19
D.	Residential OP Cumulative Risk	I.D Page 1
1.	Introduction	I.D Page 1
2.	Scope of Regional Assessments	I.D Page 2
3.	Residential Scenarios	I.D Page 3
a.	Lawns and Golf Course Treatments	I.D Page 3
b.	Home Gardens	I.D Page 4
c.	Public Health Uses	I.D Page 4
d.	Indoor Uses	I.D Page 4
4.	Exposure Routes Considered	I.D Page 4
5.	Data Sources	I.D Page 6
a.	Use Information	I.D Page 8
b.	Exposure Factors	I.D Page 9
c.	Residue Levels and Residue Contact Data	I.D Page 9
6.	Lawn Care Exposure Data	I.D Page 9
a.	Lawn Applicator Dermal and Inhalation Exposure Data	I.D Page 9
b.	Post-application Dermal and Non-Dietary Exposure Data	I.D Page 13
i.	Dermal Exposure-Residue Contact Data	I.D Page 13
ii.	Non-Dietary Exposure Data Hand-to-Mouth Behavior	I.D Page 15
7.	Home Garden Applicator and Post Application Exposure Data	I.D Page 17
8.	Golf Courses Post Application Exposure Data	I.D Page 17
9.	Public Health Post Application Exposure Data	I.D Page 18
10.	Indoor Uses Inhalation Exposure Data	I.D Page 19
11.	In Summary	I.D Page 19
E.	Water OP Cumulative Risk	I.E Page 1
1.	Introduction: Incorporating Water Exposure Into the OP Cumulative Assessment	I.E Page 1
2.	What We Know About OP Occurrence in Drinking Water from Monitoring Data	I.E Page 3
a.	Surface Water	I.E Page 4
i.	Sources of Surface-Water Data	I.E Page 4
ii.	Completeness of the Surface-Water Monitoring Data Set	I.E Page 6
iii.	Effects of Study Design	I.E Page 7

iv.	USGS-EPA Reservoir Monitoring Project	I.E Page 8
v.	Industry's Six-OP Monitoring Study	I.E Page 11
b.	Ground Water	I.E Page 12
i.	Sources of Recent Ground-Water Monitoring Data	I.E Page 13
3.	Effects of Drinking Water Treatment on OP Pesticides	I.E Page 16
a.	2001 Syngenta Study of Chlorination Effects on Six OPs and Four Oxons	I.E Page 17
4.	Summary of Monitoring Information	I.E Page 17
5.	Suitability in Meeting Cumulative Assessment Needs	I.E Page 18
a.	Limitations on Use of Surface-Water Monitoring for the Cumulative Assessment	I.E Page 19
6.	Drinking Water Assessment Methods	I.E Page 20
a.	Chemicals and Uses Included in the Cumulative Assessment	I.E Page 21
i.	Parent Chemicals and Uses	I.E Page 21
ii.	Transformation Products	I.E Page 21
iii.	List of Parent Chemicals and Transformation Products	I.E Page 22
b.	Regional Approach for the Cumulative Water Exposure Assessment	I.E Page 23
i.	Selecting and Defining Regions	I.E Page 24
ii.	Consistency with US Environmental Protection Agency Residue Crop Production Regions	I.E Page 25
iii.	General Region Descriptions	I.E Page 26
c.	Selecting Regional Water Exposure Assessment Locations	I.E Page 31
d.	Estimate Pesticide Concentrations in Drinking Water Sources Within Each Region	I.E Page 36
i.	Cumulative Adjustment Factors for Crop Area and OP Use	I.E Page 38
e.	Pesticide Usage Information	I.E Page 40
f.	Incorporate the Drinking Water Exposure Estimate into the Cumulative Assessment	I.E Page 42
F.	Cumulative Assessment	I.F Page 1
1.	Introduction	I.F Page 1
2.	Basic Concepts	I.F Page 1
3.	Framing the Population-Based Assessment	I.F Page 2
4.	Interpreting the Outputs	I.F Page 4
G.	Risk Characterization	I.G Page 1
1.	Introduction	I.G Page 1
2.	Hazard and Dose-Response Assessment	I.G Page 1
a.	Acetylcholinesterase Inhibition: Data Quality & Common Effect	I.G Page 2
b.	Exponential Dose-Response Model	I.G Page 3
c.	Selecting the Index Chemical	I.G Page 4
d.	Use of Steady State	I.G Page 4
3.	Food Assessment	I.G Page 5
a.	Consumption Data	I.G Page 5
b.	PDP Monitoring Data in the Assessment	I.G Page 6

c.	Data Translation from PDP	I.G Page 7
d.	Other Sources of Residue Data	I.G Page 8
e.	Impact of Regulatory Actions	I.G Page 8
f.	Children's Exposures	I.G Page 8
g.	Model Outputs/DEEM™	I.G Page 10
4.	Residential Assessment	I.G Page 11
a.	Exposure Contact and Pesticide Residue Dissipation Data ..	I.G Page 13
b.	Pesticide Use Data	I.G Page 14
c.	Use of Calendex	I.G Page 15
d.	Non-dietary ingestion	I.G Page 15
e.	Results	I.G Page 16
5.	Regional Water Exposure Assessments	I.G Page 17
a.	What Each Regional Assessment Represents	I.G Page 17
b.	What PRZM-EXAMS and the Index Reservoir Represent . . .	I.G Page 18
i.	Nature of the Drinking Water Source	I.G Page 19
ii.	Nature of the Watershed	I.G Page 19
iii.	Multiple Years of Local Weather Data	I.G Page 20
c.	What the Usage, Cropping Areas, and Acre Treatments Represent	I.G Page 21
d.	Timing of Application	I.G Page 22
e.	Water Treatment Effects	I.G Page 22
6.	Overall Risk Assessment Considerations	I.G Page 23
7.	Conclusions	I.G Page 26
H.	Future Work	I.H Page 1
1.	Hazard Assessment	I.H Page 2
2.	Food Exposure Assessment	I.H Page 3
3.	Drinking Water Exposure Assessment	I.H Page 4
4.	Residential Exposure Assessment	I.H Page 5
5.	Risk Assessment Methodology	I.H Page 6
I.	References	I.I Page 1
II.	Preliminary Regional Risk Assessments	II.A Page 1
A.	Region 1 - Heartland Assessment	II.A Page 1
1.	Executive Summary	II.A Page 1
2.	Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Heartland Region	II.A Page 2
a.	Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.A Page 6
i.	Bensulide	II.A Page 6
ii.	Malathion	II.A Page 6
iii.	Trichlorfon	II.A Page 6
3.	Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Heartland Region ..	II.A Page 7
a.	Selection of eastern Illinois for Drinking Water Assessment ..	II.A Page 7
b.	Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.A Page 11
c.	A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results ..	II.A Page 14
d.	Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Heartland . . .	II.A Page 15
4.	Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.A Page 19

a.	Children 1-2 years old	II.A Page 21
b.	Children 3-5 years old	II.A Page 21
c.	Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.A Page 22
B.	Region 2 - Northern Crescent	II.B Page 1
1.	Executive Summary	II.B Page 1
2.	Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Northern Crescent Region	II.B Page 3
a.	Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.B Page 6
i.	Bensulide	II.B Page 6
ii.	Malathion	II.B Page 6
iii.	Trichlorfon	II.B Page 6
3.	Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Northern Crescent Region	II.B Page 7
a.	Selection of southeastern Pennsylvania for Drinking Water Assessment	II.B Page 7
b.	Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.B Page 10
c.	A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.B Page 13
d.	Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Northern Crescent	II.B Page 14
4.	Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.B Page 25
a.	Children 1-2 years old	II.B Page 26
b.	Children 3-5 years old	II.B Page 27
c.	Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.B Page 27
C.	Region 3 - Northern Great Plains Assessment	II.C Page 1
1.	Executive Summary	II.C Page 1
2.	Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Northern Great Plains Region	II.C Page 3
a.	Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.C Page 6
i.	Bensulide	II.C Page 6
ii.	Malathion	II.C Page 6
iii.	Trichlorfon	II.C Page 6
3.	Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Northern Great Plains Region	II.C Page 7
a.	Selection of southeastern Pennsylvania for Drinking Water Assessment	II.C Page 7
b.	Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.C Page 11
c.	A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.C Page 15
d.	Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Northern Great Plains	II.C Page 16
4.	Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.C Page 19
a.	Children 1-2 years old	II.C Page 20
b.	Children 3-5 years old	II.C Page 21
c.	Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.C Page 21
D.	Region 4 - Prairie Gateway Assessment	II.D Page 1
1.	Executive Summary	II.D Page 1

