NPFMC Advisory Panel GULF OF ALASKA GROUNDFISH RATIONALIZATION February 2004

The AP recommends the Council adopt the staff and AP recommendations as noted, with the AP recommendations being in reverse type.

Motion passed 19/0

The following provisions apply to Alternative 2 only:

Throughout alt 2 the use of CP or CPs, should read "trawl and/or longline or pot CPs". *Motion passed 9/6/3*.

2.2 Harvest Sector Provisions

2.2.1 Management Areas:

Areas are Western Gulf, Central Gulf, and West Yakutat—separate areas For Pollock: 610 (Western Gulf), 620 and 630 (Central Gulf), 640 (West Yakutat (WY))

- Shortraker and rougheye (SR/RE) and thornyhead rockfishes will be divided between Southeast Outside (SEO) and WY
- The allocation of rockfish bycatch to the halibut IFQ fishery will be on a NMFS management area basis
- Non-SR/RE and thornyhead rockfish trawl catch history in SEO during 95-98 will be used in the calculation of WYAK allocation
- SEO is exempt except for SR/RE and thornyhead rockfishes as secondary species. Allocation will be based on target catch in sablefish, halibut, Demersal Shelf Rockfish and P. cod fishery

Gear: Applies to all gear except jig gear-

Option 1. The jig fishery would receive an allocation based on its historic landings in the qualifying years – the jig fishery would be conducted on an open access basis.

Option 2. Gear would be accounted for in a manner similar to sport halibut harvests in halibut IFQ fishery.

Suboption: Cap jig harvest at ____% of current harvest by species and area:

1. 100% *Motion passed 19/0*

2. 1. 125%

3. 2. 150%

4. 3. 200%

2.2.2 Qualifying periods and landing criteria (same for all gears in all areas)

(The analysis will assess AFA vessels as a group)

Option 1. 95-01 drop 1

Option 2. 95-02 drop 1

Option 3. 95-02 drop 2

Option 4. 98-02 drop 1

Suboption: For Pacific cod under all options 2, 3, and 4, consider only A season harvests for 2001 and 2002. *Motion passed 15/4. A motion to delete the entire option failed 10/7/2.*

Suboption 2: For Pacific cod consider a sector allocation based on specified percentages prior to individual allocations. *Motion passed 16/2/1*.

2.2.2.1 Qualifying landing criteria

Landings based on retained catch for all species (includes weekly processor report for Catcher/Processor sector)

NOTE: Total pounds landed will be used as the denominator.

Catch history determined based on the poundage of retained catch year (does not include meal)

Suboption: catch history for P. cod fisheries determined based on a percentage of retained catch per year (does not include meal)

2.2.2.2 Eligibility

LLP participation

Option 1. Eligibility to receive catch history is any person that holds a valid, permanent, fully transferable LLP license.

Suboption 1. Any person who held a valid interim LLP license as of January 1, 2003.

Suboption 2. Allow the award of retained incidental groundfish catch history arising from the halibut and sablefish IFQ fishery.

Basis for the distribution to the LLP license holder is: the catch history of the vessel on which the LLP license is based and shall be on a fishery-by-fishery basis. The underlying principle of this program is one history per license. In cases where the fishing privileges (i.e., moratorium qualification or LLP license) of an LLP qualifying vessel have been transferred, the distribution of harvest shares to the LLP shall be based on the aggregate catch histories of (1) the vessel on which LLP license was based up to the date of transfer, and (2) the vessel owned or controlled by the LLP license holder and identified by the license holder as having been operated under the fishing privileges of the LLP qualifying vessel after the date of transfer. (Only one catch history per LLP license.)

- Option 2. Non-LLP (State water parallel fishery) participation
 - Suboption 1. Any individual who has imprinted a fish ticket making non-federally permitted legal landings during a State of Alaska fishery in a state waters parallel fisheries for species under the rationalized fisheries.
 - Suboption 2. Vessel owner at time of non-federally permitted legal landing during a State of Alaska fishery in a state waters parallel fisheries for species under the rationalized fisheries.
- 2.2.2.3 State Waters Parallel Fisheries and State Groundfish Management
- Option 1. Status Quo –Federal TAC taken in federal waters and in state waters, during a 'parallel' fishery, plus state-water fisheries exist for up to 25% of the TAC for Pacific cod.
- Option 2. Direct allocation of portion of TAC to fisheries inside 3 nm.

No 'parallel' fishery designation, harvest of remaining federal TAC only occurs in federal zone (3-200 nm); and

Council allocates ______ % of the TAC, by species by FMP Amendment, to 0-3 nm state water fisheries representing a range of harvests that occurred in state waters. This could include harvest from the status quo parallel fishery and the state waters P. cod fisheries. State waters fisheries would be managed by ADF&G through authority of, and restrictions imposed by, the Board of Fisheries.

Area or species restrictions:

Suboption 1. Limited to Pollock, P. cod, flatfish, and/or pelagic shelf rockfish

(light and dark dusky rockfishes).

Suboption 2. Limited to Western, Central GOA management areas and/or West Yakutat.

Option 3. Parallel fishery on a fixed percentage (______%) allocation of the federal TAC, to be prosecuted within state waters with additional State restrictions (e.g., vessel size, gear restrictions, etc to be imposed by the BOF).

Fixed allocation for:

Suboption 1. P. cod Suboption 2. Pollock

Suboption 3. All other GOA groundfish species

Council requests that staff provide an analysis of catch data showing harvest inside 3 nm by gear, species, vessel size and area The Council recommends that this issue be reviewed by the Joint Protocol Committee at its next meeting (tentatively identified as July 28/29 in Anchorage).

2.2.3 Primary Species Rationalization Plan

Primary Species by Gear

2.2.3.1 Initial Allocation of catch history

Allocate catch history on an individual basis

- a. Trawl CV and CP:
 - Pollock, Pacific cod, deepwater flatfish, rex sole, shallow water flatfish, flathead sole, Arrowtooth flounder, northern rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, Pelagic shelf rockfish
- b. Longline CV and CP:
 - Pacific Cod, pelagic shelf rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, deep water flatfish (if turbot is targeted), northern rockfish, Arrowtooth flounder
- c. Pot CV and CP:

Pacific Cod

2.2.3.2 Harvest share (or QS/IFQ) Designations

2.2.3.2.1 Vessel Designation of low producers and high producers in the fixed gear class.

Low producing vessels are:

Option 1. less than average harvest shares initially allocated by gear, primary species and area

Option 2. less than the 75th percentile harvest shares initially allocated by gear, **primary** species and

Option 1: less than average primary species harvest shares initially allocated by gear and area.

Option 2: less than the 75th percentile primary species harvest shares initially allocated by gear and area.

High producing vessels are the remainder.

Motion passed 19/0

2.2.3.2.2 Harvest share sector designations:

Designate harvest shares (or QS/IFQ) as CV or CP. Annual CV harvest share allocation (or IFQ) conveys a privilege to harvest a specified amount. Annual CP harvest share allocation (or IFQ) conveys the privilege to harvest and process a specified amount. Designation will be based on:

Actual amount of catch harvested and processed onboard a vessel by species.

2.2.3.2.3 Harvest share gear designations

Designate CV harvest shares as Trawl, Longline, and Pot

Designate CP harvest shares as CP trawl, CP longline, CP pot.

Option: Designate harvest shares as high and low producer fixed gear

2.2.3.2.4 Harvest Share Restrictions—Harvest restrictions apply to primary species only.

Harvest restrictions for primary harvest shares (or IFQ) may be used by other gear types except that:

Option 1: No restrictions

Option 2: Fixed gear harvest share (or IFQ) may not be harvested using trawl gear

Option 3: Pot gear harvest shares may not be harvested by longline or trawl gear

Option 4: Pot and longline harvest shares may not be harvested by trawl gear

2.2.3.2.5 If a <u>closed class of processor <u>limited entry</u> alternative is chosen, CV harvest shares will be issued in two classes. Class A shares will be deliverable to a <u>qualified licensed</u> processor or <u>processor shareholder (as applicable)</u>. Class B shares will be deliverable to any processor as authorized under this program.</u>

Option 1. A shares be at the QS level and separable from B shares.

Suboption: Processor affiliated vessels would receive their entire allocation as A shares.

Option.2.Only the annual allocations will be subject to the Class A/Class B distinction. All long term shares or history will be of a single class.

- 2.2.3.3 Transferability and Restrictions on Ownership of Harvest shares (or QS/IFQ)
- 2.2.3.3.1 Persons eligible to receive harvest shares by transfer must be (not mutually exclusive):

Entities eligible to document a vessel (apply to CP).

Initial recipients of CV or C/P harvest share.

Community administrative entities would be eligible to receive harvest shares by transfer.

Suboption 1: Individuals eligible to document a vessel with at least 150 days of sea time (apply to CV shares) OR

Suboption 2: Entities eligible to document a vessel that have a US citizen with 20% ownership and with at least 150 days of sea time (apply to CV shares).

Select Suboption 1 and 2 as preferred provisions *Motion passed 17/0*

Definition of sea time:

Sea time in any of the U.S. commercial fisheries in a harvesting capacity.

2.2.3.3.2 Restrictions on transferability of CP harvest shares

CP harvest shares maintain their designation when transferred to persons who continue to catch and process CP harvest shares at sea, if CP harvest shares are processed onshore after transfer, CP harvest shares convert to CV harvest shares.

Option 2: Redesignate CP shares as CV shares upon transfer to a person who is not an initial issuee of CP shares otion passed 16/0.

2.2.3.3.3 When CP shares are redesignated as CV shares;

CP harvest shares retain their gear designation upon transfer.

Purchaser must further identify which processing provision and regionalization provision apply to the shares, consistent with the gear type.

2.2.3.3.4 Vertical integration

Harvest shares initial recipients with more than 10% limited threshold ownership by licensed processors are capped at:

Option 1. initial allocation of harvest CV and CP shares

Option 2. 115-150% of initial allocation of harvest CV shares.

Option 3. 115-150% of initial allocation of harvest CP shares. Motion passed 18/0

2.2.3.3.5 Leasing of QS ("leasing of QS" is defined as the transfer of annual IFQ permit to a person who is not the holder of the underlying QS for use on any vessel and use of IFQ by an individual designated by the QS holder on a vessel which the QS holder owns less that 20% -- same as "hired skipper" requirement in halibut/sablefish program).

Option 1. No leasing of CV QS (QS holder must be on board or own at least 20% of the vessel upor which a designated skipper fishes the IFQ).

Option 2. Allow leasing of CV QS, but only to individuals eligible to receive QS/IFQ by transfer.

The AP selects the following options as the preferred provision:

Option 3. Allow leasing of CP QS, but only to individuals and entities eligible to receive QS/IFQ by transfer.

Option 4 For individuals and corporations with CV QS no leasing restrictions for the first three years. After this grace period, leasing will be allowed in the following 18 months if the QS holder owns 20% or greater of a vessel on which made 3, 5, or 10 landings or 30% of the primary species shares held by the QS holder in at least 2 of the most recent 4 years were harvested. This provision applies to independent lessees and not within cooperatives. *Motion passed 10/6*.

2.2.3.3.6 Separate and distinct harvest share use caps

Caps will be expressed as QS units indexed to the first year of implementation. *Motion passed 19/0*

Option 1. Caps apply to all harvesting categories by species with the following provisions:

- 1. Apply individually and collectively to all harvest share holders in each sector and fishery.
- 2. Percentage-caps by species and management area are as follows (a different percentage cap may be chosen for each fishery):
 - i. Trawl CV and CP (can be different caps):

Use cap based at the following percentile of catch history for the following species:

(i.e., 75th percentile represents the amount of harvest shares that is greater than the amount of harvest shares for which 75% of the fleet will qualify.)

pollock, Pacific cod, deepwater flatfish, rex sole, shallow water flatfish, flathead sole, Arrowtooth flounder, northern rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, pelagic shelf rockfish

Suboption 1. 75 %

Suboption 2. 85%

Suboption 3. 95 %

ii. Longline and Pot CV and/or CP (can be different caps)

based on the following percentiles of catch history for the following species:

Pacific cod, pelagic shelf rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, deep water flatfish (if Greenland turbot is targeted), northern rockfish

Suboption 1. 75 %

Suboption 2. 85%

Suboption 3. 95 %

Option 2. Caps equal to a percentage that would allow contraction of QS holders in the fishery by 20%, 30% or 50% of the number of initially qualified QS recipients by species and sector. *Motion passed 19/0* Suboption 1. Conversion of CP shares:

i. CP shares converted to CV shares

Cr shares convened to C v shares

Option 1. will count toward CV caps

Option 2. will not count toward CV caps at the time of conversion.

ii. Caps will be applied to prohibit acquisition of shares in excess of the cap. Conversion of CP shares to CV shares alone will not require a CP shareholder to divest CP shares for exceeding the CP share cap. Motion passed 19/0

Vessel use caps on harvest shares harvested on any given vessel shall be set at two times

100% 150%

200% Motion passed 19/0

the individual use cap for each species. Initial issuees that exceed the individual or vessel use caps are grandfathered at their current level as of a control date of April 3, 2003, including transfers by contract entered into as of that date.

2.2.3.3.7 Owner On Board Provisions

Provisions may vary depending on the sector or fishery under consideration (this provision may be applied differently pending data analysis)

i. All initial issues (individuals and corporations) would be grandfathered as not being required to be aboard the vessel to fish shares initially issued as "owner on board" shares. This exemption applies only to those initially issued harvest share units.

Suboption 1. No owner on board restrictions.

