

Management of Rabies in Humans

Alan C. Jackson,¹ Mary J. Warrell,² Charles E. Rupprecht,³ Hildegund C. J. Ertl,⁵ Bernhard Dietzschold,⁶ Michael O'Reilly,³ Richard P. Leach,⁷ Zhen F. Fu,⁴ William H. Wunner,⁵ Thomas P. Bleck,⁸ and Henry Wilde⁹

¹Department of Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada; ²Centre for Tropical Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom; ³Viral and Rickettsial Zoonoses Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, and ⁴Department of Pathology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia; ⁵The Wistar Institute and ⁶Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ⁷Department of Medicine, Glens Falls Hospital, Glens Falls, New York; ⁸Department of Neurology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia; and ⁹Department of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Rabies is a fatal disease in humans, and, to date, the only survivors of the disease have received rabies vaccine before the onset of illness. The approach to management of the rabies normally should be palliative. In unusual circumstances, a decision may be made to use an aggressive approach to therapy for patients who present at an early stage of clinical disease. No single therapeutic agent is likely to be effective, but a combination of specific therapies could be considered, including rabies vaccine, rabies immunoglobulin, monoclonal antibodies, ribavirin, interferon- α , and ketamine. Corticosteroids should not be used. As research advances, new agents may become available in the future for the treatment of human rabies.

Indigenous or imported cases of human rabies occur sporadically in developed countries, and human rabies remains an important public health problem in developing countries [1]. Rabies postexposure prophylaxis, which is highly effective if given promptly, includes wound cleansing, immunization with a modern cell-culture vaccine, and administration of human rabies immunoglobulin (HRIG) [2]. Once rabies encephalitis develops, no therapy has proved effective. A working group considered potential treatment options in the management of human rabies. The following discussion of the management of rabies was designed for physicians who are faced with caring for a patient with probable or confirmed rabies.

AGGRESSIVE OR PALLIATIVE APPROACH TO THERAPY?

The management of patients with rabies should be influenced by a review of the available clinical data for patients who were treated aggressively in critical care units. A large number of case reports but only a few series of treated patients have been published [3]. In all of these reports, only 5 of the patients mentioned survived their acute illness [4–9]; only one of them had a satisfactory neurologic outcome [4], and another died within 4 years as a result of complications of the severe neurologic sequelae [8, 10]. There may be debate as to whether some of these patients actually had rabies. Postvaccination encephalomyelitis (due to vaccine of nervous tissue origin) is a possibility in ≥ 1 of the patients described [7]. All of these possible survivors had received a rabies vaccine either before or soon after their exposure and before the onset of their illness. None of these patients had rabies virus isolated or rabies virus antigen detected.

Even with intensive care, the majority

of patients with rabies do not survive for >3 weeks [11], although 1 patient died 133 days after the onset of illness [12]. For previously unvaccinated patients with rabies, reports to date have indicated agonizing symptoms and a 100% mortality rate. This record offers little encouragement for heroic efforts. In view of the poor prognosis, routine management of patients with rabies should be palliative. Complications of the disease should be anticipated, and appropriate steps for their prevention or treatment should be taken. Neurological symptoms and medical complications may be alleviated by the use of sedatives, narcotic analgesics, antiepileptic medications, and neuromuscular blockers. Barrier nursing techniques should always be used to prevent exposures of health care workers or family members during management of a patient with rabies. However, transmission of rabies virus to a health care worker has not been documented to date.

In unusual circumstances, the attending physicians and the patients (or, more

Received 17 July 2002; accepted 20 August 2002; electronically published 11 December 2002.

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. Alan C. Jackson, Kingston General Hospital, Connell 725, 76 Stuart St., Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 2V7 (jacksona@post.queensu.ca).

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2003;36:60–3

© 2003 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved.

