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## SUMMARY

by<br>The Plan Team for the Groundfish Fisheries<br>of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands

## INTRODUCTION

The Guidelines for Fishery Management Plans published by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) require that a stock assessment and fishery evaluation (SAFE) report be prepared and reviewed annually for each fishery management plan (FMP). The SAFE reports are intended to summarize the best available scientific information concerning the past, present, and possible future condition of the stocks, marine ecosystems, and fisheries being managed under Federal regulation, thereby providing information to the Councils for determining annual harvest levels from each stock, documenting significant trends or changes in the resource, marine ecosystems, and fishery over time, and assessing the relative success of existing state and Federal fishery management programs. In the case of the FMP for the Groundfish Fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area, the SAFE report is published in three sections: a "Stock Assessment" section, which comprises the bulk of the present document, and "Fishery Evaluation" and "Ecosystem Considerations" sections, which are bound separately.

The Stock Assessment section of the SAFE report for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) groundfish fisheries is compiled by the Plan Team for the Groundfish Fisheries of the BSAI (Plan Team) from chapters contributed by scientists at NMFS' Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) and includes a recommended acceptable biological catch (ABC) and an overfishing level (OFL) for each stock and stock complex managed under the FMP. The ABC recommendations are reviewed by the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC), which may confirm the Plan Team recommendations or develop its own. The ABC recommendations, together with social and economic factors, are considered by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) in determining total allowable catches (TACs) and other measures used to manage the fisheries.

The BSAI groundfish FMP requires that a draft of the SAFE report be produced each year in time for the October and December meetings of the Council. Because data from the current year's AFSC trawl survey of the eastern Bering Sea shelf are usually not available prior to the September Plan Team meeting, however, most stock assessments are not revised in the first draft. For the present (final) draft, however, each stock or stock complex is represented in the report by a revised chapter containing the latest stock assessment.

Members of the Plan Team who compiled this SAFE report were Loh-Lee Low (chair), David Witherell (plan coordinator), Brenda Norcross, Michael Sigler, Andrew Smoker, Grant Thompson, Ivan Vining, Farron Wallace, and Gregg Williams.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## Management Areas and Species

The BSAI management area lies within the 200-mile U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of the United States (Figure 1). International North Pacific Fisheries Commission (INPFC) statistical areas 1 and 2 make up the EBS. The Aleutian Islands (AI) region is INPFC area 5.

Four categories of finfishes and invertebrates have been designated for management purposes (Table 1). They are (a) prohibited species, (b) target species, (c) other species, (d) forage fish, and (e) non-specified species. This SAFE report describes the status of the stocks in categories (b) and (c) only.

## Historical Catch Statistics

Catch statistics since 1954 are shown for the EBS subarea in Table 2. The initial target species was yellowfin sole. During the early period of these fisheries, total catches of groundfish reached a peak of 674,000 metric tons ( t ) in 1961. Following a decline in abundance of yellowfin sole, other species (principally walleye pollock) were targeted upon, and total catches rose to 2.2 million $t$ in 1972. Catches have since varied from one to two million t as catch restrictions and other management measures were placed on the fishery.

Catches in the Aleutian region have always been much smaller than those in the EBS. Target species have also been different (Table 3): In the Aleutians, Pacific ocean perch (POP) was the initial target species. During the early years of exploitation, overall catches of Aleutian groundfish reached a peak of 112,000 t in 1965. As POP abundance declined, the fishery diversified to other species. Total catches from the Aleutians in recent years have been about $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ annually.

## Recent Total Allowable Catches

Amendment 1 to the BSAI Groundfish FMP provides the framework to manage the groundfish resources as a complex. Maximum sustainable yield (MSY) for this complex was originally estimated at 1.8 to 2.4 million t . The optimum yield (OY) range was set at $85 \%$ of the MSY range, or 1.4 to 2.0 million t . The sum of the total allowable catches established by the Council equals OY for the groundfish complex, which is currently constrained by the 2.0 million t cap. Fifteen percent (15\%) of the total TAC is set aside as reserve, which may be released during the season by the NMFS Regional Director.

## Definition of Acceptable Biological Catch and the Overfishing Level

Amendment 56 to the BSAI Groundfish FMP, approved by the Council in June 1998 and by the Secretary of Commerce in January 1999, defines ABC and OFL for the BSAI groundfish fisheries. The new definitions are shown below, where the fishing mortality rate is denoted $F$, stock biomass (or spawning stock biomass, as appropriate) is denoted $B$, and the $F$ and $B$ levels corresponding to MSY are denoted $F_{M S Y}$ and $B_{M S Y}$ respectively.

Acceptable Biological Catch is a preliminary description of the acceptable harvest (or range of harvests) for a given stock or stock complex. Its derivation focuses on the status and dynamics of the stock, environmental conditions, other ecological factors, and prevailing technological characteristics of the fishery. The fishing mortality rate used to calculate ABC is capped as described under "overfishing" below.

Overfishing is defined as any amount of fishing in excess of the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT). This MFMT is prescribed through a set of six tiers which are listed below in descending order of preference, corresponding to descending order of information availability. The SSC will have final authority for
determining whether a given item of information is "reliable" for the purpose of this definition, and may use either objective or subjective criteria in making such determinations. For Tier 1, a "pdf" refers to a probability density function. For Tiers 1-2, if a reliable pdf of $B_{M S Y}$ is available, the preferred point estimate of $B_{M S Y}$ is the geometric mean of its pdf. For Tiers $1-5$, if a reliable pdf of $B$ is available, the preferred point estimate is the geometric mean of its pdf. For Tiers $1-3$, the coefficient $\alpha$ is set at a default value of 0.05 , with the understanding that the SSC may establish a different value for a specific stock or stock complex as merited by the best available scientific information. For Tiers $2-4$, a designation of the form " $F_{X \%}$ " refers to the $F$ associated with an equilibrium level of spawning per recruit (SPR) equal to $X \%$ of the equilibrium level of spawning per recruit in the absence of any fishing. If reliable information sufficient to characterize the entire maturity schedule of a species is not available, the SSC may choose to view SPR calculations based on a knifeedge maturity assumption as reliable. For Tier 3, the term $B_{40 \%}$ refers to the long-term average biomass that would be expected under average recruitment and $F=F_{40 \%}$.

1) Information available: Reliable point estimates of $B$ and $B_{M S Y}$ and reliable pdf of $F_{M S Y}$.

1a) Stock status: $B / B_{M S Y}>1$
$F_{O F L}=\mu_{A}$, the arithmetic mean of the pdf
$F_{A B C} \quad \mu_{H}$, the harmonic mean of the pdf
1b) Stock status: $\alpha<B / B_{M S Y} 1$
$F_{O F L}=\mu_{A} \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)$
$F_{A B C} \quad \mu_{H} \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)$
1c) Stock status: $B / B_{M S Y} \propto$

$$
F_{O F L}=0
$$

$$
F_{A B C}=0
$$

2) Information available: Reliable point estimates of B, $B_{M S Y}, F_{M S Y}, F_{35 \%}$, and $F_{40 \%}$.

2a) Stock status: $B / B_{M S Y}>1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{O F L}=F_{M S Y} \\
& F_{A B C} \quad F_{M S Y} \times\left(F_{40 \sigma_{0}} / F_{35 \sigma_{\%}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2b) Stock status: $\alpha<B / B_{M S Y} 1$
$F_{O F L}=F_{M S Y} \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)$
$F_{A B C} \quad F_{M S Y} \times\left(F_{40 \%} / F_{35 \%_{0}}\right) \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)$
2c) Stock status: $B / B_{M S Y} \propto$

$$
F_{O F L}=0
$$

$$
F_{A B C}=0
$$

3) Information available: Reliable point estimates of $B, B_{40 \%}, F_{35 \%}$, and $F_{40 \%}$.

3a) Stock status: $B / B_{40 \%}>1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%} \\
& F_{A B C} \quad F_{40 \%}
\end{aligned}
$$

3b) Stock status: $\alpha<B / B_{40 \%} \quad 1$
$F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%} \times\left(B / B_{40 \%}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)$
$F_{A B C} \quad F_{40 \%} \times\left(B / B_{40 \%}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)$
3c) Stock status: $B / B_{40 \%} \alpha$
$F_{\text {OFL }}=0$
$F_{A B C}=0$
4) Information available: Reliable point estimates of $B, F_{35 \%^{\prime}}$, and $F_{40 \%}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%} \\
& F_{A B C} \quad F_{40 \%}
\end{aligned}
$$

5) Information available: Reliable point estimates of $B$ and natural mortality rate $M$.
$F_{\text {OFL }}=M$
$F_{A B C} \quad 0.75 \times M$
6) Information available: Reliable catch history from 1978 through 1995.
$\mathrm{OFL}=$ the average catch from 1978 through 1995, unless an alternative value is established by the SSC on the basis of the best available scientific information
ABC $\quad 0.75 \times$ OFL

# OVERVIEW OF "STOCK ASSESSMENT" SECTION 

Summary and Use of Terms

Plan Team recommendations for 2000 ABCs are summarized in Tables 4-7. The sum of the recommended ABCs for 2000 is 2,266,000 $t$, about $16,000 t$ above the 1999 Council-approved total of 2,250,000 $t$ and about $266,000 \mathrm{t}$ above the $2,000,000 \mathrm{t}$ TAC cap. Overall, the status of the stocks continues to appear relatively favorable. Stock status is summarized, ABC recommendations are given, and OFLs presented on a species-byspecies basis in the remainder of this Overview, with the following conventions observed:

1) "Fishing mortality rate" refers to the full-selection $F$ (i.e., the rate that applies to fish of fully selected sizes or ages), except in the case of the EBS walleye pollock assessment. In the EBS walleye pollock assessment model, an "average" fishing mortality rate is calculated by constraining the age-specific selectivities so that the average selectivity is 1.0 (in other age- or length-structured models, the selectivities are constrained so that the maximum is 1.0). It is important to remember that a fullselection $F$ should be interpreted in the context of the selectivity schedule to which it applies.
2) "Projected age+ biomass" refers to the total biomass of all cohorts of ages greater than or equal to some minimum age, as projected for January 1 of the coming year. The minimum age varies from species to species. When possible, the minimum age corresponds to the age of recruitment listed in the respective stock assessment. Otherwise, the minimum age corresponds to the minimum age included in the assessment model. When a biomass estimate from the trawl survey is used as a proxy for projected age+ biomass, the minimum age is equated with the age of recruitment, even though the survey may not select that age fully and undoubtedly selects fish of younger ages to some extent. Note that a projected age+ biomass listed in this summary section may differ from a projected "exploitable" biomass listed in the corresponding stock assessment, which may be computed by multiplying biomass at age by selectivity at age and summing over all ages (in models assuming knife-edge recruitment, age+ biomass and exploitable biomass are equivalent).
3) "Exploitation rate" refers to the ratio between catch (in numbers) and start-of-year stock size (also in numbers). Where information is lacking, the exploitation rate is sometimes multiplied by start-of-year biomass to compute ABC.
4) Projected ABC , OFL, and biomass levels are reported to three significant digits, except when quoting a Council-approved value with more than three significant digits. Fishing mortality rates are reported to two significant digits.
5) The figures listed as 1999 ABCs correspond to the values approved by the Council. The figures listed as 2000 ABCs correspond to the Plan Team's recommendations for next year.

## Projection Scenarios and Status Determination

This year, a standard set of projections is required for each stock managed under Tiers 1, 2, or 3 of Amendment 56. This set of projections encompasses seven harvest scenarios designed to satisfy the requirements of Amendment 56, the National Environmental Protection Act, and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA).

For each scenario, the projections begin with the vector of 1999 numbers at age estimated in the assessment. This vector is then projected forward to the beginning of 2000 using the schedules of natural mortality and selectivity described in the assessment and the best available estimate of total (year-end) catch for 1999. In each subsequent year, the fishing mortality rate is prescribed on the basis of the spawning biomass in that year and the respective harvest scenario. In each year, recruitment is drawn from a distribution whose parameters consist of maximum likelihood estimates determined from the time series of recruitments estimated in the assessment. Because an environmental regime shift appears to have occurred around 1977, only year classes
spawned after 1976 are included in this time series. Spawning biomass is computed in each year based on the time of peak spawning and the maturity and weight schedules described in the assessment. Total catch is assumed to equal the catch associated with the respective harvest scenario in all years. This projection scheme is run 1000 times to obtain distributions of possible future stock sizes, fishing mortality rates, and catches.

