

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
Release No. 59093/December 12, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING  
File No. 3-13292

---

In the Matter of	:	
	:	
PACIFIC INDUSTRIAL CORP.,	:	ORDER MAKING FINDINGS AND
PACIFIC SECURITY COMPANIES, INC., and	:	REVOKING BY DEFAULT
PANDORA INDUSTRIES, INC.	:	REGISTRATION OF
(n/k/a CRESTON MOLY CORP.)	:	PACIFIC INDUSTRIAL CORP.

---

SUMMARY

This Order revokes the registration of the registered securities of Respondent Pacific Industrial Corp. (Respondent).<sup>1</sup> The revocation is based on Respondent's repeated failure to file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission).

I. BACKGROUND

The Commission initiated this proceeding on November 17, 2008, with an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The OIP alleges that Respondent is a corporation with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act and that it has repeatedly failed to file with the Commission annual and quarterly reports in compliance with the Exchange Act. It was served with the OIP in accordance with 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii) on November 20, 2008.<sup>2</sup> To date, it has not filed an Answer to the OIP, due ten days after service. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b). Thus, Respondent has failed to answer or otherwise to defend the proceeding within the meaning of 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a)(2). Accordingly, Respondent is in default, and the undersigned finds that the allegations in the OIP are true as to it. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f). Official notice has been taken of the Commission's public official records concerning Respondent, pursuant to 17 C.F.R. § 201.323.

---

<sup>1</sup> The proceeding has ended as to Pandora Industries, Inc. (n/k/a Creston Moly Corp.). Pac. Indus. Corp., Exchange Act Release No. 59078 (Dec. 11, 2008). The proceeding continues as to Pacific Security Companies, Inc., which filed an Answer to the Order Instituting Proceedings.

<sup>2</sup> It was served with the OIP by USPS Express Mail attempted delivery at "the most recent address shown on [its] most recent filing with the Commission." 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii).

## II. FINDINGS OF FACT

Pacific Industrial Corp., CIK No. 1081238,<sup>3</sup> is a permanently revoked Nevada corporation located in Las Vegas, Nevada, with a class of equity securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). The company is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB<sup>4</sup> for the period ended March 31, 2000, which reported a net loss of \$147,412 since the company's inception on November 20, 1998.

## III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to file required annual and quarterly reports, Respondent violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

## IV. SANCTION

Revocation of the registration of the registered securities of Respondent will serve the public interest and the protection of investors, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act. Revocation will help ensure that the corporate shell is not later put to an illicit use involving publicly traded securities manipulated to the detriment of market participants. Further, revocation accords with Commission sanction considerations set forth in Gateway Int'l Holdings, Inc., 88 SEC Docket 430, 438-39 (May 31, 2006) (citing Steadman v. SEC, 603 F.2d 1126, 1139-40 (5th Cir. 1979)), and with the sanctions imposed in similar cases in which corporations violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 by failing to file required annual and quarterly reports. See Eagletech Commc'ns, Inc., 88 SEC Docket 1225 (July 5, 2006); Neurotech Dev. Corp., 84 SEC Docket 3938 (A.L.J. Mar. 1, 2005); Hamilton Bancorp, Inc., 79 SEC Docket 2680 (A.L.J. Feb. 24, 2003); WSF Corp., 77 SEC Docket 1831 (A.L.J. May 8, 2002). Respondent's violations were recurrent, egregious, and deprived the investing public of current and accurate financial information on which to make informed decisions.

Failure to file periodic reports violates a crucial provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of the periodic reporting requirements is to publicly disclose current, accurate financial information about an issuer so that investors may make informed decisions:

The reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is the primary tool which Congress has fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations in the sale of stock and securities.

---

<sup>3</sup> The CIK number is a unique identifier for each corporation in the Commission's EDGAR database. The user can retrieve filings of a corporation by using its CIK number.

<sup>4</sup> Forms 10-SB, 10-KSB, and 10-QSB may be filed, in lieu of Forms 10, 10-K, and 10-Q, by a company that is a "small business issuer." See 17 C.F.R. § 228.10(a)(1); see generally 17 C.F.R. §§ 228.10-.703 (Regulation S-B) (setting forth disclosure requirements for small business issuers).

Congress has extended the reporting requirements even to companies which are “relatively unknown and insubstantial.”

SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp., 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977) (quoting legislative history); accord e-Smart Techs., Inc., 57 S.E.C. 964, 968-69 (2004). The Commission has warned that “many publicly traded companies that fail to file on a timely basis are ‘shell companies’ and, as such, attractive vehicles for fraudulent stock manipulation schemes.” e-Smart Techs., Inc., 57 S.E.C. at 968-69 n.14.

#### V. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 78l(j), the REGISTRATION of the registered securities of Pacific Industrial Corp. is REVOKED.

---

Carol Fox Foelak  
Administrative Law Judge