# Children's Living Arrangements and Characteristics: March 2002 

Population Characteristics

This report provides information on several characteristics of children, covering different aspects of their lives. The subjects that are included encompass: demographic characteristics of the child population of the United States and family living arrangements, including the presence of "coresident" grandparents; parents' and children's labor force participation, featuring new estimates of children living with "stay-at-home" fathers and mothers; and the economic status of children's families, including participation in public assistance programs and health insurance coverage of children. Finally, the distribution of the child population by their nativity status and that of their parents illustrates the diversity of the youngest segment of our population.

## CHILDREN: NUMBERS AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

In March 2002, 72 million children (defined as the population under 18) resided in the United States, up from 64 million in 1990.' These children

[^0]represented 26 percent of the U.S. noninstitutionalized population, a proportion that was essentially unchanged from 1990 but down from 36 percent in 1960. This change is an important demographic trend, fundamentally related to the babyboom cohort (people born from 1946 through 1964) moving through the age

## Why a Report on Children?

Children occupy an important place in American society. Their welfare is a concern both to parents and to the public at large. This report is the first in the Current Population Reports P20 series that consolidates Current Population Survey data on children into a single report and presents a broad picture of the characteristics of children across several domains relating to their well-being.

Some of the data contained in this report have been, and continue to be, available separately in other reports. Beginning with the March 2002 Current Population Survey (CPS), this report and its future updates will provide a source of information on the status of children. It will be published in alternate years to the American Families and Living Arrangements Report which focuses on adults and families. Detailed and historical tables on children will be produced annually and made available on the Internet at: www.census.gov.
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Table 1.
Children by Age and Family Structure: March $2002^{1}$
(In thousands)

|  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ All people under age 18, excluding those living in group quarters, householders, subfamily reference people, and their spouses.
${ }^{2}$ This number, when added to or subtracted from the estimated total number of children under 18 in each category, represents the 90 -percent confidence interval around the estimate.
${ }^{3}$ If the parent is either the householder with an unmarried partner in the household or the unmarried partner of the householder, they are cohabiting based on this direct measure. Cohabiting couples where neither partner is the householder are not identified.
${ }^{4}$ POSSLQ (Persons of the Opposite Sex Sharing Living Quarters) is defined by the presence of only two people over age 15 in the household who are opposite sex, not related, and not married. There can be any number of people under age 15 in the household. The universe of children under age 15 is shown as the denominator for POSSLQ measurement.

Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.

## Definition Box 1.

## CHILD

In the context of this report, children are people under 18 years of age who are not householders, family reference people, or their spouses. The CPS does not distinguish between biological, step, or adopted children. Foster children are nonrelatives of the householder.

## PARENT

On each child's data record, a single person is identified as the "parent" of that child. This person is usually the child's mother, and if she is not present, the father is identified. This does not identify the biological, step, or adopted relationship between this person and the child. When a characteristic of a child's parent is presented, such as education, it is usually the characteristic of this identified parent. Using this linkage, the spouse identifier linkage, and the sex of each person, the mother and father can be identified. In certain cases, such as nativity and labor force status of the parents, the characteristics of both parents are used if two parents are present.

## TWO-PARENT FAMILY

In the Current Population Survey, children live in a two-parent family if they are living with a parent who is married with his or her spouse present. This is not an indicator of the biological relationship between the child and the parents. The parent who is identified could be a biological, step, or adoptive parent. If a second parent is present and not married to the first parent, then the child is identified as living with a single parent.

## SINGLE-PARENT FAMILY

A "single" parent is defined as a parent who is not currently living with a spouse. Single parents may be married and not living with their spouse; they may be divorced, widowed, or never married. As with the identification of two parents described above, if a second parent is present and not married to the first, then the child is identified as living with a single parent. The indirect measure of cohabitation, POSSLQ (defined below), attempts to identify these additional potential parents.

## COHABITATION

Unmarried partners of the householder can be identified directly through the relationship to householder item collected in the Current Population Survey. Only partners of the householder can be identified, not all unmarried partners. This measurement of unmarried partners produces results below that in other data collections. The reasons for this are numerous, and include the mode of survey administration, question design, and other sources of bias in response and nonresponse.

An indirect measure of cohabitation is Persons of the Opposite Sex Sharing Living Quarters (POSSLQ). This is a household type defined by household composition. POSSLQ households are defined by the presence of only two people over age 15 in the household who are opposite sex, not related, and not married. There can be any number of people under age 15 in the household. For this reason, the percentage of children living in POSSLQ households should be calculated on the universe of children under age 15 for each characteristic.
structure and affecting the relative sizes of age groups. Barring significant changes in fertility or migration, we can expect the ratio of children to the elderly to continue to drop for some time as the babyboom cohort continues to move to the 65 and over age group. This change may have important implications for the resources available to children. ${ }^{2}$

[^1]
## Children in Families

In 2002, 69 percent of children lived with two parents, 23 percent lived with only their mother, 5 percent lived with only their father, and another 4 percent lived in households with neither parent present (see Table 1 and Definition Box 1). Among children who lived with two parents, the vast majority (98 percent) were children of the householder; the remaining children who lived with two parents were grandchildren, other relatives, or
nonrelatives of the householder. Grandparents play a significant role in the family households of many children even when a parent is present. Ten percent of children who lived with a single mother were grandchildren of the householder, compared with 8 percent of children who lived with single fathers. When children lived in households without either of their parents, very often (44 percent of children) they were living in their grandparent's household. Children who were

Table 2.
Children Under 15 Living With Single Mothers and Fathers in Cohabiting-Couple
Households: March $200 \mathbf{1}^{1}$
(In thousands)

| Characteristic |  | Total | In an unmarried-partner <br> household |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Not in an unmarried-partner |  |  |  |
| household $^{2}$ |  |  |  |

[^2]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey..
living with their grandparents are examined in more detail later in this report.

## Single-Parent Families and Cohabiting-Parent Families

In some cases, the "single-parent" household is not really a singleadult household. As described in Definition Box 1 , single parent means that the child's identified parent is not married and living with his or her spouse. This single parent may be married but the spouse is absent (including separated), widowed, divorced, or never married. The single parent may be cohabiting with another adult. Since 1995, the CPS has been able to identify whether a person was the unmarried partner of the householder.

Determining whether single parents were raising their children alone is a more difficult analysis.

Data from the CPS do not include enough information about the actual interrelationships among household members to provide a definitive answer. However, for children living in an unmarriedpartner household where their parent is either the householder or the unmarried partner, the assumption that both the householder and the partner have some parenting role is probably reasonable. This direct measure of cohabitation is a good indicator of the presence of a second "parent." ${ }^{3}$

Cohabiting-couple households can also be identified indirectly using a

[^3]definition developed at the Census Bureau in the 1970s. Households can be identified as those that have "People of the Opposite Sex Sharing Living Quarters" (POSSLQ) who are presumed to be living as unmarried partners. Because the definition excludes children 15 to 17 years old, only children under 15 years are considered when looking at the proportion of children in POSSLQ households. This indirect measure compares reasonably well with the direct measure in households with children present.

Table 2 presents the overlap between children living in these two types of households. There was not a perfect agreement, but 82 percent of children under 15 identified as living with a single parent and his or her unmarried partner were also identified as living in a POSSLQ household. The reverse also has high agreement: 85 percent of children living in POSSLQ households

Figure 1.

## Children With Single Parents and Proportion With Cohabiting Single Parent: March $2002{ }^{1}$




[^4]were in households where the second adult was specifically identified as the unmarried partner of the householder. Situations where the two measures do not agree arise when there are other people over age 15 in the unmarried partner households, and the cases where the parent identifies himself or herself or the other adult as a roommate, housemate, or other nonrelative of the householder in POSSLQ households.