1058-4838/2003/3601-0010\$15.00

commonly, their relatives) may wish to use an aggressive approach to therapy with the aim of curing the disease. However, it must be clearly understood that even if such an approach were successful, the patient likely would be left with permanent disabling neurological deficits. The following patient characteristics and resources could be considered favorable in making the decision to embark on an aggressive course of therapy:

1. Administration of any rabies vaccine before the onset of clinical rabies.
2. Presentation with a very early stage of disease, including paresthesias or pain at the site of a previous bite exposure, with minimal other neurological symptoms or signs.
3. Good health and absence of chronic disease.
4. Relatives who accept both the high probability of an unsuccessful outcome and the possibility of disabling neurological deficits in a rabies survivor.
5. Access to adequate resources and facilities.

Early diagnosis of rabies, with or without laboratory confirmation, is important for the prevention of exposures of health care workers and for initiation of specific therapy if an aggressive approach is considered. Patients with rabies may present without a history of an animal bite or exposure, especially in the United States [11]. Early clinical features of rabies are nonspecific prodromal symptoms and local neurological symptoms, including paresthesias, pain, and pruritus at the site of virus entry, and 61% (54 of 88) of the cases reported in the United States during 1957–2000 were associated with these neurological symptoms [10, 13]. The clinical presentation of rabies evolves into either encephalitic (furious) or paralytic (dumb) forms of the disease. Hyperexcitability, autonomic dysfunction, and hydrophobia are characteristic of encephalitic rabies, and quadriplegia with sphincter involvement is characteristic of paralytic rabies [10].

At an early stage of the illness, results of diagnostic tests for rabies [2] may not yet be positive or may be unavailable, but this should not delay initiation of therapy if there is strong clinical evidence in support of a diagnosis of rabies. Patients considered for aggressive care must be admitted to a hospital where there is access to a critical care unit with sophisticated modern medical technology and skilled medical and nursing personnel, in anticipation of the development of multiple medical complications, including multiple-organ failure [14].

COMBINATION THERAPY

Therapy with a single agent, such as ribavirin or IFN- α , has been unsuccessful [15, 16], although it is possible that a combination of specific therapies may be more effective. This approach—with the use of, for example, vidarabine and IFN—has been tried for patients with a late stage of disease [17]. Combinations of therapies are also being used for the treatment of other viral diseases. Ribavirin and IFN- α provide a clinically synergistic effect in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C infection [18, 19]. For example, combination therapy for a patient with rabies might include administration of rabies vaccine (multiple-site intradermal route), HRIG (intramuscular), ribavirin (intravenous and intraventricular via Ommaya reservoir), IFN- α (intravenous and intraventricular via Ommaya reservoir), and ketamine (intravenous infusion).

SPECIFIC THERAPIES

Rabies vaccine. Intramuscular administration of rabies vaccine in an attempt to stimulate humoral and cellular immune responses has frequently been performed without apparent benefit. Rabies encephalitis survival among animals is associated with an immune response. Rabies immunization may therefore be a reasonable approach to use in combination with

other therapies. Because immunization by the intramuscular route may take a week or more to produce detectable immune responses, multiple-site (e.g., 8 or 4 sites) intradermal immunization [20] should be considered to accelerate the response. Human rabies vaccines are inactivated and do not elicit a cytotoxic T cell response, which is observed with live attenuated or recombinant rabies vaccines for animal use and which may be important for virus clearance [21]. Experimental vaccines that induce potent cytotoxic T cell responses are undergoing preclinical testing and might provide treatment options for the future. No human live attenuated or recombinant rabies vaccine has been licensed for use in humans to date.

Rabies immunoglobulin. Administration of HRIG to a patient with clinical rabies would have the aim of promoting clearance of the infection, although, experimentally, only a monoclonal antibody has proved effective (see the “Monoclonal antibodies” subsection below). In contrast, in rabies postexposure prophylaxis, HRIG neutralizes the virus before its invasion of the nervous system. However, because immunoglobulins do not normally cross an intact blood-brain barrier [22], it is uncertain to what extent the immunoglobulins would enter the CNS in rabies and whether they would produce a significant effect in clearing the infection. An option is intramuscular administration of the same dosage of HRIG used for postexposure rabies prophylaxis (20 IU/kg); it is uncertain whether higher doses might have greater efficacy. Because the use of massive doses of HRIG would lead to wasting of biologics that are in limited supply and that are important for rabies postexposure prophylaxis, this treatment option should not be pursued. The efficacy and safety of intrathecal administration of HRIG are unknown. Equine rabies immunoglobulin could be used instead of HRIG in situations in which HRIG is not available.

