07-901 OREGON V. ICE

DECISION BELOW:170 P3d 1049

LOWER COURT CASE NUMBER: S52248

QUESTIONS PRESENTED:

Whether the Sixth Amendment, as construed in Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), and Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 296 (2004), is violated by the imposition of consecutive sentences based on the sentencing judge's determination of a fact (other than a prior conviction) that was not found by the jury or admitted by the defendant.

CERT. GRANTED 3/17/2008

GRANTED LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTION: Whether the Sixth Amendment, as construed in Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), and Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 296 (2004), requires that facts (other than prior convictions) necessary to imposing consecutive sentences be found by the jury or admitted by the defendant.