The AP selects the following as the preferred provision:

Suboption 2. A range of 5-50% for fixed gear CVs and 5-40% for trawl gear CVs, of the quota shares initially issued to fishers/harvesters would be designated as "owner on board." A motion to apply the range only to low producing fixed gear only failed 7/10.

A portion (range of 5-100%) of the quota shares initially issued to fishers/ harvesters would be designated as "owner on board."

All initial issuees (individual and corporate) would be grandfathered as not being required to be aboard the vessel to fish shares initially issued as "owner on board" shares for a period of 5 years after implementation.

Shares acquired in the first five years by original issuee shall:

a) retain owner on board designation, and

b) be exempt from owner on board provisions as long as original issuee holds these shares

In cases of hardship (injury, medical incapacity, loss of vessel, etc.) a holder of "owner on board" quota shares may, upon documentation and approval, transfer/lease his or her shares a maximum period of Range 1-3 years out of any 10 year period.

Motion passed 15/4.

2.2.3.3.8 Overage Provisions

A 7 day grace period after an overage occurs for the owner to lease sufficient IFQ to cover the overage. Failure to secure sufficient IFQ would result in forfeiture of the overages and fines.

i. Trawl CV and CP:

Suboption 1. Overages up to 15% or 20% of the last trip will be allowed—greater than a 15% or 20% overage result in forfeiture and civil penalties. An overage of 15% or 20% or less, results in the reduction of the subsequent year's annual allocation or IFO. Underages up to 10% of harvest shares (or IFO).

Suboption 2. Overage provisions would not be applicable in fisheries where there is an incentive fishery that has not been fully utilized for the year. (i.e., no overages would be charged if a harvest share (or IFQ) holder goes over his/her annual allocation (or IFQ) when incentive fisheries are still available).

ii. Longline and pot CV and CP:

Overages up to 10% of the last trip will be allowed with rollover provisions for underages up to 10% of harvest shares (or IFQ).

Suboption. Overages would not be applicable in fisheries where there is an incentive fishery that has not been fully utilized for the year. (i.e., no overages would be allowed if a harvest share (or IFQ) holder goes over his/her annual allocation (or IFQ) when incentive fisheries are still available).

- 2.2.3.3.9 Retention requirements for rockfish, sablefish and Atka mackerel:
 - Option 1. no retention requirements.
 - Option 2. require retention (all species) until the annual allocation (or IFQ) for that species is taken with discards allowed for overages
 - Option 3. require 100% retention (all species) until the annual allocation (or IFQ) for that species is taken and then stop fishing.
- 2.2.3.3.10 Limited processing for CVs
 - Option 1. No limited processing
 - Option 2. Limited processing of rockfish species by owners of CV harvest shares of rockfish species not subject to processor landing requirements are allowed up to 1 mt of round weight equivalent of rockfish per day on a vessel less than or equal to 60ft LOA. *Motion passed 18/0*.
- 2.2.3.3.11 Processing Restrictions
 - Option 1. CPs may buy CV share fish not subject to processor landing requirements. Suboption. 3 year sunset
 - Option 2. CPs would be prohibited from buying CV fish.
 - Option 3. CPs may buy incentive fish and incidental catches of CV fish not subject to processor landing requirements.

CPs are not permitted to buy fully utilized species (cod, pollock, rockfish, sablefish, and allocated portion of flatfish) from CVs.

Suboption. Exempt bycatch amounts of these species delivered with flatfish.

Motion passed 18/0

A CP is a vessel that harvests CP shares under the program in a year. *Motion passes* 17/0

A motion to reconsider the above motion failed 14/4

2.2.4 Allocation of Secondary Species

Thornyhead, rougheye, shortraker, other slope rockfish, Atka mackerel, and trawl sablefish Includes SEO shortraker, rougheye, and thornyhead rockfish.

- i. Allocation of shares
 - Option 1. Allocate shares to all fishermen (including sablefish & halibut QS fishermen) based on fleet bycatch rates by gear:
 - Suboption 1. based on average catch history by area and target fishery

Suboption 2. based on 75th percentile by area by target fishery

- Option 2. Allocation of shares will be adjusted pro rata to allocate 100% of the annual TAC for each bycatch species.
 - Suboption 1. Other slope rockfish in the Western Gulf will not be allocated, but will be managed by MRB and will go to PSC status when the TAC is reached.
- Option 3. Byeatch—Secondary species allocations will be awarded to the owners of sablefish and halibut QS, rather than the LLP holders.
- ii. Include these species for one gear type only (e.g., trawl). Deduct the secondary species catch from gear types from TAC. If deduction is not adequate to cover secondary species catch in other gear types, on a seasonal basis, place that species on PSC status until overfishing is reached.
- iii. Retain these species on bycatch status for all gear types with current MRAs.

iv. Allow trawl sablefish catch history to be issued as a new category of sablefish harvest shares ("T" shares) by area. "T" shares would be fully leasable, exempt from vessel size and block restrictions, and retain sector designation upon sale.

Suboption. These shares may be used with either fixed gear or trawl gear.

- v. Permit transfer of secondary species QS
 - Option 1. Primary species shares and secondary species shares are non-separable and must be transferred as a unit.
 - Option 2. Primary species shares and secondary species shares are separable and may be transferred separately

2.2.5 PSC Species

2.2.5.1 Accounting of Halibut Bycatch

Pot vessels continue their exemption from halibut PSC caps.

Hook and line

- Option 1: Modeled after sablefish IFQ program (no direct inseason accounting of halibut PSC. Holders of halibut IFQ are required to land legal halibut. Estimates of sub-legal and legal size incidental mortality are accounted for when setting annual CEY.
- Option 2: Halibut PSC will be managed through harvest share allocations.
- Option 3: Continue to fish under PSC caps.

Suboption (to all options): Holders of halibut IFQ are required to land legal halibut. Halibut bycatch occurring without sufficient IFQs would count against PSC allocations.

Trawl Entities:

- Option 1: Halibut PSC will be managed through harvest share allocations.
- Option 2: Continue to fish under PSC caps.

2.2.5.2 Halibut PSC Allocation

Each recipient of fishing history would receive an allocation of halibut mortality (harvest shares) based on their allocation of the primary species shares. Secondary species would receive no halibut allocation. Initial allocation based on average halibut bycatch by directed primary species during the qualifying years. Allocations will be adjusted pro rata to equal the existing PSC cap.

By sector average bycatch rates by area by gear:

- Option 1. Both sectors
- Option 2. Catcher Processor/Catcher Vessel

2.2.5.3 Annual transfer/Leasing of Trawl or Fixed Gear Halibut PSC mortality

Option A: Halibut PSC harvest shares annual allocations are separable from primary groundfish harvest shares annual allocations and may be transferred independently within gear types sectors. When transferred separately, the amount of Halibut PSC allocation would be reduced, for that year, by:

Suboption 1. 0%

Suboption 2. 5%

Suboption 3. 7%

Suboption 4. 10%

Suboption 5: Exclude any halibut PSC transferred for participation in the incentive fisheries (includes transfers outside the cooperative).

Suboption 6: Exclude any halibut PSC transferred within a cooperative.

Option B: No leasing/annual transfer of PSC outside of cooperatives.

All PSC reductions under this section will remain unfished (in the water).

2.2.5.3.1 PSC Reduction for Non-Members of Cooperatives

Non-members of cooperatives would have PSC reduced by:

i 0%
ii 5%
iii 15%
iii 30% Motion passes 16/3

PSC reduction will not apply to low-producing fixed gear participants.

All PSC reductions under this section will remain unfished (in the water).

- 2.2.5.4 Permanent transfer of Halibut PSC harvest share mortality
 - Option 1. Groundfish <u>primary species</u> harvest shares (<u>QS</u>) and Halibut PSC harvest shares (<u>QS</u>) are non-separable and must be transferred as a unit Suboption. exempt Pacific cod
 - Option 2. Groundfish <u>primary species</u> harvest shares (<u>QS</u>) and Halibut PSC harvest shares (<u>QS</u>) are separable and may be transferred separately
- 2.2.5.5 Retention of halibut incidentally caught by fixed gear vessels

Retention of h <u>H</u>alibut incidentally caught may be retained outside the halibut season from Jan. 1 to start of commercial fishery. Any person retaining halibut must have adequate halibut IFQ to cover the landing. Retention is limited to (range 10-20%) of primary species.

Option 1: In all GOA areas.

Option 2: Limited to Areas 3A, 3B, and 4A.

The Council requests that staff notify the IPHC concerning these provisions.

2.2.6 Incentive species

Arrowtooth flounder, deepwater flatfish, flathead sole, rex sole, shallow water flatfish.

Owners of shares must utilize all their shares for an incentive species_before participating in incentive fishery for that species.

Option. The portion of historic unharvested West Yakutat Pacific cod TAC will be made available as an incentive fishery, subject to provision of incentive fisheries. *Motion passed 18/0*

- 2.2.6.1 Eligibility to fish in the incentive fisheries
 - A. The unallocated QS for the incentive fisheries are available for harvest, providing the vessel has adequate PSC and secondary species. and the vessel is a member of a GOA fishing cooperative.

Suboption: and the vessel is a member of a GOA fishing cooperative. *Motion passed 18/0*

- B. Open access participants will be permitted to harvest incentive species as long as the open access fishery remains open and NMFS determines that the secondary and PSC allocations remaining in the open access fishery are adequate to support prosecution of incentive species.
- C. Any holder of halibut or sablefish IFO that has adequate IFO or PSC and secondary species.
- 2.2.6.2 Catch accounting for the incentive fisheries Allocated QS and Incentive fishery quota
 - Option 1. The individual co-op member's apportionment of the allocated incentive species QS must be used prior to the individual gaining access to the incentive fishery unallocated portion. The co-op will notify NMFS when a vessel enters the incentive fishery quota pool.
 - Option 2. The co-op's allocation of incentive species QS must be fished before gaining access to the unallocated portion of the incentive species quotas. The co-op members through a contractual coop agreement will address catch accounting amongst the co-op members.
 - Option 3. For vessels shareholders not participating in a sector—co-op, the unallocated incentive species are available for harvest once the non-coop sector's allocation of the incentive

species has been used or individual IFQ holder's allocation of the incentive species has been used. *Motion passes 18/0*

Option 4. For open access participants, the harvest of incentive species quota allocated to open access participants must be fished prior to gaining access to the unallocated portion of the incentive species quota.

- 2.2.7 Preserving entry level opportunities for P. cod
- 2.2.7.1 Each initial allocation of P.cod harvest shares based on the final year of the qualifying period to fixed gear catcher vessels below the block threshold size would be a block of quota and could only be permanently sold or transferred as a block.

Option 1 10,000 pounds constitutes one block Option 2 20,000 pounds constitutes one block

Option 3 No Block Program

Suboption. Lowest producer harvest shares earned as a bycatch in the halibut sablefish ITQ program would be exempt from the block program

2.2.7.2 Eligible participants would be allowed to hold a maximum of:

Option 1, 1 block

Option 2. 2 blocks

Option 3. 4 blocks

2.2.7.3 Any person may hold: (Alternatives 2 and 3)

Option 1. One block and any amount of unblocked shares

Option 2. Two blocks and any amount of unblocked shares

Option 3. Four blocks and any amount of unblocked shares

2.2.8 Skipper/Crew

A skipper is defined as the individual owning the Commercial Fishery Entry Permit and signing the fish ticket.

Option 1. No skipper and/or crew provisions

Option 2. Allocate to skippers and/or crew

Suboption 1. Initial allocation of 5% shall be reserved for captains and/or crew

Suboption 2. Initial allocation of 10% shall be reserved for captains and/or crew

Suboption 3. Initial allocation of 15% shall be reserved for captains and/or crew

Option 3. Establish license program for certified skippers. For initial allocation Certified Skippers are either:

i. Vessel owners receiving initial QS or harvest privileges; or

ii. Hired skippers who have demonstrated fishing experience in Federal or State groundfish fisheries in the BSAI or GOA for 3 out of the past 5 years as documented by a CFEC permit and signed fish tickets and/or appropriate NMFS documentation (starting date for five years is 2003).

Suboption 1. include crew in the license program.

Suboption 2. require that new Certified Skippers licenses accrue to individuals with demonstrated fishing experience (Groundfish – BSAI/GOA, state or federal waters) similar to halibut/sablefish program.

Under any alternative that establishes QS and annual harvest privileges, access to those annual harvest privileges is allowed only when fishing with a Certified Skipper onboard. Certified Skipper Licenses are non-transferable. They accrue to an individual and may not be sold, leased, bartered, traded, or otherwise used by any other individual.

Defer remaining issues to a trailing amendment and assumes simultaneous implementation with rationalization program.

2.2.9 Communities

Note: Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands communities (CDQ or otherwise) and communities adjacent to the Eastern GOA regulatory area Southeast Outside District (except Yakutat) will not be included in any Gulf rationalization community protection programs.

2.2.9.1 Regionalization

Option 1: Regional designations shall be implemented for a period of 5, 10, or 15 years. In the first five years, regionoal designations shall be imposed for 80, 90, or 100% of allocated QS. Regional designations beyond 5 years shall be equally reduced on an annual basis by a percentage of the remaining years (e.g. If 10 years, the restriction during the second 5 years would be reduced by 20% per year for years 6-10.)

Suboption a. Regionalization will be maintained until transportation cost differentials between regions or sub-regions are substantially corrected. If transportation cost differentials are substantially corrected on a sub-region basis, regional boundaries may be modified to permit competition between communities with similar transportation costs.

Motion carries 11/5

Regionalization options may be selected under any of the proposed alternatives for Gulf rationalization.