Five of the seven standard scenarios will be used in an Environmental Assessment prepared in conjunction with the final SAFE. These five scenarios, which are designed to provide a range of harvest alternatives that are likely to bracket the final TAC for 2000, are as follow (" $\max F_{A B C}$ " refers to the maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ under Amendment 56):

Scenario 1: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to $\max F_{A B C}$. (Rationale: Historically, TAC has been constrained by ABC, so this scenario provides a likely upper limit on future TACs.)

Scenario 2: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to a constant fraction of $\max F_{A B C}$, where this fraction is equal to the ratio of the $F_{A B C}$ value for 2000 recommended in the assessment to the $\max F_{A B C}$ for 2000. (Rationale: When $F_{A B C}$ is set at a value below $\max F_{A B C}$, it is often set at the value recommended in the stock assessment.)

Scenario 3: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to $50 \%$ of $\max F_{A B C}$. (Rationale: This scenario provides a likely lower bound on $F_{A B C}$ that still allows future harvest rates to be adjusted downward when stocks fall below reference levels.)

Scenario 4: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to the 1994-1998 average $F$. (Rationale: For some stocks, TAC can be well below ABC, and recent average $F$ may provide a better indicator of $F_{\text {TAC }}$ than $F_{A B C}$.)

Scenario 5: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to zero. (Rationale: In extreme cases, TAC may be set at a level close to zero.)

Two other scenarios are needed to satisfy the MSFCMA's requirement to determine whether a stock is currently in an overfished condition or is approaching an overfished condition. These two scenarios are as follow (for Tier 3 stocks, the MSY level is defined as $B_{35 \%_{6}}$ ):

Scenario 6: In all future years, $F$ is set equal to $F_{\text {OFL }}$.
Scenario 7: In 2000 and 2001, $F$ is set equal to $\max F_{A B C}$, and in all subsequent years, $F$ is set equal to $F_{O F L}$.

Harvest scenarios \#6 and \#7 are intended to permit determination of the status of a stock with respect to its minimum stock size threshold (MSST). Any stock that is below its MSST is defined to be overfished. Any stock that is expected to fall below its MSST in the next two years is defined to be approaching an overfished condition. Harvest scenarios \#6 and \#7 are used in these determinations as follows:

Is the stock overfished? This depends on the stock's estimated spawning biomass in 2000:
(1) If spawning biomass for 2000 is estimated to be below $1 / 2 B_{35 \%}$, the stock is below its MSST.
(2) If spawning biomass for 2000 is estimated to be above $B_{35 \%}$, the stock is above its MSST.
(3) If spawning biomass for 2000 is estimated to be above $1 / 2 B_{35 \%}$ but below $B_{35 \%}$, the stock's status relative to MSST is determined by referring to harvest scenario \#6. If the mean spawning biomass for 2010 is below $B_{35 \%}$, the stock is below its MSST. Otherwise, the stock is above its MSST.

Is the stock approaching an overfished condition? This is determined by referring to harvest scenario \#7:
(1) If the mean spawning biomass for 2002 is below $1 / 2 B_{35 \%}$, the stock is approaching an overfished condition.
(2) If the mean spawning biomass for 2002 is above $B_{35 \%}$, the stock is not approaching an overfished condition.
(3) If the mean spawning biomass for 2002 is above $1 / 2 B_{35 \%}$ but below $B_{35 \%}$, the determination depends on the mean spawning biomass for 2012. If the mean spawning biomass for 2012 is below $B_{35 \%}$, the stock is approaching an overfished condition. Otherwise, the stock is not approaching an overfished condition.

It is currently impossible to evaluate the status of stocks in Tiers 4 through 6 with respect to their MSSTs because stocks qualify for management under these tiers only if reference stock levels (such as MSST) cannot be estimated reliably.

## Environmental Conditions

The EBS bottom temperature during the 1999 trawl survey was the coldest temperature ever recorded for EBS trawl survey. The mean bottom temperature was $0.81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ versus the average of $2.54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, from 1982-1998. The trawl survey biomass estimates changed, sometimes extensively, between the 1998 and 1999 survey, for several species. Pollock and Pacific cod trawl survey biomass estimates increased by $61 \%$ and $9 \%$, respectively. Flatfish species estimates tended to decrease, with yellowfin sole decreasing by $44 \%$, arrowtooth flounder by $29 \%$, rock sole by $22 \%$, flathead sole by $43 \%$ and other flatfish (not including Alaska plaice) by $6 \%$. Alaska plaice was the only flatfish species to show an increase ( $21 \%$ ). These changes in biomass estimates may have been due to changes in behavior and/or distribution of these groundfish species. The Plan Team believes monitoring for possible effects should be done during the 2000 EBS trawl survey.

## Uncertainty and Ecosystem Considerations

The Plan Team attempted to prepare a summary table listing ecosystem considerations and an index of uncertainty for individual groundfish stock assessments. The Team was unable to complete this table, however. Uncertainty is dealt with in each individual assessment, and to some degree is addressed by the tiers used to establish ABCs. In some assessments (Pacific cod and sablefish), additional analysis of parameter uncertainty was undertaken, and the resulting ABC recommendations adjusted accordingly as part of the assessment. In other assessments (Greenland turbot, Atka mackerel, other species) uncertainty was dealt with by recommending a more precautionary ABC (below the maximum allowed under the tiers). The Plan Team could not agree on a way to present information on relative uncertainty for individual stock assessments.

Ecosystem considerations were included in individual stock assessments. All of our groundfish species are predators or prey at some life stage. The Team was unable to isolate individual cases where ABC adjustments needed to be made to address ecosystem concerns. A review of ecosystem status and trends is provided in the Ecosystem Considerations chapter.

## Research Needs

The Teams discussed research needs, and agreed that the list developed by the Plan Teams and Scientific and Statistical Committee was fairly comprehensive. So only six new research needs were identified. They are as follows, in no priority order.

1. More research is needed to understand pollock movements within the GOA and BSAI.
2. Some of our stocks are disproportionally harvested across large areas of the GOA and BSAI due to area closures, other management actions, or fishery behavior. Additional analysis should be undertaken to examine potential effects of disproportional harvesting.
3. More information is needed on other species. Observer data should be collected and analyzed for individual species. Better estimates of abundance are needed. Lastly, life history data is limited for many of the species in this complex.
4. Mapping of benthic habitat (both bottom type and living substrate) is important for more precise density estimates for many species.
5. Better distribution and improved availability of information would be beneficial to public and scientists.
6. Data rescue. For example, it would be helpful for some assessments if existing logbook data were keypunched and available.

## Walleye Pollock

| Status and catch specifications ( t ) of pollock in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Year | Age 3+ Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| EBS | 1998 | 5,820,000 | 2,060,000 | 1,110,000 | 1,110,000 | 1,101,165 |
|  | 1999 | 7,040,000 | 1,720,000 | 992,000 | 992,000 | 978,301 |
|  | 2000 | 7,700,000 | 1,680,000 | 1,100,000 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| AI | 1998 | 106,000 | 31,700 | 23,800 | 23,800 | 23,822 |
|  | 1999 | 106,000 | 31,700 | 23,800 | 2,000 | 1,019 |
|  | 2000 | 106,000 | 31,700 | 23,800 | n/a | n/a |
| Bogoslof | 1998 | 280,000 | 8,750 | 6,410 | 1,000 | 136 |
|  | 1999 | 403,000 | 21,000 | 15,300 | 1,000 | 21 |
|  | 2000 | 475,000 | 95,000 | 71,300 | n/a | n/a |

## Eastern Bering Sea:

This year's pollock chapter features new data from the 1999 fishery and bottom trawl and echo-integration trawl surveys. The 1999 bottom trawl survey estimated a biomass of $3,570,000 \mathrm{t}$, an increase of $61 \%$ relative to the 1998 estimate. The 1999 echo-integration trawl survey estimated a biomass of $3,290,000 \mathrm{t}$, an increase of $27 \%$ from the 1997 estimate, the last year an echo-integration trawl survey was conducted in this region. Eight alternative models are presented in the chapter, all of which follow the statistical age-structured approach that was used last year to set ABC for 1999. All but two of these eight models estimate 1999 age 3+ biomass to fall between $7,500,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $8,300,000 \mathrm{t}$ (the other two models give values ranging from 5,800,000 t to $6,600,000 \mathrm{t}$ ). Of the eight models presented, the Plan Team based its recommendations for 2000 on Model 2, which assumes a Ricker stock-recruitment relationship and uses the average commercial fishery selectivity pattern from the most recent three years to make projections of future catch and stock size. This is the same model used by the Plan Team last year to recommend the 1999 ABC, except that the recruitment distribution used for harvest projections was estimated from year classes spawned after 1976 only. Model 5 is similar, except that the recruitment distribution used for harvest projections was estimated from the entire time series of year classes (1963-1998). Under Model 5, the stock appears to be able to sustain much higher harvest rates than under Model 2. This is because the early portion of the time series, which is used in Model 5 but not in Model 2, shows relatively high recruitments occurring even at low stock sizes. For example, spawning biomass was lower during the period 1966-1968 than at any time during the 1977-1998 portion of the time series, but the 1966-1968 year classes were all above average in strength. The Plan Team's choice of Model 2 is based on its beliefs that the data in the later portion of the time series are the most reliable and that harvest recommendations should be most heavily influenced by current information and the stock's current productive capacity (i.e., since the 1977 regime shift). Model 2 estimates an increase of $26 \%$ in age $3+$ biomass between 1998 and 1999, followed by a projected increase of $9 \%$ between 1999 and 2000. The large increase between 1998 and 1999 is fueled by the entry of the 1996 year class into the age $3+$ stock, a year class which is currently estimated to be well above average in strength. This increase may have been accentuated by a change in the distribution of pollock in the Bering Sea due to very cold water temperatures in 1999. Projections of age
$3+$ biomass beyond 2000 are not available, but spawning biomass is projected to remain constant from 2000 to 2001, then decrease in 2002.

Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{M S Y}$ and the probability density function for $F_{M S Y}$ exist for this stock, and that EBS walleye pollock therefore qualified for management under Tier 1. The senior assessment author continues to feel that the Tier 1 reference points are reliably estimated given the structure of the model, a conclusion with which the Plan Team concurs. The updated estimates of $B_{M S Y}$ and the harmonic and arithmetic means for $F_{M S Y}$ from the present assessment are $1,790,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.50$, and 0.80 , respectively. Projected spawning biomass for 2000 is $2,160,000 \mathrm{t}$, placing EBS walleye pollock in sub-tier "a" of Tier 1. The maximum permissible value of $F_{M S Y}$ under Tier 1a is 0.50 , the harmonic mean of the probability density function for $F_{M S Y}$. A fishing mortality rate of 0.50 translates into a 2000 catch of 1,200,000 t, which would be the maximum permissible ABC under Tier 1a. However, the senior assessment author recommends setting ABC at a lower value, specifically, the maximum permissible level that would be allowed under Tier 3. The Tier 3 reference points $B_{40 \%}$ and $F_{40 \%}$ are estimated at values of $2,340,000 \mathrm{t}$ and 0.48 , respectively. Because projected spawning biomass for 2000 is below $B_{40 \%}$, the maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ that would be allowed under Tier 3 is the adjusted $F_{40 \%}$ rate of 0.46 . The 2000 catch associated with a fishing mortality rate of 0.46 is $1,100,000 \mathrm{t}$, an $8 \%$ reduction from the maximum permissible level under Tier 1. The Plan Team concurs with the senior assessment author that a 2000 ABC of $1,100,000 \mathrm{t}$ is appropriate. The Plan Team wishes to emphasize that use of the Tier 3 b formula to recommend a 2000 ABC is not intended to change EBS walleye pollock's classification as a Tier 1 stock, but rather to maintain consistency with the existing harvest strategy pending further evaluation of three issues:

1) While the Plan Team feels that the Tier 1 reference points are reliably estimated given current model structure, it concurs with the senior assessment author that some issues surrounding model specification remain to be addressed. In other words, alternative model structures should be explored to determine if the current model structure adequately captures the degree of uncertainty surrounding reference points such as $F_{M S Y}$. Some alternative model structures might focus on use of the stock-recruitment relationship and the effect of regime shifts thereupon. The Plan Team notes that the MSY estimate from Model 5 is $33 \%$ greater than the estimate from Model 2, and that the $F_{M S Y}$ estimate from Model 5 is $211 \%$ greater than the estimate from Model 2.
2) The data used in Model 2 included an estimate of the 1999 age composition from the EIT survey that was constructed by applying an age-length key from the bottom trawl survey to the EIT survey's length composition. The data used in Model 0 did not include this estimate. The maximum permissible ABC for 2000 under Model 0 was $19 \%$ lower than under Model 2. Tests with data from prior years showed that application of the bottom trawl survey age-length key to the EIT survey's length composition sometimes gives a good estimate of the EIT survey's age composition, but not always.
3) The impacts of Russian pollock harvests in the western Bering Sea on future recruitment of the eastern Bering Sea stock are currently unknown but potentially significant.