In 2002, 16.5 million children were living with a single mother, but 1.8 million ( 11 percent) lived in a household with their mother and her unmarried partner. Children who lived with a single father ( 3.3 million) were much more likely to be sharing the household with his unmarried partner, (33 percent
or 1.1 million children). This finding is consistent with other research, which shows that fathers are more likely to live with a cohabiting partner than are mothers. ${ }^{4}$ Measuring this same likelihood using the POSSLQ definition yields the same results. Among the 13.8 million children under 15 years old who were living with their mother but not with their father in 2002, 1.6 million ( 11 percent) were living in a household with their mother and a nonmarried, nonrelated adult male. Children under 15 years old who were living with their father only ( 2.7 million) were much more likely to be sharing their

[^5]household with a non-married, nonrelated adult female (33 percent or 904,000 children).

Figure 1 shows dramatic differences by race and Hispanic origin ${ }^{5}$ in the proportion of children with single mothers, single fathers, and single parents who were living with an unmarried partner. Children were more than four times as likely to live with a single mother (23 percent) than to live with a single father ( 5 percent). Children living with a single parent

[^6]were three times as likely to have their father cohabiting (33 percent) than their mother (11 percent). These large differences by the gender of the parent persist for all of the groups shown in Figure 1.

Almost half (48 percent) of Black children were living with a single mother - when those children living with a single father ( 5 percent) are included, over half ( 53 percent) of Black children were living with a single parent. A larger proportion of Hispanic children lived with a single mother than non-Hispanic White children and Asian and Pacific Islander children, 25 percent versus 16 percent and 13 percent, respectively. Similarly, a greater percentage of Hispanic children lived with a single father than non-Hispanic White children and Asian and Pacific Islander children, 5 percent versus 4 percent and 2 percent, respectively.

For children living with a single mother, 6 percent of Black children and 14 percent of non-Hispanic White children also had the mother's partner present. For children living with a single father, about 30 percent of both non-Hispanic White children and Black children and 46 percent of Hispanic children lived with a single father and his unmarriedpartner. Across race groups, children living with a single father were much more likely to be living with their father and his partner, compared to the proportion of children living with a single mother who also lived with her unmarried partner. ${ }^{6}$

[^7]
## CHILDREN AND CORESIDENT GRANDPARENTS

Table 3 shows that children live with a grandparent for a variety of reasons. First, when children's parents need help or are unable to live with their own children, grandparents are an important resource for assistance and care. Second, many children live with their grandparents because the grandparents themselves need assistance. CPS data are not explicit about the direction of assistance. Grandparents are assumed to be providing the assistance if they are the householders, while they are believed to be receiving assistance when they are living in someone else's (usually their child's) household.

## Family Types

In 2002, 5.6 million children were living in households with a grandparent present (8 percent of all children). Ten percent of children under 6 years old lived with a coresident grandparent, compared with 7 percent of children aged 6 to 11 , and 6 percent of children aged 12 to 17 . The majority of children living with grandparents lived in households where the grandparent was the householder (3.7 million). Although these children were using housing resources provided by grandparents, 65 percent (2.4 million) had at least one parent in the household.

Another distinct group of children lived in their parent's household with a grandparent present (1.8 million). In these households, the grandparent is probably not primarily responsible for the children, but he or she may still be providing assistance of some kind, such as childcare services. The remaining category identifies children in households where neither the grandparent nor the parent was the householder; only 118,000
children lived in this household structure.

In 2002, Black children were more likely than children in other groups to live in single-parent families. They are also more likely to live in their grandparent's household: 9 percent, compared with 6 percent for Hispanic children, 4 percent for non-Hispanic White children, and 3 percent for Asian and Pacific Islander children. Regardless of the presence of parents, two-thirds of Black children living in their grandparent's household were living with only one grandparent, their grandmother. For all other race/ethnicity groups, ${ }^{7}$ living in the grandparent's household with both a grandmother and a grandfather present was the most common type of grandparentgrandchild coresidence, for both children living with their parents and those whose parents were not present.

Among children who were living in their parent's household with at least one grandparent present, most often it was with only their grandmother (two-thirds for each of the race groups, except Black children, three-quarters of whom lived with only their grandmother when in their parent's household with a grandparent present). This is not surprising, as men have higher mortality rates than women, and widowed women may turn to their adult children for care. The small group of children who lived with both a grandparent and a parent, neither one of whom was the householder, may reflect children living in extended households. In

[^8]Table 3.
Characteristics of Children Who Coreside With Grandparents by Presence of Parents: March $2002{ }^{1}$
(In thousands)


[^9]Figure 2.
Percent of Grandchildren in Poverty, Not Covered by Health Insurance, and Receiving Public Assistance by Selected Living Arrangements: March 2002


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.
this group, Hispanic children were over-represented (43 percent) relative to their distribution in all households with grandparents (24 percent). Hispanic children also composed 36 percent of the 258,000 children living in households that were maintained by their parents and which had both a grandmother and a grandfather. ${ }^{8}$

Table 3 also illustrates differences in parent-child family types by whether the child lived in the grandparent's or the parent's home. Overwhelmingly, when grandchildren lived in the grandparent's home with at least one parent, it was the mother. In comparison, when a grandparent lived in the parent's home, the majority of the time the grandchildren were living with both parents present. This

[^10]pattern lends support to the idea that grandparents may offer housing assistance to their own children and grandchildren in times of marital disruption or when the child's father is absent. On the other hand, when one or both grandparents lived in a married-couple household with their grandchild, it may indicate that two incomes were needed to support the grandparents or, in the case of very elderly or infirm parents, the presence of a second parent may facilitate the grandparent's care.

## Economic Characteristics of Grandparent-Grandchild Households

Figure 2 compares three measures of economic hardship by selected grandparent-grandchild coresidence arrangements. Children living in a grandparent's household without a parent present were twice as likely to be in families that were below the poverty level ( 30 percent) than was the case for children living with
both grandparents and a parent (15 percent of children living with a grandparent and parent in the grandparent's household and 12 percent of children who lived with a grandparent in their parent's household were in poverty). ${ }^{9}$ Children were also at the greatest risk of not being covered by health insurance when they resided in their grandparent's household without a parent present (36 percent). Among children living with a grandparent, those living in their parent's household had the lowest percent lacking health insurance coverage, 15 percent.

Following the same trend, children in their grandparent's household regardless of the presence of parents were about three times as likely to be receiving public

[^11]Table 4.
Children by Their Parents' Current Labor Force Status: March 2002 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$
(In thousands)

| Characteristic | Number | Percent of all children | Percent of children by presence of parents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 72,321 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Two parents | 49,666 | 68.7 | 100.0 |
| Both parents in the labor force. | 31,007 | 42.9 | 62.4 |
| Father only in the labor force | 14,969 | 20.7 | 30.1 |
| Mother only in the labor force. | 2,169 | 3.0 | 4.4 |
| Neither parent in the labor force. | 1,520 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| Mother only. | 16,473 | 22.8 | 100.0 |
| In the labor force. | 12,706 | 17.6 | 77.1 |
| Not in the labor force | 3,767 | 5.2 | 22.9 |
| Father only | 3,297 | 4.6 | 100.0 |
| In the labor force. | 2,925 | 4.0 | 88.7 |
| Not in the labor force | 372 | 0.5 | 11.3 |
| Neither parent | 2,885 | 4.0 | 100.0 |

[^12]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.
assistance (11 percent) as children in their parent's household with a grandparent present (3 percent). Children living in their grandparent's household without parents present were twice as likely to receive public assistance as children who were in their grandparent's household but had parents present, 17 percent and 8 percent, respectively.