If adopted, all processing licenses (for shore-based and floating processors) will be categorized by region.

- Processing licenses that are regionally designated cannot be reassigned to another region.
- Catcher vessel harvest shares are regionalized based on where the catch was processed, not where it was caught.
- Catcher processor shares and incentive fisheries are not subject to regionalization.

Option: Secondary species shares are not subject to regionalization

- Qualifying years to determine the distribution of shares between regions will be:
 - Option 1. consistent with the preferred alternative under "Section 2.2.2 Qualifying Periods."
 - Option 2. 1999 2002
- In the event harvest shares are regionalized and the processor linkage option is chosen, a harvester's shares in a region will be linked to the processor entity in the region to which the harvester delivered the most pounds during the qualifying years **used for determining linkages under 2.3.1.1.2.**

<u>Central Gulf</u>: Two regions are proposed to classify harvesting shares: North - South line at 58 51.10' North Latitude (Cape Douglas corner for Cook Inlet bottom trawl ban area) extending west to east to the intersection with 140° W long, and then southerly along 140° W long.).

The following fisheries will be regionalized for shorebased (including floating) catch and subject to the North-South distribution: Pollock in Area 630; CGOA flatfish (excludes arrowtooth flounder); CGOA Pacific ocean perch; CGOA northern rockfish and pelagic shelf rockfish (combined); CGOA Pacific cod (inshore); GOA sablefish (trawl); WY pollock.

2.2.9.2 Community Fisheries Quota (CFQ) Program

The purpose of the Community Fisheries Quota Program and the Community Quota Purchase Program is to mitigate economic impacts from rationalization on smaller, isolated, Gulf of Alaska fisheries dependent communities. Community fishing quota will provide for the sustained participation of the qualifying communities in the rationalized fisheries and acknowledges the importance of fisheries resources to these communities. These purposes will be obtained by allocating QS to a community so that the community

entity can derive revenues from leasing QS to ensure the retention of fishing opportunities and/or support community development.

2.2.9.2.1 Administrative Entity

A Gulf-wide administrative entity will receive and hold CFQ on behalf of eligible communities. The administrative entity representing a community or one or more eligible communities must be a non-profit entity qualified by NMFS.

Gulf wide administrative entity

2.2.9.2.2 Eligible Communities

- Option 1. Population (based on 2000 Census):
 - a. Less than 1,500
 - b. Less than 2,500
 - c. Less than 5,000
 - d. Less than 7,500
- Option 2. Geography
 - a. Coastal Communities without road connections to larger community highway network
 - b. Coastal communities adjacent to salt water
 - c. Communities within 10 nautical miles of the Gulf Coast
 - d. Communities on the south side of the Alaska Peninsula that are adjacent to Central and Western GOA management areas (including Yakutat) within 5 nautical miles from the water, but not to include Bering Sea communities included under the Western Alaska CDQ program.
- Option 3. Historic Participation in Groundfish Fisheries
 - a. Communities with residents having any commercial permit and fishing activity as documented by CFEC in the last ten years (1993 2002)
- Option 4. Government Structure
 - a. Communities recognized by the State of Alaska as a first class, second class, or home rule municipality
 - b. All other eligible communities

2.2.9.2.3 Species

- Option 1. All rationalized groundfish species including PSC *Motion passed 18/*0
- Option 2. Pollock and Pacific cod Limited to species that can be caught without (hard on) bottom trawling

2.2.9.2.4 Allocation

- Option 1. 5% of annual TAC
- Option 2. 10% of annual TAC
- Option 3. 15% of annual TAC

2.2.9.2.5 Harvesting of Shares

Option 1. Limited to residents of any eligible community

2.2.9.2.x Clarify that CFQ awarded to a gulf-wide administrative entity should not be transferred. *Motion* passed 18/0

2.2.9.2.6 Allocation Basis

The initial allocation (harvest shares) of CFQ would be made to the administrative entity representing eligible communities.

- Option 1. 0% 100% of the annual harvest rights from the CFQ owned by the administrative entity would be distributed amongst qualified communities on an equal basis.
- Option 2. 0% 100% of the annual harvest rights from the CFQ owned by the administrative entity would be distributed amongst qualified communities on a pro rata basis based on population.
- Option 3. 0% 100% of the annual harvest rights from the CFQ owned by the administrative entity from each GOA groundfish management area, by species, would be distributed amongst qualified communities located in the management area on an equal basis.

2.2.9.2.7 Qualification of Administrative Entity

The administrative entity must submit a detailed statement of eligibility to NMFS and the State prior to being qualified. The State may comment on the statement of eligibility but does not have a formal role. The required elements of the eligibility statement will be in regulation.

2.2.9.2.8 Administrative Oversight

A report submitted to NMFS detailing the use of QS by the administrative entity. The required elements and timing of the report will be outlined in regulation.

2.2.9.3 Community Purchase Program

The purpose of the Community Fisheries Quota Program and the Community Quota Purchase Program is to mitigate economic impacts from rationalization on smaller, isolated, Gulf of Alaska fisheries dependent communities. Community fishing quota will provide for the sustained participation of the qualifying communities in the rationalized fisheries and acknowledges the importance of fisheries resources to these communities.

2.2.9.3.1 Administrative Entity

The administrative entity representing a community or communities must be a non-profit entity qualified by NMFS.

2.2.9.3.2 Eligible communities

- Option 1. Population (based on 2000 Census):
 - a. Less than 1,500
 - b. Less than 2,500
 - c. Less than 5.000
 - d. Less than 7,500
- Option 2. Geography
 - a. Coastal Communities without road connections to larger community highway network
 - b. Coastal communities adjacent to salt water
 - c. Communities within 10 nautical miles of the Gulf Coast
 - d. Communities on the south side of the Alaska Peninsula that are adjacent to Central and Western GOA management areas (including Yakutat) within 5 nautical miles from the water, but not to include Bering Sea communities included under the Western Alaska CDQ program.
- Option 3. Historic Participation in Groundfish Fisheries
 - a. Communities with residents having any commercial permit and fishing activity as documented by CFEC in the last ten years (1993 2002)

Option 4. Government Structure

- a. Communities recognized by the State of Alaska as a first class, second class, or home rule municipality
- b. All other eligible communities

2.2.9.3.3 Qualification of Administrative Entity

The administrative entity must submit a detailed statement of eligibility to NMFS and the State prior to being qualified. The State may comment on the statement of eligibility but does not have a formal role. The required elements of the eligibility statement will be in regulation.

2.2.9.3.4 Administrative Oversight

A report submitted to NMFS detailing the use of QS by the administrative entity. The required elements and timing of the report will be outlined in regulation.

2.2.9.4 Community Incentive Fisheries Trust (CIFT)

The CIFT has full ownership of CIFT harvest shares and holds these shares in trust for the communities, processors and crewmembers in the region to use as leverage to mitigate impacts directly associated with implementation of a rationalization program.

2.2.9.4.1 Harvest Share Distribution

10-30 % of harvest shares shall be originally reserved for GOA CIFT associations. These harvest shares will be a pool off the top before individual distribution of harvest shares.

2.2.9.4.2 CIFT Designation

Option 1. One CV CIFT for entire GOA (exclude SEO)

Option 2. Regional CV CIFTs:

Suboption 1. Central GOA (Kodiak, Chignik)

Suboption 2. Western GOA

Suboption 3. North Gulf Coast (Homer to Yakutat)

Option 3. CP-based CIFT

Defer remaining issues to a trailing amendment

2.2.10 PSC for Crab and Salmon

Proposed staff analysis on salmon and crab bycatch measures:

The Council recommends that the alternatives on p.5 of the *Salmon and Crab Bycatch Measures for GOA Groundfish Fisheries* paper not be adopted at this time and that the analysis be expanded to include, to the extent practical, a discussion of the following:

A comparison of salmon bycatch with hatchery salmon releases (in Alaska, Japan and Canada) and regional salmon run strength and catch of foreign origin salmon.

Red king crab and Bairdi bycatch data relative to population estimates for all gear types.

Use of observer data. The discussion would include a table of the % of observed catch by region by season and methods of extrapolation for unobserved vessels (smaller long line fleet), conversion of observer data to identify catch in State waters, and any known problems with the use of observer data.

Other fisheries in which salmon and crab bycatch occurs — i.e. pot codfish and pollock bottom trawl.

The reasons for the high bycatch of the "other salmon" category between 1993-95 and provide salmon bycatch data by month by area.

Description of gear specific salmon and crab mortality rates.

Bairdi bycatch in the pacific cod pot fishery - extrapolate as needed to provide numbers for state waters fishery.

Inclusion in the draft alternatives of a BSAI style bycatch pool hotspot management alternative, an alternative that provides for red king crab bycatch protections and an "other salmon" bycatch protections alternative.

Changes in the regulatory requirements for observer coverage in the pot cod fishery.

Discussion of how crab and salmon bycatch limits integrate with Gulf Rationalization.

Distribution and population information on Tanner and king crab will be provided from survey data.

2.2.11 Review and Evaluation

2.2.11.1 Data collection.

A mandatory data collection program would be developed and implemented. The program would collect cost, revenue, ownership and employment data on a periodic basis to provide the information necessary to study the impacts of the program. Details of this program will be developed in the analysis of the alternatives.

2.2.11.2 Review and Sunset

Option 1. The program would sunset unless the Council decides to continue or amend the program. The decision of whether to continue or amend would be based on a written review and evaluation of the program's performance compared to its objectives.

Suboption 1. 5 years after fishing under the program

Suboption 2. 7 years after fishing under the program

Suboption 3. 10 year schedule after fishing under the program

Suboption 4. No sunset provision.

Option 2. Formal program review at the first Council Meeting in the 5th year after implementation to objectively measure the success of the program, including benefits and impacts to harvesters (including vessel owners, skippers and crew), processors and communities, by addressing concerns, goals and objectives identified in the problem statement and the Magnuson Stevens Act standards. This review shall include analysis of post-rationalization impacts to coastal communities, harvesters and processors in terms of economic impacts and options for mitigating those impacts. Subsequent reviews are required every 5 years.

2.2.12 Sideboards

GOA Groundfish sideboards under the crab rationalization plan and under the AFA would be superceded by the GOA rationalization program allocations upon implementation.

Vessels (Steel) and LLPs used to generate harvest shares used in a co-op may not participate in other federally managed open access fisheries in excess of sideboard allotments.

Participants in the GOA rationalized fisheries are limited to their aggregate historical participation based on GOA rationalized qualifying years in BSAI and SEO groundfish fisheries.

The Council should consider adding sideboards for the GOA jig fishery, which will not be included in the rationalization program.

Staff analysis of sideboard issues should examine the potential consequences of the creation of a double set of sideboards relating to BSAI fisheries for vessels already subject to AFA sideboards in BSAI fisheries.

2.3 Processing Sector Provisions

For alternative 2A apply provisions at company level. For 2B, provisions at facility (plant) level.

2.3.x Provisions affecting Option 2C

- 1. Processors are eligible to receive an allocation of QS if they meet allocation criteria identified in 2.3.1.2.1
- 2. Up to 30% of CV shares shall be designated as "CVP" shares and eligible to be held by processors and CV recipients. A portion of the CVP share allocation will be divided among eligible processors proportional to their history in the qualifying years as outlined in 2.3.1.2.1. Any balance of CVP shares not initially distributed to processors would be proportionally distributed to CV recipients.
- 3. CVP is transferable between eligible CV holders and /or processors. The market place will determine whether a separate class of QS remains with processing entities.
- 4. CVP shares may be fished on any catcher vessel and subject to existing share designations and existing vessel use caps
- 5. CVP shares may be transferred or leased to any entity eligible to receive CV QS by transfer in 2.2.3.3
- 6. Caps of CVP will apply at the company level by management area and will be a 10-30% of the total pool of CVP shares available in the management area. Recipients of CVP that exceed the cap will be grandfathered.
- 7. No processors (and processor affiliated vessels using the 10% rule) may own or control CV quota shares. CV initially issued to processor affiliated vessels will be grandfathered.
- 8. CVP shares will be regionalized.

Motion passed 14/2

- 2.3.1 Provisions for a Closed Class of Processors Processor License Limitation
- 2.3.1.1 Harvester Delivery requirements
- 2.3.1.1.1 Closed class delivery Harvester delivery requirements
- Option 1. 50-100% of CV harvest share allocation will be reserved for delivery to:
 - i. the linked <u>licensed qualified</u>-closed trawl or fixed class processor (Applies to 2B).
 - ii. Any <u>licensed qualified closed-trawl</u> or fixed or large or small class-processor <u>Applies to 2A</u>)

The remaining (50 -0%) CV harvest share allocation can be delivered to:

any processor excluding CPs

any processor including CPs

Option 2. Low producing vessels are exempt from closed class delivery requirements (Applies to Fixed Gear 2 Low only)

2.3.1.1.2 Linkage (Linkages apply by area) (Applies to 2B):

Option 1. A harvester's processor linked shares are associated with the qualified fixed or trawl closed class (large or small) processor to which the harvester delivered the most pounds of groundfish during

Option 2.

the last ____ years of the harvester allocation base period qualifying years.

i. 1 ii. 2

iii. 3 Motion passed 17/0

Option A: If the processor processing facility with whom the harvester is associated with is no longer operating in the community the harvester is eligible to deliver to any qualified licensed processor. Motion passed 13/4

Option B: If the processor processing entity with whom the harvester is associated with is no longer operating, the harvester is eligible to deliver to any qualified licensed processor. Motion passed 18/0

Option 2 Fishermen that, during the qualifying years, delivered the majority of their harvest (all species combined) to a community with a single qualified processor are exempt from processor linkages.

