## **Overview of Performance Results**

In FY 2008, the key measures provided in this report represent those measures that provide an overall assessment of the Department's progress in achieving improvements in the educational system, based on the *Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years* 2007–12.

The table below summarizes the Department's performance results for FY 2008 key measures. There are 81 key performance measures that support the Department's mission and strategic goals. Most data for FY 2008 will be available during FY 2009.

For the most recent data available, FY 2007, the Department met or exceeded targets for 28 key measures, showed improvement for 23, did not meet 12, and is awaiting data for 9 measures. For 5 measures, baselines were established. The remaining 4 have no targets or data for FY 2007.

Each year, the Department assesses key measures for that year's performance plan and evaluates the utility and appropriateness of those measures. As a result, key measures are continued, replaced, or completely removed from the objective key measurement process. This assessment process provides a method for continued improvement in Department programs. The new Strategic Plan required the establishment of new key measures, though some key measures were previously in place as program performance measures and, therefore, have historical data.

Shown below are the results for each key measure as of October 10, 2008. The table shows whether the result met or exceeded, did not meet but improved over the prior years or failed to meet the expected target. The shaded areas indicate that a measure was not in place or no data was available during this time period. In some cases, establishing a baseline is the target and the target is recognized as met if the data are available and the baseline has been established. For measures for which data are not currently available, the date the data are expected has been indicated.

## Legend

$\sqrt{\ }$ = Met or exceeded target	× = Target not met	+ = Target not met but improved over prior years
NA = No Measure for period  * = Baseline established	—= Data not collected	[xxxx]= Unique identifier in GPRA database

## **Performance Results for FY 2008 Key Measures**

Performance Results Summary	FY 2008	FY 2007	FY 2006	
Strategic Goal 1—Improve student achievement, with a focus on bringing all students to grade level in reading and mathematics by 2014				
1.1. Improve student achievement in reading				
A. Percentage of all students who achieve proficiency on state reading assessments [89a0pg]	Sept. 2009	+	*	
B. Percentage of low-income students who achieve proficiency on state reading assessments [89a0pj]	Sept. 2009	+	*	
C. Percentage of American Indian/Alaska Native students who achieve proficiency on state reading assessments [89a0pm]	Sept. 2009	+	*	
<ul> <li>D. Percentage of African-American students who achieve proficiency on state reading assessments [89a0ps]</li> </ul>	Sept. 2009	+	*	

		Performance Results Summary	FY 2008	FY 2007	FY 2006
	E.	Percentage of Hispanic students who achieve proficiency on	Sept. 2009	+	*
	F.	state reading assessments [89a0pv]  Percentage of students with disabilities who achieve proficiency	Sept.		_
		on state reading assessments [89a0q3]	2009	+	*
	G.	Percentage of Limited English Proficient students who achieve	Sept. 2009	×	*
	н	proficiency on state reading assessments [89a0q4]  Percentage of career and technical education "concentrators"	Z009 May		
	١١.	who are proficient in reading [89a0q5]	2009	NA	NA
1.2.	lm	prove student achievement in mathematics	•		
	Α.	Percentage of all students who achieve proficiency on state math	Sept.	+	*
	_	assessments [89a0q9]	2009	Т	**
	В.	Percentage of low-income students who achieve proficiency on state math assessments [89a0qa]	Sept. 2009	+	*
	C.	Percentage of American Indian/Alaska Native students who	Sept.	_	
		achieve proficiency on state math assessments [89a0qb]	2009	+	*
	D.	Percentage of African-American students who achieve	Sept. 2009	+	*
	F	proficiency on state math assessments [89a0qd]  Percentage of Hispanic students who achieve proficiency on	Sept.		
		state math assessments [89a0qe]	2009	+	*
	F.	Percentage of students with disabilities who achieve proficiency	Sept.	+	*
	_	on state math assessments [89a0qg]	2009	Т	
	G.	Percentage of Limited English Proficient students who achieve proficiency on state math assessments [89a0qh]	Sept. 2009	+	*
	Н.	Percentage of career and technical education "concentrators"	May	NIA	NIA
		who are proficient in mathematics [89a0qi]	2009	NA	NA
1.3.		prove teacher quality			
	A.	Percentage of total core academic classes taught by highly	Mar.	+	*
	R	qualified teachers [89a0qk]  Percentage of total core elementary classes taught by highly	2009		
	Ъ.	qualified teachers [1182]	Sept. 2009	+	+
	C.	Percentage of core elementary classes in high-poverty schools	Sept.	+	*
	_	taught by highly qualified teachers [899zv]	2009	Т	
	υ.	Percentage of core elementary classes in low-poverty schools taught by highly qualified teachers [899zx]	Sept. 2009	+	*
	E.	Percentage of total core secondary classes taught by highly	Sept.		
		qualified teachers [1183]	2009	+	+
	F.	Percentage of core secondary classes in high-poverty schools	Sept. 2009	+	*
	G	taught by highly qualified teachers [899zw]  Percentage of core secondary classes in low-poverty schools	Sept.		
	О.	taught by highly qualified teachers [899zy]	2009	+	*
1.4.	Pro	omote safe, disciplined and drug-free learning environments			
	A.	Percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 who carried a			
		weapon (such as a knife, gun, or club) on school property one or	NA	+	NA
	R	more times during the past 30 days [1467]  Percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 who missed one			
	٥.	or more days of school during the past 30 days because they felt	NA	+	NA
		unsafe at school, or on their way to and from school [89a0qm]			
	C.	Percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 who were offered,	NIA		NIA
		given, or sold an illegal drug by someone on school property in the past year [1463]	NA	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	NA
1.5.	Inc	rease information and options for parents			
		Percentage of eligible students exercising choice [89a0qo]	Dec.	*	NIA
			2008		NA
	В.	Percentage of eligible students participating in supplemental	Sept. 2009	+	NA
	C.	educational services [89a0qp]  Number of charter schools in operation [89a0qq]	Dec.	. 1	,
		i and framed in	2008	V	V