2.	Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Prairie Gateway Region	II.D Page 3
	II.D Page 3
a.	Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.D Page 7
	i. Acephate	II.D Page 7
	ii. Bensulide	II.D Page 7
	iii. Malathion	II.D Page 7
	iv. Fenamiphos	II.D Page 8
	v. Trichlorfon	II.D Page 8
3.	Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Prairie Gateway Region	II.D Page 8
	II.D Page 8
a.	Selection of the Central Hill Region of Texas for Drinking Water Assessment	II.D Page 9
b.	Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.D Page 14
	II.D Page 14
c.	A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.D Page 17
d.	Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Prairie Gateway	II.D Page 18
	II.D Page 18
4.	Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.D Page 20
	a. Children 1-2 years old	II.D Page 22
	b. Children 3-5 years old	II.D Page 22
	c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.D Page 23
E.	Region 5 - Eastern Uplands Assessment	II.E Page 1
	II.E Page 1
1.	Executive Summary	II.E Page 1
2.	Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Eastern Upland Region	II.E Page 3
	II.E Page 3
a.	Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.E Page 7
	i. Acephate	II.E Page 7
	ii. Bensulide	II.E Page 7
	iii. Malathion	II.E Page 7
	iv. Fenamiphos	II.E Page 8
	v. Trichlorfon	II.E Page 8
3.	Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Eastern Uplands Region	II.E Page 8
	II.E Page 8
a.	Selection of Henderson County North Carolina for Drinking Water Assessment	II.E Page 9
b.	Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.E Page 12
	II.E Page 12
c.	A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.E Page 15
d.	Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Eastern Uplands	II.E Page 16
	II.E Page 16
4.	Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.E Page 18
	a. Children 1-2 years old	II.E Page 20
	b. Children 3-5 years old	II.E Page 20
	c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.E Page 21
F.	Region 6 - Southern Seaboard Assessment	II.F Page 1
	II.F Page 1
1.	Executive Summary	II.F Page 1
2.	Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Southern Seaboard Region	

6	II.F Page 3
a. Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.F Page 7
i. Acephate	II.F Page 7
ii. Bensulide	II.F Page 7
iii. Malathion	II.F Page 7
3. Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Southern Seaboard Region	II.F Page 8
a. Selection of Eastern North Carolina for Drinking Water Assessment	II.F Page 8
b. Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.F Page 13
c. A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.F Page 15
d. Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Southern Seaboard	II.F Page 16
4. Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.F Page 20
a. Children 1-2 years old	II.F Page 21
b. Children 3-5 years old	II.F Page 22
c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.F Page 22
G. Region 7A - Fruitful Rim NCV Assessment	II.G Page 1
1. Executive Summary	II.G Page 1
2. Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Fruitful Rim NCV Region 7A	II.G Page 7
a. Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.G Page 7
i. Acephate	II.G Page 7
ii. Bensulide	II.G Page 7
iii. Malathion	II.G Page 7
iv. Fenamiphos	II.G Page 8
v. Trichlorfon	II.G Page 8
3. Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Fruitful Rim NCV Region/ North-Central Counties of the Central Valley	II.G Page 8
a. Selection of the Central Valley of California for Drinking Water Assessment	II.G Page 8
b. Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.G Page 14
c. A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.G Page 21
d. Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Fruitful Rim NCV	II.G Page 22
4. Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.G Page 27
a. Children 1-2 years old	II.G Page 29
b. Children 3-5 years old	II.G Page 29
c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.G Page 29
H. Region 7B - Fruitful Rim SCV Assessment	II.H Page 1
1. Executive Summary	II.H Page 1
2. Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Fruitful Rim Region 7B	II.H Page 3
a. Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.H Page 7
i. Acephate	II.H Page 7
ii. Bensulide	II.H Page 7

iii. Malathion	II.H Page 7
iv. Fenamiphos	II.H Page 7
v. Trichlorfon	II.H Page 8
3. Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Southwest Fruitful Rim Region/ Southern Counties of the Central Valley	II.H Page 8
a. Selection of the Central Valley of California for Drinking Water Assessment	II.H Page 8
b. Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.H Page 13
c. A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results ..	II.H Page 21
d. Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Southwest Fruitful Rim	II.H Page 22
4. Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.H Page 27
a. Children 1-2 years old	II.H Page 28
b. Children 3-5 years old	II.H Page 28
c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.H Page 29
I. Region 8 - Basin and Range	II.I Page 1
1. Executive Summary	II.I Page 1
2. Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Basin and Range Region	II.I Page 3
a. Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.I Page 6
i. Bensulide	II.I Page 6
ii. Malathion	II.I Page 6
iii. Trichlorfon	II.I Page 6
3. Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Basin and Range Region	II.I Page 7
a. Selection of the Red River Valley as a Surrogate for Drinking Water Assessment	II.I Page 7
b. Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water ..	II.I Page 10
c. A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results ..	II.I Page 13
d. Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Basin and Range	II.I Page 14
4. Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.I Page 14
a. Children 1-2 years old	II.I Page 15
b. Children 3-5 years old	II.I Page 16
c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.I Page 16
J. Region 9 - MS Portal Assessment	II.J Page 1
1. Executive Summary	II.J Page 1
2. Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of MS Portal Region 9	II.J Page 3
a. Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.J Page 8
i. Acephate	II.J Page 8
ii. Bensulide	II.J Page 8
iii. Malathion	II.J Page 8
iv. Fenamiphos	II.J Page 9
v. Trichlorfon	II.J Page 9
3. Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Mississippi Portal Region	

.....	II.J Page 9
a. Selection of Northeastern Louisiana for Drinking Water Assessment II.J Page 10
b. Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water II.J Page 15
c. A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	.. II.J Page 18
d. Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Mississippi Portal II.J Page 19
4. Results of Cumulative Assessment II.J Page 21
a. Children 1- 2 years old II.J Page 23
b. Children 3-5 years old II.J Page 23
c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old II.J Page 24
K. Region 10 - Fruitful Rim NW Assessment II.K Page 1
1. Executive Summary II.K Page 1
2. Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Fruitful Rim NW Region 10 II.K Page 3
a. Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions II.K Page 6
i. Malathion II.K Page 6
ii. Trichlorfon II.K Page 6
3. Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Northwest Fruitful Rim Region II.K Page 6
a. Selection of the Willamette River Valley of Oregon for Drinking Water Assessment II.K Page 7
b. Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water II.K Page 12
c. A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	.. II.K Page 16
d. Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Northwest Fruitful Rim II.K Page 17
4. Results of Cumulative Assessment II.K Page 24
a. Children 1-2 years old II.K Page 25
b. Children 3-5 years old II.K Page 26
c. Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old II.K Page 26
L. Region 11 - Fruitful Rim TX Assessment II.L Page 1
1. Executive Summary II.L Page 1
2. Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Fruitful Rim TX Region 11 II.L Page 3
a. Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions II.L Page 7
i. Acephate II.L Page 7
ii. Bensulide II.L Page 7
iii. Malathion II.L Page 7
iv. Fenamiphos II.L Page 7
v. Trichlorfon II.L Page 7
3. Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Texas Fruitful Rim Region II.L Page 8
a. Selection of the Central Hill Region of Texas As a Surrogate for the Drinking Water Assessment II.L Page 8
b. Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	

c.	A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.L Page 14
d.	Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Texas Fruitful Rim	II.L Page 15
4.	Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.L Page 16
a.	Children 1-2 years old	II.L Page 17
b.	Children 3-5 years old	II.L Page 17
c.	Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.L Page 18
M.	Region 12 - Fruitful Rim – FL	II.M Page 1
1.	Executive Summary	II.M Page 1
2.	Development of Residential Exposure Aspects of Fruitful Rim – FL Region	II.M Page 3
a.	Dissipation Data Sources and Assumptions	II.M Page 7
i.	Acephate	II.M Page 7
ii.	Bensulide	II.M Page 7
iii.	Malathion	II.M Page 7
iv.	Trichlorfon	II.M Page 8
v.	Fenamiphos	II.M Page 8
3.	Development of Water Exposure Aspects of Fruitful Rim-FL Region	II.M Page 8
a.	Selection of Palm Beach County for Drinking Water Assessment	II.M Page 9
b.	Cumulative OP Concentration Distribution in Surface Water	II.M Page 14
c.	A Comparison of Monitoring Data versus Modeling Results	II.M Page 17
d.	Summary of Available Monitoring Data for the Fruitful Rim-FL	II.M Page 18
4.	Results of Cumulative Assessment	II.M Page 21
a.	Children 1-2 years old	II.M Page 22
b.	Children 3-5 years old	II.M Page 23
c.	Adults, 20-49 and Adults 50+ years old	II.M Page 23