The OFL fishing mortality rate under Tier 1 a is 0.80 , the arithmetic mean value of $F_{M S Y}$. A fishing mortality rate of 0.80 translates into a 2000 OFL of $1,680,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that the EBS walleye pollock stock is not overfished. The projections necessary for a formal determination of whether this stock is approaching an overfished condition are not yet available. However, the senior assessment author anticipates that these projections will be completed soon, and all preliminary indications are that this stock is not approaching an overfished condition.

## Aleutians:

The 1997 bottom trawl survey of the Aleutian Islands region resulted in a biomass estimate of $106,000 \mathrm{t}$, an increase of $23 \%$ relative to the 1994 estimate. The 1997 stock assessment concluded that the model which had been used to recommend ABC for 1997 was no longer reliable due to the confounding effect of immigration from other areas, and the SSC determined that Aleutian pollock qualified for management under Tier 5. The recommended 1998 and 1999 ABC was $23,800 \mathrm{t}$, computed as the product of the 1997 survey biomass estimate and $75 \%$ of the natural mortality rate (0.3). The recommended 1998 and 1999 OFL was $31,700 \mathrm{t}$, computed as the product of the 1997 survey biomass estimate and the natural mortality rate. Anticipating that the SSC will continue to find that Aleutian pollock qualify for management under Tier 5, the Plan Team recommends retaining the 1997 survey biomass estimate as the best available estimate of biomass in 1999 (by assuming that growth and recruitment balance mortality), and keeping 2000 ABC and OFL at their respective 1999 levels. As a Tier 5 stock, it is not possible to determine whether Aleutian pollock is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition.

## Bogoslof:

The 1999 hydroacoustic survey of the Bogoslof region resulted in a biomass estimate of $475,000 \mathrm{t}$. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, with values of $2,000,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.27$, and 0.37 respectively, and that Bogoslof pollock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 (the $B_{40 \%}$ estimate of 2,000,000 t presumably includes both males and females). This year's assessment includes an age-structured model for Bogoslof pollock that calls the $B_{40 \%}$ estimate of 2,000,000 t into question. The new age-structured model gives a females-only $B_{40 \%}$ estimate of $96,800 \mathrm{t}$, which is a full order of magnitude lower than the previous estimate, even after correcting for the combined-sexes nature of the old estimate. The senior assessment author has not been able to reproduce the calculations that led to the original acceptance of the old estimate several years ago. The Plan Team thus recommends that Bogoslof pollock be moved from Tier 3 down to Tier 5, based on the following rationale: 1) Until questions surrounding computation of $B_{40 \%}$ for this stock are resolved, it is not clear that a reliable estimate of this quantity exists, which implies that Bogoslof pollock should move down to at least Tier 4. 2) Given that there has been no fishery on this stock for so long and that selectivity patterns estimated for the shelf stock are probably not applicable to the deep-water Bogoslof stock, it is not clear that a reliable estimate of fishery selectivity--and thus $F_{40 \%}$-exists, which implies that Bogoslof pollock should move down to at least Tier 5. 3) It appears that a reliable estimate of natural mortality ( 0.20 ) does exist, which places Bogoslof pollock in Tier 5. The Plan Team also notes that placement of Bogoslof pollock in Tier 5 would classify it similarly with Aleutian pollock, a stock which generally has about the same quality of assessment information.

The Plan Team's recommendations of 2000 ABC and OFL are based on the hydroacoustic survey estimate for the entire spawning aggregation ( $475,000 \mathrm{t}$ ), rather than the biomass observed in Area 518 alone ( $393,000 \mathrm{t}$ ). Because the hydroacoustic survey is attempting to measure the biomass of a discrete spawning aggregation, the Plan Team believes that it is appropriate to use the entire biomass estimate rather than the proportion of the estimate that happened to reside in Area 518 at the precise time of the survey. In previous SAFE reports, ABC calculations were made by projecting the hydroacoustic biomass estimate forward to account for natural mortality, but not growth or recruitment. In contrast, growth and recruitment have been assumed to balance natural mortality for all other BSAI stocks lacking an age- or length-structured assessment model. The Plan Team recommends that the assumptions of zero growth and zero recruitment be discontinued for Bogoslof pollock, and recommends instead that projected biomass be set equal to the most recent survey biomass estimate.

Anticipating that the SSC will concur with the Plan Team's recommendation to move the Bogoslof pollock stock to Tier 5, the maximum permissible 2000 ABC is $71,300 \mathrm{t}(=475,000 \mathrm{t} \times M \times 0.75)$, which is the Plan

Team's recommended ABC. Other alternatives include the following: 1) If Bogoslof pollock remains classified in Tier 3 and the age-structured model contained in the stock assessment is used, the maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ is 0.23 , giving a maximum permissible 2000 ABC of $110,000 \mathrm{t}$. 2) If Bogoslof pollock remains classified in Tier 3 and last year's estimates of reference points are retained, the maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ is 0.053 , giving an exploitation rate of 0.047 and a maximum permissible 2000 ABC of $22,300 \mathrm{t}$.

Similarly, anticipating that the SSC will concur with the Plan Team's recommendation to move the Bogoslof pollock stock to Tier 5, the 2000 OFL is $95,000 \mathrm{t}(=475,000 \mathrm{t} \times M)$. As a Tier 5 stock, it is not possible to determine whether Bogoslof pollock is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition. Other alternatives include the following: 1) If Bogoslof pollock remains classified in Tier 3 and the age-structured model contained in the stock assessment is used, $F_{\text {OFL }}$ is 0.29 , the 2000 OFL is $132,000 \mathrm{t}$, and Bogoslof pollock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition. 2) If Bogoslof pollock remains classified in Tier 3 and last year's estimates of reference points are retained, $F_{\text {OFL }}$ is 0.064 , the 2000 OFL is $30,400 \mathrm{t}$, and the stock is overfished (because the projected 2000 biomass of $475,000 \mathrm{t}$ is less than $1 / 2$ of $B_{35 \%}$, where $B_{35 \%}$ is computed as $2,000,000 \mathrm{t} \times 7 / 8=1,750,000 \mathrm{t}$ ).

## Pacific Cod

| Status and catch specifications ( t ) of Pacific cod in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Year | Age 3+ Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| BSAI | 1998 | 1,340,000 | 336,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 | 195,648 |
|  | 1999 | 1,210,000 | 264,000 | 177,000 | 177,000 | 160,084 |
|  | 2000 | 1,300,000 | 240,000 | 193,000 | n/a | n/a |

The present assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment, incorporating new catch and survey information. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in a biomass estimate of $583,000 \mathrm{t}$, a $9 \%$ increase relative to last year's estimate. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $379,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.30$, and 0.35 , respectively. Fishing at a rate of 0.28 is projected to result in a 2000 spawning biomass of $357,000 \mathrm{t}$, and solves the equation for the maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ under tier 3. Because projected biomass for 2000 is less than $B_{40 \%}$, Pacific cod qualify for management under sub-tier "b" of tier 3. Fishing at an instantaneous rate of 0.28 is projected to result in a 2000 catch of $206,000 \mathrm{t}$, which is the maximum permissible ABC under Amendment 56. However, the Plan Team concurs with the chapter authors' recommendation to set 2000 ABC at $193,000 \mathrm{t}$, about $6 \%$ below the maximum permissible level. This recommendation is based on a risk-averse optimization procedure which considers uncertainty in the estimates of the survey catchability coefficient and the natural mortality rate in the computation of an $F_{40 \%}$ harvest level. The Plan Team feels that a $6 \%$ reduction from the maximum permissible ABC is justified not only on the basis of these decision-theoretic concerns, but also because estimated spawning biomass from the model has declined continuously since 1985 and because three of the last four year classes (assessed at age 3) appear to have been well below average. A 2000 catch of $193,000 \mathrm{t}$ would represent an increase of $9 \%$ over the 1999 ABC of $177,000 \mathrm{t}$, matching the $9 \%$ increase in the trawl survey biomass estimate. However, the Plan Team notes that the assessment model projects a 2001 ABC (using the
same relative harvest rate) of $171,000 \mathrm{t}$ with a continuing decline through 2003 (expected $\mathrm{ABC}=138,000 \mathrm{t}$ ), meaning that the increase for 2000 is expected to be short-lived. A 2000 catch of $193,000 \mathrm{t}$ corresponds to a fishing mortality rate of 0.26 , below the value of 0.28 which constitutes the upper limit on $F_{A B C}$ under tier 3b.

The Plan Team's recommended OFL was determined from the tier 3 b formula, where fishing at a rate of 0.33 gives a 2000 catch of $240,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Recommendations for next year's assessment

The Plan Team is interested in the possibility of splitting the OFL, ABC, and TAC for this stock into separate EBS and AI components, and recommends that an analysis of this possibility be undertaken.

## Yellowfin Sole

Status and catch specifications ( $t$ ) of yellowfin sole in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99.

| Area | $\underline{Y e a r}$ | $\underline{\text { Age 2+ Bio. }}$ | $\underline{\text { OFL }}$ | $\underline{\text { ABC }}$ | $\underline{\text { TAC }}$ | $\underline{\text { Catch }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{B S A I}$ | 1998 | $3,010,000$ | 314,000 | 220,000 | 220,000 | 101,201 |
|  | 1999 | $3,180,000$ | 308,000 | 212,000 | 207,980 | 67,885 |
|  | 2000 | $2,820,000$ | 226,000 | 191,000 | $n / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The present assessment includes significant changes from last year's assessment, including use of AD Model Builder as a modeling platform for the first time and incorporation of new catch and survey information. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in a biomass estimate of $1,310,000 \mathrm{t}$, a $44 \%$ decrease relative to last year's estimate. The sharp decrease appears due to an effect of cold water to decrease availability; water temperatures were the coldest on record in 1999 and previous trawl survey results appear affected by shelf bottom temperatures. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $577,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.11$, and 0.13 , respectively. Given that the projected 2000 spawning biomass of $789,000 \mathrm{t}$ exceeds $B_{40 \%}$, the Plan Team's ABC and OFL recommendations for 2000 were calculated under sub-tier "a" of Tier 3. The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the $F_{40 \%}(=0.11)$ level, which is the maximum permissible level under Tier 3a. Projected harvesting at the $F_{40 \sigma_{0}}$ level gives a 2000 ABC of 191,000 t.

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 3a formula, where an $F_{35 \%}$ value of 0.13 gives a 2000 OFL of $226,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

Water temperature may affect shelf trawl survey catchability (Figure 3.3). Average water temperature recorded on the 1999 shelf trawl survey is the coldest on record. Coincident with this drop, the estimated biomass fell about 1.1 mmt in the 1999 shelf trawl survey. Part of this drop may be due to a change in availability, due to spawning occurring later this year; spawning occurs inshore in an area outside the survey sampling frame. Part of this drop also may be due to a temperature effect on catchability. The temperature may make fish more lethargic, which do not "pop up" over the foot rope when encountered by the trawl. A small amount of video observation from research trawling supports this supposition. The Plan Team recommends that the authors allow survey catchability to vary annually in the population model and that this variability is tied to annual measurements of bottom temperature.