	Performance Results Summary	FY 2008	FY 2007	FY 2006
1.6.	Increase high school completion rate			
	A. Percentage of total 18–24-year-olds who have completed high school [89a0qt]	Jul. 2010	Jul. 2009	V
	Percentage of African-American 18–24-year-olds who have completed high school [89a0qu]	Jul. 2010	Jul. 2009	V
	C. Percentage of Hispanic 18–24-year-olds who have completed high school [89a0qv]	Jul. 2010	Jul. 2009	V
	D. Averaged freshman graduation rate [89a0qy]	Jul. 2010	Jul. 2009	×
1.7.	Transform education into an evidence-based field			
	A. Number of Department-supported reading or writing programs and practices with evidence of efficacy using What Works Clearinghouse standards [89a0nu]	V	<b>V</b>	*
	<ul> <li>B. Number of Department-supported mathematics or science programs and practices with evidence of efficacy using What Works Clearinghouse standards [89a0nv]</li> </ul>	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	*
	C. Number of Department-supported teacher quality programs and practices with evidence of efficacy using What Works Clearinghouse standards [89a0nw]	<b>√</b>	V	*
	D. Number of visits to the What Works Clearinghouse Web site [89a0r3]	V	*	NA
Strat	egic Goal 2—Increase the academic achievement of all high school	students	•	
2.1.	Increase the proportion of high school students taking a rigorous curric	ulum		
	A. The percentage of low-income students who qualify for Academic Competitiveness Grants [89a0r6]	Apr. 2009	*	NA
	B. The number of Advanced Placement classes available nationwide [89a0r7]	-	_	NA
	C. The number of Advanced Placement tests taken by all public school students [89a0r8]	Jan. 2009	$\sqrt{}$	NA
	<ul> <li>D. The number of Advanced Placement tests taken by low-income public school students [1149]</li> </ul>	Jan. 2009	√	<b>V</b>
	E. The number of Advanced Placement tests taken by Minority (Black, Hispanic, Native American) public school students [1150]	Jan. 2009	√	<b>V</b>
	F. The number of teachers trained through Advanced Placement Incentive grants to teach Advanced Placement classes [89a0r9]	-	_	NA
2.2.	Promote advanced proficiency in mathematics and science for all stude	ents		
	A. The number of Advanced Placement tests in mathematics and science taken nationwide by all public school students [89a0x2]	Jan. 2009	√	*
	<ul> <li>B. The number of Advanced Placement tests in mathematics and science taken nationwide by low-income public school students [89a0x3]</li> </ul>	Jan. 2009	V	*
	C. The number of Advanced Placement tests in mathematics and science taken nationwide by minority (Black, Hispanic, Native American) public school students [89a0x4]	Jan. 2009	$\sqrt{}$	*
	D. The number of teachers trained through Advanced Placement Incentive grants to teach Advanced Placement classes in mathematics and science [89a0rc]	_	_	NA
2.3.	Increase proficiency in critical foreign languages			
	A. Combined total number of Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate tests in critical foreign languages passed by public school students [89a0re]	Jan. 2009	*	NA