III.	Appendices	III.A Page 1
A.	Introduction - No Appendices	III.A Page 1
B.	Hazard/RPF	III.B.1 Page 1
1.	Technical Aspects of Dose-Response Analysis	III.B.1 Page 1
a.	Analysis of Individual Data Sets (July 2001)	III.B.1 Page 1
i.	Summary of July 2001 Analysis	III.B.1 Page 1
ii.	Dose-Response Modeling (July 2001)	III.B.1 Page 1
iii.	Identifying Steady State	III.B.1 Page 5
iv.	Combining Potency Estimates and Computing Relative Potencies	III.B.1 Page 5
v.	Estimating BMDs and BMDLs	III.B.1 Page 6
vi.	Simulations to Support the BMDL Computations	III.B.1 Page 7
vii.	Software	III.B.1 Page 11

viii. Conclusion (July 2001)	III.B.1	Page 11
b. Follow-up Joint Analysis (December 2001)	III.B.1	Page 15
i. Accounting for First-Pass Metabolism	III.B.1	Page 15
ii. The Nonlinear Mixed Effects Model	III.B.1	Page 17
2. Dose-Response Curves	III.B.2	Page 1
3. Response to SAP Comments from September 2000 Report	III.B.3	Page 1
a. Derivation of the Adjustment Factor "B" and Modification of Decision Tree for use of "B"	III.B.3	Page 1
b. Conduct a Formal Analysis of Residuals as a Function of Dose	III.B.3	Page 1
c. Accuracy of the "Chi Square Approximation" for the "Goodness of Fit" Statistic	III.B.3	Page 2
d. Confidence Interval Calculations	III.B.3	Page 2
e. Deleting p- and t- values	III.B.3	Page 2
f. Estimates of Relative Potency	III.B.3	Page 2
g. Inhalation Dose	III.B.3	Page 3
h. Use of Individual Animal Data	III.B.3	Page 3
i. Use of NOAEL's and LOAEL's For Inhalation and Dermal Routes	III.B.3	Page 3
j. Derivation of Doses from the Actual Dietary Intake Rates	III.B.3	Page 4
4. R Programs for the Revised Analysis	III.B.1	Page 1
a. Part 1: Package RBMDS	III.B.1	Page 1
b. Part 2: Scripts For Data Analysis	III.B.1	Page 14
i. .Rprofile	III.B.1	Page 14
ii. getalldata.R	III.B.1	Page 15
iii. BrainProfLik.R	III.B.1	Page 19
iv. plotProfiles.R	III.B.1	Page 23
v. plotProfiles2.R	III.B.1	Page 24
vi. BRAINfits2.R	III.B.1	Page 25
vii. plotBrainresids2.R	III.B.1	Page 34
viii. pkgridsearch.R	III.B.1	Page 39
ix. plotSDProfiles.R	III.B.1	Page 43
x. plotSDProfiles2.R	III.B.1	Page 44
xi. BRAINpkfits.R	III.B.1	Page 45
xii. plotpkresids2.R	III.B.1	Page 52
xiii. getBs-etc.R	III.B.1	Page 57
xiv. plotAppendixFigs.R	III.B.1	Page 60
xv. printParameters.R	III.B.1	Page 64
C. Food	III.C.1	Page 1
1. The Sources of Residue Inputs for the Assessment of the Cumulative Dietary Exposure to Organophosphorus Pesticides on Foods	III.C.1	Page 1
2. Summary of PDP Residue Analyses of Organophosphorus Pesticides on Foods (1994-2000)	III.C.2	Page 1
C. Food	III.C.3	Page 1
3. A Summary of FDA Total Diet Study Analyses for Organophosphorus Pesticides on Meats (1991-1999)	III.C.3	Page 1
4. Permissible Crop Translations for Pesticide Monitoring Data		

.....	III.C.4 Page 1
5. Processing Factors Used in Estimating Residues of OP Pesticides in Food Commodities	III.C.5 Page 1
6. Translation of PDP Foods to Food Forms in DEEM 7 and DEEM-FCID	III.C.6 Page 1
7. DEEM-FCID Inputs for Cumulative OP Exposure Assessment	III.C.7 Page 1
8. Summary of Cumulative Residue Distributions Estimated by RPF Method	III.C.8 Page 1
9. Cross-walk Table for Comparison of DEEM 7 (RS7) Foods to DEEM-FCID (R98) Foods	III.C.9 Page 1
10. Co-Occurrence of Organophosphorus Pesticides on PDP Samples, 1994-1999	III.C.10 Page 1
D. Residential - No Appendices	III.D Page 1
E. Water Appendices	III.E.1 Page 1
1. Water Exposure Assessment: Summary of Organophosphorous (OP) Occurrence in Ambient Waters from the USGS NAWQA Program	III.E.1 Page 1
a. The Heartland	III.E.1 Page 1
i. NAWQA Lower Illinois River Basin (LIRB)	III.E.1 Page 1
ii. White River Basin (WHIT)	III.E.1 Page 2
iii. Eastern Iowa (EIWA)	III.E.1 Page 2
iv. Upper Mississippi River Basin	III.E.1 Page 3
v. Upper Illinois River Basin (UIRB)	III.E.1 Page 3
b. Northern Crescent	III.E.1 Page 5
c. Northern Great Plains	III.E.1 Page 11
d. Prairie Gateway and Texas Fruitful Rim	III.E.1 Page 14
e. Eastern Uplands	III.E.1 Page 19
f. Southern Seaboard	III.E.1 Page 22
g. Southwest Fruitful Rim	III.E.1 Page 27
h. Mississippi Portal	III.E.1 Page 32
i. USGS Cotton Pesticide Study	III.E.1 Page 33
i. Northwest Fruitful Rim	III.E.1 Page 36
i. Ground-Water Assessment of Southeastern Idaho	III.E.1 Page 39
j. Southeast (FL) Fruitful Rim	III.E.1 Page 45
2. Summary of State Monitoring Programs	III.E.2 Page 1
a. Alabama	III.E.2 Page 1
b. Alaska	III.E.2 Page 1
c. Arizona	III.E.2 Page 2
d. Arkansas	III.E.2 Page 2
e. California	III.E.2 Page 2
f. Colorado	III.E.2 Page 4
g. Connecticut	III.E.2 Page 4
h. Delaware	III.E.2 Page 4
i. Florida	III.E.2 Page 5
j. Georgia	III.E.2 Page 5
k. Hawaii	III.E.2 Page 6

l. Idaho	III.E.2 Page 6
m. Illinois	III.E.2 Page 6
n. Indiana	III.E.2 Page 6
o. Iowa	III.E.2 Page 7
i. Future ground-water monitoring	III.E.2 Page 7
ii. Surface Water Monitoring	III.E.2 Page 8
p. Kansas	III.E.2 Page 8
q. Kentucky	III.E.2 Page 8
r. Louisiana	III.E.2 Page 9
s. Maine	III.E.2 Page 9
t. Maryland	III.E.2 Page 9
u. Massachusetts	III.E.2 Page 10
v. Michigan	III.E.2 Page 10
w. Minnesota	III.E.2 Page 11
x. Mississippi	III.E.2 Page 11
y. Missouri	III.E.2 Page 11
z. Montana	III.E.2 Page 12
aa. Nebraska	III.E.2 Page 12
bb. Nevada	III.E.2 Page 13
cc. New Hampshire	III.E.2 Page 13
dd. New Jersey	III.E.2 Page 13
ee. New Mexico	III.E.2 Page 14
ff. New York	III.E.2 Page 14
gg. North Carolina	III.E.2 Page 14
hh. North Dakota	III.E.2 Page 14
ii. Ohio	III.E.2 Page 15
jj. Oklahoma	III.E.2 Page 16
kk. Oregon	III.E.2 Page 16
ll. Pennsylvania	III.E.2 Page 16
mm. Rhode Island	III.E.2 Page 16
nn. South Carolina	III.E.2 Page 17
oo. South Dakota	III.E.2 Page 17
pp. Tennessee	III.E.2 Page 17
qq. Texas	III.E.2 Page 18
rr. Utah	III.E.2 Page 18
ss. Vermont	III.E.2 Page 18
tt. Virginia	III.E.2 Page 19
uu. Washington	III.E.2 Page 19
vv. West Virginia	III.E.2 Page 19
ww. Wisconsin	III.E.2 Page 19
xx. Wyoming	III.E.2 Page 20
3. Water Exposure Assessment: Preliminary Analysis of the USGS-EPA Pilot Reservoir Monitoring Program	III.E.3 Page 1
a. Introduction	III.E.3 Page 1
b. Assessment Process	III.E.3 Page 4
c. Uncertainties and Limitations in Interpretation of Monitoring Data	III.E.3 Page 4

d.	Methods of Data Analysis	III.E.3	Page 5
e.	Summary Statistics	III.E.3	Page 6
f.	Water Treatment Reduction Percentages	III.E.3	Page 6
g.	Water Treatment Trains and Basic Water Quality Data	III.E.3	Page 7
h.	Summary of Organophosphorus Detections	III.E.3	Page 8
i.	Summary Statistics	III.E.3	Page 9
i.	Water Treatment Effects	III.E.3	Page 13
j.	Co-occurrence	III.E.3	Page 16
k.	Conclusion	III.E.3	Page 17
4.	Water Exposure Assessment: Effects of Drinking Water Treatment on Organophosphate Pesticides	III.E.4	Page 1
a.	Introduction	III.E.4	Page 1
b.	Drinking Water Disinfection	III.E.4	Page 2
i.	Treatment by Chlorine and Chlorine Compounds	III.E.4	Page 2
ii.	Reactions of Chlorine with Organic Compounds and Pesticides	III.E.4	Page 4
c.	Water Softening	III.E.4	Page 5
d.	Additional Water Treatment Data on Organophosphate Pesticide Removal and Transformation	III.E.4	Page 6
i.	Chlorination Jar Test	III.E.4	Page 6
ii.	Softening Jar Test	III.E.4	Page 6
iii.	Results	III.E.4	Page 7
e.	Registrant Sponsored Water Treatment Data	III.E.4	Page 8
i.	Review Comments	III.E.4	Page 8
ii.	Study Objective	III.E.4	Page 9
5.	Chemical-Specific Inputs Used in the Drinking Water Exposure Assessment	III.E.5	Page 1
6.	Water Exposure Assessment: Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS by Region	III.E.6	Page 1
7.	Water Exposure Assessment: Standard Procedure for Quality Assurance/Control in Developing and Documenting Pesticide Root Zone Model (PRZM) Crop Scenarios	III.E.7	Page 1
a.	Overview	III.E.7	Page 1
b.	Procedure for Conducting Quality Assurance and Quality Control of Existing and New PRZM Field and Orchard Crop Standard Scenarios	III.E.7	Page 5
c.	Water Exposure Assessment: Documentation of Pesticide Root Zone Model (PRZM) Field and Orchard Crop Scenarios	III.E.7	Page 21
8.	Use and Usage Information by Region	III.E.8	Page 1
9.	Spray Drift Loadings Used in the Cumulative Assessment	III.E.9	Page 1
F.	Cumulative Risk Assessment - No Appendices	III.F	Page 1
G.	Risk Characterization - No Appendices	III.G	Page 1
H.	Future Work - No Appendices	III.H	Page 1
I.	Region 1 - Heartland	III.I.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.I.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.I.2	Page 1
J.	Region 2 - Northern Crescent	III.J.1	Page 1