## Greenland turbot

| Status and catch specifications $(t)$ of Greenland turbot in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Year | Age 1+ Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| BSAI | 1998 | 164,000 | 22,300 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 9,124 |
|  | 1999 | 177,000 | 29,700 | 14,200 | 9,000 | 5,937 |
|  | 2000 | 233,000 | 42,000 | 9,300 | n/a | n/a |

The present assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment, incorporating new catch and survey information. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in a biomass estimate of $19,797 \mathrm{t}$, a $30 \%$ decrease relative to last year's estimate. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $81,300 t, 0.26$, and 0.32 , respectively. Projected spawning biomass for 2000 is $165,000 \mathrm{t}$, placing Greenland turbot in sub-tier " a " of Tier 3. The Plan Team notes that the ratio of 1999 spawning biomass to $B_{40 \%}$ has changed dramatically since last year's assessment: In last year's assessment, the ratio was $79 \%$, whereas in the present assessment, the ratio is $203 \%$. The main reason for this change is that the recruitments used to estimate $B_{40 \%}$ in last year's assessment included year classes spawned prior to the regime shift of 1977 , whereas the recruitments used to estimate $B_{40 \%}$ in the present assessment include only year classes spawned during the current environmental regime. The maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ under Tier 3a is 0.26 . A fishing mortality rate of 0.26 translates into a 2000 catch of $34,700 \mathrm{t}$, which would be the maximum permissible ABC under Amendment 56. The Plan Team concurs with the authors' recommendation to set the 2000 ABC at a value substantially less than the maximum permissible, using $F_{A B C}$ $=0.25 \times \max F_{A B C}$, which results in a 2000 ABC of $9,300 \mathrm{t}$. The Plan Team believes that a 2000 ABC well below the maximum permissible value is warranted for the following reasons: 1) estimated age $1+$ biomass has trended downward continually since $1972 ; 2$ ) the 7 most recent age 1 recruitments constitute 7 of the lowest 8 values in the entire time series; and 3) if the maximum permissible ABC of $34,700 \mathrm{t}$ were actually caught, this would constitute the highest catch since 1983, even though spawning biomass in 2000 is projected to be less than half of what it was in 1983.

The OFL fishing mortality rate is computed under Tier 3a, $F_{O F L}=F_{35 \sigma_{\%}}=0.32$, and translates into a 2000 OFL of $42,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Recommendations for next year's assessment

1) Longline catches have represented an increasing share of the reported Greenland turbot catches in recent years. Because killer whales can remove substantial amounts of catch from longlines, the Plan Team recommends that the authors analyze observer data to estimate how much unreported mortality occurs due to killer whale depredation, and include this estimate of unreported mortality in the assessment model. 2) Shelf and slope trawl surveys and longline surveys are currently used to index Greenland turbot abundance in the assessment model. The Plan Team recommends that the authors weight the survey likelihood components by the respective annual sampling variances. The Plan Team also recommends that the authors include longline survey data from all available years in the model.

## Arrowtooth flounder

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of arrowtooth flounder in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99.

| Area | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ |  | $\underline{\text { Age 1+ Bio. }}$ | $\underline{\text { OFL }}$ |  | ABC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BSAI | 1998 | 869,000 | 230,000 |  | 147,000 | 16,000 | $\underline{\text { TAC }}$ |
|  | 1999 | 819,000 | 219,000 | 140,000 | 134,354 | 10,679 |  |
|  | 2000 | 785,000 | 160,000 | 131,000 | n/a | n/a |  |

The present assessment includes significant changes from last year's assessment, including a return to the method of weighting sex-specific size composition data that had been used prior to last year's assessment and incorporation of new catch and survey information. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in a biomass estimate of $244,000 \mathrm{t}$, a $29 \%$ decrease relative to last year's estimate. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are 195,000 $\mathrm{t}, 0.22$ and 0.27 , respectively. Given that the projected 2000 spawning biomass of $496,000 \mathrm{t}$ exceeds $B_{40 \%}$, the Plan Team's ABC and OFL recommendations for 2000 were calculated under sub-tier "a" of Tier 3. The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the $F_{40 \%}(=0.22)$ level, which is the maximum permissible level under Tier 3a. Projected harvesting at the $F_{40 \%}$ level gives a 2000 ABC of $131,000 \mathrm{t}$.

The OFL fishing mortality rate is computed under Tier 3a, $F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%}=0.27$, and translates into a 2000 OFL of $160,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

Survey data indicates that more than half of each year's sample consists of females (yearly estimates range between $55 \%$ and $75 \%$ ), which is consistent with findings for this species in the Gulf of Alaska. However, attempts to reflect this in last year's stock assessment proved unsuccessful due to constraints of the modeling software used. For next year's assessment, the authors plan on using more flexible software to develop a model that reflects the unequal sex ratio observed by the survey. The Plan Team encourages this development.

## Rock sole

Status and catch specifications $(\mathrm{t})$ of rock sole in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99.

| $\frac{\text { Area }}{\text { BSAI }}$ | $\frac{\text { Year }}{1998}$ | $\frac{\text { Age 2+Bio. }}{2,360,000}$ | $\frac{\text { OFL }}{449,000}$ | $\frac{\text { ABC }}{312,000}$ | $\frac{\text { TAC }}{100,000}$ | $\frac{\text { Catch }}{33,645}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1999 | $2,320,000$ | 444,000 | 309,000 | 120,000 | 40,362 |
|  | 2000 | $2,070,000$ | 273,000 | 230,000 | n/a | n/a |

The present assessment includes significant changes from last year's assessment, including use of AD Model Builder as a modeling platform for the first time, use of year-specific weight-at-age schedules, and incorporation of new catch and survey information. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in a biomass estimate of $1,690,000 \mathrm{t}$, a $22 \%$ decrease relative to last year's estimate. It should also be noted that the biomass estimate from the 1998 survey constituted a $20 \%$ decrease relative to 1997. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $285,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.15$, and 0.19 , respectively. Given that the projected 2000 spawning biomass of $676,000 \mathrm{t}$ exceeds $B_{40 \%}$, the Plan Team's ABC and OFL recommendations for 2000 were calculated under sub-tier "a" of Tier 3. The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the $F_{40 \%}(=0.15)$ level, which is the maximum permissible level under Tier 3a. Projected harvesting at the $F_{40 \%}$ level gives a 2000 ABC of $230,000 \mathrm{t}$.

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 3a formula, where an $F_{35 \%}$ value of 0.19 gives a 2000 OFL of $273,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Flathead sole

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of flathead sole in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the
projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those
recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data
for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99.

Area
$\underline{B S A I}$

The present assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment, incorporating new catch and survey information. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in a biomass estimate of $395,000 \mathrm{t}$, a $43 \%$ decrease relative to last year's estimate. It should be noted that 1998 estimate was a $14 \%$ decrease relative to that of 1997, which in turn was a $31 \%$ increase relative to the 1996 estimate. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $134,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.28$, and 0.35 , respectively. Given that the projected 2000 spawning biomass of $261,000 \mathrm{t}$ exceeds $B_{40 \%}$, the Plan Team's ABC and OFL recommendations for 2000 were calculated under sub-tier " a " of Tier 3. The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the $F_{40 \%}(=0.28)$ level, which is the maximum permissible level under Tier 3a. Projected harvesting at the $F_{40 \%}$ level gives a 2000 ABC of $73,500 \mathrm{t}$.

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 3a formula, where an $F_{35 \%}$ value of 0.35 gives a 2000 OFL of $90,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Other flatfish complex

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of other flatfish in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year (age 1+ biomass is shown for 1998 and 1999, age $2+$ for 2000). The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99.

| BSAI | Year | $\underline{\text { Age 2 }+ \text { Bio. }}$ | $\underline{\text { OFL }}$ | $\underline{\text { ABC }}$ | $\underline{\text { TAC }}$ | $\underline{\text { Catch }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1998 | 789,000 | 253,000 | 164,000 | 89,434 | 15,338 |
|  | 1999 | 618,000 | 248,000 | 154,000 | 154,000 | 15,184 |
|  | 2000 | 829,000 | 141,000 | 117,000 | n/a | n/a |

The present assessment includes significant changes from last year's assessment, including use of AD Model Builder as a modeling platform for the first time (Alaska plaice only) and incorporation of new catch and survey information. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in biomass estimates of 547,000 t for Alaska plaice and 69,700 t for the remaining species in the "other flatfish" complex, representing an increase of $21 \%$ and a decrease of $6 \%$ relative to last year's estimates, respectively. The Plan Team notes that Alaska plaice was the only major flatfish species that showed increased abundance in the 1999 bottom trawl survey. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock complex, and that this stock complex therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ (Alaska plaice only), 0.28 , and 0.35 , respectively. Given that the projected 2000 spawning biomass (Alaska plaice only) of $187,000 \mathrm{t}$ exceeds $B_{40 \%}$, the Plan Team's ABC and OFL recommendations for 2000 were calculated under sub-tier "a" of Tier 3. The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the $F_{40 \%}$ level ( $=0.28$ for all species), which is the maximum allowable under Tier 3a. Projected harvesting at the $F_{40 \%}$ level gives a 2000 ABC of $117,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the complex.

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 3a formula, where an $F_{35 \%}$ value ( $=0.35$ for all species) gives a 2000 OFL of $141,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the complex. Model projections indicate that this stock complex is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Recommendations for next year's assessment

Trawl survey estimates of rock sole, flathead sole, and Alaska plaice abundance all spiked in 1994 and 1997. These three species also show similar abundance and recruitment trends (except for Alaska plaice in the case of the 1999 bottom trawl survey estimate). The Plan Team recommends that the assessment authors attempt to determine the reasons for these similarities. One hypothesis might be that trawl survey catchability is related to water temperature in a similar fashion for all three species, leading to survey biomass estimates that reflect not only changes in abundance of the individual species but also short-term changes in environmental conditions that are common to all three.

## Sablefish

| Status and catch specifications ( t ) of sablefish in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1997 and 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Year | Age 4+ Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| EBS | 1998 | 18,200 | 2,160 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 614 |
|  | 1999 | 17,000 | 2,090 | 1,340 | 1,340 | 628 |
|  | 2000 | 18,000 | 1,750 | 1,410 | n/a | n/a |
| AI | 1998 | 21,000 | 2,230 | 1,380 | 1,380 | 734 |
|  | 1999 | 26,000 | 2,890 | 1,860 | 1,380 | 629 |
|  | 2000 | 33,000 | 3,090 | 2,490 | n/a | n/a |

The sablefish assessment has been revised substantially since last year's assessment. The revision consisted mainly of the addition of about twenty years of historical data, the addition of contemporary fishery catch rate
data, and the addition of Bayes and decision analyses to recommend 2000 ABC. Last year's assessment model estimated abundance for the years 1979-1998. By adding the historical data, the assessment model was extended to estimate abundance back to 1960 . The revised assessment indicates that sablefish abundance increased during the mid-1960s due to strong year classes from the late 1950s and 1960s. Abundance subsequently dropped during the 1970s due to heavy fishing. The population recovered due to exceptional year classes from the late 1970s; spawning abundance peaked again in 1987. The population then decreased because these exceptional year classes are dying off. The survey abundance index increased $10 \%$ in numbers and $5 \%$ in weight and the fishery abundance index increased $11 \%$ in weight from 1998 to 1999. Projected 2000 exploitable biomass for the Aleutian Islands, eastern Bering Sea, and Gulf of Alaska combined is about $175,000 \mathrm{t}$, which is also the projected value of spawning biomass (combined sexes) for 2000. These values represent increases of 3 and $1 \%$ from the respective estimates for 1999. Projected spawning biomass for 2000 is $32 \%$ of the unfished level. Alaska sablefish abundance now appears low and stable. This is a change from previous assessments where abundance appeared low and slowly decreasing. However, further years' data are needed to confirm that abundance has stabilized.

A simple Bayesian analysis was completed by examining the effect of uncertainty in natural mortality and survey catchability on parameter estimation. A decision analysis was completed using the posterior probability from the Bayesian analysis to determine which catch levels would be likely to decrease abundance. The decision analysis indicates that a yield of about $17,000 \mathrm{t}$ will most likely keep spawning biomass the same and has only a $20 \%$ probability of reducing 2004 spawning biomass to less than $90 \%$ of 2000 spawning biomass.

Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $217,000 \mathrm{t}$ (combined across the EBS, AI, and GOA), 0.14 , and 0.17 , respectively. Projected spawning biomass (combined areas) for 2000 is $175,000 t$ t placing sablefish in sub-tier "b" of Tier 3. The maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ under Tier 3b is computed as follows:
$F_{A B C} \quad F_{40 \sigma_{6}} \times\left(B_{2000} / B_{40 \%}-0.05\right) /(1-0.05)=0.14 \times(175,000 / 217,000-0.05) / 0.95=0.11$
A fishing mortality rate of 0.11 translates into a 2000 catch (combined areas) of $17,300 t$, which would be the maximum permissible ABC under Amendment 56, and is the Plan Team's recommended 2000 ABC. A 5-year exponential weighting of longline survey relative abundance may be used to apportion the combined 2000 ABC among regions, resulting in the following values: EBS--1,410 t, AI--2,490 t, and GOA--13,400 t .

The OFL fishing mortality rate is computed under Tier 3b as follows:
$F_{\text {OFL }}=F_{35 \%} \times\left(B_{2000} / B_{35 \%}-0.05\right) /(1-0.05)=0.17 \times(175,000 / 217,000-0.05) / 0.95=0.14$
A fishing mortality rate of 0.14 translates into a 2000 OFL (combined areas) of $21,500 \mathrm{t}$. Using the surveybased apportionment scheme described above, 2000 OFL also may be apportioned among regions and results in the following values: EBS-1,750 t , AI-3,090 t , and GOA-16,660 t . Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Pacific ocean perch (POP) complex

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of Pacific ocean perch and other red rockfish in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1999 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 2000 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99.

Pacific Ocean Perch

| Area | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ | $\underline{\text { Age } 9+\text { Bio. }}$ | $\underline{\text { OFL }}$ | $\underline{\text { ABC }}$ | $\underline{\text { TAC }}$ | $\underline{\text { Catch }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EBS | 1998 | 41,300 | 3,300 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,017 |
|  | 1999 | 45,500 | 3,600 | 1,900 | 1,400 | 376 |
|  | 2000 | 47,700 | 3,100 | 2,600 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| AI | 1998 | 258,000 | 20,700 | 12,100 | 12,100 | 9,051 |
|  | 1999 | 236,000 | 19,100 | 13,500 | 13,500 | 11,776 |
|  | 2000 | 192,000 | 14,400 | 12,300 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Other Red Rockfish (Sharpchin, Northern, Shortraker, Rougheye)

| Area | Year | Age 9+ Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBS | 1998 | 11,600 | 356 | 267 | 267 | 111 |
|  | 1999 | 11,600 | 356 | 267 | 267 | 217 |
|  | 2000 | 8,200 | 259 | 194 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Sharpchin/Northern Rockfish }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area | Year | Age 9+ Bio. | OFL | $\underline{\text { ABC }}$ | TAC | Catch |
| AI | 1998 | 94,000 | 5,640 | 4,230 | 4,230 | 3,675 |
|  | 1999 | 94,000 | 5,640 | 4,230 | 4,230 | 5,181 |
|  | 2000 | 115,000 | 6,870 | 5,150 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Shortraker/Rougheye Rockfish

| Area | $\underline{\text { Year }}$ | $\underline{\text { Age } 9+\text { Bio. }}$ | $\underline{\text { OFL }}$ | $\underline{\text { ABC }}$ | $\underline{T A C}$ | $\underline{\text { Catch }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AI | 1998 | 46,500 | 1,290 | 965 | 965 | 678 |
|  | 1999 | 46,500 | 1,290 | 965 | 965 | 474 |
|  | 2000 | 41,500 | 1,180 | 885 | $n / a$ | $n / \mathrm{a}$ |

## True POP (Sebastes alutus), Eastern Bering Sea

The present assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment, incorporating new catch information. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $26,200 \mathrm{t}, 0.057$, and 0.069 , respectively. Projected spawning biomass for 2000 is $24,900 \mathrm{t}$, placing true POP in the EBS in sub-tier " b " of Tier 3. The maximum $F_{A B C}$ value allowed under Tier 3b is computed as follows:
$F_{A B C} \quad F_{40 \%_{0}} \times\left(B_{2000} / B_{40 \sigma_{0}}-0.05\right) /(1-0.05)=0.057 \times(24,900 / 26,200-0.05) / 0.95=0.054$

Projected harvesting at a fishing mortality rate of 0.054 gives a 2000 catch of $2,600 \mathrm{t}$, which is the Plan Team's recommended ABC (last year's ABC was set using a lower fishing mortality rate, 0.040 , in part because last year's $B_{40 \%}$ estimate of $34,400 \mathrm{t}$ was higher than this year's estimate of 26,200 t ).

The OFL fishing mortality rate is computed under Tier 3b as follows:
$F_{\text {OFL }}=F_{35 \sigma_{6}} \times\left(B_{2000} / B_{40 \%_{o}}-0.05\right) /(1-0.05)=0.069 \times(24,900 / 26,200-0.05) / 0.95=0.065$
Projected harvesting at a fishing mortality rate of 0.065 gives a 2000 catch of $3,100 t$, which is the Plan Team's recommended OFL. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## True POP, Aleutians:

The present assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment, incorporating new catch information and age composition data. Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $100,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.072$, and 0.085 , respectively. Projected spawning biomass for 2000 is $97,800 \mathrm{t}$, placing true POP in the Aleutians in sub-tier " b " of Tier 3. The maximum $F_{A B C}$ value allowed under Tier 3 b is computed as follows:
$F_{A B C} \quad F_{40 \%} \times\left(B_{2000} / B_{40 \%}-0.05\right) /(1-0.05)=0.072 \times(97,800 / 100,000-0.05) / 0.95=0.070$
Projected harvesting at a fishing mortality rate of 0.070 gives a 2000 catch of $12,300 \mathrm{t}$, which is the Plan Team's recommended ABC (last year's ABC was set based on Tier 3a, so no adjustment of the $F_{40 \%}$ rate was required). The ABC is apportioned among AI subareas based on survey distribution as follows: Western AI $=46.1 \%$, Central AI $=28.5 \%$, and Eastern $=25.4 \%$.

The OFL fishing mortality rate is computed under Tier 3b as follows:
$F_{O F L}=F_{35 \sigma_{6}} \times\left(B_{2000} / B_{40 \%}-0.05\right) /(1-0.05)=0.085 \times(97,800 / 100,000-0.05) / 0.95=0.083$
Projected harvesting at a fishing mortality rate of 0.083 gives a 2000 catch of $14,400 \mathrm{t}$, which is the Plan Team's recommended OFL. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Other Members of the POP Complex, Eastern Bering Sea:

The present assessment is slightly modified from last year's assessment. In the current assessment, biomass was estimated from domestic trawl surveys only (1988-1997). This modification addresses concerns about biomass estimates for northern rockfish that included results from two exceptionally large tows of northern rockfish from the 1986 Aleutian trawl survey (in the small part of the EBS covered by that survey). These tows were responsible for approximately $94 \%$ of the northern rockfish biomass estimate in that year. Last year, the Plan Team and the SSC concluded that biomass estimates produced by eliminating the 1986 survey estimate represented the best estimate of northern rockfish biomass in the EBS. The change provided in this assessment addresses these concerns, and the Plan Team agrees that the 1988-1997 surveys provide better estimates of current biomass.

Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of the natural mortality rate ( $M$ ) existed for the species in this complex, and that non-alutus members of the POP complex in the EBS therefore qualified for management under Tier 5 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The accepted estimates of $M$ for these species in the EBS are as follows: rougheye rockfish--0.025, shortraker rockfish--0.030, and northern rockfish--0.060. The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the maximum value allowable under Tier 5, which is $75 \%$ of $M$. On a species-specific basis, this translates into the following $F_{A B C}$ values: rougheye rockfish-- 0.019 , shortraker rockfish--0.023, and northern rockfish--0.045. Multiplying these rates by the best estimates of species-specific biomass and summing across species gives a 2000 ABC of 194 t . The assessment authors recommend separate ABCs for the SR/RE and NO/SC complexes in the Bering Sea, as is done in the Aleutian Islands. Their reasons are as follow: 1) these species occupy different depth ranges and can thus be differentially targeted, 2) there is an economic incentive to target SR/RE because they command a higher price, and 3) a combined quota could allow for higher fishing mortality of the SR/RE component than is desired. No catch information by species was provided to evaluate the biological and management implications, of this recommendation, however. Therefore, the Plan Team recommends continuing with a combined 2000 ABC for EBS "other red rockfish."

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 5 formula, where setting $F_{O F L}=M$ for each species gives a combined 2000 OFL of 259 t . As a Tier 5 stock complex, it is not possible to determine whether the EBS "other red rockfish" complex is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition.

## Sharpchin and Northern Rockfish, Aleutians:

Because sharpchin rockfish are found only rarely in the Aleutians, northern rockfish are for all practical purposes the only species in this complex. Traditionally, the biomass estimates from all Aleutian bottom trawl surveys have been averaged over all years to obtain the best estimate of northern rockfish biomass. In the current assessment, however, biomass was estimated from the domestic trawl surveys only (1988-1997). Last year, the SSC determined that a reliable estimate of the natural mortality rate $(M)$ existed for this stock, and that northern rockfish in the Aleutians therefore qualified for management under Tier 5 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The accepted estimate of $M$ for northern rockfish in the Aleutians is 0.06 . The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the maximum value allowable under Tier 5 , which is $75 \%$ of $M$, or 0.045 . Multiplying this rate by the best estimate of biomass gives a 2000 ABC of $5,150 \mathrm{t}$.

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 5 formula, where setting $F_{O F L}=M$ gives a 2000 OFL of $6,870 \mathrm{t}$. As a Tier 5 stock complex, it is not possible to determine whether the AI sharpchin/northern complex is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition.

## Shortraker and Rougheye Rockfish, Aleutians:

Traditionally, the biomass estimates from all Aleutian bottom trawl surveys have been averaged over all years to obtain the best estimate of shortraker and rougheye rockfish biomass. In the current assessment, however, biomass was estimated from the domestic trawl surveys only (1988-1997). Last year, the SSC determined that reliable estimates of the natural mortality rate $(M)$ existed for the species in this complex, and that shortraker and rougheye rockfish in the Aleutians therefore qualified for management under Tier 5 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The accepted estimates of $M$ for these species in the Aleutians are as follows: rougheye rockfish-- 0.025 and shortraker rockfish-- 0.030 . The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the maximum value allowable under Tier 5, which is $75 \%$ of $M$. On a species-specific basis, this translates into the following $F_{A B C}$ values: rougheye rockfish-- 0.019 and shortraker rockfish-- 0.023 . Multiplying these rates by the best estimates of species-specific biomass and summing across species gives a 2000 ABC of 885 t .

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 5 formula, where setting $F_{O F L}=M$ for each species gives a combined 2000 OFL of $1,180 \mathrm{t}$. As a Tier 5 stock complex, it is not possible to determine whether the AI shortraker/rougheye complex is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition.

## Recommendations for next year's assessment

1) The Plan Team recommends that separate chapters be prepared for $S$. alutus and the combined "other red rockfish" in future SAFE Reports, which would improve the clarity of the assessment and facilitate better understanding of the methodology used and the results obtained. 2) The Plan Team recommends that fishery size compositions from 1995-1998 in the Bering Sea and from 1996-1998 in the Aleutian Islands be included in the respective $S$. alutus assessment models. 3) For the non-alutus species, the Plan Team recommends examining the possibility of specifying area-specific OFLs, ABCs, and TACs for the shortraker/rougheye complex and a combined-area OFL, ABC, and TAC for the northern/sharpchin complex. 4) For the non-alutus species, the Plan Team recommends that future assessments include catch and survey data by individual species to determine if differential harvest rates exist.

