## Area 3A GHL Analysis SUPPLEMENT

September 13, 2007

In September 2007, ADF\&G Sport Fish Division released a final estimate for the 2006 Area 3A charter harvest of 3.664 Mlb . The final estimate is approximately $284,000 \mathrm{lb}$ lower than the 3.948 Mlb projection of 2006 harvest ADF\&G issues in October 2006. ADF\&G’s initial projection of 2006 harvest was equal to 108.1 percent of the GHL; while the final 2006 estimate puts total charter harvest at 100.37 percent of the GHL. The Draft EA/RIR/IRFA was completed using the projected 2006 harvest estimate. Table 1 updates the analytical results of the September 2006 Area 3A EA/RIR/IRFA using the final estimate of 2006 Area 3A charter harvest. The table shows the effect of the analyzed options on 2006 harvest in conjunction with the current (2007) status quo, which includes a ban on skipper and crew harvest. While overall harvest was 100.37 percent of the GHL, the analysis assumes that skipper and crew fully report their harvest during charter fishing to the Statewide Harvest Survey and estimates that client harvest was approximately 89.9 percent of the GHL in 2006.

Table 1. Estimated Effect of Analyzed Management Options in Conjunction with the 2007 Status Quo ${ }^{1}$

| Management Option- <br> (In Conjunction with the Status Quo) | Sub-Option | Estimated 2006 Harvest with Option (MIb) |  | Post-Option 2006 Harvest as a Portion of the GHL (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less Effective | More Effective | Less Effective | More Effective |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | Full Season | 1.739 | 1.218 | 47.7\% | 33.4\% |
| Option 5. Minimum Size on the Second Fish | 50 | 1.927 | 1.696 | 52.8\% | 46.5\% |
| Option 5. Minimum Size on the Second Fish | $45 "$ | 2.091 | 1.843 | 57.3\% | 50.5\% |
| Option 6. Maximum Size on the Second Fish | 32 | 2.615 | 2.386 | 71.6\% | 65.4\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | July | 2.702 | 2.505 | 74.0\% | 68.6\% |
| Option 6. Maximum Size on the Second Fish | 34 " | 2.726 | 2.510 | 74.7\% | 68.8\% |
| Option 6. Maximum Size on the Second Fish | $36 "$ | 2.838 | 2.612 | 77.8\% | 71.6\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | June | 2.880 | 2.743 | 78.9\% | 75.1\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | August | 2.962 | 2.853 | 81.2\% | 78.2\% |
| Option 3. Annual Limit | 4 Fish | 3.043 | 3.043 | 83.4\% | 83.4\% |
| Option 1. One Trip per Day | None | 3.102 | 3.077 | 85.0\% | 84.3\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | May | 3.124 | 3.069 | 85.6\% | 84.1\% |
| Option 3. Annual Limit | 5 Fish | 3.131 | 3.131 | 85.8\% | 85.8\% |
| Option 3. Annual Limit | 6 Fish | 3.205 | 3.205 | 87.8\% | 87.8\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | September | 3.227 | 3.180 | 88.4\% | 87.1\% |
| Option 2. No Harvest by Skipper \& Crew(Status Quo) | None | 3.283 | 3.283 | 89.9\% | 89.9\% |
| Option 7. Reverse Slot Limit | 32"/45" | Ineffective Ineffective |  | Ineffective Ineffective |  |
| Option 7. Reverse Slot Limit | 32"/50" |  |  |  |  |

[^0]For illustrative purposes, Table 2 shows the estimated effect of each alternative under the 2006 Status Quo, which did not include the ADF\&G Emergency Order than banned skipper and crew harvest. ADF\&G has not indicated that they will repeal the emergency order. This table is displayed solely to show the estimated stand-alone effect of each option on 2006 harvest levels.

Table 2. Estimated Effect of Analyzed Management Options under the 2006 Status Quo (No Ban on Skipper and Crew Harvest)

| Management Option- <br> (In Conjunction with the Status Quo) | Sub-Option | Estimated 2006 Harvest with Option (MIb) |  | Post-Option 2006 Harvest as a Portion of the GHL (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less Effective | More Effective | Less Effective | More Effective |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | Full Season | 2.117 | 1.596 | 58.0\% | 43.7\% |
| Option 5. Minimum Size on the Second Fish | 501 | 2.310 | 2.079 | 63.3\% | 57.0\% |
| Option 5. Minimum Size on the Second Fish | $45^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.473 | 2.226 | 67.8\% | 61.0\% |
| Option 6. Maximum Size on the Second Fish | 32 " | 2.996 | 2.768 | 82.1\% | 75.8\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | July | 3.079 | 2.882 | 84.4\% | 79.0\% |
| Option 3. Annual Limit | 4 Fish | 3.104 | 3.104 | 85.0\% | 85.0\% |
| Option 6. Maximum Size on the Second Fish | $34 "$ | 3.108 | 2.891 | 85.1\% | 79.2\% |
| Option 3. Annual Limit | 5 Fish | 3.193 | 3.193 | 87.5\% | 87.5\% |
| Option 6. Maximum Size on the Second Fish | 36" | 3.220 | 2.993 | 88.2\% | 82.0\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | June | 3.257 | 3.120 | 89.2\% | 85.5\% |
| Option 3. Annual Limit | 6 Fish | 3.270 | 3.270 | 89.6\% | 89.6\% |
| Option 2. No Harvest by Skipper \& Crew(Status Quo) | None | 3.283 | 3.283 | 89.9\% | 89.9\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | August | 3.340 | 3.231 | 91.5\% | 88.5\% |
| Option 1. One Trip per Day | None | 3.462 | 3.434 | 94.9\% | 94.1\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | May | 3.501 | 3.446 | 95.9\% | 94.4\% |
| Option 4. One Fish Bag Limit | September | 3.605 | 3.557 | 98.8\% | 97.5\% |
| Option 7. Reverse Slot Limit | 32"/45" | Ineffective Ineffective |  | Ineffective Ineffective |  |
| Option 7. Reverse Slot Limit | 32"/50" |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For some of the options, the analysis calculates a range as opposed to a point estimate. The analysis includes a range where the baseline data provided by ADF\&G are ranged, where there are a priori expectations of a reduction in demand, or where anglers would weaken the effect of the alternative by simple behavioral changes. Specifically, Option 4 includes a 30 percent reduction in demand during the period each sub-option is in effect, Option 5 includes a 10 percent reduction in demand, Option 6 assumes that anglers are able to high-grade their catch by one size class (two inches), and the Option 1 is based on ranged data from ADF\&G.