Motion passed 13/4/1

The Council requests that staff provide a discussion paper addressing the effect of a use cap on the number of processors in a region.

2.3.1.1.3 Movement between linked processors (Applies to 2B)

Any vessel that is linked to a processor, may with the consent of that processor, deliver A shares to another plant *Motion passed 13/4*

Option 1. No share reduction for moving between processors year to year

- Option 1 2. Share reductions of 10-20% each time a harvester moves to a different linked processor for:
 - i. 1 year
 - ii. 2 years
 - iii. 4 years

The share reduction shall be redistributed to:

- i. The shareholders in association with that processor that the shareholder left (if it continues to exist).
- ii. To all cooperatives in the sector on a pro rata basis. (applies if mandatory cooperatives)
 Suboption 1 Penalties apply only to the first transfer
- Option 2 3. Penalty to move depends on the amount of open access B share fish. Vessel leaves A share for one year.

Suboption 1: Penalty applies to both A and B shares.

Suboption 2: Full penalty applies to first move, subsequent moves are penalized at half of that rate.

Suboption 3: Penalties apply only to the first transfer *Motion passed 15/3/2*

Closed A share class	Open B share class	Penalty on total amount	Ratio of penalty on A	
		of A and B shares	shares to B shares	
90%	10%	10%	9:1 (9%)	
80%	20%	20%	4:1 16%)	
70%	30%	30%	7:3 (21%)	
60%	40%	40%	3:2 (24%)	
50%	50%	50%	1:1 (25%)	

Option 3.4. No penalty. Movement allowed only upon agreement between co-op members and affiliated processor.

The following motion failed 10/7 Option 3. Linkage can be broken upon agreement between QS holder and processor.

2.3.1.1.4 Low producing vessel provisions

- i. Low producing vessels are defined as:
 - Option 1. H&L or pot CVs receiving less than average QS initially allocated by gear, species and area
- Option 2. H&L or pot CVs receiving less than the 75th percentile QS initially allocated by gear, species and area
 - ii. Provisions for low producing vessels
 - Option 1. Low producing vessels are exempt from closed class delivery provisions
 - Option 2. Subject to block program.

Closed Class Processor License Qualifications (Applies to 2A and 2B)

2.3.1.2.x (Applies to 2A and 2B)

Suboption: In circumstances where the facility operator was not affiliated with the facility owner during the processor license qualifying years, if the facility and/or entity met a license qualifying threshold, processing history would be credited to both the facility operator and facility owner for purposes of issuing the related processor limited entry licenses. Harvester associations and /or linkages would be accrued to the facility operator's license. Affiliation would be determined using the AFA common interest/control standard. *Motion passed 14/4*

2.3.1.2.1 To purchase groundfish required to be delivered to a qualified qualify for a processor license, a processor must have purchased and processed a minimum amount of groundfish by region as described below in at least 4 of the following years:

Option 1. 1995-99. Option 2. 1995-01 Option 3. 1995-02

Option 1. If a processor meets the threshold for total purchased and processed groundfish for all their facilities combined, but does not meet the threshold for any one facility then the processor would be issued a license for the facility in which it processed most fish

Option 1. a. Trawl eligible Processors

Suboption 1. 2000 mt Suboption 2. 1000 mt Suboption 3. 500 mt

b. Fixed gear eligible Processors

Suboption 1. 500 mt Suboption 2. 200 mt Suboption 3. 50 mt

c. Trawl and Fixed gear eligible processors

Meet criteria for both the closed class trawl process<u>or license</u> catch and closed class fixed gear process<u>or license</u> catch as described above

Option 2. a. Large closed class processor **license**

Suboption 1. 2000 MT Suboption 2. 1000 MT Suboption 3. 500 MT

b. Small closed class processor **license**

Suboption 1. 500 MT Suboption 2. 200 MT Suboption 3. 50 MT

- e. Open class processor no groundfish landing qualifications can purchase any amount of open class B share landings QS.
- 2.3.1.2.2 Processor history would be credited to (and licenses would be issued to):

Option 1. Operator – must hold a federal or state processor permit.

Option 2. Facility owner

Suboption. Custom processing history would be credited to:

i. the processor that physically processes the fishii.the processor that purchases the fish and pays for processing

2.3.1.2.3 Transferability of eligible processor licenses

Processor licenses can be sold, leased, or transferred.

Option 1. Within the same community

If the license is transferred within the community of origin, then vessel linkages are broken and vessels are allowed to deliver to any licensed processor

Option 2. Within the same region

If the license is transferred outside the community of origin, then vessel linkages are broken and vessels are allowed to deliver to any licensed processor. *Motion passed 13/4*

2.3.1.2.4 Processing Use caps by closed class processor <u>license</u> type (trawl, fixed or trawl and fixed (low small or large), by CGOA and WGOA regulatory areas:

Option 1. Range 70% to 130% of TAC processed for all groundfish species for the largest elosed class <u>licensed</u> processor

Option 2. Processing use caps for small closed class licensed processors

i. 1000 to 2000 MT

ii. 2000 to 3000 MT-Motion passed 17/0

Option 3. Processing use caps would be equal to a percentage that would allow contraction of processing companies in the fishery by 20, 30, or 50% of the number initially qualified processing companies) *Motion passed 17/0*

(Note: There is no limit on the amount of fish either a small or large elosed elass <u>licensed</u> processor can buy from the open B share classed fish)

2.3.1.2.5 Processing Caps may apply at:

Option 1. the facility level

The AP recommends the Council adopt option 2 as the preferred option *Motion passed 16/0*

Option 2. the entity level

2.3.1.2.6 Closed class License ownership restrictions on processors

Option 1. No restrictions

Option 2. Trawl/fixed license holders cannot hold any additional fixed gear only licenses.

Option 3. Large elosed class processor <u>license holders</u> cannot hold small elosed class processor licenses.

2.4 Cooperative Provisions

2.4.1 Cooperative requirements type (voluntary or mandatory)

Cooperative membership <u>is not required to receive an annual harvest share allocation.</u> will be voluntary (i.e., harvest shares (IFQ) will be allocated to non-members)

- 2.4.2 Cooperative formation
- 2.4.2.1 Co-ops can be formed
 - a. between holders of harvest shares or history in an area:

Trawl catcher vessels

"High producing" fixed gear catcher vessels

"Low producing" fixed gear catcher vessels

b. between holders of harvest shares or history of a catcher/processor *Motion passed 19/0*

Each group of share/history holders of a defined class that may form cooperatives is defined as a "sector."

2.4.2.1.1 Co-op/processor affiliations

Option 1. No association required between processors and co-ops

Option 2. CV cooperatives must be associated with

- a) a processing facility
- b) a processing company

The associated processor must be:

- a) any a licensed federally permitted processor Motion passed 19/0
- b) a qualified processor limited entry processing license holder (if processor limited entry closed processor class is selected)
- c) a closed class processor limited entry processing license holder to which the share holder's shares are linked

Option 3. A harvester is eligible to join a cooperative associated with the qualified <u>licensed</u> fixed or trawl closed class large or small processor to which the harvester delivered the most pounds of groundfish during the last [1, 2, or 3] years of the harvester allocation base period. If the processor with whom the harvester is eligible to form a co-op is no longer operating, the harvester is eligible to join a coop with any qualified processor.

Suboption 1. Processors can associate with more than one co-op

Suboption 2. Processors are limited to 1 co-op per plant for each sector.

Suboption 3. Processor affiliated vessels may join coops. (*moved from header*)

Note: A processor association will not be required for a C/P cooperative.

2.4.2.2 Cooperatives are required to have at least:

Option 1. 4 distinct and separate harvesters (using the 10% threshold rule)
Suboption: trawl CP sector, all less 1 of distinct and separate harvesters, using the 10% threshold rule).

- Option 2. 40 -100 percent of the harvest shares (or catch history) of its sector (may choose different percentages for different sectors)
- Option 3. 40 -100% of separate and distinct shareholders (using the 10% threshold rule) belonging to its sector. Council may choose different percentages for different sector.
- Option 4. 40 -75 percent of the harvest shares (or catch history) eligible for the cooperative of the eligible harvest share (or catch history) for each co-op associated with its processor

Note: Requirements may differ across sectors (or for CV and CP cooperatives)

2.4.2.3 Duration of cooperative agreements:

Option 1. 1 year Option 2. 3 years Option 3. 5 years

2.4.2.4 Allocation Prerequisites

Allocations to CV co-ops will only be made under the following conditions:

Required Co-op agreement elements:

Harvesters and processors are both concerned that rationalization will diminish their current respective bargaining positions. Therefore, a pre-season co-op agreement between eligible, willing harvesters and an eligible, willing processor is a pre-requisite. The co-op agreement must contain a fishing plan for the harvest of all co-op fish.

Motion passed 19/0

2.4.3 Rules Governing Cooperatives

2.4.3.1 Annual Allocations

- Option 1. Annual allocations of cooperative members would be issued to the cooperative.
- Option 2. Annual allocation of the sector would be issued to the sector cooperative (if "true" sector cooperative alternative is selected)

- Co-op members may internally allocate and manage the co-op's allocation per the co-op membership agreement. Subject to any harvesting caps that may be adopted, member allocations may be transferred and consolidated within the co-op to the extent permitted under the membership agreement.
- Monitoring and enforcement requirements would be at the co-op level. Co-op members are jointly and severally responsible for co-op vessels harvesting in the aggregate no more than their co-op's allocation of primary species, secondary species and halibut mortality, as may be adjusted by interco-op transfers.
- Co-ops may adopt and enforce fishing practice codes of conduct as part of their membership agreement. Co-ops may penalize or expel members who fail to comply with their membership agreement.
 Processor affiliates cannot participate in price setting negotiations except as permitted by general antitrust law
- Co-ops may engage in inter-cooperative transfers to the extent permitted by rules governing transfers of shares among sectors (e.g., gear groups, vessel types).
- Require that a cooperative accept membership of any eligible participant subject to the same terms and conditions that apply to other cooperative members.
- 2.4.4 Ownership and Use Caps and Underages
- 2.4.4.1 Set co-op use caps at 25 to 100% of total TAC by species (must choose 100 percent for a "true" sector cooperative)
- 2.4.4.2 Co-op use caps for harvest shares on any given vessel shall be:
 - Option 1. Set at the same level as the individual vessel level.
 - Option 2. 3 times individual vessel use cap.
 - Option 3. No use caps
- To effectively apply individual ownership caps, the number of shares or history that each cooperative member could hold and bring to cooperatives would be subject to the individual ownership caps (with initial allocations grandfathered). Transfers between cooperatives would be undertaken by the members individually, subject to individual ownership caps.
- Underage limits would be applied in the aggregate at the co-op level
- 2.4.5 Movement between cooperatives
 - 2.4.5.1 Harvesters may move between cooperatives at:
 - Option 1. the end of each year.
 - Option 2. the expiration of the cooperative agreement.
 - Option 3. No movement in the first two years
 - 2.4.5.2 License Transfers Among Processors (applies to processor limited entry only if closed class of processors)
 - Option 1. any cooperative association with that license will transfer to the processor receiving the license. All harvest share/history holders will be subject to any share reduction on departing the cooperative, as would have been made in the absence of the transfer.
 - Option 2. any cooperatives associated with the license will be free to associate with any qualified <u>licensed</u> processor. Harvest share/history holders in the cooperative will be free to move among cooperatives without share/history reduction.
 - 2.4.6 Non Members of Cooperatives (applies only if mandatory cooperatives)
 - 2.4.6.1 Harvest share/history holders that do not choose to join a co-op
 - Option 1. May fish in open access, provided NMFS determines that the non-cooperative allocation is sufficient to conduct an open access fishery. The open access fishery will be comprised of all shares of harvesters that are not cooperative members of the same sector (i.e., area, vessel type (CV or C/P), and/or gear). NMFS will have the discretion to determine the

distribution of bycatch among target species open access fisheries from shares of harvesters in the open access fishery.

Option 2. Are not allowed to participate in the rationalized fisheries until they join a co-op.

- 2.5 Provisions relating to the IFQ halibut/sablefish fishery
- 2.5.1 Management areas:

Applies to Sablefish areas SE, WY, CG, WG. Applies to halibut areas 2C, 3A, 3B, 4A.

- 2.5.2 Primary species include: P.cod, Greenland turbot, POP,
 - A) QS will be issued to the halibut/sablefish QS holder. Any QS/IFQ issues for these primary species will not be subject to regionalization, mandatory coop, closed class processor, or processor linkage provisions of GOA rationalization.
- 2.5.3 Secondary species include RE/SR, Thornyheads, Pelagic shelf, Other Slope, Northern, and Other rockfish. Allocation to the halibut/sablefish IFQ fishery shall be determined by:
 - A) Sablefish: Allocation based on the average rate and 75th percentile of observed bycatch rates, by area (the rate which 75% of observed sets did not exceed)
 - B) Halibut: Allocation based on the average rate and 75th percentile of bycatch rates experienced in IPHC surveys by area (the rate which 75% of survey sets did not exceed).

The IPHC survey data will look at the years 1995-2002 and 1998-2002.