Monoclonal antibodies. Administration of rabies virus–neutralizing monoclo-

nal antibodies (e.g., monoclonal antibody 1112-1) has been shown to clear rabies virus infection from the CNS in a rodent model when administered before the onset of clinical signs, resulting in the survival of experimentally infected rats [23]. This suggests that therapy with ≥ 1 monoclonal antibodies may prove to be effective therapeutically in the future. Human monoclonal antibodies or humanized mouse monoclonal antibodies would be preferable to mouse monoclonal antibodies. Evaluation of this strategy would require development of an investigational drug protocol.

Ribavirin. Ribavirin (1- β -D-Ribofuranosyl-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide) is a broad-spectrum antiviral agent with many intrinsic mechanisms that can influence its overall antiviral properties [19]. Ribavirin is a purine analogue and an RNA mutagen that induces mutations by acting as a template for incorporation of cytidine and uridine with equal efficiency [24]. Ribavirin also has immunomodulatory properties that may, in part, account for its antiviral properties in vivo [25]. Ribavirin has in vitro activity against rabies virus infection [26, 27], although efficacy was not demonstrated in a study that used animal models [28]. Ribavirin is typically administered intravenously with both loading and maintenance doses. There is limited information about its penetration across the intact blood-brain barrier, which may be marginal, because rapid uptake into CSF was not observed in rats and rhesus monkeys [29]. However, significant levels of ribavirin were observed in CSF after orally administered ribavirin therapy was given for several weeks to patients with AIDS and AIDS-related complex [30]. Intraventricular administration of ribavirin via an Omaya reservoir, in addition to therapy by the intravenous route, would be a therapeutic option at the present time. One patient with rabies who was treated with a combination of intrathecal and intravenous ribavirin therapy demonstrated no apparent benefit [16].

IFN- α . IFN- α is a natural immuno-

regulatory protein and an immunotherapeutic drug for viral and neoplastic diseases [31]. IFNs provide a first line of defense against viral infections by generating an intracellular environment that restricts viral replication. IFN- α interacts with cells of the innate immune system and participates in the transition to an effective adaptive-immune response, including antigen presentation for activation of cytotoxic T cell responses [31]. IFN- α may also act synergistically with antibody, which has been demonstrated in Sindbis virus infection [32]. The efficacy of therapy with IFN- α has been demonstrated in studies of rabies virus-infected monkeys [33]. However, 3 patients with rabies, who were treated at an early stage of the disease with a combination of high doses of intrathecal and intravenous therapy with IFN- α , experienced no beneficial effect [16].

Ketamine. Ketamine is a dissociative anesthetic agent and a noncompetitive antagonist of the *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor. Ketamine has sedative and analgesic properties, and it rapidly crosses the blood-brain barrier [34]. At high concentrations (1–2 mM), ketamine has been demonstrated to inhibit the in vitro replication of rabies virus by inhibiting rabies virus genome transcription [35]. After stereotaxic inoculation of a strain of fixed rabies virus in the neostriatum of rats, administration of high-dosage ketamine (60 mg/kg ip q12h) led to reduced infection in multiple brain regions, including the hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and thalamus [36]. In addition, the topographic distribution of rabies virus in the brains of infected rodents suggests that NMDA receptors may be a receptor for rabies virus [37]. For these reasons, ketamine may be considered a potential therapeutic agent in the management of human rabies, although it is unlikely that achievable drug levels would exert an antiviral effect. Ketamine may be administered as a continuous intravenous infusion in a critical care setting [34].