These indicators of economic hardship strongly suggest that, in households maintained by parents, it is most likely that resources and assistance flow from the parents to the grandparents. Further, these results show that parents are the primary source of well-being for children. Even when parents and children live in a grandparent's household, parents often contribute to the household economy and provide much of the care for their children. Children living in their grandparent's household without a parent present are at a distinct economic disadvantage, often stretching their grandparent's financial and personal resources.

## PARENTS, CHILDREN, AND THE LABOR FORCE

## Parental Labor Force Status

Most children live in families where one or both of their parents are in the labor force ( 88 percent in 2002). Parental participation in the labor force provides a role model for children and the economic resources the family needs. Also, employers are often the source of health insurance coverage, as well as other child-related benefits, like childcare subsidies. In 2002, 97 percent ( 48 million) of children living with two parents had at least one parent in the labor force, and 62 percent ( 31 million) were living in families with two parents in the labor force (see Table 4). Of the 3.3 million children who lived with only their father, 89 percent (2.9 million) lived in families with the father in the labor force. Among children who lived with only their mother ( 16 million), 77 percent or 13 million - lived in families with the mother in the labor force.

## Stay-at-Home Parents

Recently, much interest has focused on children who have "stay-athome" parents. This term typically describes a family situation where a father or a mother chooses to stay home to care for the children while the other spouse is employed. A 1993 estimate of 2 million stay-athome dads, a figure that has been widely publicized, ${ }^{10}$ was based on the number of fathers providing the primary childcare arrangements for their children under 15 years old while their spouses were at work. This number, however, includes 1.6 million dads who actually were employed. ${ }^{11}$ This report presents estimates of the number of children cared for by stay-at-home dads and moms based not on the parents'
${ }^{10}$ See, for example, reports on the following Web sites (www.babycenter.com, and www.dadstayhome.com) and in the media (Washington Post, etc)
${ }^{11}$ See Lynne Casper, (1997). My Daddy Takes Care of Me! Fathers as Care Providers. Current Population Reports, P70-59 www.census.gov/prod/3/97pubs/p70-59.pdf and PPL-53 (U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC , Table 5).

Table 5.
Children Under Age 15 in Two-Parent Families With a Stay-At-Home Parent: March $2002^{1}$
(In thousands)

| Characteristic | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total living with two parents | 41,802 | 100.0 |
| Mothers |  |  |
| In labor force 1 or more weeks last year | 28,791 | 68.9 |
| Out of labor force all 52 weeks last year | 13,011 | 31.1 |
| Primary reason - care for home and family. | 11,808 | 28.2 |
| Father in labor force all 52 weeks last year | 10,573 | 25.3 |
| Fathers |  |  |
| In labor force 1 or more weeks last year. | 40,314 | 96.4 |
| Out of labor force all 52 weeks last year. | 1,487 | 3.6 |
| Primary reason - care for home and family. | 336 | 0.8 |
| Mother in labor force all 52 weeks last year | 189 | 0.5 |

${ }^{1}$ The universe for this table is children under age 15 living with two parents. Those not living with two parents, those who are householders, subfamily reference people or their spouses, and those in group quarters are excluded from this table.

Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.
activities as childcare providers but rather on the primary reason they were not in the labor force during the previous year. In this way, a more direct estimate of the number of children who had parents who chose to stay at home to care for them can be obtained.

In 2002, 42 million children under age 15 lived with two parents.
Table 5 shows the number of children with a parent out of the labor force for all 52 weeks of the prior year; the number of children with a parent reported being out of the labor force in order to care for home and family; and among children with a parent out of the labor force for the prior year to care for home and family, the number of children whose other parent was in the labor force for the entire previous year. In addition, the time period of the last year rather than the past week was selected to avoid overestimates of stay-athome parents who were only out of the labor force for relatively short periods of time, such as holidays, temporary illness, or job
interruptions. Parents who said that the primary reason why they were out of the labor force was to stay home to care for home and family members are considered to be stay-at-home parents, and the subgroup with the spouse in the labor force is the most common arrangement describing stay-athome parents.

In March 2002, 1.5 million children under age 15 lived with two parents and their fathers were not in the labor force for the entire previous year. Among this group, 336,000 had fathers who were not in the labor force primarily so they could care for the family. Further limiting the population to children who were living with a father who was not in the labor force in order to care for his family, but whose mothers were in the labor force for all of the previous year revealed a group of 189,000 children under age 15 , about 0.5 percent of children under 15 living with two parents. This group comprised 105,000 married fathers with children under 15.

Children in two-parent families are more likely to have mothers than fathers who are out of the labor force. In 2002, 13 million children under age 15 lived with a mother who was out of the labor force for all of the previous year, or about 31 percent of all children under age 15 living with two parents. Of these children, 12 million lived with a mother who was not in the labor force primarily to care for her family. About 11 million, or about 25 percent of children under 15 living with two married parents, lived with a mother who was at home to care for her family while the father was in the labor force for the entire year. Stay-at-home mothers represented 5.2 million married mothers with children under 15 in 2002. In summary, children under age 15 living with both parents were 56 times as likely to live with a stay-athome mother while their father was in the labor force than they were to live with a stay-at-home father while their mother was in the labor force.

Figure 3.

## Children Under Age 15 With Married Parents, One Stay-At-Home and One in the Labor Force: March 2002



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.

Figure 3 shows the trends in children living with a stay-at-home parent and a parent who was in the labor force all year. Since 1994, the number of children living with a stay-at-home mother while their fathers were in the labor force significantly increased. This trend does not appear to be the case for children living with stay-at-home fathers while their mother was working. The level in 1994 is not significantly different from the level in 2002. The small number of
children living with a stay-at-home father increases the difficulty of measuring trends or changes for this group.

## Children in the Labor Force

Although teenage children spend most of their time in school, older teens often have jobs, possibly to gain experience, to assist their families financially, or for other reasons. Table 6 presents basic characteristics of older children in the labor
force. Of the 12 million children 15 to 17 years old in 2002, 3 million children or 25 percent were in the labor force. As one would expect, the participation increases over the age range from 9 percent for 15 year olds, to 26 percent for 16 year olds, and to 41 percent for 17 year olds. More female children aged 15 to 17 years ( 26 percent) than male children of the same ages (24 percent) participated in the labor force.

The unemployment rate is a good measure to use in comparing the abilities of different groups to find employment. High unemployment rates (the percentage unemployed of those in the labor force) imply an unmet demand for jobs and may reflect the need for additional skills and training. For all children aged 15 to 17 years, the unemployment rate in 2002 was 21 percent. For adults 25 to 54 years old, the rate was 5 percent in 2002, indicating that proportionately more teens than working-age adults were looking for work.

Considerable differences existed among the labor force participation rates of children by race and ethnicity. In 2002, 29 percent of nonHispanic White children aged 15 to 17 years were in the labor force, compared with 17 percent of Black children and Asian and Pacific Islander children, and 19 percent of Hispanic children. ${ }^{12}$ Unemployment rates shed more light on these differences. The unemployment rate of non-Hispanic White children (18 percent) was significantly lower than that of Black children ( 40 percent) or Hispanic children (24 percent). ${ }^{13}$ Some additional differences were noted according to a parent's education level. Children whose

[^13]Table 6.
Children Age 15 to 17 Years by Their Labor Force Status and Selected Characteristics: March 2002 ${ }^{1}$
(In thousands)

${ }^{1}$ The universe for this table is children age 15 to 17 years. Only the population 15 and over have labor force data recorded for them in the CPS. Children under age 15, householders, subfamily reference people, their spouses, and those in group quarters are excluded from this table.
${ }^{2}$ Full-time employment is 35 hours or more of work $n$ the previous week. Part-time employment is less than 35 hours of work in the previous week.
${ }^{3}$ Data are not shown separately for the American Indian and Alaska Native population because of the small sample size in the Current Population Survey in March 2002.
${ }^{4}$ The unemployment rate is the percent unemployed of the population in the labor force.
5 "MSA" refers to Metropolitan Statistical Area.
Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.