- 2.5.3.1 Management provisions for secondary species
 - A) Management of RE/SR, Thornyheads, Pelagic, Other Slope, Northern, and Other rockfish shall be Option 1: Managed in aggregate on an area basis using current MRA regulations.
 - Option 2: Allocated to individual sablefish or halibut QS owners proportional to their QS holdings. Secondary species QS can only be permanently transferred with the underlying parent QS, but IFQ may be leased across vessel categories and species within the halibut and sablefish IFQ program.
 - Suboption 1: Allow an individual to choose, on an annual basis, individual allocations or to participate in the common pool.
 - Suboption 2: Allow a 7 day grace period after an overage occurs for the owner to lease sufficient Secondary species IFQ to cover the overage. Failure to secure sufficient IFQ would result in forfeiture of the overage and fines.
 - B) An estimate of non commercial use of secondary species will be made based on observer and IPHC data. Non commercial use of secondary species for gurdy bait will not require QS/IFQ.
 - C) Require full retention of Secondary species listed under A.
- 2.6: Provisions relating to the SEO Area
- 2.6.1 SEO is exempt from GOA rationalization program except for the management of RE/SR, Thornyheads, and Other Slope as secondary species
- 2.6.2 Management provisions for secondary species
 - A) Any QS/IFQ issued for these secondary species will not be subject to regionalization, mandatory coop, closed class processor, or processor linkage provisions of GOA rationalization

B) Management of RE/SR, Thornyheads, and Other Slope rockfish shall be:

Option 1: Managed in aggregate on an area basis using current MRA regulations.

Suboption 1: separate allocations for each target fishery

Option 2: Allocated to the vessel owner or qualified lease holder as a ratio of target species at time of landing during the qualifying period based on retained catch. Secondary species QS can only be permanently transferred to an individual with 150 days of sea time in a U.S. fishery. Secondary species IFQ may be leased.

Suboption 1: Allow an individual to choose, on an annual basis, individual allocations or to participate in the common pool.

Suboption 2: Allow a 7 day grace period after an overage occurs for the owner to lease sufficient Secondary species IFQ to cover the overage. Failure to secure sufficient IFQ would result in forfeiture of the overage and fines. *Motion passed 17/3*.

- C) Non commercial use of secondary species for gurdy bait will not require QS/IFQ.
- D) Develop sideboards for the SEO area *Motion passed 19/0*

TRAILING AMENDMENTS

The Council intent is for these trailing amendments to be implemented simultaneously with the main rationalization program.

- 1. Fee and Loan Program
- 2. Skipper/Crew Share Program issues
- 3. Remaining issues of CIFT program

Alternative 3

Sector Allocations and Voluntary Coop Structure

Alternative 3 is a sector allocation and voluntary Coop proposal. A mandatory coop program would require harvesters to either join coops or not fish. Unlike Alternative 2, which is mandatory, processor specific IFQ program requiring landings by a harvester to a specific processor, this proposal allows new processor entrants and provides a mechanism for harvesters to voluntarily either enter coops voluntarily, or continue to fish in LLP/Open Access fisheries. The Alternative provides a flexible structure, which is reflective of the diversity of the fisheries in the GOA. It recognizes that harvesters, processors, and communities all have a stake in the fishery, but that the nature of the fisheries in the Gulf requires that these interests need flexibility as rationalization systems develop. This Alternative would:

- Allocate primary, secondary (bycatch) and PSC species by sector.
- Establish a mechanism which would facilitate Coops to form within sectors.
- Specify the operational rules for Coops once they are established under the rules for initial Coop formation.
- Provide for continuation of fishing opportunities for harvesters that choose not to participate in the voluntary Coops
- Include community protection measures appropriate to a Coop-based program.

The proposal sets up a step-wise process for the establishment of Coops. The first step includes a sectoral allocation and the initial formation of Coops within sectors. This is followed by an initial Coop formation period to provide time for the Coops to refine their operations. The third step is ongoing, and establishes rules for Coop formation, dissolution, and operation following the initial period of Coop formation.

This proposal would not require the assignation of A and B class Gulf History shares. GH would be generic, and would originate from a vessel's history. GH can only be developed through the establishment of a Cooperative pursuant to the rules for initial Coop formation. However, Coop participation is strictly voluntary and a harvester may choose to continue to fish in a limited entry (LLP) open access fishery.

The proposal does not include a closed class of processors. Harvesters and processors may form Cooperatives based on landings of the primary species by a harvester to a processor during the qualification period. An interim initial Coop formation period is established before harvesters joining a Cooperative may move from one Coop to another. Formation of the initial Coop will be by Coop contract, and the program will establish requirements for those contracts, including a requirement that the contract contain the terms for dissolution of the Coop or the movement of a harvester from one Coop to another. During the initial Coop formation period inter-Coop agreements are allowed within sectors to address operational issues and ensure further rationalization of the fishery between Coops.

Following the initial formation of Coops, and after the initial Coop formation period, new Coops can form and harvesters can move from Coop to Coop or exit a Coop and move back into open access. The rules for such movement, including compensation to other members of the Coop, will be specified in their Coop contracts. New processors can enter the fishery at any time, and following the initial coop formation period, harvesters can form coops with those processors.

Because this is a voluntary program, and does not develop individually assigned IFQ or processor shares, community protection provisions are simplified to only include options for regionalization and a community quota system.

Monitoring of harvest and PSC for the Coop fishery will be at the Coop level. Assignments of Gulf history (GH), including transfers, will be monitored by RAM to ensure proper catch allocation. The annual GH will result in a Gulf Quota (GQ) for actual poundage. Current monitoring programs for the open access fishery will continue.

The following provisions apply to Alternative 3 only:

The AP accepts staff's recommendations and also addressed the following specific issues:

How do co-ops and open access work?

Vessels may move back into open access after joining a co-op, however the amount of GH initially issued must move back with them. *Motion passed 19/0*. If a vessel spends 1, 2 or 3 years in open access, the vessel then requalifies to enter a new coop—Same as AFA rules. *Motion passed 16/2*

Should you drop a year in the sector split?

The AP is unable to make a decision at this time absent information from staff. *Motion passed 19/0*

I. SECTOR ALLOCATION PROVISIONS.

3.1 Management Areas:

Areas are Western Gulf, Central Gulf, and West Yakutat—separate areas

For Pollock: 610 (Western Gulf), 620 and 630 (Central Gulf), 640 (West Yakutat (WYAK))

- Shortraker and rougheye (SR/RE) and thornyhead rockfishes will be divided between Southeast Outside (SEO) and WY
- The allocation of rockfish bycatch to the halibut IFQ fishery will be on a NMFS management area basis
- Non-SR/RE and thornyhead rockfish trawl catch history in SEO during 95-98 will be used in the calculation of WYAK allocation
- SEO is exempt except for SR/RE and thornyhead rockfishes as bycatch species. Allocation will be based on target catch in sablefish, halibut, Demersal Shelf Rockfish and P. cod fishery

Gear: All gear types are considered.

Option 1. The jig fishery would receive an allocation based on its historic landings in the qualifying years –

- 1. 100%
- 2. 125%
- 3. 150%
- 4. 200%

3.2 Sector definitions and allocations:

CV trawl

CV longline

CV pot

C/P trawl

C/P longline

C/P pot

jig

low producing fixed gear

Low producing vessel sector are

- Option 1. fixed gear vessels under 60 feet that are below the which harvest less than the 75th percentile of qualified harvest history by primary species and area.
- Option 2. fixed gear vessels less than average **qualified** harvest **history** shares initially allocated by gear, **primary** species and area
- Option 3. fixed gear vessels <u>that are below the less than the 75th percentile in qualified harvest history shares initially allocated by gear, primary species and area</u>

High producing vessels are the remainder and are divided into a catcher vessel longline and catcher vessel pot sector. Sector definitions apply throughout Alternative 3.

> A CP is a vessel that harvests CP shares under the program in a year. GH will be based on: Actual amount of catch harvested and processed onboard a vessel by species.

To be determined as a CP a vessel Designation, must process no less than 90% of its qualifying catch processed on board on average over the qualifying period.

determined on a species by species ba Option 1: determined by the aggregate of all species

Suboption1: jig sectors would be exempt from co-op provisions.

Suboption 2: Fixed Gear Low Producer Provisions:

Option 1. Apply same rules for initial co-op formation and general co-op

operation as apply to other sectors.

Exclude from co-op program, provide sector allocation and continue as Option 2.

an LLP/Open Access fishery.

Apply all co-op rules except processor affiliation requirement for initial Option 3.

co-op formation (i.e. harvester-only co-op without processor

association).

3.2.1 The sector allocations are the total of the qualifying catch histories of the eligible participants (see Note below). Sector allocation qualifying periods and landing criteria (same for all gears in all areas). The analysis will assess AFA vessels as a group.

Option 1. 95-01

Option 2. 95-02

Option 3. 98-02

3.2.2 Sector Qualifying landing criteria (same for all gears in all areas)

Landings based on retained catch for each species (includes weekly production report for Catcher/ Processor sector). Total pounds landed will be used as the denominator.

Option A: Include retained catch that is used for meal production

Option B: Exclude retained catch that is used for meal production Motion passed 19/0

3.2.3 Sector Allocation: Primary Species:

Allocate catch history by sector and gear type as follows:

Trawl CV and CP:

Pollock, Pacific cod, deepwater flatfish, rex sole, shallow water flatfish, flathead sole, Arrowtooth flounder, northern rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, Pelagic shelf rockfish

Longline CV and CP:

Pacific cod, pelagic shelf rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, deep water flatfish (if turbot is targeted), northern rockfish. Arrowtooth flounder

Pot CV and CP:

Pacific cod

Fixed gear low producers:

Pacific cod

Jig gear

Pacific cod

3.2.4 Sector Allocation: Secondary (Bycatch) and PSC species:

Secondary species: Thornyhead, rougheye, shortraker, other slope rockfish, Atka mackerel, and trawl sablefish. Includes SEO shortraker, rougheye, and thornyhead rockfish.

Option 1: Sector allocation for each primary species will be based on PSC and secondary species average catch by gear during the sector qualifying period by area. Sector allocation will be adjusted pro-rata to equal 100% of PSC limit or secondary species TAC. fleet average for each sector during sector allocation qualifying period by area.

Suboption. based on fleet bycatch rates o Use 75th percentile rather than average catch for each sector by area by target fishery *Motion passed 19/0*

Option 2: Maintain current PSC allocations, and MRA management for secondary species.

Suboption: Allocate PSC by sector based on fleet average for each sector during sector allocation qualifying period.

Note: Sector allocations will be based on the criteria specified above and will be based on the aggregate history of vessels in each sector, which legally fished in the federal fishery and in the state parallel fishery during the qualifying period. The criteria for sectoral allocations may be different than the qualifying and allocation criteria for developing Quota Share Gulf History pursuant to the co-op program because there might be different sector constraints under SSL measures or within state waters.

II. Voluntary Co-op Structure

- 3.3 INITIAL CO-OP FORMATION PROVISIONS. Voluntary co-ops may form between eligible harvesters and processors. Harvesters may elect not to join a co-op, and continue to fish in the LLP/Open Access fishery.
- 3.3.1 Eligibility.

LLP participation

- Option 1. Any person that holds a valid, permanent, fully transferable LLP license is eligible to receive an initial allocation of Gulf catch history (as generic GH) through co-op membership.
 - Suboption 1. Any person who held a valid interim LLP license as of January 1, 2003.
 - Suboption 2. Allow the award of retained incidental groundfish catch history arising from the halibut and sablefish IFQ fishery.

Basis for the distribution to the LLP license holder is: the catch history of the vessel on which the LLP license is based and shall be on a fishery-by-fishery basis. The underlying principle of this program is one history per license. In cases where the fishing privileges (i.e., moratorium qualification or LLP license) of an LLP qualifying vessel have been transferred, the distribution of harvest shares to the LLP shall be based on the aggregate catch histories of (1) the vessel on which LLP license was based up to the date of transfer, and (2) the vessel owned or controlled by the LLP license holder and identified by the license holder as having been operated under the fishing privileges of the LLP qualifying vessel after the date of transfer. (Only one catch history per LLP license.)

Option 2. Non-LLP (State water parallel fishery) participation

- Suboption 1. Any individual who has imprinted a fish ticket making non-federally permitted legal landings during a State of Alaska fishery in a state waters parallel fisheries for species under the rationalized fisheries.
- Suboption 2. Vessel owner at time of non-federally permitted legal landing during a State of Alaska fishery in a state waters parallel fisheries for species under the rationalized fisheries
- 3.3.2 Initial Allocation of primary species catch history

Allocate catch history as generic Quota Share Gulf history (GH) on an individual harvester basis for the following primary species:

Trawl CV and CP:

Pollock, Pacific cod, deepwater flatfish, rex sole, shallow water flatfish, flathead sole, Arrowtooth flounder, northern rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, Pelagic shelf rockfish

Longline CV and CP:

Pacific Cod, pelagic shelf rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, deep water flatfish (if turbot is targeted), northern rockfish, Arrowtooth flounder

Pot CV and CP:

Pacific Cod

Quota shares are **GH** is designated by sector:

Option 1. Trawl GH/GQ may be fished using fixed gear, if yes – appropriate mechanism to transfer GH/GQ across sectors needed.

Gulf Quota (GQ) is the annual allocation to a cooperative based on the GH of its members.

3.3.2.2 Qualifying periods and landing criteria (same for all gears in all areas) for determining GH (The analysis will assess AFA vessels as a group).

Option 1. 95-01 drop 1 Option 2. 95-02 drop 1 Option 3. 95-02 drop 2

Option 4. 98-02 drop 1

Options to drop years would be to accommodate SSL restrictions or the inclusion of the state portion of the parallel fishery.

Individual GH will be based on retained catch for each species (includes weekly production report for Catcher/Processor sector). The denominator shall be total landed catch by species.

