

the heading of this document. A copy of the document and received comments are available for public examination in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

III. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at either <http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm> or <http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/default.htm>.

Dated: March 27, 2003.

Jeffrey Shuren,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 03-8167 Filed 4-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4809-N-14]

Federal Property Suitable as Facilities To Assist the Homeless

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: This Notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for possible use to assist the homeless.

DATES: April 4, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Johnston, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 7262, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708-1234; TTY number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708-2565, (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free Title V information line at 1-800-927-7588.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the December 12, 1998 court order in *National Coalition for the Homeless v. Veterans Administration*, No. 88-2503-OG (D.D.C.), HUD publishes a Notice, on a weekly basis, identifying unutilized, underutilized, excess and surplus Federal buildings and real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. Today's Notice is for the purpose of announcing that no additional properties have been determined suitable or unsuitable this week.

Dated: March 27, 2003.

John D. Garrity,

Director, Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs.

[FR Doc. 03-7857 Filed 4-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-29-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Draft Environmental Assessment and Receipt of an Application for an Incidental Take Permit for the Mayhoffer/Singletree Trail, Boulder County, CO

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability and receipt of application.

SUMMARY: Boulder County Parks and Open Space Department (Applicant) has applied to the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) for an Incidental Take Permit pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The permit would authorize the incidental take of Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*) ("Preble's"), Federally listed as threatened, and loss and modification of its habitat associated with the construction and use of a multiple use trail on the Mayhoffer/Singletree Property, located near the Town of Superior, in unincorporated Boulder County. The permit would be in effect for 10 years from the date of issuance, to allow for construction of the proposed project and all associated mitigation activities.

We announce the receipt of the Applicant's Incidental Take Permit application that includes a combined proposed Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) and Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Preble's on the Mayhoffer/Singletree property. The proposed HCP/EA is available for public comment. It fully describes the proposed project and the measures the Applicant would undertake to minimize and mitigate project impacts to the Preble's.

The Service requests comments on the HCP/EA for the proposed issuance of an Incidental Take Permit. We provide this notice pursuant to section 10(a) of the Act and National Environmental Policy Act regulations (40 CFR 1506.6). All comments on the HCP and permit application will become part of the administrative record and will be available to the public.

DATES: Written comments on the permit application, HCP, and EA should be received on or before June 3, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding the permit application and HCP/EA should be addressed to LeRoy Carlson, Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado Field Office, 755 Parfet Street, Suite 361, Lakewood, Colorado 80215.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Kathleen Linder, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, Colorado Field Office, telephone (303) 275-2370.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Document Availability

Individuals wishing copies of the HCP/EA and associated documents for review should immediately contact the above office. Documents also will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the Lakewood, Colorado, Field Office (*see ADDRESSES* above).

Background

Section 9 of the Act and Federal regulation prohibit the "take" of a species listed as endangered or threatened. Take is defined under the Act, in part, as to kill, harm, or harass a Federally listed species. However, the Service may issue permits to authorize "incidental take" of listed species under limited circumstances. Incidental Take is defined under the Act as take of a listed species that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity under limited circumstances. Regulations governing permits for threatened species are promulgated in 50 CFR 17.32.

The Applicant plans to develop a multiple-use trail on the Mayhoffer/Singletree property in the vicinity of Coal Creek near Superior, Boulder County, Colorado, within portions of the property that may constitute habitat for the Preble's. Of the 32 hectares (80 acres) of potential Preble's habitat on the Mayhoffer/Singletree property, the project would impact a total of 0.27 hectare (0.67 acre) of potential Preble's habitat permanently and 0.34 hectare (0.85 acre) temporarily during construction. This reach of the Coal Creek corridor is considered to be viable Preble's habitat by the Service. Preble's have been found near this creek in 1999, approximately 0.8 kilometer (0.5 mile) upstream from the proposed project area, along the Hake Ditch running north of the creek. As discussed below, the Applicant proposes a number of measures to mitigate possible impacts of the proposed action.

Alternatives considered were—no action; alternative trail alignment, which would have taken the trail through a large prairie dog colony and