Corticosteroids. In mouse models, administration of corticosteroids in-

creased the mortality rate and shortened the incubation period [38]. Corticosteroid therapy generally is not considered for the management of brain edema in rabies. Severe edema associated with a risk of brain herniation is rare in patients with rabies, although this is a potential complication of intrathecal therapy with HRIG [39]. Administration of corticosteroids therefore is not recommended for rabies therapy, except for treatment of adrenocortical insufficiency. Therapy with corticosteroids may not be desirable for complications that are possibly immunopathogenetic, such as myocarditis in rabies. In addition, corticosteroids may effectively close the blood-brain barrier and reduce the entry of other therapeutic agents.

SUMMARY

The dismal outcome of patients with rabies provides little optimism for heroic efforts. Palliative therapy is of paramount importance in this fatal disease. There may be situations in which an aggressive approach is desirable, in particular during a very early stage of the disease, although the probability of failure is very high. Combination therapy may be superior to therapy with a single agent. Specific treatments for consideration at the present time include rabies vaccine, HRIG, ribavirin, IFN- α , and ketamine. Therapy with corticosteroids should be avoided. A greater understanding of the pathogenesis of rabies may, in the future, lead to the development of new agents with therapeutic efficacies that could be demonstrated in relevant animal models.

Acknowledgments

We thank Chiron Corporation (Emeryville, CA) and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for their sponsorship of a meeting of the working group, which was held in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, on 11–12 November 2001.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). World survey for rabies no. 35 for the year 1999. WHO/CDS/CSR/EPH/2002. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Human rabies prevention—United States, 1999: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1999; 48(RR-1):1–21.
3. Gode GR, Raju AV, Jayalakshmi TS, Kaul HL, Bhide NK. Intensive care in rabies therapy: clinical observations. *Lancet* 1976; 2(7975): 6–8.
4. Hattwick MAW, Weis TT, Stechschulte CJ, Baer GM, Gregg MB. Recovery from rabies: a case report. *Ann Intern Med* 1972; 76: 931–42.
5. Tillotson JR, Axelrod D, Lyman DO. Rabies in a laboratory worker—New York. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1977; 26:183–4.
6. Tillotson JR, Axelrod D, Lyman DO. Follow-up on rabies—New York. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1977; 26:249–50.
7. Porras C, Barboza JJ, Fuenzalida E, Adaros HL, Oviedo AM, Furst J. Recovery from rabies in man. *Ann Intern Med* 1976; 85:44–8.
8. Alvarez L, Fajardo R, Lopez E, et al. Partial recovery from rabies in a nine-year-old boy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1994; 13:1154–5.
9. Madhusudana SN, Nagaraj D, Uday M, Ratnavalli E, Kumar MV. Partial recovery from rabies in a six-year-old girl [letter]. *Int J Infect Dis* 2002; 6:85–6.
10. Jackson AC. Human disease. In: Jackson AC, Wunner WH, eds. Rabies. San Diego: Academic Press, 2002:219–44.
11. Noah DL, Drenzek CL, Smith JS, et al. Epidemiology of human rabies in the United States, 1980 to 1996. *Ann Intern Med* 1998; 128:922–30.
12. Emmons RW, Leonard LL, DeGenaro F Jr, et al. A case of human rabies with prolonged survival. *Intervirology* 1973; 1:60–72.
13. Messenger SL, Smith JS, Rupprecht CE. Emerging epidemiology of bat-associated cryptic cases of rabies in humans in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; 35: 738–47.
14. Hattwick MAW. Human rabies. *Public Health Rev* 1974; 3:229–74.
15. Merigan TC, Baer GM, Winkler WG, et al. Human leukocyte interferon administration to patients with symptomatic and suspected rabies. *Ann Neurol* 1984; 16:82–7.
16. Warrell MJ, White NJ, Looareesuwan S, et al. Failure of interferon alfa and tribavirin in rabies encephalitis. *BMJ* 1989; 299:830–3.