## Other rockfish complex

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of other rockfish (primarily thornyheads) in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99.

| Area | Year | Age 9+ Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBS | 1998 | 7,030 | 492 | 369 | 369 | 199 |
|  | 1999 | 7,030 | 492 | 369 | 369 | 137 |
|  | 2000 | 7,030 | 492 | 369 | n/a | n/a |
| AI | 1998 | 13,000 | 913 | 685 | 685 | 380 |
|  | 1999 | 13,000 | 913 | 685 | 685 | 632 |
|  | 2000 | 13,000 | 913 | 685 | n/a | n/a |

The present assessment is basically identical to last year's assessment. Traditionally, the biomass estimates (split according to management area) from all bottom trawl surveys (EBS shelf/slope and Aleutians) are averaged over all years to obtain the best estimates of biomass for the species in this complex. Summed over the species in the complex, this procedure produces a biomass estimate of $7,030 \mathrm{t}$ in the EBS and a biomass estimate of $13,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the Aleutians. The great majority of this biomass is comprised of thornyhead rockfish. Last year, the SSC determined that a reliable estimate of the natural mortality rate $(M)$ existed for the species in this subcomplex, and that "other rockfish" in the EBS and Aleutians therefore qualified for management under Tier 5 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The accepted estimate of $M$ for these species in both areas is 0.07 . The Plan Team recommends setting $F_{A B C}$ at the maximum value allowable under Tier 5, which is $75 \%$ of $M$, or 0.053 . Multiplying this rate by the best estimate of complex-wide biomass gives a 2000 ABC of 369 t in the EBS and 685 t in the Aleutians.

The Plan Team's OFLs were determined from the Tier 5 formula, where setting $F_{\text {OFL }}=M$ gives a 2000 OFL of 492 t in the EBS and 913 t in the Aleutians. As a Tier 5 stock complex, it is not possible to determine whether the "other rockfish" complex is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition.

## Recommendations for next year's assessment

During the meeting, the assessment authors provided catch and survey data for individual species within this complex. Notably, about $90 \%$ of this complex is composed of shortspine thornyheads according to survey data. However, the commercial fishery takes as much dusky rockfish and other rockfish as thornyheads. The Plan Team recommends that future assessments include further analysis of data by individual species within this complex to determine if differential harvest rates exist. The Plan Team also recommends that the authors examine longline survey data for additional information on "other rockfish."

## Atka mackerel

| Status and catch specifications ( $t$ ) of Atka mackerel in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1998 are as listed in this year's stock assessment. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Year | Age 3+ Bio. | OFL | $\underline{\mathrm{ABC}}$ | TAC | Catch |
| AI | 1998 | 536,000 | 134,000 | 64,300 | 64,300 | 57,177 |
|  | 1999 | 595,000 | 148,000 | 73,300 | 66,400 | 52,962 |
|  | 2000 | 565,000 | 119,000 | 70,800 | n/a | n/a |

The present assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment, incorporating new catch data only. Last year, the SSC determined that reliableestimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{30 \%}$ existed for this stock, and that this stock therefore qualified for management under Tier 3 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ (which replaces $F_{30 \%}$ under Amendment 56) from the present assessment are $154,000 \mathrm{t}, 0.35$, and 0.42 , respectively. Projected spawning biomass for 2000 is $163,000 \mathrm{t}$, placing Atka mackerel in sub-tier "a" of Tier 3. The maximum $F_{A B C}$ value allowable under Tier 3a is $F_{40 \%}(0.35)$. Projected harvesting at a fishing mortality rate of 0.35 gives a 2000 catch of $103,000 \mathrm{t}$, which is the maximum permissible value of ABC under Tier 3a. The current $F_{A B C}$ rate of 0.23 would give a 2000 catch of $70,800 \mathrm{t}$, about $31 \%$ below the maximum permissible value. The assessment authors recommend setting $F_{A B C}$ at a value of 0.26 , slightly higher than the current $F_{A B C}$ rate but still substantially below the maximum permissible rate. They derive their $F_{A B C}$ value as follows: First, compute the lower limit of the $50 \%$ confidence interval for each survey biomass estimate since 1986. Second, compute the ratio of this lower limit to the survey biomass point estimate for each survey since 1986. Third, compute the average value of this ratio (0.74). Finally, multiply this average by $F_{40 \%}(0.74 \times 0.35=0.26)$. A fishing mortality rate of 0.26 would give a 2000 catch of 78,500 t.

The Plan Team agrees with the assessment authors that there are at least three reasons for setting 2000 ABC well below the maximum permissible level: 1) Stock size has declined by approximately $60 \%$ since 1991, 2) the 1997 Aleutian trawl survey biomass estimate was about $50 \%$ lower than the 1991 and 1994 survey estimates, and 3) simply applying the model's estimate of $F_{40 \%}$ to the model's estimate of next year's biomass does not explicitly address the substantial uncertainty surrounding these estimates for a difficult-to-survey species such as Atka mackerel. However, the Plan Team notes that the assessment authors' recommended 2000 ABC would constitute a $7 \%$ increase over the 1999 ABC . While encouraged by the authors' development of a target harvest rate that varies directly with the level of certainty surrounding a key element of the stock assessment, the Plan Team recommends retaining the existing $F_{A B C}$ rate of 0.23 to compute the 2000 ABC for
the following reasons: 1) Insufficient justification has been presented for an increase in ABC , given that the present assessment's estimates of $F_{40 \%}$ and age 3+ biomass for 1999 and 2000 have all remained the same or decreased since last year's assessment; 2) the optimality properties of the authors' method for computing $F_{A B C}$ have not been examined; 3) the sampling variances from the trawl survey biomass estimates most likely underestimate the total uncertainty associated with key reference points; and 4) the assessment authors plan on using AD Model Builder to conduct future assessments, which should enable more direct estimates of the uncertainty associated with key reference points. The Plan Team concurs with the existing formula for allocating ABC between subareas. When applied to the Plan Team's recommended ABC of $70,800 \mathrm{t}$, this formula gives the following subarea-specific ABCs: Eastern (541)-23.2\% = 16,400 t, Central (542)-34.9\% $=24,700 \mathrm{t}$, Western $(543)-41.9 \%=29,700 \mathrm{t}$.

The Plan Team's OFL was determined from the Tier 3a formula, where an $F_{35 \%}$ value of 0.42 gives a 2000 OFL of $119,000 \mathrm{t}$. Model projections indicate that this stock is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Recommendations for next year's assessment

The Plan Team recommends that testing and development of trawl survey gear specialized for Atka mackerel be continued.

## Squid and Other Species Complex

| Status and catch specifications ( t ) of squid and other species (sharks, skates, sculpins, etc.) in recent years. The OFL and ABC for 2000 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 1999 are from the NMFS Regional Office, and are current through 10/30/99. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Squid |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area | Year | $\underline{\text { Biomass }}$ | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| BSAI | 1998 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2,620 | 1,970 | 1,970 | 915 |
|  | 1999 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2,620 | 1,970 | 1,970 | 413 |
|  | 2000 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2,620 | 1,970 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Other Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area | Year | Survey Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| BSAI | 1998 | 669,000 | 134,000 | 25,800 | 25,800 | 25,531 |
|  | 1999 | 643,000 | 122,100 | 25,800 | 32,860 | 18,396 |
|  | 2000 | 611,000 | 71,500 | 26,800 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

The present squid assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment incorporating new catch information. Last year, the SSC determined that a reliable catch history existed for this stock complex, and that squid therefore qualified for management under Tier 6 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. Under Tier 6, OFL is set equal to the average catch from 1978 through 1995 (unless an alternative value is established by the SSC on the basis of the best available scientific information), and ABC is constrained to be no greater than $75 \%$ of OFL. The average catch from 1978 through 1995 was $2,620 \mathrm{t}$. Given a 2000 OFL of $2,620 \mathrm{t}$, the maximum permissible value of ABC for 2000 would be $1,970 \mathrm{t}$, which is the Plan Team's recommended value. As a Tier

6 stock complex, it is not possible to determine whether the squid complex is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition.

For the most part, the present "other species" assessment is a straightforward update of last year's assessment, incorporating new catch and survey biomass information. It should be noted that assessments prior to 1999 included smelts in the "other species" category, but that smelts have now been moved into the "forage fish" category. This year's EBS bottom trawl survey resulted in a biomass estimate (exclusive of smelts) of 520,000 $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{a} 7 \%$ decrease from last year's estimate of $556,000 \mathrm{t}$. Last year, the SSC determined that a reliable estimate of the natural mortality rate $(M)$ existed for the species in this complex, and that the "other species" complex therefore qualified for management under Tier 5 of the BSAI Groundfish FMP. The accepted value of $M$ was 0.20 . Under Tier 5, the maximum permissible value for $F_{A B C}$ is $0.75 \mathrm{H} M$.

The assessment author, however, has noted that sculpins and skates, which tend to have $M$ values substantially below 0.20 , make up the majority of the survey biomass of the "other species" complex, meaning that an $M$ of 0.20 might not be appropriate on average. As an alternative, $M$ for the complex could be computed as the biomass-weighted estimates of the main component species, giving the following values:

|  | Sculpins | Skates | Sharks | Octopus | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Current Biomass $(B)$ | 200,267 | 403,400 | 5,450 | 2,033 | 611,000 |
| M | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.12 |
| OFL $=M$ HB | 30,040 | 40,340 | 491 | 610 | 71,500 |
| max ABC $=0.75$ HOFL | 22,530 | 30,255 | 368 | 458 | 53,600 |

Using the above method, the maximum permissible ABC for 2000 would be $53,600 \mathrm{t}$. However, a catch of this magnitude would be twice the average catch since 1977. Given the large number of species in this complex, the Plan Team feels that it would be unwise to increase harvests until more information is available regarding the status of the complex's individual components. Therefore, the Plan Team recommends a 2000 ABC equal to the average catch, which is $26,800 \mathrm{t}$.

Under Tier 5, the 2000 OFL computed by the above method is $71,500 \mathrm{t}$. As a Tier 5 stock complex, it is not possible to determine whether the "other species" complex is overfished or whether it is approaching an overfished condition.

Table 1-- Species categories established for management of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands groundfish fishery.

| Prohibited <br> Species $^{\text {a }}$ | Target <br> Species $^{\text {b }}$ | Other <br> Species $^{\text {c }}$ | Forage <br> Fish |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## FINFISHES

| Salmon | Walleye pollock | Sculpins | Eulachon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pacific halibut | Pacific cod | Sharks | Capelin |
| Pacific herring | Yellowfin sole | Skates | Sandlance |
| Steelhead trout | Greenland turbot | Smelt | Myctophids |
|  | Arrowtooth flounder |  | Bathylagids |
|  | Rock sole | Sandfish |  |
|  | Flathead sole |  | Pholids |
|  | Other flatfish | Stichaeids |  |
|  | Sablefish | Gonostomatids |  |
|  | Pacific ocean perch |  |  |
|  | Other rockfish |  |  |

## INVERTEBRATES

King crab
Squid
Octopus
Tanner crab
a. Species which must be returned to the sea when caught.
b. Species for which an individual TAC is established.
c. Species for which an aggregate TAC is established.