Figure 4.
Labor Force Status of Children 15 to 17 Years Old by Family Income: March 2002


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.
parent had less than a high school education had the lowest labor force participation rate
(21 percent). ${ }^{14}$ Children with a parent who had a bachelor's degree or more had the lowest unemployment rate (14 percent).

Family income followed a similar trend: higher proportions of children in the labor force were found in families with higher family income levels. This is not surprising because the income from working children is included in the family's income classification; however, children's incomes rarely account for the majority of the family's income. Only 18 percent of children living in families with income levels under $\$ 30,000$ were in the labor force, compared with about

[^14]28 percent of children in families with incomes of \$50,000 or more. The unemployment rate was also lowest at the top of the income range: 14 percent in families with incomes of \$75,000 and over. Figure 4 shows these differences by family income. In general, higher participation in the labor force was seen for children in families with higher incomes. Specifically, as family income increased the percentage of children working part time increased substantially.

## Income, Poverty, and Public Assistance in Children's Families

Recently the family economies of children's households have been the subject of tremendous attention and research. Some of the more important issues that affect children's economic well-being by the presence or absence of one or both parents are highlighted in Table 7.

In 2002, 30 percent of all children lived in families with incomes below $\$ 30,000$, and 17 percent of children were in families living below 100 percent of poverty (see Table 7). Five percent of children (about 3.4 million) lived in households that were receiving public assistance, and 11 percent of children ( 7.9 million) were in households that received food stamps. At the other end of the financial spectrum, 49 percent of children lived in families with incomes of \$50,000 per year or more, and 29 percent were in families having incomes of at least \$75,000 per year. ${ }^{15}$ Most children, 67 percent, were living in housing that was owned or being purchased by the householder.

Significant differences in children's economic situations emerge when family living arrangements are examined. While 30 percent of all children lived in families with incomes less than \$30,000 per year, only 15 percent of children in twoparent families fell below that level, compared with 65 percent of children in single-mother families, 45 percent of children in single-father families, and 61 percent of children living in households with neither parent present. At the other end of the income spectrum, 39 percent of children living with two parents were in families with incomes of $\$ 75,000$ per year or more, compared with 6 percent for children in single-mother families, and 11 percent for both children in singlefather families and children with no parents present.

Children living in households without either parent were the most likely to be in families below 100 percent of the poverty threshold (48 percent of children), followed

[^15]Table 7.
Children's Economic Situation by Family Structure: March $200 \mathbf{2}^{1}$

| Characteristic | Total | Two parents | Mother only | Father only | Neither parent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 72,321 | 49,666 | 16,473 | 3,297 | 2,885 |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$15,000 | 9,516 | 1,993 | 5,706 | 559 | 1,257 |
| \$15,000 to \$29,999 | 12,094 | 5,705 | 4,933 | 939 | 516 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 15,140 | 10,360 | 3,328 | 963 | 489 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 14,414 | 12,160 | 1,493 | 455 | 307 |
| \$75,000 and over. | 21,157 | 19,447 | 1,013 | 380 | 316 |
| Poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 100 percent of poverty | 12,239 | 3,895 | 6,326 | 638 | 1,380 |
| 100 to 199 percent of poverty. | 15,686 | 9,147 | 4,949 | 935 | 655 |
| 200 percent of poverty and above | 44,396 | 36,623 | 5,199 | 1,723 | 851 |
| Household receives public assistance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receives assistance | 3,372 | 776 | 2,101 | 154 | 340 |
| Does not receive assistance | 68,949 | 48,889 | 14,372 | 3,143 | 2,545 |
| Household receives food stamps |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receives food stamps. . | 7,873 | 2,213 | 4,813 | 418 | 430 |
| Does not receive food stamps. | 64,448 | 47,453 | 11,660 | 2,879 | 2,455 |
| Household tenure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owns/buying | 48,542 | 38,362 | 6,547 | 1,808 | 1,825 |
| Rents | 22,512 | 10,366 | 9,689 | 1,444 | 1,012 |
| No cash rent. | 1,266 | 938 | 237 | 44 | 48 |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 68.7 | 22.8 | 4.6 | 4.0 |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$15,000 | 100.0 | 20.9 | 60.0 | 5.9 | 13.2 |
| \$15,000 to \$29,999 | 100.0 | 47.2 | 40.8 | 7.8 | 4.3 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 100.0 | 68.4 | 22.0 | 6.4 | 3.2 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 100.0 | 84.4 | 10.4 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| \$75,000 and over. . | 100.0 | 91.9 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 100 percent of poverty | 100.0 | 31.8 | 51.7 | 5.2 | 11.3 |
| 100 to 199 percent of poverty. | 100.0 | 58.3 | 31.6 | 6.0 | 4.2 |
| 200 percent of poverty and above. | 100.0 | 82.5 | 11.7 | 3.9 | 1.9 |
| Household receives public assistance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receives assistance . . . . . . . . . | 100.0 | 23.0 | 62.3 | 4.6 | 10.1 |
| Does not receive assistance | 100.0 | 70.9 | 20.8 | 4.6 | 3.7 |
| Household receives food stamps |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receives food stamps. . . . . . . | 100.0 | 28.1 | 61.1 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| Does not receive food stamps. | 100.0 | 73.6 | 18.1 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
| Household tenure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owns/buying. | 100.0 | 79.0 | 13.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| Rents ...... | 100.0 | 46.0 | 43.0 | 6.4 | 4.5 |
| No cash rent. | 100.0 | 74.1 | 18.7 | 3.5 | 3.8 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ All people under age 18 , excluding group quarters, householders, subfamily reference people, and their spouses.
Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.
closely by children living in singlemother families - 38 percent of these children were below the poverty threshold. Children who were living with single mothers and those living without either parent were also most likely to be in households receiving public assistance (about 12 percent of children each), compared with 5 percent for children living with only their father, and 2 percent for children living with two married parents. Food stamp receipt followed a similar trend: 29 percent of children who lived with a single mother, about 15 percent of children who lived in households without parents and 13 percent of children who lived with a single father, and 4 percent of children who lived with both parents. ${ }^{16}$ The majority of children living with a single mother lived in rented housing, 59 percent, compared with 44 percent of children with a single father, 35 percent of children with no parents, and 21 percent of children living with two parents.

## FOREIGN-BORN CHILDREN AND CHILDREN OF FOREIGN-BORN PARENTS

## Demographic Characteristics

The foreign-born population of the United States has risen dramatically since 1970. ${ }^{17}$ These immigrants have come largely from Latin America and Asia, increasing the diversity of children's cultural backgrounds and the languages they speak. ${ }^{18}$ Children with foreign-born

[^16]parents may need additional resources at school and at home in order to progress successfully in school and to transition to adulthood.

In 2002, 14 million children lived with at least one foreign-born parent, representing 19 percent of all children (see Table 8). About 2.7 million of these children were themselves foreign born. Native children with at least one foreignborn parent composed the largest segment of this population ( 12 million). More than one-half of all children with foreign-born parents were of Hispanic origin ( 7.8 million), while another 2.5 million were Asian and Pacific Islanders. ${ }^{19}$

As mentioned earlier, one of the more important measures of children's resources is the presence of parents. Children who lived with foreign-born parents were better off on the whole than their counterparts who lived with native parents. Eighty-one percent of children living with foreign-born parents were living with two parents, significantly more than native children living with two native parents (69 percent).

The educational level of a child's parent affects their resources and is a strong predictor of their success in school and the nature of their transition to adulthood. ${ }^{20}$ Figure 5 presents children's and their parent's nativity status by the parent's education level. This figure shows striking differences in the education of the parent by the

[^17]parent-child nativity combination. Children with at least one foreignborn parent were much more likely to have a parent with less than a high school diploma than children living with native parents. Native parents of foreign-born children had the highest education: 59 percent had a bachelor's degree or more, while only 27 percent had a high school education or less. This group consisted of a high proportion of children who were adopted by native parents.