1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.J.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.J.2	Page 1
K.	Region 3 - Northern Great Plains	III.K.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.K.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.K.2	Page 1
L.	Region 4 - Prairie Gateway	III.L.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.L.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.L.2	Page 1
M.	Region 5 - Eastern Uplands	III.M.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.M.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.M.2	Page 1
N.	Region 6 - Southern Seaboard	III.N.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.N.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.N.2	Page 1
O.	Region 7b - Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.O.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.O.2	Page 1
P.	Region 7b - Fruitful Rim S. Central Valley	III.P.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.P.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.P.2	Page 1
Q.	Region 8 - Basin and Range	III.Q.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.Q.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.Q.2	Page 1
R.	Region 9 - Mississippi Portal	III.R.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.R.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.R.2	Page 1
S.	Region 10 - Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.S.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.S.2	Page 1
T.	Region 11 - Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.T.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.T.2	Page 1
U.	Region 11 - Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.1	Page 1
1.	Preliminary Regional PRZM-EXAMS Output Files	III.U.1	Page 1
2.	Preliminary Regional Cumulative Assessment Outputs	III.U.2	Page 1

List of Figures

.....	1
Figure 1.B-1. Basic and expanded equations.	I.B Page 14
Figure I.B-2a. Plot of the profile likelihood surface to estimate P_B for acephate (an OP for which P_B could be estimated)	I.B Page 17
Figure I.B-2b. Plot of the profile likelihood surface to estimate P_B for bensulide (an OP for which P_B could not be estimated)	I.B Page 17
Figure I.B-3. Dose-response curve, plot of the scaled residuals versus predicted inhibition, and the profile likelihood plot for acephate using the basic model.	I.B Page 27
Figure I.B-4. Dose-response curve, plot of the scaled residuals versus predicted inhibition, and the profile likelihood plots for P_B , D , and S for bensulide using the basic and expanded models	I.B Page 29
Figure I.B-5. Plot of horizontal asymptotes with 95% confidence limits for 29 OPs	I.B Page 33
Figure I.B-6. BMD ₁₀ s (mg/kg/day) for female brain ChE activity for 29 OPs	I.B Page 34
Figure I.B-7. BMD ₁₀ s (mg/kg/day) for male brain ChE activity for 29 OPs ..	I.B Page 35
Figure I.B-8. Comparison of relative potencies for brain ChE activity measured in female and male rats	I.B Page 37
Figure I.B-9. Oral relative potency factors for the inhibition of female brain cholinesterase activity of OPs	I.B Page 42
Figure I.B-10. Comparison of BMD ₁₀ s using the present analysis and the July 2001 analysis for female brain cholinesterase inhibition	I.B Page 44
Figure I.B-11. Relative potency factors from the July 2001 analysis for the inhibition of female brain cholinesterase activity of OPs	I.B Page 46
Figure I.B-12. Relative potency factors from the July 2001 analysis for the inhibition of female RBC cholinesterase activity of OPs	I.B Page 47
Figure I.B-13. Relative potency factors from the July 2001 analysis for the inhibition of male brain cholinesterase activity of OPs	I.B Page 48
Figure I.B-14. Relative potency factors from the July 2001 analysis for the inhibition of male RBC cholinesterase activity of OPs	I.B Page 49
Figure I.B-15. Comparison of RPFs for female brain cholinesterase inhibition from the present analysis and RPFs for male RBC cholinesterase inhibition from the July 2001 analysis	I.B Page 52
Figure 1.C.1.a Margin of Exposure vs. Percentile of Exposure	I.C Page 11
Figure 1.C.1.b Margin of Exposure vs. Percentile of Exposure - upper portion of distribution	I.C Page 12
Figure I.E-1 Location of NAWQA study units	I.E Page 5
Figure I.E-2 Location of reservoirs in the USGS-EPA Reservoir Monitoring Project	I.E Page 9
Figure I.E-3 Map of the Farm Resource Regions	I.E Page 25
Figure I.E-4 Total organophosphorous (OP) pesticide usage on an area-weighted basis	I.E Page 32
Figure I.E-5 Runoff vulnerability (in/year), adapted from USDA (Kellog, 1998)	I.E Page 33

Figure I.E-6 Pesticide leaching vulnerability, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998) I.E Page 34

Figure I.E-7 National map w/ PCAs by 8-digit HUC, region lines I.E Page 35

Figure I.F-1. Three-dimensional plot of the total MOE by day of the year and percentile of exposure I.F Page 5

Figure I.F-2. Cumulative Assessment - Annualized 99th Percentile Estimate for Children Ages 3 -5 Years for All Routes and Pathways I.F Page 6

Figure I.F-3. Cumulative Assessment - Annualized 97.5th Percentile Estimate for Children Ages 3 -5 Years for All Routes and Pathways I.F Page 7

Figure I.G-1. Distribution of Margins of Exposure for 1 to 3-Year Old Children with Non-Detectable Residues Include as 0 and ½ LOD (From the Case Study on 24 OPs Presented to the SAP in December 2000) I.G Page 27

Figure I.G-2. Distributions of Margins of Exposure for Adults 18+ years with Non-Detectable Residues Included as 0 and ½ LOD I.G Page 28

Figure 1.G-3a Margin of Exposure vs. Percentile of Exposure I.G Page 29

Figure 1.G-3b Margin of Exposure vs. Percentile of Exposure -upper portion of distribution I.G Page 30

Figure II.A.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the Heartland Region II.A Page 5

Figure II.A.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Heartland (source: NCFAP, 1997) II.A Page 8

Figure II.A.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Heartland Region II.A Page 9

Figure II.A.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Heartland, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998) II.A Page 10

Figure II.A.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Heartland (Methamidophos equivalents) II.A Page 12

Figure II.A.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Heartland, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years II.A Page 13

Figure II.A.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 10) in the Heartland Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents II.A Page 14

Figure II.B.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the Northern Crescent Region (Region 2) II.B Page 5

Figure II.B.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Northern Crescent (source: NCFAP, 1997) II.B Page 8

Figure II.B.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Northern Crescent Region II.B Page 9

Figure II.B.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Northern Crescent, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998) II.B Page 10

Figure II.B.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Northern Crescent (Methamidophos equivalents) II.B Page 12

Figure II.B.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Northern Crescent, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years II.B Page 12

Figure II.B.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 3) in the Northern Crescent Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents II.B Page 13

Figure II.C.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the Northern Great Plains Region (Region II.C Page 5

Figure II.C.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Northern Great Plains (source: NCFAP, 1997) II.C Page 8

Figure II.C.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Northern Great Plains Region II.C Page 10

Figure II.C.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Northern Great Plains, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998) II.C Page 11

Figure II.C.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Northern Great Plains (Methamidophos equivalents) II.C Page 13

Figure II.C.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Northern Great Plains, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years II.C Page 14

Figure II.C.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 33) in the Northern Great Plains Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents II.C Page 15

Figure II.D.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for Prairie Gateway Region (Region 4) II.D Page 6

Figure II.D.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Prairie Gateway (source: NCFAP, 1997) II.D Page 10

Figure II.D.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Prairie Gateway Region II.D Page 11

Figure II.D.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Prairie Gateway, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998) II.D Page 13

Figure II.D.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Prairie Gateway (Methamidophos equivalents) II.D Page 15

Figure II.D.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Prairie Gateway, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years II.D Page 15

Figure II.E.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for Eastern Uplands Region (Region 5) II.E Page 6

Figure II.E.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Eastern Uplands (source: NCFAP, 1997) II.E Page 10

Figure II.E.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Eastern Uplands Region II.E Page 11

Figure II.E.4. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Eastern Uplands, adjusted for relative potency factors and cumulative adjustment factors II.E Page 13

Figure II.E.5. Cumulative OP distribution in water in the Eastern Uplands, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years II.E Page 14

Figure II.E.6. Cumulative OP Distribution for a Representative Year (Year 3) in the Eastern Upland Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents

.....	II.E Page 15
Figure II.F.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for Southern Seaboard Region (Region 6)	II.F Page 6
Figure II.F.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Southern Seaboard (source: NCFAP, 1997)	II.F Page 10
Figure II.F.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Southern Seaboard Region	II.F Page 11
Figure II.F.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Southern Seaboard, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998)	II.F Page 12
Figure II.F.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Southern Seaboard (Methamidophos equivalents)	II.F Page 14
Figure II.F.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Southern Seaboard, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years	II.F Page 14
Figure II.F.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 3) in the Southern Seaboard Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents	II.F Page 15
Figure II.G.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the NCV Region (Region 7a)	II.G Page 6
Figure II.G.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Fruitful Rim CA (source: NCFAP, 1997)	II.G Page 10
Figure II.G.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Fruitful Rim CA Region	II.G Page 12
Figure II.G.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Fruitful Rim CA, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998)	II.G Page 13
Figure II.G.5. Total OP Usage for North Central Valley, By Crop, 1998	II.G Page 18
Figure II.G.6. Total OP Usage in North Central Valley, By Active Ingredient, 1998	II.G Page 19
Figure II.G.7. Total OP Usage on Walnuts in the North Central Valley, By AI, 1998	II.G Page 19
Figure II.G.8. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Fruitful Rim NCV (Methamidophos equivalents)	II.G Page 20
Figure II.G.9. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Fruitful Rim NCV, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years	II.G Page 20
Figure II.G.10. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 14) in the Fruitful Rim NCV Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents	II.G Page 21
Figure II.H.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the SCV Region (Region 7b)	II.H Page 6
Figure II.H.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Southwest Fruitful Rim (source: NCFAP, 1997)	II.H Page 10
Figure II.H.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Southwest Fruitful Rim Region	II.H Page 12
Figure II.H.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Southwest Fruitful Rim, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998)	II.H Page 13

Figure II.H.5. Total OP Usage for South Central Valley, By Crop, 1998 . . . II.H Page 18

Figure II.H.6. Total OP Usage in South Central Valley, By Active Ingredient, 1998
 II.H Page 19

Figure II.H.7. Total OP Usage on Cotton in the South Central Valley, By AI, 1998
 II.H Page 19

Figure II.H.8. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Southwest Fruitful Rim
 II.H Page 20

Figure II.H.9. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the
 Southwest Fruitful Rim, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years . . . II.H Page 20

Figure II.I.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the Basin &
 Range Region (Region 8) II.I Page 5

Figure II.I.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Northern Great Plains (source:
 NCFAP, 1997) II.I Page 8

Figure II.I.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in
 relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Basin and Range Region
 II.I Page 9

Figure II.I.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Basin
 and Range, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998) II.I Page 10

Figure II.I.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Red River Valley
 (Methamidophos equivalents) II.I Page 11

Figure II.I.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the
 Red River Valley, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years II.I Page 12

Figure II.I.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 33) in the Red River
 Valley Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos
 Equivalents II.I Page 13

Figure II.J.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the MS Portal
 Region (Region 9) II.J Page 7

Figure II.J.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Mississippi Portal (source:
 NCFAP, 1997) II.J Page 11

Figure II.J.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in
 relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Mississippi Portal Region
 II.J Page 12

Figure II.J.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the
 Mississippi Portal, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998) II.J Page 15

Figure II.J.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Mississippi Portal
 (Methamidophos equivalents) II.J Page 16

Figure II.J.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the
 Mississippi Portal, summarized on daily basis over 20 years II.J Page 17

Figure II.J.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 18) in the
 Mississippi Portal Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in
 Methamidophos Equivalents II.J Page 18

Figure II.K.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the Northwest
 Fruitful Rim Region II.K Page 5

Figure II.K.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Northwest Fruitful Rim (source:
 NCFAP, 1997) II.K Page 9

Figure II.K.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in
 relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Northwest Fruitful Rim

Region	II.K Page 11
Figure II.K.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Northwest Fruitful Rim, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998)	II.K Page 12
Figure II.K.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Northwest Fruitful Rim (Methamidophos equivalents)	II.K Page 14
Figure II.K.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Northwest Fruitful Rim, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years . . .	II.K Page 15
Figure II.K.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 1) in the Northwest Fruitful Rim Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents	II.K Page 15
Figure II.L.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the Fruitful Rim TX Region (Region 11)	II.L Page 6
Figure II.L.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Texas Fruitful Rim (source: NCFAP, 1997)	II.L Page 9
Figure II.L.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Texas Fruitful Rim Region	II.L Page 10
Figure II.L.4. Vulnerability of ground water resources to pesticide leaching in the Texas Fruitful Rim, adapted from USDA (Kellogg, 1998)	II.L Page 11
Figure II.L.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Texas Fruitful Rim (Methamidophos equivalents)	II.L Page 12
Figure II.L.6. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Texas Fruitful Rim, summarized on a daily basis over 35 years	II.L Page 13
Figure II.L.7. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 7) in the Texas Fruitful Rim Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents	II.L Page 13
Figure II.M.1 Residential Scenario Application and Usage Schedules for the Fruitful Rim FL Region (Region 12)	II.M Page 6
Figure II.M.2. Total OP usage (pounds per area) in the Fruitful Rim-FL (source: NCFAP, 1997)	II.M Page 10
Figure II.M.3. Locations of surface water intakes of drinking water (shown as dots) in relation to average annual runoff (color gradation) in the Fruitful Rim-FL Region	II.M Page 11
Figure II.M.4. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water in the Fruitful Rim-FL (Methamidophos equivalents)	II.M Page 15
Figure II.M.5. Cumulative OP Distribution in Water (Methamidophos Equivalents) in the Fruitful Rim-FL, summarized on a weekly basis over 35 years	II.M Page 16
Figure II.M.6. Cumulative OP Distribution for an Example Year (Year 29) in the Fruitful Rim-FL Region Showing Relative Contributions of the Individual OPs in Methamidophos Equivalents	II.M Page 17
Figure III.B.1-1: \log_{10} of the standard deviation of cholinesterase activities plotted against the \log_{10} of the corresponding means, for each compartment and sex.	III.B.1 Page 12
Figure III.B.1-2: Regression tree relating relative bias in potency estimates to model parameter values.	III.B.1 Page 13
Figure III.B.1-3: Regression tree relating Coverage of the nominal 95% confidence limit for the BMD to the simulation conditions.	III.B.1 Page 14

Figure III.B.1-4: Diagram for two-compartment PBPK model for the extension to the basic model. III.B.1 Page 15

Figure III.B.2-1. Acephate : Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plot For P_B III.B.2 Page 2

Figure III.B.2-2. Azinphos-methyl: Dose-response Curves Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plots of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots For P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 4

Figure III.B.2-3. Bensulide: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 6

Figure III.B.2-4 Chlorpyrifos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plot For P_B III.B.2 Page 8

Figure III.B.2-5. Chlorpyrifos-methyl: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plot For P_B III.B.2 Page 10

Figure III.B.2-6 Diazinon: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 12

Figure III.B.2-7 Dichlorvos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 14

Figure III.B.2-8. Dicrotophos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 16

Figure III.B.2-9. Dimethoate: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 18

Figure III.B.2-10 Disulfoton: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 20

Figure III.B.2-11. Ethoprop: Dose-response curve using the basic model, plot of the scaled residuals versus predicted inhibition, and the profile likelihood plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 22

Figure III.B.2-12. Fenamiphos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 24

Figure III.B.2-13. Fenthion: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plot for P_B III.B.2 Page 26

Figure III.b.2-14. Fosthiazate: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plot for P_B III.B.2 Page 28

Figure III.B.2-15 Malathion: Dose-Response Curve Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 30

Figure III.B.2-16. Methamidophos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model for the Oral Route, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 32

Figure III.B.2-17. Methamidophos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model for the Dermal and Inhalation Routes. III.B.2 Page 33

Figure III.B.2-18. Methidathion: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 35

Figure III.B.2-19. Methyl-parathion: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 37

Figure III.B.2-20. Mevinphos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 39

Figure III.B.2-21. Naled: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 41

Figure III.B.2-22. Oxydemeton-methyl: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plot For P_B III.B.2 Page 43

Figure III.B.2-23. Phorate: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 45

Figure III.B.2-24. Phosalone: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 47

Figure III.B.2-25. Phosmet: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 49

Figure III.B.2-26. Pirimiphos-methyl: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 51

Figure III.B.2-27. Terbufos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic and Expanded Models, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 53

Figure III.B.2-28. Tetrachlorvinphos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 56

Figure III.B.2-29. Tribufos: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 58

Figure III.B.2-30. Trichlorfon: Dose-response Curve Using the Basic Model, Plot of the Scaled Residuals Versus Predicted Inhibition, and the Profile Likelihood Plots for P_B , D , and S III.B.2 Page 60

Figure III.B.3-1a. Plots of potency versus time for brain cholinesterase measured in rats exposed to diazinon III.B.3 Page 11

