

on the Court because of a hostility to *Roe*, and that was your exact quote, and that is just not so. No President is just sitting there to pick a person for a lifetime appointment based on one thing that is going to come before the Court. That's a disservice to any President of any party, of both parties. And I personally think that the House Democrats made the same decision that a lot of us will make here—a good person who is qualified for high Government position should not be rejected simply because his or her views on one topic are not in line with one's own.

I guess the real thing is—do you really want to know what makes it all flop around and not work with this issue? It is because of the high drama on both sides. When will somebody cut the high drama that this is the end of the Earth if this happens one way? I get called “murderer” in town meetings. How perpetually absurd. And then you talk in high drama and almost obsessive conduct of the word “murder”. These things do a disservice to the debate. And that is why politicians don't grapple with it very well at all, and Governor Kunin, you are a politician. I know what you do. I know of you. I admire your perseverance. You are the politician on this panel—the only one. And boy, there is a lot of difference between advocacy groups and politicians, I can tell you that. But a September poll, just a week ago, showed us that 85 percent of 1,233 people polled thought abortion should not be a deciding factor in Judge Thomas' nomination—85 percent. Now, we happen to fall prey to those things; polls mean a lot to those of us in this line of work. Another 61 percent felt that Judge Thomas was right not to answer questions on abortion.

I would ask the Governor, the politician, why the American public appears to feel that way about Judge Thomas and the abortion issue itself.

Ms. KUNIN. Well, Senator, let me just, before I answer your question, comment on the question of high drama. I think those of us who have been entrusted with making public policy know that we have to create a rational process and a fair process and that that removes it from some of the drama of life. But I think we cannot for a moment forget that the consequences of our decisions in the public arena are very dramatic and very personal for the people affected—and I am sure you appreciate that yourself in your own views.

But I do not think that this drama has been exaggerated. I think that it is an honest expression of deep apprehension. And I think that women as a group often feel that you can deal with every other issue and give it its full weight, but when it comes to these issues of personal choice over reproductive rights, they are put in a different category. That is why I think you see the debate intensifying on this issue. And the idea that this is only one issue out of many—I agree with you if it were simply a small question, we should not say this is the only thing, and this will determine whether or not you merit our confirmation. But this is a very, very sweeping issue that really addresses women's respect and equality in society as a whole. Whether a woman is treated as a rational, moral person who can make her individual choice, or whether the State has to be the parent and say, “No. We make your choice for

us." On very few issues does the State intervene in an agonizing decision quite in this way.

Why the American public responded in that poll, as you know, it depends how the question is asked. Senator Biden earlier quoted another poll from the Philadelphia Inquirer which indicated, one, which is good news for the Senate, that the country feels by 55 percent that the Senate should have more say than the President over this question, and that issues in fact are important. Now, maybe it was the wording that was different in these polls, but I also think there is a resignation in the American public, and there is a growing cynicism that believes that the process is so orchestrated that their individual voices are not going to count and that both sides are so armed and so skilled in maneuvering this thing that it is already a done deal, and I think some of that is reflected in that answer.

Senator SIMPSON. I think so, and I thank you very much.

Ms. WATTLETON. Senator Simpson, I'd just like to comment on the high drama—

Senator SIMPSON. Yes.

Ms. WATTLETON [continuing]. Because from a personal point of view, when I can forget the high drama of women dying whom I tried to help save and to live, then perhaps I will feel less passionate about this issue. I think that you have had among the most rational discussions and commentary on this issue that have taken place in this country in a long time here this morning, but it is the Court of the land that this committee has selected over the last few years that has opened the political debate of this issue to new heights; the Court that stepped back from *Roe* and *Webster* that has now highly politicized this issue.

Would I prefer to be here talking to you about this today? I'd rather talk to you about how we can get birth control and contraception better organized in this country; how we can get new methods so that women don't have to face unwanted pregnancy—I think that is a more rational discussion—and to leave the moral, ethical and individual situations to American women to try to orchestrate.

Senator SIMPSON. Well, I think that is an extraordinary statement when you leave off those on the other side who talk about the murder of a baby. So there you are. Now, come on, let's be reasonable.

Ms. WATTLETON. Mr. Simpson, I'd very much like to preserve their right not to have an abortion, and the very system that they are fighting against is the system that will destroy their right to practice their religious views as they see fit. And that is the common ground here; we have basic, fundamental disagreements. We are decent, reasonable, American people, and we must be allowed to continue to live in a society in which we can exercise our personal and private morality as we see necessary in our lives.

Senator SIMPSON. Well, everybody gets that right. That's the curious part of it.

Ms. WATTLETON. We want to keep it up.

Ms. MICHELMAN. But we want to keep it, Senator—

Senator SIMPSON. So do they.

Ms. MICHELMAN [continuing]. And I am afraid that this nominee will be the nail in the coffin for this fundamental right.