Option A: Include retained catch that is used for meal production—Motion Passed 10/0

Option B: Exclude retained catch that is used for meal production

- 3.3.3 Allocation of Secondary and PSC Species
- 3.3.3.1 Allocation of secondary species:

Secondary species are: thornyhead, rougheye, shortraker, other slope rockfish, Atka mackerel, and trawl sablefish. Includes SEO shortraker, rougheye, and thornyhead rockfish.

Allocation of secondary GH to co-op members:

Option 1. Allocate GH to co-op members based on fleet secondary species catch rates by <u>sector</u> gear:

Suboption 1. based on average catch history by area and target fishery

Suboption 2. based on 75th percentile by area by target fishery

Option 2. Include these species for co-ops for one gear type only (e.g., trawl). Deduct the secondary species from other gear types from TAC. If deduction is not adequate to cover secondary species in other gear types, on a seasonal basis, place that species on PSC status until overfishing is reached.

Option 3. Retain these species on secondary species status for all gear types with current MRAs.

3.3.3.2 Halibut PSC Allocation:

<u>Each cooperative</u> Upon entering a co-op, each recipient of fishing history—would receive an allocation of halibut mortality (harvest shares) based on their allocation of the primary species GH. Secondary species would receive no halibut allocation.

3.3.3.3 Transfer of secondary species GH and PSC:

Permit transfer of secondary species GH and associated fishing quota <u>GQ</u> subject to the rules for initial co-op formation during the initial co-op formation period, and the general co-op rules following the period of initial <u>Co-op formation</u>: <u>As permitted by and subject to any other transfer rules</u>:

Option 1. Primary species and <u>the associated</u> secondary species <u>and/or PSC history</u> <u>GH</u> are non-separable and must be transferred as a unit.

Option 2. Primary species and <u>the associated</u> secondary species <u>and/or PSC</u> <u>history GH</u> are separable and may be transferred separately.

III. Co-op Rules for all CPs, trawl, longline, pot and catcher vessels

Option: Jig and low producer fixed gear exempted.

Initial Co-op Formation Rules:

Voluntary Coops may be formed between harvesters and processors during the initial co-op formation period established below. Harvesters have the choice to either remain in the LLP/Open access fishery or to join a coop. The history of harvesters that choose to join a co op will be subtracted from the open access sector allocation. Formation of a co-op under the rules for initial co-op formation generates Gulf History (GH) to members. Annual harvest amounts (Gulf Quota GQ) are issued to the co-op based on these GH. History, in the form of the GH, is transferable among members at any time. Within the initial co-op formation period, no permanent transfers of GH may be made between co-ops. During this period GQ is transferable between co-ops by inter cooperative agreement to facilitate further rationalization and address operational issues. Following the initial co-op formation period the general rules for co-ops would apply. For catcher vessel cooperatives, a preseason co op agreement between eligible, willing harvesters in association with and an the eligible and willing processor is a pre requisite to a cooperative receiving an allocation of GQ. The harvesters and processor that enter into the agreement shall be the members of the co-op. The processor will be an associate of the co-operative but will not be a cooperative member. The agreement will be filed with the RAM division. The co-op agreement must contain a fishing plan for the harvest of all co op fish. Monitoring will be at the co op level. After initial co-op formation, members who choose to leave their original co-op and join a new co-op may join through an agreement that is not required to include provisions regarding transfers or exiting from a co-op, including compensation to the members of the new co-op or the associated processor.

3.3.5 Catcher Vessel Co-ops.

During the initial co-op formation period e Catcher vessel co-ops may be established within sectors between eligible harvesters in association with and an eligible processor. A harvester is initially eligible to join a cooperative in association with the processor to which the harvester delivered the most pounds of primary species to during the qualifying period.

- a) the allocation base period qualifying years.
- b) most recent 1, 2, or 3 years from the qualifying years base period.

Suboption 1. On a species by species basis

In the aggregate by region Motion passed 19/0 Suboption 2.

Catcher processor co-ops may be formed by eligible CPs within each CP sector. No processor 3.3.6 affiliation is required for CP co-op formation.

3.3.7 Cooperatives are required to have at least:

Option 1. 4 distinct and separate harvesters (using the 10% threshold rule)

Option 2. 50-100 percent of the harvest shares (or catch history) **GH** of its sector. Council may

choose different percentages for different sectors.

50-100% of shareholder entities holders of GH belonging to its sector. Council may Option 3.

choose different percentages for different sector.

Option 4. 50-75 percent of the harvest shares (or catch history) of the eligible GH harvest share

(or catch history) for each co-op associated with its processor

Any number of eligible harvesters within the sector Option 5

Note: Requirements may differ across sectors (or for CV and CP Cooperatives)

3.3.8 Duration of initial cooperative agreements:

Option 1. 1 year

Option 2. 2 years

Option 32. 3 years

Option 3. 5 years

Option 4. 10 years

Option-4.5 Any length agreed between the co-op participants.

Motion passed 19/0

3.3.9 Catcher Vessel co-op/processor affiliations

A harvester is eligible to join a cooperative associated with the processor to which the harvester delivered the most pounds of primary species of during:

- the allocation base period.
- most recent 1, 2, or 3 year from the qualifying base period.
- Option A: If the processor with whom the harvester is **initially** eligible to form a co-op is no longer operating, the harvester is eligible to join a co-op with any qualified processor (i.e. any processor eligible to participate in the initial formation of a co-op).
- Option B: If the processor with whom the harvester is **initially** eligible to form a co-op is no longer operating in the community, the harvester is eligible to join a co-op with any qualified processor (i.e. any processor eligible to participate in the initial formation of a co-op).
 - Option 1. CV cooperatives must be associated with
 - a) an eligible processing facility
 - b) an eligible processing company
 - Option 2. Processors can associate with more than one co-op.
 - Option 3. Processors are limited to 1 co-op per plant for each sector.
- 3.3.10 Initial co-op agreements are required to have the following:

See staff proposed replacement provisions for 3.3.10 through 3.4.2 attached to the end of this document. That proposal is intended to capture all of the requirements of the sections in a simplified manner.

• A pre-season co-op agreement between eligible, willing harvesters in association with and an eligible and willing processor is a pre-requisite to a cooperative receiving an allocation of GQ. The harvesters and processor that enter into the agreement shall be the members of the co-op. The processor will be an associate of the cooperative but will not be a cooperative member. The agreement will be filed with the RAM division. The co-op agreement must contain a fishing plan for the harvest of all co-op fish. Cooperative formation is assumed to be a prerequisite to the GQ allocation. The processor would not be a cooperative member, but would be an associate of the cooperative. This provision applies to all cooperatives.

- Co-op members may internally allocate and manage the co-op's allocation per the co-op membership agreement. Subject to any harvesting caps that may be adopted, history <u>GH</u> or GQ may be transferred and consolidated within the co-op to the extent permitted under the membership agreement. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
- The co-op agreement will have a monitoring program. Monitoring and enforcement requirements would be at the co-op level. Co-op members are jointly and severally responsible for co-op vessels harvesting in the aggregate no more than their co-op's allocation of primary species, secondary species and PSC mortality, as may be adjusted by inter-co-op transfers. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
- Co-ops may adopt and enforce fishing practice codes of conduct as part of their membership agreement.
 Co-ops may penalize or expel members who fail to comply with their membership agreement. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
- Co-op agreements will specify that processor affiliates cannot participate in price setting negotiations except as permitted by general antitrust law. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
- Co-ops may engage in inter-Cooperative transfers (leases) of GQ during and after the initial co-op formation period. During the initial cooperative formation period, GH transfers will be permitted between members of the same cooperative, but not between members of different cooperatives. Following the initial do-op formation period, members of a co-op may transfer GH-and/or GQ to members of other co-ops. All transfers will be subject to such terms and conditions as may be specified in the applicable co-op agreement and any ownership or use caps or other conditions as may be established pursuant to this program. Provision applies to all cooperatives defining rules for transfers during and after the initial cooperative formation period. This provision could be incorporated into a single section on transfers (that includes all provisions affecting transfers) for clarity.
- Initial Cooperative MembershipThe following provision is required for the initial co-op agreement entered into by any harvester:
 - Co-op agreements shall specify the terms and conditions for entering and exiting the co-op or transferring GH from the cooperative, including mechanisms whereby a member exiting the co-op (or transferring GH from the co-op) compensates the remaining co-op members and/or the associated processor for exiting the co-op (or transferring GH from the co-op). Compensation will be limited as follows:
 - a. No limitation --Compensation can take on any form agreed to by the members and the associated processor
 - b. Compensation of no more than 5, 10, or 15% of the GH generated by the exiting participant.
 - c. Compensation could be no more than the exiting participant's GH multiplied by a declining fraction of the years in the initial coop formation period. (e.g. if the formation period is 10 years, compensation in the first year would be limited to no more than 100% and compensation in the 9th year would be limited to no more than 10%) *Motion passed 19/0*
 - Following the initial co-op formation period, new GH can be generated by <u>eligible</u> harvesters <u>that have</u> never been co-op members <u>eligible</u> under the requirements for initial co-op formation only by joining a co-op <u>in association</u> with the <u>eligible</u> processor pursuant to the terms <u>of an agreement that meets the requirements for an initial co-op formation</u>.

• Co-op agreements shall allow for the entry of other eligible harvesters into the co-op under the same terms and conditions as agreed to by the original agreement.

- 3.3.11 Allocation to CP co-ops will be based on the above, with the following exceptions:
 - CP co-ops do not need a processor affiliation association.
 - CP co-ops will be within CP gear sectors. Transfers of GH or leases of GQ across CP gear types is not permitted.
 - CP co-ops are subject to the other terms and conditions specified for CPs under this program

3.3.12 Initial Co-op Formation Period.

An Initial Co-op Formation period shall be established beginning with year one of program implementation and extended for the period identified below. During this period, no permanent transfers of GH may be made between co-ops. GH transfers can take place at any time between members of the same Co-op. Leasing of annual harvest allocations (IFQ) between Coops is allowed pursuant to an inter Co-op agreement. During is period, harvesters may join Coops for which they would otherwise be eligible under the same conditions as the original founding members.

Option 1. period is 1 year

Option 2. period is 2 years

Option 2. period is 3 years

- 3.4 General Operational Co-op Rules.
- 3.4.1 Following the initial co-op formation period the following rules for co-op operation would apply:
 - New GH can be generated by <u>eligible</u> harvesters <u>eligible</u> under the requirements for initial co-op formation—only by joining a co-op pursuant to the terms for initial co-op formation. An initial co-op formation period shall apply to transfers for these new co-op entrants which shall be the same as the initial co-op formation period specified above.
 - After the initial cooperative formation period, the cooperative may be associated with any processor.
 Motion passed 19/0 A pre-season co-op agreement between eligible, willing harvesters in association
 with and the eligible and willing an eligible processor is a pre-requisite to a cooperative receiving an
 allocation of GQ. The harvesters and processor that enter into the agreement shall be the members of
 the co-op. The agreement will be filed with the RAM division. The co-op agreement must contain a
 fishing plan for the harvest of all co-op fish.
 - Co-op members may internally allocate and manage the co-op's allocation per the co-op membership agreement. Subject to any harvesting caps that may be adopted, member allocations may be transferred and consolidated within the Co-op to the extent permitted under the membership agreement. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
 - The co-op agreement will have a monitoring program. Monitoring and enforcement requirements would be at the co-op level. Co-op members are jointly and severally responsible for co-op vessels harvesting in the aggregate no more than their co-op's allocation of primary species, secondary species and PSC mortality, as may be adjusted by inter-co-op transfers. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
 - Co-ops may adopt and enforce fishing practice codes of conduct as part of their membership agreement. Co-ops may penalize or expel members who fail to comply with their membership agreement. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
 - Processor affiliates cannot participate in price setting negotiations except as permitted by general antitrust law. *Provision applies to all cooperatives*.
 - Co-ops may engage in inter-cooperative transfers of GH and/or-GQ to other co-ops. Members of a co-op may transfer GH to any individual that is a member of any co-op. Any transfers will be subject

to such terms and conditions as may be specified in the co-op agreement and any ownership or use caps or other conditions as may be established pursuant to this program. *Provision applies to transfers and could be worked into a section that defines all transfer provisions. Combining with the second bullet will clarify the inconsistency between these bullets.*

• Co-op agreements shall allow for the entry of other eligible harvesters into the co-op under the same terms and conditions as agreed to by the original members.

3.4.2 Co-op formation and GH transfers

Option 1. Harvesters who entered a co-op during the initial co-op formation period may transfer GH or GQ to other members within the original co-ops or to a new co-op at any time pursuant to the terms of the original co-op agreement. This provision is inconsistent with the bullet on transfers in the initial cooperative provision. That provision only allows transfers of GH to members of other cooperatives after the initial cooperative formation period. The bullets in 3.4.1 are also inconsistent with this provision. The Council should clarify whether the transfer requires the consent of the cooperative and the associated processor. In any case, either the bullet above or this provision should be deleted to avoid inconsistencies.

Option 2. Harvesters who choose to not join a co op during the initial co op formation period may generate new GH by joining a co op or form a new cooperative with the processor they delivered the most pounds of primary species during the allocation qualifying period. Transfers of GH or GQ may take place at any time thereafter pursuant to the terms of the agreement. This provision is inconsistent with the limit on GH transfers in the first bullet in section 3.4.1. That provision would allow GH transfers after a period of length equal to the initial cooperative formation period. Since the provisions are mostly redundant, but somewhat inconsistent, one provision should be selected and the other deleted.

Suboption: The rules for transferring the initial co-op formation period shall apply to these new co-op entrants.