Figure III.B.3-1b. Plots of potency versus time for brain cholinesterase measured in rats

exposed to dimethoate	III.B.3 Page 12
Figure III.B.3-1c. Plots of potency versus time for brain cholinesterase measured in rats exposed to methamidophos	III.B.3 Page 13
Figure III.B.3-1d. Plots of potency versus time for brain cholinesterase measured in rats exposed to phosalone	III.B.3 Page 14
Figure III.B.3-2a. Plots of potency versus time for RBC cholinesterase measured in rats exposed to diazinon	III.B.3 Page 15
Figure III.B.3-2b. Plots of potency versus time for RBC cholinesterase measured in rats exposed to dimethoate	III.B.3 Page 16
Figure III.B.3-2c. Plots of potency versus time for RBC cholinesterase measured in rats exposed to methamidophos	III.B.3 Page 17
Figure III.B.3-2d. Plots of potency versus time for RBC cholinesterase measured in rats exposed to phosalone	III.B.3 Page 18

Figure III.E.3.2: Maximum Water Treatment Reduction Percentages Among Reservoirs	III.E.3 Page 15
Figure III.E.3.3: Malathion and malaoxon formation in raw and finish water samples at the Louisiana water treatment plant	III.E.3 Page 16
Figure III.I.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 1
Figure III.I.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 2
Figure III.I.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 3
Figure III.I.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 4
Figure III.I.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 5
Figure III.I.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 5

Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 6
Figure III.I.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 7
Figure III.I.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 8
Figure III.I.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 9
Figure III.I.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 10
Figure III.I.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 11
Figure III.I.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 12
Figure III.I.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 13
Figure III.I.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 14
Figure III.I.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 15
Figure III.I.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 16
Figure III.I.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 17
Figure III.I.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 18
Figure III.I.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 19
Figure III.I.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Heartland	III.I.2 Page 20
Figure III.J.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 1
Figure III.J.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 2
Figure III.J.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 3
Figure III.J.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 4
Figure III.J.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 5
Figure III.J.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 6
Figure III.J.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 7
Figure III.J.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 8
Figure III.J.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 9

Figure III.J.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 10
Figure III.J.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 11
Figure III.J.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 12
Figure III.J.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 13
Figure III.J.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 14
Figure III.J.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 15
Figure III.J.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 16
Figure III.J.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 17
Figure III.J.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 18
Figure III.J.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 19
Figure III.J.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Northern Crescent	III.J.2 Page 20
Figure III.K.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 1
Figure III.K.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 2
Figure III.K.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 3
Figure III.K.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 4
Figure III.K.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 5
Figure III.K.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 6
Figure III.K.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 7
Figure III.K.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 8
Figure III.K.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 9
Figure III.K.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 10
Figure III.K.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 11
Figure III.K.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 12
Figure III.K.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th	

Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 13
Figure III.K.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 14
Figure III.K.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 15
Figure III.K.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 16
Figure III.K.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 17
Figure III.K.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 18
Figure III.K.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 19
Figure III.K.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Northern Great Plains	III.K.2 Page 20
Figure III.L.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 1
Figure III.L.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 2
Figure III.L.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 3
Figure III.L.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 4
Figure III.L.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 5
Figure III.L.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 6
Figure III.L.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 7
Figure III.L.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 8
Figure III.L.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 9
Figure III.L.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 10
Figure III.L.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 11
Figure III.L.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 12
Figure III.L.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 13
Figure III.L.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 14
Figure III.L.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 15
Figure III.L.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Prairie Gateway	III.L.2 Page 16

Figure III.L.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5th Percentile in Prairie Gateway III.L.2 Page 17

Figure III.L.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99th Percentile in Prairie Gateway III.L.2 Page 18

Figure III.L.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5th Percentile in Prairie Gateway III.L.2 Page 19

Figure III.L.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9th Percentile in Prairie Gateway III.L.2 Page 20

Figure III.M.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 1

Figure III.M.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 2

Figure III.M.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 3

Figure III.M.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 4

Figure III.M.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 5

Figure III.M.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 6

Figure III.M.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 7

Figure III.M.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 8

Figure III.M.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 9

Figure III.M.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 10

Figure III.M.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 11

Figure III.M.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 12

Figure III.M.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 13

Figure III.M.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 14

Figure III.M.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 15

Figure III.M.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 16

Figure III.M.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 17

Figure III.M.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 18

Figure III.M.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5th Percentile in Eastern Uplands III.M.2 Page 19

Figure III.M.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9th

Percentile in Eastern Uplands	III.M.2 Page 20
Figure III.N.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 1
Figure III.N.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 2
Figure III.N.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 3
Figure III.N.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 4
Figure III.N.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 5
Figure III.N.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 6
Figure III.N.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 7
Figure III.N.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 8
Figure III.N.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 9
Figure III.N.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 10
Figure III.N.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 11
Figure III.N.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 12
Figure III.N.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 13
Figure III.N.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 14
Figure III.N.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 15
Figure III.N.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 16
Figure III.N.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 17
Figure III.N.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 18
Figure III.N.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 19
Figure III.N.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Southern Seaboard	III.N.2 Page 20
Figure III.O.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 1
Figure III.O.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 2
Figure III.O.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 3

Figure III.O.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 4
Figure III.O.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 5
Figure III.O.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 6
Figure III.O.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 7
Figure III.O.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 8
Figure III.O.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 9
Figure III.O.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 10
Figure III.O.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 11
Figure III.O.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 12
Figure III.O.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 13
Figure III.O.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 14
Figure III.O.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 15
Figure III.O.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 16
Figure III.O.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 17
Figure III.O.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 18
Figure III.O.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 19
Figure III.O.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim N. Central Valley	III.O.2 Page 20
Figure III.Q.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 1
Figure III.Q.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 2
Figure III.Q.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 3
Figure III.Q.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 4
Figure III.Q.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 5
Figure III.Q.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 6
Figure III.Q.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th	

Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 7
Figure III.Q.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 8
Figure III.Q.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 9
Figure III.Q.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 10
Figure III.Q.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 11
Figure III.Q.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 12
Figure III.Q.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 13
Figure III.Q.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 14
Figure III.Q.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 15
Figure III.Q.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 16
Figure III.Q.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 17
Figure III.Q.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 18
Figure III.Q.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 19
Figure III.Q.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Basin and Range	III.Q.2 Page 20
Figure III.R.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 1
Figure III.R.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 2
Figure III.R.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 3
Figure III.R.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 4
Figure III.R.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 5
Figure III.R.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 6
Figure III.R.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 7
Figure III.R.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 8
Figure III.R.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 9
Figure III.R.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Mississippi Portal	III.R.2 Page 10

Figure III.R.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 11

Figure III.R.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 12

Figure III.R.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 13

Figure III.R.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 14

Figure III.R.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 15

Figure III.R.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 16

Figure III.R.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 17

Figure III.R.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 18

Figure III.R.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 19

Figure III.R.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9th Percentile in Mississippi Portal III.R.2 Page 20

Figure III.S.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 1

Figure III.S.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 2

Figure III.S.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 3

Figure III.S.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 4

Figure III.S.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 5

Figure III.S.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 6

Figure III.S.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 7

Figure III.S.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 8

Figure III.S.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 9

Figure III.S.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 10

Figure III.S.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 11

Figure III.S.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 12

Figure III.S.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW III.S.2 Page 13

Figure III.S.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5th

Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.2 Page 14
Figure III.S.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.2 Page 15
Figure III.S.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.2 Page 16
Figure III.S.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.2 Page 17
Figure III.S.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.2 Page 18
Figure III.S.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.2 Page 19
Figure III.S.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, NW	III.S.2 Page 20
Figure III.T.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 1
Figure III.T.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 2
Figure III.T.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 3
Figure III.T.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 4
Figure III.T.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 5
Figure III.T.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 6
Figure III.T.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 7
Figure III.T.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 8
Figure III.T.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 9
Figure III.T.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 10
Figure III.T.2-11 Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 11
Figure III.T.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 12
Figure III.T.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 13
Figure III.T.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 14
Figure III.T.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 15
Figure III.T.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 16
Figure III.T.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th	
Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 17

Figure III.T.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 18
Figure III.T.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 19
Figure III.T.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, TX	III.T.2 Page 20
Figure III.U.2-1. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 1
Figure III.U.2-2. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 2
Figure III.U.2-3. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 3
Figure III.U.2-4. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 4
Figure III.U.2-5. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 1-2 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 5
Figure III.U.2-6. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 6
Figure III.U.2-7. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 7
Figure III.U.2-8. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 8
Figure III.U.2-9. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 9
Figure III.U.2-10. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Children Ages 3-5 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 10
Figure III.U.2-11. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 11
Figure III.U.2-12. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 12
Figure III.U.2-13. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 13
Figure III.U.2-14. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 14
Figure III.U.2-15. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 20-49 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 15
Figure III.U.2-16. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 95 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 16
Figure III.U.2-17. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 97.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 17
Figure III.U.2-18. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 18
Figure III.U.2-19. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.5 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 19
Figure III.U.2-20. Preliminary Cumulative Assessment Adults Ages 50-110 at the 99.9 th Percentile in Fruitful Rim, FL	III.U.2 Page 20

