

Corporation, 4515 Taylor Circle, Duluth, MN 55811; telephone: (218) 727-2737. You may view copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

(j) *Does this AD action affect any existing AD actions?* This amendment supersedes AD 2002-05-05, Amendment 39-12673.

(k) *When does this amendment become effective?* This amendment becomes effective on January 24, 2003.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 26, 2002.

Michael Gallagher,
Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-30685 Filed 12-9-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-CE-34-AD; Amendment 39-12974; AD 2002-24-09]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pilatus Britten-Norman Limited BN2T and BN2T-4R Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Pilatus Britten-Norman Limited (Pilatus Britten-Norman) BN2T and BN2T-4R series airplanes. This AD requires you to repetitively inspect the left and right engine-mounting frame for cracks and replace the frame if cracks are found. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom. The actions specified by this

AD are intended to detect and correct cracks in the left and right engine-mounting frame, which could lead to engine mount failure. Such failure could result in separation of the engine from the airplane.

DATES: This AD becomes effective on January 27, 2003.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations as of January 27, 2003.

ADDRESSES: You may get the service information referenced in this AD from Pilatus Britten-Norman Limited, Bembridge, Isle of Wight, United Kingdom PO35 5PR; telephone: +44 (0) 1983 872511; facsimile: +44 (0) 1983 873246. You may view this information at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-CE-34-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

What events have caused this AD? The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, recently notified FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Pilatus Britten-Norman BN2T and BN2T-4R Series airplanes. The CAA reports that the manufacturer has reported six occurrences of cracks in the left and right turbine engine-mounting frame detected during routine inspections by operators of aircraft used on parachute drop or pilot training operations.

What is the potential impact if FAA took no action? These cracks could lead

to engine mount failure with consequent separation of the engine from the airplane.

Has FAA taken any action to this point? We issued a proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to all Pilatus Britten-Norman BN2T and BN2T-4R series airplanes. This proposal was published in the **Federal Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on September 17, 2002 (67 FR 58544). The NPRM proposed to require you to repetitively inspect the left and right engine-mounting frame for cracks and replace the frame if cracks are found.

Was the public invited to comment? The FAA encouraged interested persons to participate in the making of this amendment. We did not receive any comments on the proposed rule or on our determination of the cost to the public.

FAA's Determination

What is FAA's final determination on this issue? After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, we have determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. We have determined that these minor corrections:

—Provide the intent that was proposed in the NPRM for correcting the unsafe condition; and

—Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM.

Cost Impact

How many airplanes does this AD impact? We estimate that this AD affects 6 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

What is the cost impact of this AD on owners/operators of the affected airplanes? We estimate the following costs to accomplish the inspection:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane	Total cost on U.S. operators
4 workhours × \$60 per hour = \$240	No cost for parts	\$240	6 × \$240 = \$1,440.

We estimate the following costs to accomplish any necessary replacements that will be required based on the results of the inspection. We have no way of determining the number of airplanes that may need such replacement:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane
30 workhours × \$60 per hour = \$1,800 per frame	\$5,400	\$7,200.

Regulatory Impact

Does this AD impact various entities? The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Does this AD involve a significant rule or regulatory action? For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory

Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new AD to read as follows:

2002-24-09 Pilatus Britten-Norman

Limited: Amendment 39-12974; Docket No. 2002-CE-34-AD.

(a) *What airplanes are affected by this AD?* This AD affects Models BN2T, and BN2T-4R airplanes, all serial numbers, that are certificated in any category.

(b) *Who must comply with this AD?* Anyone who wishes to operate any of the airplanes identified in paragraph (a) of this AD must comply with this AD.

(c) *What problem does this AD address?* The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect and correct cracks in the left and right engine-mounting frame, which could lead to engine mount failure. Such failure could result in separation of the engine from the airplane.

(d) *What actions must I accomplish to address this problem?* To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(1) Inspect the left and right turbine engine-mounting frame, part number (P/N) NB-20-6853, or FAA-approved equivalent part number, for cracks.	Initially upon accumulating 1,000 hours time-in-service (TIS) on the engine mounting frame or within the next 50 hours TIS after January 27, 2003 (the effective date of this AD), whichever occurs later. If no cracks are found on the initial inspection, repetitively inspect every 100 hours TIS.	In accordance with Britten-Norman Service Bulletin No. SB 282, Issue 2, dated June 1, 2002.
(2) If cracks are found during any inspection required in paragraph (d)(1) of this AD, replace the mounting frame with a new frame, P/N NB-20-6853, or FAA-approved equivalent part number.	Prior to further flight after the inspection in which any crack and/or damage is found. After installing the new frame, inspect as required in paragraph (d)(1) of this AD.	In accordance with Britten-Norman Service Bulletin No. SB 282, Issue 2, dated June 1, 2002.

