


Donald Clark.

Aug 14th 1997. 982

Thought you'd find the following  
interesting.

D White

9894219  
AUG 21 1997  
B22902700982

 DOUGLAS RAYMOND WHITE  
30 GLOUCESTER ST  
SUITE 2300  
TORONTO ONT M4Y 1L6

# MADE IN THE USA? WELL MAYBE.

**M**ade in the USA. Just a few clear, simple words that can only mean one thing. Look at what each word means. Made built, constructed, the product of a unique blend of knowledge, craftsmanship, and sweat. In: here; one place. The USA: the greatest country the world has ever known: our country.

Guess what? That simple phrase, Made in the USA, might mean nothing by the end of the year.

Nothing? That's right. Made in the USA, the phrase that we have all looked for on products throughout our entire lives, the label that sits right next to our union label, will mean nothing if the Federal Trade Commission has its way. It's a sad story of corporate greed, the exporting of jobs, and a federal agency gone very, very wrong.

The Federal Trade Commission is the agency which has responsibility for overseeing business in the USA. It sets standards in interstate trade, oversees regulations, and makes policy. One of the things which it has always been responsible for is making sure that the Made in the USA label only appears on items that were assembled in the USA of American parts.

Of course, the truth isn't always something that the American consumer can expect from the government or big business. How many times have we been fed half truths by some corporate spokesman or a bureaucrat more interested in his or her job than in our best interests? That is what is

happening here, right now. Big business has decided that the Made in the USA label doesn't have to mean what it says. In their opinion, Made in the USA means that at least some part of a product was actually made here, maybe out of materials produced in Japan or Thailand. It's the best of both worlds for the huge multi-national corporations: they get to dump fairly paid, union represented American workers off of their payrolls, hire people in Asia or Central America to do our jobs for two dollars a day, and then bring the partially finished product back to the USA to be completed by part time or poorly paid, non-union workers while still telling us that their product is Made in the USA. They want to turn the badge of pride which is affixed to American products into one more form of Corporate Welfare.

According to a draft proposal put out by the FTC, products which are "substantially" made here would be able to sport the Made in the USA label. "Substantially" made here? What is that supposed to mean? How is substantially different from "partially" made here? Or from "some-what" made here? Or, how about, from "Not really Made in the USA, but we would like the extra money we could make if you think it is"? Sure, the label would have to be bigger, but the company could afford it. After all, they would have saved all that money on paying their unionized American employees.

Think about it. A sneaker company tells you that their products are the best in the world, that the women stitching together their shoes in Indonesia do just as good a job as American workers for the two or three dollars a day that they are paid. Yet, they still want to put a "Made in the USA label on their shoes. What does this mean? It means that they acknowledge that a product made here by fairly compensated workers is superior to one made by grossly underpaid people somewhere else. They know that if their shoes were made in America, they would be of a better quality, and that they would have been made at a fair, realistic price to the company. They don't want that extra responsibility, but they want you to think that they have undertaken it anyway. And now they have seemingly convinced the Federal Government that they should be able to misrepresent their products, too.

It's just plain wrong, and we have to do something to stop it. Once again, the responsibility for preserving one of this country's greatest institutions has fallen onus, the people who affix the Union Label and the Made in the USA label to the pieces which we build. Left to their own devices, Corporate America and the Federal Trade Commission would take this symbol of American pride and turn it into just another corporate logo. Just do it. Made in the USA. Oh what a feeling, Toyota. What a shame.

There is a way, nowev-

er, for us to fight this outrage, this cheapening of who we are and what our flag stands for. The FTC will be accepting comments on the proposed changes in the Made in the USA regulations until August 11th of this year. I don't think I have to tell you what your comments should be, but I'll tell you what mine are. Outrage. Disgust. Sorrow. Anger.

Write to the FTC, and do it today. Don't put it off and say that you'll get to it. This is too important. This is about some corporate honcho in Tokyo or Berlin telling you what it is to be American. A simple letter to the FTC, telling them how you feel, will make a difference. Letters from everyone who sees this article and from your neighbors, friends, and families will make a bigger difference. Too many of us have worked too long producing things Made in the USA to simply give in to this corporate raid.

Send your letters to

Secretary Donald Clark  
Federal Trade Commission  
6th St & Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.,  
Room 172  
Washington, D.C. 10580

We@ know what it means to be Made in the USA. Who knows, maybe the FTC just isn't upon its geography. Remind them that products made in Georgia, California, Missouri, New York, or Michigan are made in the USA. Products made in Dakarta, Bangkok, Shanghai, Managua, or Mexico are not. It's simple, really. As simple as those four little words, Made in the USA.

July 24, 1997.

Dear Editor Sheet Metal Workers Journal,

Concerning Vol. 88 No. 3 May June 1997  
article "Made in U.S.A."

I found the article to be highly offensive. I can't believe that an international (U.S.A. & CANADA) union could publish such a narrow minded article. It stinks of American jingoism. "U.S.A. The greatest country the world has ever known"? Canada is deemed the best country in the world year after year.

Ontario Canada is prosperous, multicultural, democratic, our cities are clean safe, and bursting with activities. Same sex benefits are becoming the norm. Homosexuals are protected from hate and discrimination

by law. We have a good human rights code. You insinuate that Americans should buy products "Made in U.S.A." I agree, it makes sense. Should I then say Canadians should shun American products and keep the jobs in Canada?

The minimum wages, income levels, and trade unionism are much lower in some states. I hear the U.S.A. has sweat shops, racism, extreme homophobia, horrendous murder rates.

Perhaps there should be new labels for North American products from the free trade zone (Canada, Mexico, U.S.A.) Maybe the label should read:

90% CANADIAN NATURAL RESOURCES.  
 90% AMERICAN PARTS  
 ASSEMBLED IN MEXICO.

I agree with the article in a sense that only "Truely" Made in U.S.A. products should carry the label. Rules will have to be set down. In the international world of today it is becoming impossible to build complicated products without using parts and labour from all over the world.

The rhetoric goes on and on "... this cheapening of who we are and what our flag stands for."

What would you say if a Canadian unionized shop was for some reason able to produce widgets at half the price of the Americans? What if American shops closed because the Canadians were able to beat the U.S.A.?

4

Both are democratic, prospering countries.  
Would you complain?

I'm sorry but the article doesn't play well here in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. The entire subject needs more thought.

Wrapping the issue around the American flag in an international magazine for an international union is offensive.

I believe in a strong union, international free trade and Canadians first.

Yours in Sincere  
Brotherhood

Doyle White  
30 Gloucester St. 2308  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada  
M4Y 1L6 416-324-9017

cc. - Secretary  
Donald Clark  
Federal Trade Commission

cc - Editor Sheet Metal Workers  
International Journal.