List of Tables

Table 1. Organophosphorus Pesticides Considered in the Preliminary Cumulative Risk Assessment	Page 55
Table I.B-1. Outline of results of model fitting procedure for the basic and expanded models	I.B Page 25
Table I.B-2a. Exponential model parameters for female brain cholinesterase data	I.B Page 31
Table I.B-2b. Exponential model parameters for male brain cholinesterase data	I.B Page 32
Table I.B-3. Oral BMD ₁₀ s and BMDLs (mg/kg/day) estimated for brain ChE activity	I.B Page 36
Table I.B-4. CELs for brain and RBC cholinesterase activity from dermal exposure studies	I.B Page 38
Table I.B-5. CELs for brain and RBC cholinesterase activity from inhalation toxicity studies	I.B Page 39
Table I.B-6. Points of departure for index chemical (methamidophos) by route of exposure for brain cholinesterase activity measured in female and male rats	I.B Page 40
Table I.B-7. Relative potency factors for the oral, dermal, and inhalation routes of exposure	I.B Page 43
Table I.B-8. RPFs for the OPs considered in the Preliminary Cumulative Risk Assessment	I.B Page 51
Table 1.B-9. Comparison of relative potency factors for dermal and inhalation routes of exposure based on RBC and brain data	I.B Page 53
Table I.B-10. Points of departure for index chemical (methamidophos) by route of exposure for brain and RBC cholinesterase activity measured in female and male rats	I.B Page 54
Table I.C.1. The Proportion of the Diet of Children (3-5 years old) Covered in the Cumulative Food Assessment	I.C Page 5
Table I.C.2. Cumulative OP Food Exposure Analysis using DEEM-fcid™ (Ver 1.05)	I.C Page 13
Table I.D-1 Pesticides and Use Scenarios Considered in the Residential/Non-Occupational Regional Assessments	I.D Page 7
Table I.D-2 Scenario-Specific Residential Exposure Data Inputs	I.D Page 10
Table I.E-1 OP Pesticides and Toxic Transformation Products Included in the Cumulative Water Exposure Assessment	I.E Page 23
Table 1.E-2 Total Acres Planted for Selected Crops, by USDA Farm Resource Region	I.E Page 30
Table I.G-1. Effect of Assumption that ND=0 on Exposure at Higher Percentiles (Children 1-3 yrs) (From the Case Study on 24 OPs Presented to the SAP in December 2000)	I.G Page 27
Table II.A.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Heartland Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment	II.A Page 1
Table II.A.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Heartland Residential Exposure Assessment	II.A Page 4
Table II.A.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Heartland	II.A Page 8

Table II.A.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in Central Illinois (Livingston, Champaign, Tazewell, Logan, Ford, and Woodford Counties) II.A Page 9

Table II.A.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Heartland Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment II.A Page 11

Table II.A.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather II.A Page 15

Table II.B.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Northern Crescent Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.B Page 1

Table II.B.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Northern Crescent Residential Exposure Assessment II.B Page 4

Table II.B.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Northern Crescent . . . II.B Page 8

Table II.B.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in South-Central Pennsylvania (Adams, Lancaster, Franklin, York, and Berk Counties) II.B Page 9

Table II.B.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Northern Crescent Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment II.B Page 11

Table II.B.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather II.B Page 14

Table II.B.7 Ground Water Quality in the Piedmont Region of Baltimore County II.B Page 22

Table II.C.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Northern Great Plains Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.C Page 1

Table II.C.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Northern Great Plains Residential Exposure Assessment II.C Page 4

Table II.C.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Northern Great Plains II.C Page 8

Table II.C.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in the Red River Valley II.C Page 9

Table II.C.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Northern Great Plains Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment . . . II.C Page 12

Table II.C.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather II.C Page 15

Table II.C.7 Active OPs Detected in Surface Water II.C Page 17

Table II.C.8 North Dakota Department of Health Well Monitoring II.C Page 18

Table II.D.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Prairie Gateway Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.D Page 2

Table II.D.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Prairie Gateway Residential Exposure Assessment II.D Page 4

Table II.D.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Prairie Gateway II.D Page 9

Table II.D.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in East-Central Texas (Williamson, Milam, Bell, Falls, Hill, McLennan, Navarro, and Ellis Counties) II.D Page 10

Table II.D.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Prairie Gateway Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment II.D Page 14

Table II.D.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather II.D Page 17

Table II.E.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Eastern Uplands Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.E Page 1

Table II.E.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Eastern Uplands

(Region 5) Residential Exposure Assessment	II.E Page 4
Table II.E.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Eastern Uplands	II.E Page 9
Table II.E.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in Western North Carolina (Henderson County)	II.E Page 10
Table II.E.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Eastern Uplands Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment	II.E Page 12
Table II.E.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather	II.E Page 16
Table II.F.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Southern Seaboard Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment	II.F Page 1
Table II.F.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Southern Seaboard Residential Exposure Assessment	II.F Page 4
Table II.F.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Southern Seaboard	II.F Page 9
Table II.F.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in Eastern North Carolina (Pitt, Martin, Edgecombe, Greene, and Lenoir Counties)	II.F Page 10
Table II.F.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Southern Seaboard Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment	II.F Page 13
Table II.F.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather	II.F Page 16
Table II.G.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Fruitful Rim NCV Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment	II.G Page 1
Table II.G.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Fruitful Rim NCV Residential Exposure Assessment	II.G Page 5
Table II.G.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Fruitful Rim –CA	II.G Page 9
Table II.G.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in the Central Valley of California	II.G Page 11
Table II.G.5. Application Information for the OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Fruitful Rim CA Assessment (Central/North Central Valley)	II.G Page 14
Table II.G.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution in the Central/Northern Central Valley, 35 Years of Weather	II.G Page 22
Table II.H.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Fruitful Rim SCV Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment	II.H Page 1
Table II.H.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Fruitful Rim SCV Residential Exposure Assessment	II.H Page 5
Table II.H.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Southwest Fruitful Rim	II.H Page 9
Table II.H.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in the Central Valley of California	II.H Page 10
Table II.H.5. Application Information for the OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Southwest Fruitful Rim Assessment (Southern Central Valley)	II.H Page 14
Table II.H.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution in the Southern Central Valley, 35 Years of Weather	II.H Page 21
Table II.I.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Basin and Range Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment	II.I Page 1
Table II.I.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Basin and Range	

Residential Exposure Assessment II.I Page 4

Table II.I.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Basin and Range II.I Page 8

Table II.I.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in the Red River Valley II.I Page 9

Table II.I.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Red River Valley Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment II.I Page 11

Table II.I.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather II.I Page 13

Table II.J.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in MS Portal Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.J Page 1

Table II.J.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for MS Portal Residential Exposure Assessment II.J Page 5

Table II.J.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Mississippi Portal II.J Page 10

Table II.J.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in Northeast Louisiana and West-Central Mississippi II.J Page 11

Table II.J.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Mississippi Portal Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment II.J Page 16

Table II.J.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 20 Years of Weather II.J Page 19

Table II.K.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Fruitful Rim NW Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.K Page 1

Table II.K.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Fruitful Rim NW Residential Exposure Assessment II.K Page 4

Table II.K.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Northwest Fruitful Rim II.K Page 8

Table II.K.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in the Willamette Valley ... II.K Page 10

Table II.K.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Northwest Fruitful Rim Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment II.K Page 13

Table II.K.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather II.K Page 16

Table II.L.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Fruitful Rim TX Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.L Page 1

Table II.L.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Fruitful Rim TX Residential Exposure Assessment II.L Page 4

Table II.L.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Texas Fruitful Rim II.L Page 9

Table II.L.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in East-Central Texas II.L Page 10

Table II.L.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Texas Fruitful Rim Assessment, With Application Information Used in the Assessment II.L Page 12

Table II.L.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather II.L Page 14

Table II.M.1. Pesticides and Use Sites/Scenarios Considered in Fruitful Rim – FL Residential/Non-Occupational and Drinking Water Assessment II.M Page 2

Table II.M.2. Use Scenarios and Calendex Input Parameters for Fruitful Rim – FL Residential Exposure Assessment II.M Page 4

Table II.M.3. General Overview of OP Usage in the Fruitful Rim-FL II.M Page 10

Table II.M.4. OP Usage on Agricultural Crops in Palm Beach County, FL. II.M Page 11

Table II.M.5. OP-Crop Combinations Included in the Fruitful Rim-FL Assessment, With