A nonspecified species category is also established to cover all species not listed above.

| Year | Pollock | Pacific Cod | Pacific Ocean |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Other } \\ \text { Rock } \\ \text { Fish } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Yellow } \\ \text { Fin } \\ \text { Sole } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Greenland Turbot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sable <br> Fish | Perch Complex |  |  |  |
| 1954 |  |  |  |  |  | 12,562 |  |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  | 14,690 |  |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  | 24,697 |  |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  | 24,145 |  |
| 1958 | 6,924 | 171 | 6 |  |  | 44,153 |  |
| 1959 | 32,793 | 2,864 | 289 |  |  | 185,321 |  |
| 1960 |  |  | 1,861 | 6,100 |  | 456,103 | 36,843 |
| 1961 |  |  | 15,627 | 47,000 |  | 553,742 | 57,348 |
| 1962 |  |  | 25,989 | 19,900 |  | 420,703 | 58,226 |
| 1963 |  |  | 13,706 | 24,500 |  | 85,810 | 31,565 |
| 1964 | 174,792 | 13,408 | 3,545 | 25,900 |  | 111,177 | 33,729 |
| 1965 | 230,551 | 14,719 | 4,838 | 16,800 |  | 53,810 | 9,747 |
| 1966 | 261,678 | 18,200 | 9,505 | 20,200 |  | 102,353 | 13,042 |
| 1967 | 550,362 | 32,064 | 11,698 | 19,600 |  | 162,228 | 23,869 |
| 1968 | 702,181 | 57,902 | 4,374 | 31,500 |  | 84,189 | 35,232 |
| 1969 | 862,789 | 50,351 | 16,009 | 14,500 |  | 167,134 | 36,029 |
| 1970 | 1,256,565 | 70,094 | 11,737 | 9,900 |  | 133,079 | 19,691 |
| 1971 | 1,743,763 | 43,054 | 15,106 | 9,800 |  | 160,399 | 40,464 |
| 1972 | 1,874,534 | 42,905 | 12,758 | 5,700 |  | 47,856 | 64,510 |
| 1973 | 1,758,919 | 53,386 | 5,957 | 3,700 |  | 78,240 | 55,280 |
| 1974 | 1,588,390 | 62,462 | 4,258 | 14,000 |  | 42,235 | 69,654 |
| 1975 | 1,356,736 | 51,551 | 2,766 | 8,600 |  | 64,690 | 64,819 |
| 1976 | 1,177,822 | 50,481 | 2,923 | 14,900 |  | 56,221 | 60,523 |
| 1977 | 978,370 | 33,335 | 2,718 | 2,654 | 311 | 58,373 | 27,708 |
| 1978 | 979,431 | 42,543 | 1,192 | 2,221 | 2,614 | 138,433 | 37,423 |
| 1979 | 913,881 | 33,761 | 1,376 | 1,723 | 2,108 | 99,017 | 34,998 |
| 1980 | 958,279 | 45,861 | 2,206 | 1,097 | 459 | 87,391 | 48,856 |
| 1981 | 973,505 | 51,996 | 2,604 | 1,222 | 356 | 97,301 | 52,921 |
| 1982 | 955,964 | 55,040 | 3,184 | 224 | 276 | 95,712 | 45,805 |
| 1983 | 982,363 | 83,212 | 2,695 | 221 | 220 | 108,385 | 43,443 |
| 1984 | 1,098,783 | 110,944 | 2,329 | 1,569 | 176 | 159,526 | 21,317 |
| 1985 | 1,179,759 | 132,736 | 2,348 | 784 | 92 | 227,107 | 14,698 |
| 1986 | 1,188,449 | 130,555 | 3,518 | 560 | 102 | 208,597 | 7,710 |
| 1987 | 1,237,597 | 144,539 | 4,178 | 930 | 474 | 181,429 | 6,533 |
| 1988 | 1,228,000 | 192,726 | 3,193 | 1,047 | 341 | 223,156 | 6,064 |
| 1989 | 1,230,000 | 164,800 | 1,252 | 2,017 | 192 | 153,165 | 4,061 |
| 1990 | 1,353,000 | 162,927 | 2,329 | 5,639 | 384 | 80,584 | 7,267 |
| 1991 | 1,268,360 | 165,444 | 1,128 | 4,744 | 396 | 94,755 | 3,704 |
| 1992 | 1,384,376 | 163,240 | 558 | 3,309 | 675 | 146,942 | 1,875 |
| 1993 | 1,301,574 | 133,156 | 669 | 3,763 | 190 | 105,809 | 6,330 |
| 1994 | 1,362,694 | 174,151 | 699 | 1,907 | 261 | 144,544 | 7,211 |
| 1995 | 1,264,578 | 228,496 | 929 | 1,210 | 629 | 124,746 | 5,855 |
| 1996 | 1,189,296 | 209,201 | 629 | 2,635 | 364 | 129,509 | 4,699 |
| 1997 | 1,115,268 | 209,475 | 547 | 1,060 | 161 | 166,681 | 6,589 |
| 1998/d | 1,101,428 | 160,681 | 586 | 1,134 | 203 | 101,310 | 8,303 |
| 1999/e | 884,153 | 132,509 | 629 | 593 | 137 | 67,379 | 5,315 |


| Table 2. (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Arrow Tooth Flounder | Other Flat Fish | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rock } \\ \text { Sole/b } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Atka <br> Mackerel | Squid | Other Species | Total (All <br> Species) |
| 1954 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,562 |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,690 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24,697 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24,145 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  | 147 | 51,401 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  | 380 | 221,647 |
| 1960 | a |  |  |  |  |  | 500,907 |
| 1961 | a |  |  |  |  |  | 673,717 |
| 1962 | a |  |  |  |  |  | 524,818 |
| 1963 | a | 35,643 |  |  |  |  | 191,224 |
| 1964 | a | 30,604 |  |  |  | 736 | 393,891 |
| 1965 | a | 11,686 |  |  |  | 2,218 | 344,369 |
| 1966 | a | 24,864 |  |  |  | 2,239 | 452,081 |
| 1967 | a | 32,109 |  |  |  | 4,378 | 836,308 |
| 1968 | a | 29,647 |  |  |  | 22,058 | 967,083 |
| 1969 | a | 34,749 |  |  |  | 10,459 | 1,192,020 |
| 1970 | 12,598 | 64,690 |  |  |  | 15,295 | 1,593,649 |
| 1971 | 18,792 | 92,452 |  |  |  | 13,496 | 2,137,326 |
| 1972 | 13,123 | 76,813 |  |  |  | 10,893 | 2,149,092 |
| 1973 | 9,217 | 43,919 |  |  |  | 55,826 | 2,064,444 |
| 1974 | 21,473 | 37,357 |  |  |  | 60,263 | 1,900,092 |
| 1975 | 20,832 | 20,393 |  |  |  | 54,845 | 1,645,232 |
| 1976 | 17,806 | 21,746 |  |  |  | 26,143 | 1,428,565 |
| 1977 | 9,454 | 14,393 |  |  | 4,926 | 35,902 | 1,168,144 |
| 1978 | 8,358 | 21,040 |  | 831 | 6,886 | 61,537 | 1,302,509 |
| 1979 | 7,921 | 19,724 |  | 1,985 | 4,286 | 38,767 | 1,159,547 |
| 1980 | 13,761 | 20,406 |  | 4,955 | 4,040 | 34,633 | 1,221,944 |
| 1981 | 13,473 | 23,428 |  | 3,027 | 4,182 | 35,651 | 1,259,666 |
| 1982 | 9,103 | 23,809 |  | 328 | 3,838 | 18,200 | 1,211,483 |
| 1983 | 10,216 | 30,454 |  | 141 | 3,470 | 15,465 | 1,280,285 |
| 1984 | 7,980 | 44,286 |  | 57 | 2,824 | 8,508 | 1,458,299 |
| 1985 | 7,288 | 71,179 |  | 4 | 1,611 | 11,503 | 1,649,109 |
| 1986 | 6,761 | 76,328 |  | 12 | 848 | 10,471 | 1,633,911 |
| 1987 | 4,380 | 50,372 |  | 12 | 108 | 8,569 | 1,639,121 |
| 1988 | 5,477 | 137,418 |  | 428 | 414 | 12,206 | 1,810,470 |
| 1989 | 3,024 | 63,452 |  | 3,126 | 300 | 4,993 | 1,630,382 |
| 1990 | 2,773 | 22,568 |  | 480 | 460 | 5,698 | 1,644,109 |
| 1991 | 12,748 | 30,401 | 46,681 | 2,265 | 544 | 16,285 | 1,647,455 |
| 1992 | 11,080 | 34,757 | 51,720 | 2,610 | 819 | 29,993 | 1,831,954 |
| 1993 | 7,950 | 28,812 | 63,942 | 201 | 597 | 21,413 | 1,674,406 |
| 1994 | 13,043 | 29,720 | 60,276 | 190 | 502 | 23,430 | 1,818,628 |
| 1995 | 8,282 | 34,861 | 54,672 | 340 | 364 | 20,928 | 1,745,890 |
| 1996 | 13,280 | 35,390 | 46,775 | 780 | 1,080 | 19,717 | 1,653,355 |
| 1997 | 8,580 | 42,374 | 67,249 | 171 | 1,438 | 20,997 | 1,640,590 |
| 1998 | 14,985 | 39,940 | 33,221 | 901 | 891 | 23,156 | 1,486,739 |
| 1999 | 9,954 | 32,910 | 39,781 | 1,930 | 405 | 16,785 | 1,192,480 |

a/ Arrowtooth flounder included in Greenland turbot catch statistics.
b/ Includes POP shortraker, rougheye, northern and sharpchin.
c/ Rocksole prior to 1991 is included in other flatfish catch statistics.
d/ Data through December 31, 1998.
e/ Data through October 30, 1999. Does not include CDQ.
Note: Numbers don't include fish taken for research.


| Table 3. (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Rock <br> Sole | Other Flat Fish | Arrow Tooth Flounder | Atka <br> Mackerel | Squid | Other Species | Total <br> (All <br> Species |
| 1962 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 1963 |  |  | a |  |  |  | 21,471 |
| 1964 |  |  | a |  |  | 66 | 92,652 |
| 1965 |  |  | a |  |  | 768 | 111,868 |
| 1966 |  |  | a |  |  | 131 | 87,589 |
| 1967 |  |  | a |  |  | 8,542 | 66,781 |
| 1968 |  |  | a |  |  | 8,948 | 56,023 |
| 1969 |  |  | a |  |  | 3,088 | 44,009 |
| 1970 |  |  | 274 | 949 |  | 10,671 | 80,610 |
| 1971 |  |  | 581 |  |  | 2,973 | 32,118 |
| 1972 |  |  | 1,323 | 5,907 |  | 22,447 | 79,717 |
| 1973 |  |  | 3,705 | 1,712 |  | 4,244 | 34,006 |
| 1974 |  |  | 3,195 | 1,377 |  | 9,724 | 49,340 |
| 1975 |  |  | 784 | 13,326 |  | 8,288 | 46,553 |
| 1976 |  |  | 1,370 | 13,126 |  | 7,053 | 43,465 |
| 1977 |  |  | 2,035 | 20,975 | 1,808 | 16,170 | 67,348 |
| 1978 |  |  | 1,782 | 23,418 | 2,085 | 12,436 | 61,092 |
| 1979 |  |  | 6,436 | 21,279 | 2,252 | 12,934 | 75,195 |
| 1980 |  |  | 4,603 | 15,533 | 2,332 | 13,028 | 108,531 |
| 1981 |  |  | 3,640 | 16,661 | 1,763 | 7,274 | 104,199 |
| 1982 |  |  | 2,415 | 19,546 | 1,201 | 5,167 | 98,233 |
| 1983 |  |  | 3,753 | 11,585 | 510 | 3,675 | 94,617 |
| 1984 |  |  | 1,472 | 35,998 | 343 | 1,670 | 147,022 |
| 1985 |  |  | 87 | 37,856 | 9 | 2,050 | 113,310 |
| 1986 |  |  | 142 | 31,978 | 20 | 1,509 | 96,259 |
| 1987 |  |  | 159 | 30,049 | 23 | 1,155 | 81,364 |
| 1988 |  |  | 406 | 21,656 | 3 | 437 | 77,383 |
| 1989 |  |  | 198 | 14,868 | 6 | 108 | 186,494 |
| 1990 |  |  | 1,459 | 21,725 | 11 | 627 | 124,886 |
| 1991 | n/a | 88 | 938 | 22,258 | 30 | 91 | 117,942 |
| 1992 | 236 | 68 | 900 | 46,831 | 61 | 3,081 | 164,513 |
| 1993 | 318 | 59 | 1,348 | 65,805 | 85 | 2,540 | 179,659 |
| 1994 | 308 | 55 | 1,334 | 69,401 | 86 | 1,102 | 175,614 |
| 1995 | 356 | 47 | 1,001 | 81,214 | 95 | 1,273 | 183,862 |
| 1996 | 371 | 61 | 1,330 | 103,087 | 87 | 1,720 | 190,750 |
| 1997 | 271 | 39 | 1,071 | 65,668 | 323 | 1,555 | 139,049 |
| 1998 | 446 | 54 | 694 | 56,195 | 25 | 2,448 | 134,182 |
| 1999 | 580 | 52 | 725 | 51,033 | 9 | 1,611 | 101,652 |

a/ Arrowtooth flounder included in Greenland turbot catch statistics.
b/ Includes POP shortraker, rougheye, northern and sharpchin rockfish.
c/ Data through December 31, 1998.
c/ Data through October 30, 1999. Does not include CDQ.
Note: Numbers don't include fish taken for research.