## Economic Characteristics

Table 8 shows that children with foreign-born parents were more often in families with incomes below $\$ 30,000$ per year than other children: 35 percent of native children with foreign-born parents and 43 percent of foreign-born children with foreign-born parents, compared with only 20 percent of foreign-born children with native parents and 27 percent of native children with native parents. Children living with neither parent present were even more likely (61 percent) to be in families with incomes below \$30,000 than children in any other living arrangement. Poverty status, predictably, followed the same pattern: children with native parents were more likely to be in families at or above 200 percent of poverty, compared with children who lived with at least one foreign-born parent. ${ }^{21}$

Only 65 percent of foreign-born children with at least one foreignborn parent had health insurance, slightly more than the 59 percent of children who were living with

[^18]Table 8.
Children's and Parents' Nativity ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ and Selected Characteristics: March $200 \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}$
(In thousands)

| Characteristic | Total | Children with native parents |  |  | Children with at least one foreign-born parent |  |  | No parent present |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Native child | Foreignborn child | Total | Native child | Foreignborn child |  |
| Total | 72,321 | 55,264 | 55,136 | 128 | 14,172 | 11,518 | 2,654 | 2,885 |
| Age of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 years. | 23,363 | 17,664 | 17,609 | 55 | 4,974 | 4,613 | 361 | 725 |
| 6-11 years.. | 24,623 24,335 | 18,801 18,799 | 18,766 18,761 | 35 38 | 4,883 4,314 | 3,989 2,916 | 894 1,398 | 938 1,221 |
| Race and ethnicity of child ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 56,276 | 44,338 | 44,289 | 49 | 10,207 | 8,341 | 1,866 | 1,732 |
| Non-Hispanic. | 44,235 | 40,230 | 40,205 | 25 | 2,831 | 2,376 | 455 | 1,174 |
| Black. | 11,646 | 9,421 | 9,415 | 6 | 1,269 | 1027 | 242 | 956 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander | 3,223 | 589 | , 516 | 73 | 2,533 | 2,006 | 527 | 101 |
| Hispanic (of any race). | 12,817 | 4,435 | 4,409 | 26 | 7,757 | 6,294 | 1,463 | 626 |
| Presence of parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents. | 49,666 | 38,254 | 38,172 | 82 | 11,411 | 9,274 | 2,137 | (X) |
| Mother only | 16,473 | 14,192 | 14,154 | 38 | 2,281 | 1,862 | 419 |  |
| Father only | 3,297 | 2,817 | 2,810 | (x) ${ }^{7}$ | 480 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Neither parent | 2,885 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | 2,885 |
| Education of parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 10,900 | 5,670 | 5,665 | 5 | 5,229 | 4,149 | 1,080 | (X) |
| High school degree | 20,871 | 17,640 | 17,610 | 30 | 3,231 | 2,676 | 555 | (x) |
| Some college | 19,315 | 16,982 | 16,963 | 19 | 2,333 | 2,028 | 305 | (x) |
| Bachelor's degree or more No parents present ...... | 18,351 2,885 | 14,973 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,898 \\ \text { (X) } \end{array}$ | 75 (X) | 3,378 | 2,664 | 714 (X) | 2,885 |
| Marital status of parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married spouse present | 49,666 | 38,254 | 38,172 | 82 | 11,411 | 9,274 | 2,137 | (X) |
| Married spouse absent. | 951 | 646 | 646 |  | 306 | 221 | 85 | (x) |
| Widowed | 857 | 697 | 693 | 4 | 160 | 111 | 49 |  |
| Divorced. | 6,932 | 6,282 | 6,264 | 18 | 651 | 532 | 119 | (x) |
| Separated | 2,918 | 2,305 | 2,299 | 6 | 613 | 489 | 124 |  |
| Never married. | 8,111 | 7,079 | 7,063 | 16 | 1,032 | 892 | 140 | ( X |
| No parent present | 2,885 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | 2,885 |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$15,000 | 9,516 | 6,411 | 6,398 | 13 | 1,847 | 1,402 | 445 | 1,257 |
| \$15,000 to \$29,999 | 12,094 | 8,281 | 8,268 | 13 | 3,297 | 2,594 | 703 | 516 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 15,140 | 11,231 | 11,214 | 17 | 3,420 | 2,750 | 670 | 489 |
| \$50,000 and over. | 35,571 | 29,342 | 29,257 | 85 | 5,606 | 4,771 | 835 | 623 |
| Poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 100 percent of poverty ..... | 12,239 | 7,848 | 7,835 | 13 | 3,011 | 2,300 | 711 | 1,380 |
| 100 to 199 percent of poverty ..... | 15,686 | 10,812 | 10,796 | 16 98 | 4,218 | 3,336 | , 882 | 655 |
| Health insurance coverage | 44,396 | 36,604 | 36,506 |  | 6,942 | 5,882 | 1,060 | 850 |
| Health insurance coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered by health insurance...... | 63,907 8,414 | 50,941 4,323 | 50,819 4,317 | 122 6 | 11,255 2,916 | 9,534 1,984 | 1,721 932 | 1,710 1,175 |
| Household receives public assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receives assistance | 3,372 | 2,396 | 2,390 | 6 | 636 | 514 | 122 | 341 |
| Does not receive assistance | 68,949 | 52,869 | 52,747 | 122 | 13,536 | 11,004 | 2,532 | 2,544 |
| Household tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owns/buying | 48,542 | 39,027 | 38,925 | 102 | 7,690 | 6,675 | 1,015 | 1,825 |
| Rents ..... | 22,512 | 15,215 | 15,191 | 24 | 6,285 | 4,693 | 1,592 | 1,012 |
| No cash rent | 1,266 | 1,022 | 1,021 | 1 | 197 | 150 | 47 | 48 |
| Type of residence ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central city, in MSA. | 20,971 | 14,125 | 14,083 | 42 | 5,802 | 4,698 | 1,104 | 1,044 |
| Outside central city, in MSA. | 38,194 | 29,585 | 29,514 | 71 | 7,376 | 6,015 | 1,361 | 1,233 |
| Outside MSA................... | 13,155 | 11,554 | 11,539 | 15 | 993 | 805 | 188 | 608 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ A child living with any foreign-born parents is included in the category for foreign-born parent(s). Children living with a single parent are assigned a category based on the nativity of the coresident parent. Children living with native parents are only living with native parents.
${ }^{2}$ All people under age 18, excluding group quarters, householders, subfamily reference people, and their spouses.
${ }^{3}$ Data are not shown separately for the American Indian and Alaska Native population because of the small sample size in the Current Population Survey in March 2002.

4"MSA" refers to Metropolitan Statistical Area.
Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality
protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.

Figure 5.
Children's and Parents' Nativity by Parent's Education for Children Living With At Least One Parent: March $2002^{1}$
(In percent)

${ }^{1}$ Children with any foreign-born parents are included with foreign-born parent, children with native parents only are with native parents.
Education is the mother's, if not available, the father's is used.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the
March 2002 Current Population Survey.
no parents. Native children with at least one foreign-born parent were better off, with 83 percent covered by health insurance, but they still fell short of the 92-percent coverage for native children with native parents. No significant differences were found in receipt of public assistance by each nativity type (about 4 percent), but all were less than the 12 percent recorded for children living with neither parent present.

Children with at least one foreignborn parent were also less likely to be in households that were being bought or were owned ( 54 percent compared with 71 percent of children with native parents), and were more likely to live in central cities of metropolitan areas than
were children with native parents, 41 percent versus 26 percent, respectively. This distribution is consistent with migration patterns that involve movement through ethnic enclaves ${ }^{22}$ and the tendency of many immigrants to live in central cities initially. Additionally, the finding reflects the impact of parental home ownership on the wealth of subsequent generations, as a house is often the largest source of intergenerational wealth transmission.