(e) *Can I comply with this AD in any other way?* You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Standards Office Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standards Office Manager.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an

assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference?* Actions required

by this AD must be done in accordance with Britten-Norman Service Bulletin No. SB 282, Issue 2, dated June 1, 2002. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may get copies from Pilatus Britten-Norman Limited, Bembridge, Isle of Wight, United Kingdom PO35 5PR; telephone: +44 (0) 1983 872511; facsimile: +44 (0) 1983 873246. You may view copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 2: The subject of this AD is addressed in British AD 002-05-2002, not dated.

(i) *When does this amendment become effective?* This amendment becomes effective on January 27, 2003.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 26, 2002.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-30686 Filed 12-9-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 255

Access of Persons with Disabilities to Postal Service Programs, Activities, Facilities, and Electronic and Information Technology

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is amending its regulations in order to implement section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. Section 508 requires Federal agencies to ensure that the electronic and information technology (EIT) they procure allows individuals with disabilities access to EIT comparable to the access of those who are not disabled, unless the agency would incur an undue hardship. The statute was amended by the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 to add enforcement provisions and to require agencies to add a complaint process for section 508. The complaint process for members of the public who are disabled is outlined here. The complaint process for employees and applicants who are disabled is set forth in the Postal Service's Handbook EL-603, *Equal Employment Opportunity Complaint Processing*.

DATES: The rule is effective January 9, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joan C. Goodrich, Esq., (202) 268-3047 or Christine M. Taylor, Esq., (202) 268-3017.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Workforce Investment Act of 1998, Public Law 105-220, 112 Stat. 936 (1998), amending section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. 794d, was signed into law on August 7, 1998. In addition to the provisions outlined above, the act required the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (Access Board) to publish standards defining EIT and setting forth the technical and functional performance criteria necessary for accessibility to such technology. The act, which was effective August 7, 2000, also required the Access

Board to publish its final standards by February 7, 2000.

On July 13, 2000, the Military Construction Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001, Public Law 106-246, which contained an amendment to section 508, was signed into law. Public Law 106-246 delayed the effective date for enforcement of section 508 to 6 months from the publication of the Access Board's final standards. The Access Board's final standards were published on December 21, 2000, in 65 FR 80500-80528. The effective date for enforcement of section 508 became June 21, 2001.

In accordance with the statutory requirements outlined above, the Postal Service published in the **Federal Register** on February 25, 2002 (67 FR 8489-93), a proposed rule adding a complaint process for section 508 to its regulations. March 27, 2002, was set as the deadline for receipt of any comments relating to the proposed rule. The Postal Service received comments from two groups concerned with the rights and interests of individuals with disabilities. These comments are discussed below. After giving thorough consideration to the comments, the Postal Service modified the proposed rule as appropriate and now publishes the final rule.

Discussion of Comments

One group commented on matters outside the scope of this rulemaking. Those comments, which addressed specific accommodations in Post Offices for the blind and visually impaired, have been passed on to the appropriate office within the Postal Service. The second group made the following comments.

1. One comment asked for clarification of how the informal complaint can be initiated, and suggested that "an informal complaint can be verbal or in writing." The comment suggested that an oral or written statement can be the means of lodging the informal complaint. The Postal Service is incorporating this comment into the rule to reflect that an informal complaint can be made orally or in writing.

2. One comment asked that the written acknowledgment of the informal complaint contain certain specific information such as "the date that the complaint was filed, a description of the complaint issue(s), notice of the complainant's right to file a formal complaint if the informal complaint is not resolved within 60 days, and notice of where to file a formal complaint." This information will be incorporated into the rule. The date of the filing of

the informal complaint and the description of issues will be added to the acknowledgment letter. Notice of a choice to file a formal complaint or to proceed in any other appropriate forum will be added to the written decision detailing the final disposition of the informal complaint. Where to file a formal complaint will also be given in the notice.

3. Several miscellaneous comments suggested editing changes which have been incorporated as appropriate.

4. One comment requested that the language requiring exhaustion of the formal complaint process be deleted. The rule provides that if the decision on the informal complaint denies relief to the complainant, "the complainant may seek relief in any other appropriate forum, including the right to file a formal complaint with the Vice President and Consumer Advocate" of the Postal Service. If the complainant files such a formal complaint, "the complainant shall exhaust the formal complaint procedure before filing suit in any other forum." The exhaustion requirement was added in order to avoid the problem of one case proceeding simultaneously in two forums—administrative and judicial. There is no change to the rule as a result of this comment.

5. One comment asked that the standards of the Architectural Barriers Act for newly constructed, altered, and leased postal facilities be stated in the rule. However, the rule's purpose is to provide a complaint process for section 508. There is no change to the rule as a result of this comment.

6. One comment requested that a nonretaliation provision be added to the rule. This comment is being incorporated into the rule and such a provision is added.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 255

Civil rights, Federal buildings and facilities, Individuals with disabilities.

Accordingly, the Postal Service revises 39 CFR part 255 to read as follows:

PART 255—ACCESS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES TO POSTAL SERVICE PROGRAMS, ACTIVITIES, FACILITIES, AND ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Sec.

255.1 Purpose.

255.2 Definitions.

255.3 Nondiscrimination under any program or activity conducted by the Postal Service.

255.4 Accessibility to electronic and information technology.

255.5 Employment.