The provision appears to be intended to apply the initial cooperative formation transfer rules to persons that join cooperatives after the initial cooperative formation period. This is inconsistent with the last sentence of Option 2. Also, it is not clear whether these rules apply in perpetuity or only for a period of years.

3.4.2.1 Qualified Persons.

Persons qualified to **receive GH by transfer**, must be (not mutually exclusive):

- Entities eligible to document a vessel (apply to CP).
- / Initial recipients of CV or C/P harvest share.
- Community administrative entities would be eligible to receive harvest shares by transfer.

✓ Suboption 1: Individuals eligible to document a vessel with at least 150 days of sea time (apply to CV shares) OR

✓ Suboption 2: — Entities eligible to document a vessel that have a US citizen with 20% ownership and with at least 150 days of sea time (apply to CV shares).

Select Suboption 1 and 2 as additional preferred provisions *Motion passed 19/0*

3.4.2.2 Definition of sea time

Sea time in any of the U.S. commercial fisheries in a harvesting capacity.

Note: Following the initial Co-op formation period, any processor may form a Co-op with any eligible harvester who has GH or form a new cooperative.

3.4.3 Ownership caps.

Ownership of GH by a co-op member shall be capped at:

DRAFT

- Option 1. 15% of the GH by area, **sector** and species
- Option 2. 25% of the GH by area, **sector** and species
- Option 3 45% of the GH by area, **sector** and species
- Option 4 no cap.

Ownership caps of the original issuees would be grandfathered in at the original level of GH.

3.4.4 Use caps.

Use by a co-op of annual allocations (GQ) generated by GH shall be capped at:

- Option 1. 15% by area, **sector** and species
- Option 2. 25% by area, sector and species
- Option 3. 45% by area, sector and species
- Option 4. no cap

Use caps of the original issuees would be grandfathered in.

3.4.5 Vertical integration

Initial recipients of GH with more than 10% limited threshold ownership by any processor are capped at:

- Option 1. initial allocation of harvest CV and CP shares.
- Option 2. 115%-150% of initial allocation of CV GH.
- Option 3. 115%-150% of initial allocation of CP GH.
- Option 4. No cap

3.4.6 Catcher/Processor Provisions

In addition to the rules specified above, the following provisions apply to Catcher/Processors:

- 3.4.7 Restrictions on transferability of CP harvest shares:
 - Option 1. CP GH may only be transferred to other CP GH holders co-ops.
 - ✓ Entities eligible to document a vessel (apply to CP).
 - ✓ Initial recipients of CV or C/P harvest share.
 - Option 2. CP GH may be transferred converted to CV GH eo-ops. CP GH harvest shares maintains its their designation when transferred to persons who continue to catch and process the resulting CP GQ harvest shares at sea pursuant to a CP-co-op, if CP GQ is harvested by a CV and delivered to a processor harvest shares are transferred to a CV Co-op, the underlying CP GH harvest shares converts to CV GH harvest shares.
 - Option 3. CP <u>GH</u> harvest shares maintains its their designation after transfer for 5 years following date of implementation, after which time any transfer of CP <u>GH</u> (or transfer of GQ outside of a cooperative) shares converts the underlying GH to CV GH shares.

3.4.7.1 Re-designate CP shares (GH) as CV shares (GH) upon transfer to a person who is not an initial issuee of CP shares:

Option 1. all CP shares

Option 2. trawl CP shares

Option 3. longline CP shares

- 3.4.7.2 Leases of CP annual harvest allocations (GQ):
 - Option 1. Allow leasing pursuant to an inter-Co-op agreement within CP sectors

Suboption (Allow CP leases across gear types).

Option 2. No leasing of CP GQ allowed

Suboption: Allow for the first 3 years after program implementation. -Motion passed 15/4

DRAFT

Option 3. Allow leasing within a cooperative

3.4.7.3 Conversion of CP shares GH and GQ:

Option 1. CP GH and GQ converted to CV GH and GQ

Suboption 1. will count toward CV caps

Suboption 2. will not count toward CV caps at the time of conversion.

Option 2. Caps will be applied to prohibit acquisition of shares in excess of the cap. Conversion of CP **GH or GQ** to CV **GH or GQ** alone will not require a CP **GH holder or cooperative** to divest CP **GH and GQ** for exceeding the CP caps.

3.5 Skipper/Crew Provisions

A skipper is defined as the individual owning the Commercial Fishery Entry Permit and signing the fish ticket.

- Option 1. No skipper and/or crew provisions
- Option 2. Establish license program for certified skippers. For initial allocation Certified Skippers are either:
 - i. Vessel owners receiving initial GH or harvest privileges; or
 - ii. Hired skippers who have demonstrated fishing experience in Federal or State groundfish fisheries in the BSAI or GOA for 3 out of the past 5 years as documented by a CFEC permit and signed fish tickets and/or appropriate NMFS documentation (starting date for five years is 2003).
- Suboption 1. include crew in the license program.
- Suboption 2. require that new Certified Skippers licenses accrue to individuals with demonstrated fishing experience (Groundfish BSAI/GOA, state or federal waters) similar to halibut/sablefish program.

Under any alternative that establishes GH and annual harvest privileges, access to those annual harvest privileges is allowed only when fishing with a Certified Skipper onboard. Certified Skipper Licenses are non-transferable. They accrue to an individual and may not be sold, leased, bartered, traded, or otherwise used by any other individual. Defer remaining issues to a trailing amendment and assumes simultaneous implementation with rationalization program.

3.6 LLP/Open Access fishery provisions:

Harvesters that choose not to participate in a co-op may continue to fish in the LLP/Open Access fishery. The LLP/Open Access fishery will be conducted in a manner similar to current practices.

Option 1. The allocation for each sector of primary species, secondary species, and PSC to the LLP/Open Access fishery will be those amounts remaining after allocation to the co-ops.

Suboption: Manage LLP/Open Access fishery sector allocations for primary species only. Continue current MRA and PSC management

Option 2. PSC allocations to the LLP/Open Access fishery will be reduced by:

Option A. 5 10%
Option B. 10 20%
Option C. 15 30%

Note: This reduction may differ by sector.

Can a person enter the open access who was previously in a cooperative? If so, does it matter if a person sold GH while in a cooperative. At the onset, it is clear that a person must have an LLP for the open access, but if GH is freely tradable, what is the requirement for entering the open access after being in a cooperative?

Holdings may change substantially, in which case, the amount of GH going to the open access may be very different after trades than before trades.

The need for the suboption is not clear. The option is for the continued management of the open access under current rules (including continuing current MRA and PSC management). The differences between the suboption and the option are not clear.

3.7 Communities

Note: Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands communities (CDQ or otherwise) and communities adjacent to the Eastern GOA regulatory area Southeast Outside District (except Yakutat) will not be included in any Gulf rationalization community protection programs.

3.7.1 Regionalization

Regionalization options may be selected under any of the proposed alternatives for Gulf rationalization.

If adopted, all GH will be categorized by region (for the fisheries identified below).

- GH that is regionally designated cannot be reassigned to another region.
- Catcher vessel GH is regionalized based on where the catch was processed, not where it was caught.
- Catcher processor GH is not subject to regionalization.
- Qualifying years to determine the distribution of **GH** shares between regions will be consistent with the qualifying period under cooperative formation.

<u>Central Gulf</u>: Two regions are proposed to classify harvesting shares: North - South line at 58 51.10' North Latitude (Cape Douglas corner for Cook Inlet bottom trawl ban area) extending west to east to the intersection with 140° W long, and then southerly along 140° W long.).

The following fisheries will be regionalized for shorebased (including floating) catch and subject to the North-South distribution: Pollock in Area 630; CGOA flatfish (excludes arrowtooth flounder); CGOA Pacific ocean perch; CGOA northern rockfish and pelagic shelf rockfish (combined); CGOA Pacific cod (inshore); GOA sablefish (trawl); WY pollock.

The regionalization provisions under Alternative 3 are not exactly the same as those provided under Alternative 2. Staff has provided suggestions only where the inconsistencies between the two alternatives were thought to have been potentially inadvertent.

Staff suggests the above modifications to the introductory sentence, to make it consistent with the regionalization provisions that follow. In effect, the change clarifies that not <u>all</u> GH would be regionalized under Alternative 3. Only those species and areas that are identified explicitly would be regionalized: pollock in Area 630 and 640, Gulf sablefish (trawl), as well as all other primary species in the Central GOA (Areas 620 and 630) with the exception of arrowtooth flounder. The only secondary species that is explicitly included is Gulf trawl sablefish, based on the expectation that at some point under a rationalization regime, the trawl sablefish fishery may become a directed harvest. These are the same fisheries proposed for regionalization under Alternative 2. ¹ The change serves to clarify a sentence that could be potentially misinterpreted.

The proposed change to the last bullet would make the terminology consistent among all provisions. Note also that this provision is slightly different from that provided in Alternative 2, due to the different cooperative options proposed under Alternative 3. Alternative 2 provides two options for determining the

¹ Note that Alternative 2 also provides an option to regionalize all secondary species.

distribution between the north and south regions: 1) the qualifying period for receiving shares, and 2) 1999 – 2002. By contrast, Alternative 3 bases the distribution between regions on the qualifying period for cooperative formation, which could be different from the qualifying years established to determine GH. Alternative 3 also does not include an explicit option to regionalize based on 1999 – 2002, although 2000 – 2002 or 1999 – 2001 could be derived from the current options.

Lastly, the regionalization provisions under Alternative 3, when combined with the harvester/processor cooperative association provisions, could create an inconsistency under which a portion of the harvester's shares could not be delivered to the associated processor. This same concern was identified and addressed in Alternative 2 at the December meeting. The following language is proposed to avoid a situation in which the regional designation conflicts with the processor association provisions:

• <u>In the event GH is regionalized, a harvester will be eligible to bring its history in a region to a cooperative associated with the processor in the region to which the harvester delivered the most pounds during the cooperative formation qualifying period.</u>

Depending on the delivery pattern of the individual harvester, a typical harvester could have history in both the north and the south regions. The cooperative/processor association provisions in Section 3.3.9, however, would require a harvester to deliver to the <u>one</u> processor to which he/she delivered the most pounds during the qualifying period. Absent the proposed language, a harvester could have substantial history in both regions, but have an obligation to deliver all of its harvest to the processor with which it is associated overall, in one region. Because the ability of a harvester to receive an annual harvest allocation is dependent upon the processor's association with the cooperative, the processor would influence whether the harvester is able to use this history in the rationalized fishery.

The proposed language resolves the potential conflict by creating a harvester/processor association within <u>each</u> region. In effect, a harvester's north region history would be associated with the processor in the north to which the harvester delivered the most pounds during the qualifying period. The harvester's south history would be associated with the processor in the south to which it delivered the most pounds during the qualifying period.

3.7.2 Community Fisheries Quota (CFQ) Program

All of the provisions of the CFQ Program below are identical to the provisions included in the CFQ Program under Alternative 2, with the exception of Section 3.7.2.9. Please see Section 2.2.9.2 for comments regarding proposed changes or suggestions for the CFQ Program under both Alternative 2 and Alternative 3. Section 3.7.2.9 is addressed separately, below.

The same legal concerns identified under the CFQ Program in Alternative 2 (Section 2.2.9.2) apply to the CFQ Program under Alternative 3, as the fundamental concept and specific options are the same. A legal opinion provided by NOAA GC (Attachment D) identifies potential legal concerns regarding the process by which a Gulf administrative entity would decide which fishermen within an eligible community could fish the annual harvest shares resulting from the CFQ. The opinion states that this process denotes a sub-allocation of quota share by an outside entity, without Secretarial approval, and thus, without an appeals process, risking violation of the Administrative Procedure Act and the U.S. Constitution. While the legal implications need to be resolved, the proposed options for the CFQ Program could be relevant under various program designs. Thus, while additional effort needs to be undertaken to further develop the proposed CFQ Program

² The option for 1999 – 2002 was included at the December 2003 meeting, to allow for a set of years that accounts for the Steller sea lion mitigation measures that likely changed delivery patterns starting in 1999.

³ The qualifying period under cooperative formation (Section 3.3.5) includes an option to use the most recent 1, 2, or 3 years from the overall GH qualifying period (Section 3.3.2.2) to determine the processor with which the harvester is associated.

to make it both complete and legally viable, the proposed options to date are not made inapplicable due to the legal opinion.

The purpose of the Community Fisheries Quota Program and the Community Quota Purchase Program is to mitigate economic impacts from rationalization on smaller, isolated, Gulf of Alaska fisheries dependent communities. Community fishing quota will provide for the sustained participation of the qualifying communities in the rationalized fisheries and acknowledges the importance of fisheries resources to these communities. These purposes will be obtained by allocating QS to a community entity so that the community entity can derive revenues from leasing QS to ensure the retention of fishing opportunities and/or support community development.

3.7.2.1 Administrative Entity

A Gulf-wide administrative entity will receive and hold CFQ on behalf of eligible communities. The administrative entity representing a community or one or more eligible communities must be a non-profit entity qualified by NMFS.