[^19]Children who were foreign born but living with native parents represented a very small proportion of all children, 0.2 percent, and were distinct from other children in a number of ways. Many of these children may have been adopted from overseas, and would be more likely to be living with parents who had more substantial financial resources. In addition, 57 percent were Asian and Pacific Islander children, a characteristic also noted in Census 2000 data among foreign-born adopted children who were living with native parents. ${ }^{23}$ The characteristics shown in these data are consistent with data for children living in families with more resources. Among foreignborn children with native parents, 66 percent lived in families in the highest income category (\$50,000 or more per year), and 59 percent lived with a parent with a bachelor's degree or more.

## CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE

A key indicator of children's wellbeing, their health, is not measured directly by the Current Population Survey. (The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) collects detailed data on the health status of the population. ${ }^{24}$ ) The CPS does provide an indicator of accessibility to health resources, namely, health insurance coverage for children. Children's health insurance is related to the presence of parents and their financial resources, as well as public assistance programs designed to provide a safety net for children, such as the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP).
${ }^{23}$ See the upcoming Census 2000 -
Census Brief on Adopted and Stepchildren by Rose Kreider.
${ }^{24}$ See data and report products from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) at www.cdc.gov/nchs.

Table 9 presents data on children's health insurance coverage by the presence of parents. Overall, 88 percent of children were covered by health insurance. Ninetyone percent of children living with two parents were covered, compared with 86 percent and 82 percent of children living with single mothers and single fathers, respectively. Remarkably, 59 percent of children living in households with neither parent present were covered by health insurance.

There was no significant difference between the health insurance coverage of children who lived with a single father or children who lived with a single mother regardless of whether their parent was living with an unmarried partner. A single mother's marital status also influenced the health insurance coverage of her children. Children living with a divorced mother had the highest coverage rates of children living with a single mother, 89 percent. There were no significant differences between health insurance coverage by marital status for single fathers.

Children were more likely to be covered by health insurance if their parent (see Definition Box 1.) was a college graduate than if their parent had less than a high school education. About 96 percent of children whose parent had a bachelor's degree or more were covered. As the amount of education declined, so did the proportion of children who were covered, dropping to 75 percent for children with a parent who had less than a high school degree.

For children in all types of living arrangements, health coverage increased as family income increased. However, children living in households that were receiving public assistance were actually
more likely to be covered by health insurance than children who were in households that did not, probably because participation in public assistance programs is often linked with eligibility for some type of health insurance safety net for children in low-income families.

## SUMMARY

This report presents a series of basic indicators of children's wellbeing, their living arrangements, and their family characteristics. Based on the information shown in this report, children living with two parents were consistently more economically advantaged than children in other types of living arrangements. The persistent and widespread nature of this effect warrants its restatement although it is not a new finding. Even among children living with two parents, 9 percent lacked health insurance, 8 percent were in families living below the poverty level, and 4 percent lived in households that received food stamps.

Children in two-parent families generally had access to more financial resources and greater amounts of parental time. They also were more likely to participate in extracurricular activities, progress more steadily in school, and have more supervision over their activities such as television watching. ${ }^{25}$ The presence of two parents continues to be one of the most important factors in children's lives.

Additional information about children is available from other data sources on many of the topics presented in this report, as well as on

[^20]characteristics and measures not presented here. The Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics is a good source of information on federal contacts and data sources related to children and their well-being. ${ }^{26}$

## SOURCE OF THE DATA

The population represented (the population universe) in the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey is the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. Members of the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post are included if there is at least one civilian adult living in the household. (Starting in 2001, the Annual Demographic Supplement includes some data collected in February and April; see the Current Population Survey Technical Paper 63RV.) ${ }^{27}$ The institutionalized population, which is excluded from the population universe, is composed primarily of the population in correctional institutions and nursing homes (91 percent of the 4.1 million institutionalized population in Census 2000).

Two significant changes were made to the processing of March 2001 and later Current Population Survey Annual Demographic Supplements (ADS) that affect the estimates contained in this report. The first change was the implementation of population controls based on the 2000 Census of Population and Housing, replacing those based on the 1990 Census

[^21]Table 9.
Children's Health Insurance Coverage by Presence of Parents and Selected Characteristics: March 2002 ${ }^{1}$
(In thousands and percent)