Table 4-- Summary of stock abundance (biomass), overfishing level (OFL), acceptable biological catch (ABC), the fishing mortality rate corresponding to $\mathrm{ABC}\left(F_{\text {OFL }}\right)$, and the fishing mortality rate corresponding to OFL ( $F_{A B C}$ ) for the eastern Bering Sea (EBS), Aleutian Islands (AI), and Bogoslof district as projected for 2000. "Biomass" corresponds to projected January 2000 abundance for the age+ range reported in the summary section. Biomass, OFL, and ABC are in metric tons, reported to three significant digits. Fs are reported to two significant digits.

| Species or Species Complex | Area | Biomass | OFL | ABC | $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | $F_{A B C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye pollock | EBS | 7,700,000 | 1,680,000 | 1,100,000 | 0.80 | 0.46 |
| Walleye pollock | AI | 106,000 | 31,700 | 23,800 | 0.30 | 0.23 |
| Walleye pollock | Bogoslof | 475,000 | 95,000 | 71,300 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| Pacific cod | BSAI | 1,300,000 | 240,000 | 193,000 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| Yellowfin sole | BSAI | 2,820,000 | 226,000 | 191,000 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| Greenland turbot | BSAI | 233,000 | 42,000 | 9,300 | 0.32 | 0.064 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | BSAI | 785,000 | 160,000 | 131,000 | 0.27 | 0.22 |
| Rock sole | BSAI | 2,070,000 | 273,000 | 230,000 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| Flathead sole | BSAI | 611,000 | 90,000 | 73,500 | 0.35 | 0.28 |
| Other flatfish | BSAI | 829,000 | 141,000 | 117,000 | $0.35{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.28{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Sablefish | EBS | 18,000 | 1,750 | 1,410 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
| Sablefish | AI | 33,000 | 3,090 | 2,490 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
| Pacific ocean perch | EBS | 47,700 | 3,100 | 2,600 | 0.065 | 0.054 |
| Pacific ocean perch | AI | 192,000 | 14,400 | 12,300 | 0.083 | 0.070 |
| Other red rockfish ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | EBS | 8,200 | 259 | 194 | $0.032{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.02{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Sharpchin/northern ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | AI | 115,000 | 6,870 | 5,150 | $0.060{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.045{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Shortraker/rougheye ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | AI | 41,500 | 1,180 | 885 | $0.028{ }_{\text {f }}$ | $0.021_{\text {f }}$ |
| Other rockfish | EBS | 7,030 | 492 | 369 | 0.070 | 0.053 |
| Other rockfish | AI | 13,000 | 913 | 685 | 0.070 | 0.053 |
| Atka mackerel | BSAI | 565,000 | 119,000 | 70,800 | 0.42 | 0.23 |
| Squid | BSAI | n/a | 2,620 | 1,970 | n/a | n/a |
| Other species | BSAI | 611,000 | 71,500 | 26,800 | 0.12 | 0.044 |

a/ Alaska plaice rate shown as an example.
b/ Sharpchin, northern, shortraker, and rougheye rockfish.
c/ Weighted average of species-specific rates.
d/ Sharpchin and northern rockfish.
e/ Shortraker and rougheye rockfish.
$\mathrm{f} /$ Shortspine thornyhead rate shown as an example.

Table 5-- Total allowable catch (TAC) and acceptable biological catch (ABC) for 1999 (as established by the Council) and 2000 (as recommended by the Plan Team) for groundfish in the eastern Bering Sea (EBS), Aleutian Islands (AI), and Bogoslof district. Figures are in metric tons.

| Species or Species Complex | Area | 1999 TAC <br> (Council) | 1999 ABC <br> (Council) | 2000 ABC <br> (Plan Team) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Walleye pollock | EBS | 992,000 | 992,000 | $1,100,000$ |
| Walleye pollock | AI | 2,000 | 23,800 | 23,800 |
| Walleye pollock | Bogoslof | 1,000 | 15,300 | 71,300 |
| Pacific cod | BSAI | 177,000 | 177,000 | 193,000 |
| Yellowfin sole | BSAI | 207,980 | 212,000 | 191,000 |
| Greenland turbot | BSAI | 9,000 | 14,200 | 9,300 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | BSAI | 134,354 | 140,000 | 131,000 |
| Rock sole | BSAI | 120,000 | 309,000 | 230,000 |
| Flathead sole | BSAI | 77,300 | 77,300 | 73,500 |
| Other flatfish | BSAI | 154,000 | 154,000 | 117,000 |
| Sablefish | EBS | 1,340 | 1,340 | 1,410 |
| Sablefish | AI | 1,380 | 1,860 | 2,490 |
| Pacific ocean perch | EBS | 1,400 | 1,900 | 2,600 |
| Pacific ocean perch | AI | 13,500 | 13,500 | 12,300 |
| Other red rockfish | EBS | 267 | 267 | 194 |
| Sharpchin/northern | AI | 4,230 | 4,230 | 5,150 |
| Shortraker/rougheye | AI | 965 | 965 | 885 |
| Other rockfish | EBS | 369 | 369 | 369 |
| Other rockfish | AI | 685 | 685 | 685 |
| Atka mackerel | BSAI | 66,400 | 73,300 | 70,800 |
| Squid | BSAI | 1,970 | 1,970 | 1,970 |
| Other species | BSAI | 32,860 | 32,860 | 26,800 |
| Total | BSAI | $2,000,000$ | $2,247,846$ | $2,265,553$ |

Table 6-- Summary of stock abundance (biomass), harvest strategy (rate), 2000 recommended acceptable biological catch (ABC), and stock condition for groundfish in the eastern Bering Sea (EBS), Aleutian Islands (AI), and Bogoslof district. "Biomass" corresponds to projected January 2000 abundance for the age+ range reported in the summary section. Biomass and ABC are in metric tons, reported to three significant digits. Fishing mortality rates are reported to two significant digits. "Relative biomass" is based on the long-term average, and "trend" is based on the short-term projection.

| Species or Species Complex | Area | Biomass | Rate | ABC | Relative biomass, trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walleye pollock | EBS | 7,700,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 1,100,000 | Average, increasing |
| Walleye pollock | AI | 106,000 | 0.75M | 23,800 | Low, stable |
| Walleye pollock | Bogoslof | 475,000 | 0.75 M | 71,300 | Low, stable |
| Pacific cod | BSAI | 1,300,000 | $F_{\text {cod }}$ | 193,000 | Average, declining |
| Yellowfin sole | BSAI | 2,820,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 191,000 | High, stable |
| Greenland turbot | BSAI | 233,000 | $0.25 F_{40 \%}$ | 9,300 | Low, declining |
| Arrowtooth flounder | BSAI | 785,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 131,000 | High, declining |
| Rock sole | BSAI | 2,070,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 230,000 | High, declining |
| Flathead sole | BSAI | 611,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 73,500 | High, declining |
| Other flatfish | BSAI | 829,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 117,000 | High, declining |
| Sablefish | EBS | 18,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 1,410 | Low, stable |
| Sablefish | AI | 33,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 2,490 | Low, stable |
| Pacific ocean perch | EBS | 47,700 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 2,600 | Low, stable |
| Pacific ocean perch | AI | 192,000 | $F_{40 \%}$ | 12,300 | Average, declining |
| Other red rockfish | EBS | 8,200 | 0.75M | 194 | Not available |
| Sharpchin/northern | AI | 115,000 | 0.75M | 5,150 | Not available |
| Shortraker/rougheye | AI | 41,500 | 0.75M | 885 | Not available |
| Other rockfish | EBS | 7,030 | 0.75M | 369 | Not available |
| Other rockfish | AI | 13,000 | 0.75M | 685 | Not available |
| Atka mackerel | BSAI | 565,000 | $F_{\text {mac }}$ | 70,800 | Average, declining |
| Squid | BSAI | n/a | $0.75 F_{\text {his }}$ | 1,970 | Not available |
| Other species | BSAI | 611,000 | $F_{\text {his }}$ | 26,800 | Not available |
| Total | BSAI | 18,580,430 |  | 2,265,553 |  |

a/ Adjusted on the basis of the relationship between projected spawning biomass and $B_{40 \%}$.
b/ Species-specific harvest strategy used only for Pacific cod c/ Proxy values used for some species.
d/ Species-specific harvest strategy used only for Atka mackerel.
e/ Fishing mortality rate implied by setting ABC equal to historic average catch.

Table 7-- Summary of BSAI groundfish tier designations under Amendment 56, maximum permissible ABC fishing mortality rate ( $\max F_{A B C}$ ), the Plan Team's recommended ABC fishing mortality rate ( $F_{A B C}$ ), the maximum permissible value of ABC ( $\max \mathrm{ABC}$ ), the Plan Team's recommended ABC, and the percentage reduction (\% Red.) between max ABC and the Plan Team's recommended ABC. Insofar as the SSC has final authority for tier designations, the designations shown here represent Plan Team recommendations only. Biomass and ABC are in metric tons, reported to three significant digits. Fishing mortality rates are reported to two significant digits. In cases where max ABC and the Plan Team's recommended ABC are equal, the percentage reduction is left blank. All values pertain to the 2000 harvest season.

| Species or Species Complex | Area | Tier | $\max F_{A B C}$ | $F_{A B C}$ | $\max$ ABC | ABC | \% Red. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Walleye pollock | EBS | 1 a | 0.50 | 0.46 | $1,200,000$ | $1,100,000$ | 8 |
| Walleye pollock | AI | 5 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 23,800 | 23,800 |  |
| Walleye pollock | Bogoslof | 5 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 71,300 | 71,300 |  |
| Pacific cod | BSAI | 3 b | 0.28 | 0.26 | 206,000 | 193,000 | 6 |
| Yellowfin sole | BSAI | 3 a | 0.11 | 0.11 | 191,000 | 191,000 |  |
| Greenland turbot | BSAI | 3 a | 0.26 | 0.064 | 34,700 | 9,300 | 73 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | BSAI | 3 a | 0.22 | 0.22 | 131,000 | 131,000 |  |
| Rock sole | BSAI | 3 a | 0.15 | 0.15 | 230,000 | 230,000 |  |
| Flathead sole | BSAI | 3 a | 0.28 | 0.28 | 73,500 | 73,500 |  |
| Other flatfish | BSAI | 3 a | 0.28 | 0.28 | 117,000 | 117,000 |  |
| Sablefish | EBS | 3 b | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1,410 | 1,410 |  |
| Sablefish | AI | 3 b | 0.11 | 0.11 | 2,490 | 2,490 |  |
| Pacific ocean perch | EBS | 3 b | 0.054 | 0.054 | 2,600 | 2,600 |  |
| Pacific ocean perch | AI | 3 b | 0.070 | 0.070 | 12,300 | 12,300 |  |
| Other red rockfish | EBS | 5 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 194 | 194 |  |
| Sharpchin/northern | AI | 5 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 5,150 | 5,150 |  |
| Shortraker/rougheye | AI | 5 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 885 | 885 |  |
| Other rockfish | EBS | 5 | 0.053 | 0.053 | 369 | 369 |  |
| Other rockfish | AI | 5 | 0.053 | 0.053 | 685 | 685 |  |
| Atka mackerel | BSAI | 3 a | 0.35 | 0.23 | 103,000 | 70,800 | 31 |
| Squid | BSAI | 6 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 1,970 | 1,970 |  |
| Other species | BSAI | 5 | 0.088 | 0.044 | 53,600 | 26,800 | 50 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | $2,462,953$ | $2,265,553$ | 8 |



Figure 1

## Statistical Reporting and Regulatory Areas

Rationale for Closure: Statistical Areas allow for small scale management of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands groundfish fisheries.

Origin: Part of original plan. Areas and numbering have been modified several times, however.
Description of Area: Although generally used as reporting purposes, these areas can be closed to fishing by regulations. In the Aleutian Islands area, the three statistical areas (541,542, and 543) are defined for the purpose of allocating TACs. Area 518 has been used to specify pollock TACs in the Bogoslof Area.