17. Dolman CL, Charlton KM. Massive necrosis of the brain in rabies. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1987; 14:162–5.
18. Cummings KJ, Lee SM, West ES, et al. Interferon and ribavirin vs interferon alone in the re-treatment of chronic hepatitis C previously nonresponsive to interferon: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *JAMA* 2001; 285:193–9.
19. Lau JY, Tam RC, Liang TJ, Hong Z. Mechanism of action of ribavirin in the combination treatment of chronic HCV infection. *Hepatology* 2002; 35:1002–9.
20. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO recommendations on rabies post-exposure treatment and the correct technique of intradermal immunization against rabies. WHO/EMC/ZOO/96.6, 1–23. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1997. Available at: <http://www.who.int/emc-documents/rabies/docs/whoemczoo966.pdf>. Accessed 22 November 2002.
21. Lafon M. Immunology. In: Jackson AC, Wunner WH, eds. Rabies. San Diego: Academic Press, 2002:351–69.
22. Miller DW. Immunobiology of the blood-brain barrier. *J Neurovirol* 1999; 5:570–8.
23. Dietzschold B, Kao M, Zheng YM, et al. Delineation of putative mechanisms involved in antibody-mediated clearance of rabies virus from the central nervous system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1992; 89:7252–6.
24. Crotty S, Maag D, Arnold JJ, et al. The broad-spectrum antiviral ribonucleoside ribavirin is an RNA virus mutagen. *Nat Med* 2000; 6: 1375–9.
25. Tam RC, Lau JY, Hong Z. Mechanisms of action of ribavirin in antiviral therapies. *Antivir Chem Chemother* 2001; 12:261–72.
26. Bussereau F, Chermann JC, De Clercq E, Hannon C. Search for compounds which have an inhibitory effect on rhabdovirus multiplication in vitro. *Ann Virol (Inst Pasteur)* 1983; 134E:127–34.
27. Bussereau F, Ermine A. Effects of heteropolyanions and nucleoside analogues on rabies virus: in vitro study of syntheses and viral production. *Ann Virol (Inst Pasteur)* 1983; 134E:487–506.
28. Bussereau F, Picard M, Blancou J, Sureau P. Treatment of rabies in mice and foxes with antiviral compounds. *Acta Virol* 1988; 32: 33–49.
29. Ferrara EA, Oishi JS, Wannemacher RW Jr, Stephen EL. Plasma disappearance, urine excretion, and tissue distribution of ribavirin in rats and rhesus monkeys. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1981; 19:1042–9.
30. Crumpacker C, Buble G, Lucey D, Hussey S, Connor J. Ribavirin enters cerebrospinal fluid [letter]. *Lancet* 1986; 2(8497):45–6.
31. Brassard DL, Grace MJ, Bordens RW. Interferon-alfa as an immunotherapeutic protein. *J Leukoc Biol* 2002; 71:565–81.
32. Despres P, Griffin JW, Griffin DE. Antiviral activity of alfa interferon in Sindbis virus-infected cells is restored by anti-E2 monoclonal antibody treatment. *J Virol* 1995; 69: 7345–8.
33. Weinmann E, Majer M, Hilfenhaus J. Intramuscular and/or intralumbar postexposure treatment of rabies virus-infected cynomolgus monkeys with human interferon. *Infect Immun* 1979; 24:24–31.
34. Reves JG, Glass PSA, Lubarsky DA. Phencyclidines (ketamine). In: Miller RD, ed. Anesthesia, 5th ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 2000:241–6.
35. Lockhart BP, Tordo N, Tsiang H. Inhibition of rabies virus transcription in rat cortical neurons with the dissociative anesthetic ketamine. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1992; 36:1750–5.
36. Lockhart BP, Tsiang H, Ceccaldi PE, Guillemer S. Ketamine-mediated inhibition of rabies virus infection in vitro and in rat brain. *Antivir Chem Chemother* 1991; 2:9–15.
37. Gosztonyi G, Ludwig H. Interactions of viral proteins with neurotransmitter receptors may protect or destroy neurons. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2001; 253:121–44.
38. Enright JB, Franti CE, Frye FL, Behymer DE. The effects of corticosteroids on rabies in mice. *Can J Microbiol* 1970; 16:667–75.
39. Basgoz N, Frosch MP. Case records of the Massachusetts General Hospital. Weekly clinicopathological exercises. Case 21-1998. A 32-year-old woman with pharyngeal spasms and paresthesias after a dog bite. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339:105–12.