| Characteristic | All children |  | Two parents |  | Mother only |  | Father only |  | Neither parent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Percent covered by health insurance | Total | Percent covered by health insurance | Total | Percent covered by health insurance | Total | Percent covered by health insurance | Total | Percent covered by health insurance |
| Total | 72,321 | 88.4 | 49,666 | 91.3 | 16,473 | 85.8 | 3,297 | 82.2 | 2,885 | 59.3 |
| Age of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 years | 23,363 | 89.3 | 16,358 | 92.0 | 5,139 | 86.3 | 1,141 | 81.4 | 725 | 62.3 |
| 6-11 years. | 24,623 | 88.8 | 16,922 | 91.2 | 5,755 | 87.1 | 1,007 | 83.5 | 939 | 61.4 |
| 12-17 years. | 24,335 | 87.1 | 16,386 | 90.8 | 5,579 | 84.0 | 1,149 | 81.7 | 1,222 | 55.8 |
| Race and ethnicity of child ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 56,276 | 89.0 | 41,944 | 91.5 | 10,052 | 85.2 | 2,548 | 83.8 | 1,732 1,174 | 60.5 |
| Non-Hispanic | 44,235 | 92.7 | 34,011 | 94.7 | 7,124 | 88.4 | 1,926 | 88.0 | 1,174 | 67.4 |
| Black | 11,646 | 86.2 | 4,481 | 91.5 | 5,605 | 87.8 | 605 | 77.4 | 956 | 57.3 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander | 3,223 | 88.4 | 2,637 | 90.6 | 419 | 85.0 | 65 | 78.5 | 102 | 50.0 |
| Hispanic (of any race) | 12,817 | 76.0 | 8,338 | 77.9 | 3,212 | 78.1 | 641 | 71.3 | 626 | 45.5 |
| Presence of siblings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None . . | 14,693 | 86.4 | 7,937 | 91.5 | 4,667 | 83.1 | 1,271 | 81.8 | 818 | 61.7 |
| One sibling | 28,498 | 90.9 | 20,931 | 92.9 | 5,915 | 87.8 | 1,177 | 82.1 | 475 | 63.2 |
| Two siblings. | 18,436 | 88.6 | 13,209 | 91.1 | 3,772 | 87.6 | 591 | 81.7 | 863 | 59.2 |
| Three siblings | 6,965 | 85.1 | 4,943 | 88.8 | 1,358 | 82.9 | 211 | 83.4 | 454 | 51.8 |
| Four siblings | 2,132 | 84.1 | 1,480 | 84.9 | 492 | 86.4 | 24 | 100.0 | 137 | 64.2 |
| Five or more siblings . | 1,596 | 78.9 | 1,167 | 81.8 | 268 | 78.7 | 23 | 91.3 | 138 | 52.2 |
| Unmarried-partner household ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parent is not householder or partner | 69,441 | 88.6 | 49,666 | 91.3 | 14,674 | 86.1 | 2,216 | 83.5 | 2,885 | 59.3 |
| Parent is householder or partner | 2,880 | 81.9 | (X) | (X) | 1,799 | 83.4 | 1,081 | 79.5 | (X) | (X) |
| Parent is householder. | 2,452 | 82.7 | (X) | (X) | 1,430 | 85.0 | 1,022 | 79.5 | (X) | ( X |
| Parent is partner | 428 | 77.8 | (X) | (X) | 369 | 77.5 | 59 | 79.7 | (X) | (X) |
| POSSLQ household ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not a POSSLQ household | 57,826 | 89.0 | 41,802 | 91.3 | 12,197 | 86.3 | 1,795 | 83.8 | 2,033 | 61.3 |
| POSSLQ household | 2,652 | 81.7 | (X) | (X) | 1,562 | 85.4 | 904 | 79.4 | 186 | 60.8 |
| Out of universe - child 15 to 17 years old | 11,842 | 86.9 | 7,864 | 91.3 | 2,714 | 83.8 | 598 | 81.4 | 667 | 52.5 |
| Education of parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 10,900 | 75.4 | 6,526 | 73.5 | 3,642 | 79.6 | 732 | 70.9 | (X) | (X) |
| High school degree. | 20,871 | 89.0 | 13,573 | 90.8 | 5,969 | 86.1 | 1,329 | 83.7 | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | ( X ) |
| Some college. | 19,315 | 92.2 | 13,552 | 94.2 | 4,925 | 87.7 | 838 | 86.2 | (X) | (X) |
| Bachelor's degree or more | 18,351 | 95.9 | 16,015 | 96.5 | 1,938 | 91.6 | 398 | 89.4 | (X) | (X) |
| No parents present | 2,885 | 59.3 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | 2,885 | 59.3 |
| Marital status of parent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married spouse present | 49,666 | 91.3 | 49,666 | 91.3 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Married spouse absent | 951 | 75.9 | (X) | (X) | 787 | 75.5 | 164 | 78.0 | (X) | (X) |
| Widowed. | 857 | 79.8 | (X) | (X) | 720 | 80.8 | 137 | 73.7 | (X) | (X) |
| Divorced | 6,932 | 88.4 | (X) | (X) | 5,593 | 88.8 | 1,339 | 86.9 | (X) | (X) |
| Separated. | 2,918 | 83.8 | (X) | (X) | 2,500 | 84.0 | 418 | 83.0 | (X) | (X) |
| Never married | 8,111 | 84.6 | (X) | (X) | 6,872 | 85.8 | 1,239 | 78.4 | ( X ) | (X) |
| No parent present. | 2,885 | 59.3 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | 2,885 | 59.3 |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$15,000 | 9,516 | 78.6 | 1,993 | 73.0 | 5,706 | 84.5 | 559 | 74.2 | 1,257 | 62.3 |
| \$15,000 to \$29,999. | 12,094 | 81.8 | 5,705 | 80.9 | 4,933 | 85.9 | 939 | 78.0 | 516 | 60.1 |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999. | 15,140 | 86.5 | 10,360 | 87.8 | 3,328 | 87.7 | 963 | 84.2 | 489 | 55.6 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999. | 14,414 | 92.5 | 12,160 | 94.1 | 1,493 | 87.6 | 455 | 90.1 | 307 | 54.4 |
| \$75,000 and over | 21,157 | 95.1 | 19,447 | 96.4 | 1,013 | 83.9 | 380 | 90.0 | 316 | 57.0 |
| Poverty status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 100 percent of poverty | 12,239 | 78.0 | 3,895 | 75.1 | 6,326 | 84.0 | 638 | 74.1 | 1,380 | 60.9 |
| 100 to 199 percent of poverty | 15,686 | 82.5 | 9,147 | 83.3 | 4,949 | 85.3 | 935 | 78.1 | 655 | 56.5 |
| 200 percent of poverty and above | 44,396 | 93.3 | 36,623 | 95.0 | 5,199 | 88.5 | 1,723 | 87.4 | 851 | 58.6 |
| Household receives public assistance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receives assistance | 3,372 | 96.5 | 776 | 98.2 | 2,101 | 98.5 | 154 | 92.2 | 340 | 82.6 |
| Does not receive assistance . | 68,949 | 88.0 | 48,889 | 91.2 | 14,372 | 83.9 | 3,143 | 81.7 | 2,545 | 56.2 |
| Household tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owns/buying | 48,542 | 90.5 | 38,362 | 93.3 | 6,547 | 84.5 | 1,808 | 85.2 | 1,825 | 59.6 |
| Rents | 22,512 | 83.6 | 10,366 | 83.9 | 9,689 | 86.7 | 1,444 | 78.9 | 1,012 | 58.4 |
| No cash rent | 1,266 | 89.6 | 938 | 93.1 | 237 | 84.0 | 44 | 68.2 | 48 | 66.7 |
| Type of residence ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central city, in MSA. | 20,971 | 85.6 | 12,202 | 88.6 | 6,621 | 85.8 | 1,105 | 77.6 | 1,044 | 57.9 |
| Outside central city, in MSA | 38,194 | 89.9 | 28,540 | 92.8 | 6,944 | 85.3 | 1,477 | 83.6 | 1,234 | 55.9 |
| Outside MSA . | 13,155 | 88.4 | 8,924 | 90.4 | 2,908 | 87.0 | 716 | 86.3 | 608 | 68.6 |

## X Not applicable

${ }_{2}^{1}$ All people under age 18, excluding group quarters, householders, subfamily reference people, and their spouses.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Data are not shown separately for the American Indian and Alaska Native population because of the small sample size in the Current Population Survey in March 2001.
${ }^{3}$ If the parent is either the householder with an unmarried partner in the household, or the unmarried partner of the householder, they are cohabiting based on this direct measure. Cohabiting couples where neither partner is the householder are not identified
not married. There can be any number of people under age 15 in the household. The universe of children under age 15 is shown as the denominator for POSSLQ measurement
${ }_{5}$ "MSA" refers to Metropolitan Statistical Area.
Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.
of Population and Housing．The second was the State Children＇s Health Insurance（SCHIP）sample expansion．The use of independ－ ent survey controls and the SCHIP expansion are explained in more detail in Current Population Survey Technical Paper 63RV，Design and Methodology，TP63RV，Washington DC，March 2002．${ }^{28}$

## ACCURACY OF THE ESTIMATES

Statistics from surveys are subject to sampling and nonsampling error． All comparisons presented in this report have taken sampling error into account and are significant at the 90 －percent confidence level． This means the 90 －percent confi－ dence interval for the difference between the estimates being com－ pared does not include zero． Nonsampling errors in surveys may be attributed to a variety of sources，such as how the survey was designed，how respondents interpret questions，how able and willing respondents are to provide correct answers，and how accurate－ ly the answers are coded and classi－ fied．The Census Bureau employs quality control procedures through－ out the production process includ－ ing the overall design of surveys，
${ }^{28}$ ibid．
the wording of questions，review of the work of interviewers and coders，and statistical review of reports to minimize these errors．

The Current Population Survey weighting procedure uses ratio esti－ mation whereby sample estimates are adjusted to independent esti－ mates of the national population by age，race，sex，and Hispanic origin． This weighting partially corrects for bias due to undercoverage，but biases may still be present when people who are missed by the sur－ vey differ from those interviewed in ways other than age，race，sex，and Hispanic origin．How this weight－ ing procedure affects other vari－ ables in the survey is not precisely known．All of these considerations affect comparisons across different surveys or data sources．

For further information on statisti－ cal standards and the computation and use of standard errors，go to www．bls．census．gov／cps／ads／2002／ S\＆A＿02．pdf or contact Jeffrey Stratton of the Census Bureau＇s Demographic Statistical Methods Division on the Internet at dsmd．source．and．accuracy＠ census．gov．

## MORE INFORMATION

Detailed tables from the March 2002 CPS are available on the Internet，at the Census Bureau＇s

World Wide Web site （www．census．gov）．Once on the site，click on Subjects A－Z，select ＂C，＂and then select＂Children＇s data．＂From the＂Children＇s data＂ page，select＂Detailed tables＂under ＂2002 March CPS＂and then choose from the list of options．

## SUGGESTED CITATION

Fields，Jason．2003．Children＇s Living Arrangements and Characteristics：March 2002. Current Population Reports，P20－ 547．U．S．Census Bureau， Washington，DC．

## CONTACTS

Statistical Information Staff： POP＠Census．gov，301－763－2422

Jason Fields：Fertility and Family Statistics Branch． Jason．M．Fields＠Census．gov， 301－763－2416

## USER COMMENTS

The Census Bureau welcomes the comments and advice of data and report users．If you have any sug－ gestions or comments，please write to：

Chief，Population Division
U．S．Census Bureau
Washington，DC 20233
or send e－mail to：pop＠census．gov


[^0]:    This includes all children except those living in group quarters, householders, subfamily reference people, and their spouses.