	Performance Results Summary	FY 2008	FY 2007	FY 2006	
	egic Goal 3—Ensure the accessibility, affordability and acco		er educatio	n and	
bette	er prepare students and adults for employment and future le	arning			
3.1.	Increase success in and completion of quality postsecondary ed	ducation			
	A. Percentage of high school graduates aged 16–24 enrolling	Dec.	×	*	
	immediately in college [89a0ri]	2008			
	<ul> <li>B. Percentage of Upward Bound participants enrolling in colleg [1627]</li> </ul>	Je Dec. 2010	Dec. 2009	Dec. 2008	
	C. Percentage of career and technical education students who				
	transitioned to postsecondary education or employment by	May. 2009	×	×	
	December of the year of graduation [89a0rj]				
	D. Percentage of full-time degree-seeking undergraduate stude				
	at Title IV institutions who were in their first year of postsecondary enrollment in the previous year and are enro	Dec. 2008	×	*	
	in the current year at the same institution [89a0ry]	illed			
	E. Percentage of full-time degree-seeking undergraduate stude	ents			
	at Historically Black Colleges and Universities who were in t		×	×	
	first year of postsecondary enrollment in the previous year a				
	are enrolled in the current year at the same institution [1587] F. Percentage of full-time degree-seeking undergraduate students.				
	at Hispanic-Serving Institutions who were in their first year o				
	postsecondary enrollment in the previous year and are enro		×	×	
	in the current year at the same institution [1601]				
	G. Percentage of students enrolled at all Title IV institutions	, Jul.	. Jan.	,	
	completing a four-year degree within six years of enrollment	2009	2009	V	
	[89a0rz]  H. Percentage of freshmen participating in Student Support				
	Services who complete an associate's degree at original	Dec.	Dec.		
	institution or transfer to a four-year institution within three ye		2008	+	
	[1618]				
	I. Percentage of first-time full-time degree-seeking undergradu	uate Dec.	Dec.	,	
	students enrolled at 4-year Historically Black Colleges and	2009	2008	√	
	Universities graduating within six years of enrollment [1589] J. Percentage of students, enrolled at 4-year Hispanic-Serving	,	_		
	Institutions graduating within six years of enrollment [1603]	Dec. 2009	Dec. 2008		
	K. Percentage of postsecondary career and technical educatio				
	students who have completed a postsecondary degree or	May	×	V	
	certification [89a0s0]	2008		,	
3.2.	Deliver student financial aid to students and parents effectively and efficiently				
	A. Direct administrative unit costs for origination and disbursen			.14	
	of student aid (total cost per transaction) [1919]	V	V	*	
	B. Customer service level on the American Customer Satisfact				
	Index for the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFS	SA) √	×	×	
	on the Web [2207] C. Pell Grant improper payments rate [89a0s2]			*	
		×	×		
	D. Direct Loan recovery rate [89a0s3]	$\sqrt{}$		*	
	E. Federal Family Education Loan recovery rate [89a0s4]	√		*	
3.3.	Prepare adult learners and individuals with disabilities for higher education, employment and productive lives				
	A. Percentage of state vocational rehabilitation agencies that n	neet			
	the employment outcome standard for the Vocational	Apr. 2009			
	Rehabilitation State Grants program [1681]				
	B. Percentage of adults served by the Adult Education State G		1	,	
	program with a high school completion goal who earn a high	n 2008		√	

	Performance Results Summary	FY 2008	FY 2007	FY 2006
	Percentage of adults served by the Adult Education State Grants program with a goal to enter postsecondary education or training who enroll in a postsecondary education or training program [1387]	Dec. 2008	V	V
D.	Percentage of adults served by the Adult Education State Grants program with an employment goal who obtain a job by the end of the first quarter after their program exit quarter [1388]	Dec. 2008	V	V
Strateg	ic Goal 4—Cross-Goal Strategy on Management			
4.1. Ma	aintain and strengthen financial integrity and management and intern	al controls		
A.	Maintain an unqualified (clean) audit opinion [2204]	V	V	V
B.	Achieve and maintain compliance with the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 [89a0s9]	×	×	*
C.	Percentage of new discretionary grants awarded by June 30 [89a0sa]	×	V	*
4.2. Im	prove the strategic management of the Department's human capital			
A.	Percentage of employees believing that leaders generate high levels of motivation and commitment [89a0sr]	Dec. 2008	V	*
B.	Percentage of employees believing that managers review and evaluate the organization's progress towards meeting its goals and objectives [89a0ss]	Dec. 2008	V	*
C.	Percentage of employees believing that steps are taken to deal with a poor performer who cannot or will not improve [89a0st]	Dec. 2008	√	*
D.	Percentage of employees believing that department policies and programs promote diversity in the workplace [89a0sv]	Dec. 2008	×	*
E.	Percentage of employees believing that they are held accountable for achieving results [89a0sy]	Dec. 2008	√	*
F.	Percentage of employees believing that the workforce has the job-relevant knowledge and skills necessary to accomplish organizational goals [89a0sx]	Dec. 2008	V	*
G.	Average number of days to hire is at or below the OPM 45-day hiring model for non-SES [89a0sm]	√	√	*
H.	Percentage of employees with performance standards in place within 30 days of start of current rating cycle [89a0sn]	√	×	*
I.	Percentage of employees who have ratings of record in the system within 30 days of close of rating cycle [89a0so]	Dec. 2008	V	*
4.3. Ac	hieve budget and performance integration to link funding decisions to	o results		
A.	Percentage of Department program dollars in programs that demonstrate effectiveness in terms of outcomes, either on performance indicators or through rigorous evaluations [89a0sq]	V	V	V