Gulf-wide administrative entity-

3.7.2.2 Eligible Communities

- Option 1. Population (based on 2000 Census):
 - a. Less than 1,500
 - b. Less than 2,500
 - c. Less than 5,000
 - d. Less than 7,500
- Option 2. Geography
 - a. Coastal Communities without road connections to larger community highway network
 - b. Coastal communities adjacent to salt water
 - c. Communities within 10 nautical miles of the Gulf Coast
 - d. Communities on the south side of the Alaska Peninsula that are adjacent to Central and Western GOA management areas (including Yakutat) within 5 nautical miles from the water, but not to include Bering Sea communities included under the Western Alaska CDQ program.
- Option 3. Historic Participation in Groundfish Fisheries
 - a. Communities with residents having any commercial permit and fishing activity as documented by CFEC in the last ten years (1993 2002)
- Option 4. Government Structure
 - a. Communities recognized by the State of Alaska as a first class, second class, or home rule municipality
 - b. All other eligible communities

3.7.2.3 Species

- Option 1. All rationalized groundfish species
- Option 2. Pollock and Pacific cod Limited to species that can be caught without (hard on) bottom trawling

3.7.2.4 Allocation

- Option 1. 5% of annual TAC
- Option 2. 10% of annual TAC
- Option 3. 15% of annual TAC

3.7.2.5 Harvesting of Shares

Option 1. Limited to residents of any eligible community

3.7.2.6 Allocation Basis

The initial allocation (harvest shares) of CFQ would be made to the administrative entity representing eligible communities.

- Option 1. 0% 100% of the annual harvest rights from the CFQ owned by the administrative entity would be distributed amongst qualified communities on an equal basis.
- Option 2. 0% 100% of the annual harvest rights from the CFQ owned by the administrative entity would be distributed amongst qualified communities on a pro rata basis based on population.
- Option 3. 0% 100% of the annual harvest rights from the CFQ owned by the administrative entity from each GOA groundfish management area, by species, would be distributed amongst qualified communities located in the management area on an equal basis.

3.7.2.7 Qualification of Administrative Entity

The administrative entity must submit a detailed statement of eligibility to NMFS and the State prior to being qualified. The State may comment on the statement of eligibility but does not have a formal role. The required elements of the eligibility statement will be in regulation.

3.7.2.8 Administrative Oversight

A report submitted to NMFS detailing the use of QS by the administrative entity. The required elements and timing of the report will be outlined in regulation.

3.7.2.9 CFQ Management

The CFQ Program will be managed in a manner similar to the halibut/sablefish community purchase program. The Council shall establish a CFQ implementation committee to implement this program as a trailing amendment. The committee will advise on the provisions of the program.

Staff assumes that the committee referenced under Section 3.7.2.9 would be tasked with making recommendations to the Council on the details of managing the CFQ Program. If initiated, the committee will need legal guidance during the development of the management provisions of the program. The fundamental differences between the CFQ Program and the halibut/sablefish community purchase program may necessitate different management provisions. The CFQ Program is a direct allocation to a community entity on behalf of eligible communities, while the purchase program allows qualified administrative entities to be included as a type of eligible holder of QS, facilitating the purchase of shares by these entities.

Have staff re-number as appropiate for Alternative 3

2.5 Provisions relating to the IFQ halibut/sablefish fishery

2.5.1 Management areas:

Applies to Sablefish areas SE, WY, CG, WG. Applies to halibut areas 2C, 3A, 3B, 4A.

2.5.2 Primary species include: P.cod, Greenland turbot, POP,

A) QS will be issued to the halibut/sablefish QS holder. Any QS/IFQ issues for these primary species will not be subject to regionalization, mandatory coop, closed class processor, or processor linkage provisions of GOA rationalization.

- 2.5.3 Secondary species include RE/SR, Thornyheads, Pelagic shelf, Other Slope, Northern, and Other rockfish. Allocation to the halibut/sablefish IFQ fishery shall be determined by:
 - C) Sablefish: Allocation based on the average rate and 75th percentile of observed bycatch rates, by area (the rate which 75% of observed sets did not exceed)

D) Halibut: Allocation based on the average rate and 75th percentile of bycatch rates experienced in IPHC surveys by area (the rate which 75% of survey sets did not exceed).
 The IPHC survey data will look at the years 1995-2002 and 1998-2002.

2.5.3.1 Management provisions for secondary species

C) Management of RE/SR, Thornyheads, Pelagic, Other Slope, Northern, and Other rockfish shall be Option 1: Managed in aggregate on an area basis using current MRA regulations.

Option 2: Allocated to individual sablefish or halibut QS owners proportional to their QS holdings. Secondary species QS can only be permanently transferred with the underlying parent QS, but IFQ may be leased across vessel categories and species within the halibut and sablefish IFQ program.

Suboption 1: Allow an individual to choose, on an annual basis, individual allocations or to participate in the common pool.

Suboption 2: Allow a 7 day grace period after an overage occurs for the owner to lease sufficient Secondary species IFQ to cover the overage. Failure to secure sufficient IFQ would result in forfeiture of the overage and fines.

- D) An estimate of non commercial use of secondary species will be made based on observer and IPHC data. Non commercial use of secondary species for gurdy bait will not require QS/IFQ.
- C) Require full retention of Secondary species listed under A.

2.6: Provisions relating to the SEO Area

2.6.1 SEO is exempt from GOA rationalization program except for the management of RE/SR, Thornyheads, and Other Slope as secondary species

2.6.2 Management provisions for secondary species

- D) Any QS/IFQ issued for these secondary species will not be subject to regionalization, mandatory coop, closed class processor, or processor linkage provisions of GOA rationalization
- E) Management of RE/SR, Thornyheads, and Other Slope rockfish shall be:

Option 1: Managed in aggregate on an area basis using current MRA regulations.

Suboption 1: separate allocations for each target fishery

Option 2: Allocated to the vessel owner or qualified lease holder as a ratio of target species at time of landing during the qualifying period based on retained catch. Secondary species QS can only be permanently transferred to an individual with 150 days of sea time in a U.S. fishery. Secondary species IFO may be leased.

Suboption 1: Allow an individual to choose, on an annual basis, individual allocations or to participate in the common pool.

Suboption 2: Allow a 7-day grace period after an overage occurs for the owner to lease sufficient Secondary species IFQ to cover the overage. Failure to secure sufficient IFQ would result in forfeiture of the overage and fines. Motion passed-17/3.

	F) Non commercia	l use of seconda	ıry specie	es for gu	ırdy bait w	vill not req	uire QS/IFQ.		
D)	Develop	sideboards	for	the	SEO	area	Motion	passed	19/0

3.8 Program Review and Data Collection:

3.8.1 Data collection.

A mandatory data collection program would be developed and implemented. The program would collect cost, revenue, ownership and employment data on a periodic basis to provide the information necessary to study the impacts of the program for this and other Management Councils. Details of this program will be developed in the analysis of the alternatives.

3.8.2 Program Review.

Formal program review at the first Council Meeting in the 5th year after implementation to objectively measure the success of the program, including benefits and impacts to harvesters (including vessel owners, skippers and crew), processors and communities, by addressing concerns, goals and objectives identified in the problem statement and the Magnuson Stevens Act standards. This review shall include analysis of post-rationalization impacts to coastal communities, harvesters and processors in terms of economic impacts and options for mitigating those impacts. Subsequent reviews are required every 5 years.

3.9 Sideboards

GOA Groundfish sideboards under the crab rationalization plan and under the AFA would be superceded by the GOA rationalization program allocations upon implementation.

Participants in the GOA rationalized fisheries are limited to their historical participation based on GOA rationalized qualifying years in BSAI and SEO groundfish fisheries.

Vessels (actual boats) and LLPs used to generate harvest shares used in a Co-op unless specifically authorized may not participate in other state and federally managed open access fisheries in excess of sideboard allotments.

Participants in the GOA rationalized fisheries are limited to their aggregate historical participation based on GOA rationalized qualifying years in BSAI and SEO groundfish fisheries.

State water provisions are being developed that would apply to all rationalization alternatives.

STAFF PROPOSED REPLACEMENT FOR

3.3.10 through (and including) 3.4.2

3.3.10 Initial Cooperative Membership

The following provision is required for the initial co-op agreement entered into by any harvester:

Co-op agreements shall specify the terms and conditions for entering and exiting the co-op or transferring GH from the cooperative, including mechanisms whereby a member exiting the co-op (or transferring GH from the co-op) compensates the remaining co-op members and/or the associated processor for exiting the co-op (or transferring GH from the co-op). Compensation can take on any form agreed to by the members and the associated processor, including permanent transfer of some or all GH generated by the existing participant to the remaining co-op members or the associated processor.

Following the initial co-op formation period, new GH can be generated by <u>eligible</u> harvesters <u>that have never been co-op members</u> <u>eligible under the requirements for initial co-op formation</u> only by joining a co-op <u>in association with the eligible processor pursuant to the terms of an agreement that meets the requirements for an initial co-op formation.</u>

3.4.1 Cooperative Agreement Requirements

All cooperative agreements are required to have the following:

• A pre-season co-op agreement between eligible, willing harvesters in association with and an eligible and willing processor is a pre-requisite to a cooperative receiving an allocation of GQ. The harvesters and processor that enter into the agreement shall be the members of the co-op. The processor will be an associate of the cooperative but will not be a cooperative member. The agreement will be filed with the RAM division. The co-op agreement must contain a fishing plan for the harvest of all co-op fish.

- Co-op members may internally allocate and manage the co-op's allocation per the co-op membership agreement. Subject to any harvesting caps that may be adopted, history GH or GQ may be transferred and consolidated within the co-op to the extent permitted under the membership agreement.
- The co-op agreement will must have a monitoring program. Monitoring and enforcement requirements would be at the co-op level. Co-op members are jointly and severally responsible for co-op vessels harvesting in the aggregate no more than their co-op's allocation of primary species, secondary species and PSC mortality, as may be adjusted by inter-co-op transfers.
- Co-ops may adopt and enforce fishing practice codes of conduct as part of their membership agreement. Co-ops may penalize or expel members who fail to comply with their membership agreement.
- Co-op agreements will specify that processor affiliates cannot participate in price setting negotiations except as permitted by general antitrust law.
- Co-op agreements shall allow for the entry of other eligible harvesters into the co-op under the same terms and conditions as agreed to by the original agreement. Harvesters that have never been a member of a cooperative must enter an agreement that meets all requirements for an initial cooperative agreement, including mechanisms whereby a member exiting the co-op (or transferring GQ or GH from the co-op) compensates the remaining co-op members and/or the associated processor for exiting the co-op (or transferring GQ or GH from the co-op). Compensation can take on any form agreed to by the members and the associated processor, including permanent transfer of some or all GH generated by the existing participant to the remaining co-op members or the associated processor. A harvester that has left its initial co-op (or has GH that was transferred from its initial co-op) may negotiate participation with other existing or potential enter a co-op without any agreement concerning or limitation on exit (or transfers of GH) from the co-op.

These provisions are intended to provide the associated processor with approval of the cooperative agreement, including any provisions that govern transfer of GH or GQ from the cooperative and exit from the cooperative. The processor association is intended to create a better working relationship between the cooperative and the processor.

3.4.2 General Provisions Concerning Transfers of GH and GQ.

Co-ops may engage in inter-Cooperative transfers (leases) of GQ during and after the initial co-op formation period.

<u>During the initial cooperative formation period, GH transfers will be permitted between members of the same</u> cooperative, but not between members of different cooperatives.

Following the initial co-op formation period, <u>members of a co-op may transfer GH-and/ or GQ</u> to <u>members of other co-ops.</u>

<u>All transfers will be</u> subject to such terms and conditions as may be specified in the <u>applicable</u> co-op agreement and any ownership or use caps or other conditions as may be established pursuant to this program.

For persons that join cooperatives for the first time after the initial cooperative formation period, limits on transfers that apply during the initial cooperative transfer period shall apply for a n initial co-op formation period of time shall apply to transfers which shall be the same length as the initial co-op formation period specified above.

These provisions are intended to govern transfers of history and annual allocations. Since all transfers must be made in accordance with the cooperative agreement, which requires a cooperative/processor association, the processor will have prior approval of the provisions governing transfers.

In addition, the AP wishes to note the following unresolved issues associated with Alternative 3. The AP requests that the Council direct staff to prepare a discussion paper regarding these issues and, where possible, suggest options for resolution.

- 1. The consolidation allowed through alt. 3 may substantially reduce vessels, skippers and crews involved in the rationalized fisheries as well as fisheries related suppliers and services. What community benefits from alt. 3 type rationalization replace the jobs, families and businesses that will be lost to Alaska's coastal communities
- 2. What entry level opportunities will exist with alternative 3 type cooperatives?
- 3. Coop agreements are outside the purview of the council process and may inhibit the council in managing the fishery in accordance with the Magnuson national standards
- 4. What provisions in alternative 3 limit a strong majority from disadvantaging a weak minority within the coop?
- 5. If a coop member sells most of his GH to a cooperative, what prohibits the member from taking a small amount of his GH back to open access and thereby gain a disproportionate share?
- 6. Allowes substantial absentee ownership without any tie to the water front.

C-1 (c) Salmon and crab bycatch measures for GOA Groundfish fisheries

The AP recommends the Council direct staff to provide the draft bycatch paper to ADF&G GOA crab and salmon managers and solicit their input regarding:

- 1. Species for which bycatch measures should be prioritized
- 2. Efficacy of alternatives drafter for achieving bycatch reduction measures
- 3. Prioritize geographic areas where bycatch/trigger measures would be most effective
- 4. Other comments and recommendations

Further, the AP recommends the Council request staff to further refine the discussion paper considering the following:

- 1. Provide by catch rates in tables
- 2. Add a P.cod pot bycatch alternative for tanner crab
- 3. Provide maps showing distribution of king and tanner crab relative to bycatch hotspots and show existing distribution maps
- Provide size and age of tanner crab caught as bycatch to ascertain impact on population and harvestable stock.
 - Refine and quantify the discussion on relationship between observed and unobserved vessel data

Motion passed 17/1