    The data in this report are from the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. The population represented (the population universe) is the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. Members of the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post are included if there is at least one civilian adult living in the household.

    The estimates in this report are based on responses from a sample of the population. As with all surveys, estimates may vary from the actual values because of sampling variation or other factors. All comparisons made in this report have undergone statistical testing and are significant at the 90-percent confidence level unless otherwise noted.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Basic counts of children by race, ethnicity, sex, and age are available in the detailed Internet tables published with this report.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The universe for this table is children under age 15 living with single parents. Those not living with single parents, those who are householders, subfamily reference people or their spouses, and those in group quarters are excluded from this table.
    ${ }^{2}$ Unmarried-partner households are households in which the child's parent is either the householder with an unmarried partner or the unmarried partner of the householder. These households are "directly" identified from the relationship to householder item on the survey.
    ${ }^{3}$ POSSLQ (Persons of the Opposite Sex Sharing Living Quarters) is defined by the presence of only two people 15 years and over in the household who are opposite sex, not related, and not married. There can be any number of people under age 15 in the household. This is an "indirect" measure of cohabitation, derived from household composition.

    Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Counting Couples: Improving
    Marriage, Divorce, Remarriage, and Cohabitation Data in the Federal Statistical System. Highlights from a National Workshop, December 13 and 14, 2001, Sponsored by: The Data Collection Committee of the Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics. Contact the Demographic and Behavioral Sciences Branch of NICHD for print copies of this document. Phone: 301-496-1174. Also see the Forum's Web site: www.childstats.gov.

[^4]:    ' The parent is the householder or partner, in an unmarried-partner household. Single means the parent has no spouse in the household.
    ${ }^{2}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Lynne M. Casper and Suzanne M. Bianchi. (2002). Continuity \& Change In The American Family. Table 5.1 - page 131.
    Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, Inc.

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race. Data for the American Indian and Alaska Native population are not shown in this report because of the small sample size in the Current Population Survey, March 2002. Based on the March 2002 Current Population Survey, 4 percent of Black children and 3 percent of Asian and Pacific Islander children are of Hispanic origin. These percentages are not significantly different.

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ For the single mothers: The 14 percent for non-Hispanic White, 6 percent for Black, and 12 percent for Hispanic were each not significantly different from the 11 percent for Asian and Pacific Islander. For the single fathers: The 29 percent for non-Hispanic White, 30 percent for Black, and 46 percent for Hispanic were each not significantly different from the 40 percent for Asian and Pacific Islander. The 29 percent for non-Hispanic White was not significantly different from the 30 percent for Black. The 30 percent for Black was not significantly different from the 40 percent for Asian and Pacific Islander.

[^8]:    ${ }^{7}$ The percentage of Asian and Pacific Islander children with parents present in grandmother-owned households was not significantly different from the percentage in grandfather owned households, and the percentage of Hispanic children with parents absent in households owned by both grandparents was not significantly different from the percentage in grandmother-owned households.

[^9]:    - Represents zero or rounds to zero. X Not applicable
    ${ }^{1}$ All people under age 18, excluding group quarters, householders, subfamily reference people, and their spouses.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data are not shown separately for the American Indian and Alaska Native population because of the small sample size in the Current Population Survey in March 2002
    ${ }^{3}$ "MSA" refers to Metropolitan Statistical Area
    Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey.

[^10]:    ${ }^{8}$ The 43 percent of Hispanic children living with their grandparents and parents, neither of which are householders, is not significantly different from the 36 percent of Hispanic children with parents and grandparents and whose parents are householders.

[^11]:    ${ }^{9}$ The 12 percent of children in poverty who lived with a grandparent in their parent's household was not significantly different from the 15 percent of children in poverty who lived with a grandparent and a parent in their grandparent's household.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ All people under age 18, excluding group quarters, householders, subfamily reference people, and their spouses.
    Note: Data based on the Annual Demographic Supplement to the March 2002 Current Population Survey. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf.

[^13]:    ${ }^{12}$ The 17 percent of Black and Asian and Pacific Islander children was not significantly different from the 19 percent of Hispanic children.
    ${ }^{13}$ The Asian and Pacific Islander unemployment rate is not significantly different from that of White Non-Hispanic children.

[^14]:    ${ }^{14}$ The unemployment rate for children whose parent had less than a high school diploma was not significantly different from unemployment rate for children whose parent was a high school graduate, or from children living without either parent.

[^15]:    ${ }^{15}$ The percentage of children in families with incomes below $\$ 30,000$ ( 30 percent) was not significantly different from the percentage in families having incomes of at least \$75,000 (29 percent).

[^16]:    ${ }^{16}$ The 15 percent of children who lived in households without parents and received food stamps and 13 percent of children who lived with a single father and received food stamps were not significantly different.
    ${ }^{17}$ See A. Dianne Schmidley. (2001). Profile of the Foreign-Born Population in the United States: 2000, Current Population Reports, P23-206. www.census.gov/ prod/2002pubs/p23-206.pdf
    ${ }^{18}$ See Lisa Lollock. (2001). The ForeignBorn Population in the United States: March 2000, Current Population Reports - P20-534. www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p20-534.pdf

[^17]:    ${ }^{19}$ The number of Asian and Pacific Islander children with at least one foreignborn parent is not significantly different from the number of foreign-born children with at least one foreign-born parent.
    ${ }^{20}$ See Frank F. Furstenberg, JR., J. Brooks-Gunn, and S. Philip Morgan (1987). "Adolescent Mothers and Their Children in Later Life." Family Planning Perspectives, Volume 19:4, 142-151. Also see: Dennis P. Hogan and Nan Marie Astone. (1986). "The Transition to Adulthood." Annual Review of Sociology, 12:109-30.

[^18]:    ${ }^{21}$ The 20 percent of foreign-born children with native parents and the 27 percent of native children with native parents are not significantly different. Additionally, the 77 percent of foreign-born children with native parents at or above 200 percent of poverty and the 66 percent of native children with native parents at or above 200 percent of poverty are not significantly different.

[^19]:    ${ }^{22}$ See Douglas S. Massey, et. al. (1994). "An Evaluation of International Migration Theory: The North American Case." Population and Development Review, Volume 20:4, 699-75 1. Also see: Mary M. Kritz and June Marie Nogel. (1994). "Nativity
    Concentration and Internal Migration among the Foreign-Born." Demography, Volume 31:3, 509-524.

[^20]:    ${ }^{25}$ See Jason Fields, Kristin Smith, Loretta Bass, Terry Lugaila. (2001). A Child's Day: Home, School, and Play (Selected Indicators of Child Well-Being). Current Population Reports, P70-68. U.S. Census Bureau, Washington DC. www.census.gov/prod/2001pubs/p70-68.pdf

[^21]:    ${ }^{26}$ See Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics. America's Children: Key National Indicators of Well-Being, 2002. Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. Also see the Forum's website: www.childstats.gov/
    ${ }^{27}$ See the Current Population Survey Technical Paper 63RV, Design and Methodology, TP63RV, Washington DC, March 2002 www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/ tp63rv.pdf