Application Information Used in the Assessment	II.M Page 14
Table II.M.6. Percentile Concentrations of Individual OP Pesticides and of the Cumulative OP Distribution, 35 Years of Weather	II.M Page 17
Table III.B.2-1. Acephate: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 1
Table III.B.2-2. Azinphos-methyl: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 3
Table III.B.2-3. Bensulide: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 5
Table III.B.2-4. Chlorpyrifos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 7
Table III.B.2-5. Chlorpyrifos-methyl: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 9
Table III.B.2-6. Diazinon: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 11
Table III.B.2-7. Dichlorvos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 13
Table III.B.2-8. Dicrotophos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 15
Table III.B.2-9. Dimethoate: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 17
Table III.B.2-10. Disulfoton: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 19
Table III.B.2-11. Ethoprop: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 21
Table III.B.2-12. Fenamiphos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 23
Table III.B.2-13. Fenthion: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 25
Table III.B.2-14. Fosthiazate: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 27
Table III.B.2-15. Malathion: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 29
Table III.B.2-16. Methamidophos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 31
Table III.B.2-18. Methidathion: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 34
Table III.B.2-18. Methyl Parathion: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 36
Table III.B.2-19. Mevinphos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 38
Table III.B.2-20. Naled: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 40
Table III.B.2-21. Oxydemeton-methyl: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 42
Table III.B.2-22. Phorate: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 44
Table III.B.2-23. Phosalone: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 46
Table III.B.2-24. Phosmet: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 48
Table III.B.2-25. Pirimiphos-methyl: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 50
Table III.B.2-26. Terbufos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 52
Table III.B.2-27. Tetrachlorvinphos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 55
Table III.B.2-28. Tribufos: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 57
Table III.B.2-29. Trichlorfon: Toxicology Profile Table	III.B.2 Page 59
Table III.B.3-1a. Results of Dietary Intake Comparison [actual vs average] Using Chronic Studies	III.B.3 Page 6
Table III.B.3-1b. Results of Dietary Intake Comparison [actual vs average] Using Chronic Studies	III.B.3 Page 7
Table III.B.3-2. Results of Dietary Intake [actual vs average] Using All Available Studies	III.B.3 Page 9
Table III.B.3-3. Comparison of Average Intake vs Duration-Specific Intake BMD ₁₀ s and BMDLs	III.B.3 Page 19
Table III.C.1. The Sources of Residue Inputs for the Assessment of the Cumulative Dietary Exposure to Organophosphorus Pesticides on Foods (foods in CSFII 1994- 1998 are listed in descending order of relative per capita consumption by children 3- 5 years old)	III.C.1 Page 1
Table III.C.2. Summary of PDP Residue Analyses of Organophosphorus Pesticides on Foods (1994-2000)	III.C.2 Page 1
Table III.C.3. A Summary of FDA Total Diet Study Analyses for Organophosphorus	

Pesticides on Meats (1991-1999)	III.C.3 Page 1
Table III.C.4. Permissible Crop Translations for Pesticide Monitoring Data	III.C.4 Page 1
Table III.C.6. Translation of PDP Foods to Food Forms in DEEM 7 and DEEM-FCID	III.C.6 Page 1
Table III.C.7. DEEM-FCID Inputs for Cumulative OP Exposure Assessment	III.C.7 Page 1
Table III.C.8. Summary of Cumulative Residue Distributions Estimated by RPF Method	III.C.8 Page 1
Table III.C.9. Cross-walk Table for Comparison of DEEM 7 (RS7) Foods to DEEM-FCID (R98) Foods	III.C.9 Page 1
Table III.C.10. Co-Occurrence of Organophosphorus Pesticides on PDP Samples, 1994-1999	III.C.10 Page 1
Table III.E.1-1 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Heartland	III.E.1 Page 3
Table III.E.1-2 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Northern Crescent . . .	III.E.1 Page 9
Table III.E.1-3 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Northern Great Plains	III.E.1 Page 13
Table III.E.1-4 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Prairie Gateway . . .	III.E.1 Page 16
Table III.E.1-5 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Eastern Uplands . .	III.E.1 Page 20
Table III.E.1-6 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Southern Seaboard	III.E.1 Page 24
Table III.E.1-7 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the South Southwest Fruitful Rim	III.E.1 Page 30
Table III.E.1-8 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Mississippi Portal	III.E.1 Page 35
Table III.E.1-9 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Northwest Fruitful Rim	III.E.1 Page 42
Table III.E.1-10 Magnitude and Frequency of Occurrence of OP Pesticides Analyzed in the NAWQA Study for Study Units Found in the Southeast Fruitful Rim	III.E.1 Page 47
Table III.E.3.1. List of organophosphorus pesticides and their degradation products included in the reservoir study, USGS Analytical Schedules (2001 and 9002)	III.E.3 Page 2
Table III.E.3.2: List of Major Crops in Watersheds of Selected Reservoirs in the Reservoir Monitoring Study	III.E.3 Page 4
Table III.E.3.3: Average Water Quality Parameters for Raw Water at Candidate Reservoirs	III.E.3 Page 7
Table III.E.3.4: Treatment trains for utilities in the reservoir monitoring program	

.....	III.E.3 Page 8
Table III.E.3.5: Summary statistics for organophosphorus pesticides and their degradation products	III.E.3 Page 9
Table III.E.3.6: Summary statistics for water type, year, and water utility (ug/L)	III.E.3 Page 10
Table III.E.3.7: Concentration percentiles for diazinon in raw water samples	III.E.3 Page 12
Table III.E.3.8: Concentration percentiles for malaoxon in finished water samples in Louisiana	III.E.3 Page 12
Table III.E.3.9: Water treatment reduction percentages and maximum pesticide concentrations in raw and finished water for selected organophosphorus pesticides	III.E.3 Page 14
Table III.E.3.10: Co-occurrence frequency of OP pesticides in raw and finish water samples at reservoir water treatment plants	III.E.3 Page 17
Table III.E.3.11: Co-occurrence profile of organophosphorus insecticides and some transformation products	III.E.3 Page 17
Table III.E.4.1. Water Quality Parameters Used in the USEPA ORD Laboratory Studies	III.E.4 Page 7
Table III.E.4.1. Effects of Chlorination and Softening on OP Pesticides in the USEPA ORD Laboratory Studies	III.E.4 Page 8
Table III.E.5-1 PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Acephate	III.E.5 Page 1
Table III.E.5.2. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Azinphos Methyl	III.E.5 Page 2
Table III.E.5.3. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Bensulide	III.E.5 Page 3
Table III.E.5.4. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Chlorethoxyfos	III.E.5 Page 4
Table III.E.5.5. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Chlorpyrifos	III.E.5 Page 5
Table III.E.5.6. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Diazinon	III.E.5 Page 6
Table III.E.5.7. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Dichlorvos (DDVP)	III.E.5 Page 7
Table III.E.5.8. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Dicrotophos	III.E.5 Page 8
Table III.E.5.9. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Dimethoate	III.E.5 Page 9
Table III.E.5.10. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Disulfoton Total Toxic Residues	III.E.5 Page 10
Table III.E.5.11. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Disulfoton Parent Compound Only	III.E.5 Page 11
Table III.E.5.12. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Ethoprop	III.E.5 Page 12
Table III.E.5.13. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Fenamiphos Total Toxic Residues	III.E.5 Page 13
Table III.E.5.14. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Fenamiphos Parent Compound Only	III.E.5 Page 14
Table III.E.5.15. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Malathion	III.E.5 Page 15
Table III.E.5.16. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Methamidophos	III.E.5 Page 16
Table III.E.5.17. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Methidathion	III.E.5 Page 17
Table III.E.5.18. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Methyl Parathion	III.E.5 Page 18
Table III.E.5.19. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Naled	III.E.5 Page 19
Table III.E.5.20. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Oxydemeton Methyl	III.E.5 Page 20
Table III.E.5.21. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Phorate Total Toxic Residues	III.E.5 Page 21
Table III.E.5.22. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Phorate Parent Compound Only	

.....	III.E.5 Page 22
Table III.E.5.23. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Phosmet	III.E.5 Page 23
Table III.E.5.24. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Phostebupirim	III.E.5 Page 24
Table III.E.5.25. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Profenofos	III.E.5 Page 25
Table III.E.5.26. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Terbufos Total Toxic Residues	III.E.5 Page 26
Table III.E.5.27. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Terbufos Parent Compound Only	III.E.5 Page 27
Table III.E.5.28. PRZM/EXAMS Input Values for Tribufos	III.E.5 Page 28
Table III.E.6-1 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Heartland Region	III.E.6 Page 2
Table III.E.6-2 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Northern Crescent Region	III.E.6 Page 3
Table III.E.6-3 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Northern Great Plains Region	III.E.6 Page 5
Table III.E.6-4 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Prairie Gateway/Texas Fruitful Rim Region	III.E.6 Page 6
Table III.E.6-5 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Eastern Uplands Region	III.E.6 Page 8
Table III.E.6-6 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Southern Seaboard Region	III.E.6 Page 9
Table III.E.6-7 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Southwest Fruitful Rim/North Central Valley Region	III.E.6 Page 11
Table III.E.6-8 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Southwest Fruitful Rim/South Central Valley Region	III.E.6 Page 13
Table III.E.6-9 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Mississippi Portal Region	III.E.6 Page 15
Table III.E.6-10 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Northwest Fruitful Rim Region	III.E.6 Page 17
Table III.E.6-11 Application-Specific Input Parameters for PRZM/EXAMS, Southeast Fruitful Rim Region	III.E.6 Page 19
Table III.E.9-1 AgDRIFT / AGDISP input values for OP cumulative assessment	III.E.9 Page 1
Table III.E.9-2 Spray drift loadings calculated using AgDRIFT / AGDISP and the formula above	III.E.9 Page 3