

Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin

ATR42–28–0039, Revision 03, dated November 15, 2006, are considered

acceptable for compliance with the corresponding action specified in this AD.

TABLE 1.—SERVICE INFORMATION

Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin	Revision level	Date
ATR42–28–0039 (for Model ATR42 Airplanes)	04	June 12, 2007.
ATR72–28–1019 (for Model ATR72 Airplanes)	05	June 12, 2007.

**FAA AD Differences**

**Note:** This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: The additional actions specified in the MCAI for operators that have done actions in accordance with previous issues of the service bulletins are not complete. Therefore, this AD only refers to ATR Service Bulletin ATR42–28–0039, Revision 03, dated November 15, 2006; Revision 04, dated June 12, 2007; and ATR72–28–1019, Revision 05, dated June 12, 2007; as appropriate sources of service information for accomplishing the required actions. Operators that have done actions in accordance with previous issues of the service bulletins may request an approval for an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) according to paragraph (g) of this AD, provided that the AMOC provides an acceptable level of safety.

**Other FAA AD Provisions**

(g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, ANM–116, International Branch, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to *ATTN:* Tom Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1137; fax (425) 227–1149. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from

a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

**Related Information**

(h) Refer to MCAI EASA Airworthiness Directive 2007–0226, dated August 24, 2007, and the service information listed in Table 2 of this AD, for related information.

TABLE 2.—RELATED SERVICE INFORMATION

Avions de Transport Regional Service Bulletin	Revision level	Date
ATR42–28–0039	04	June 12, 2007.
ATR72–28–1019	05	June 12, 2007.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 10, 2007.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7–24382 Filed 12–14–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY****Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau****27 CFR Parts 4 and 9**

[Notice No. 79; Re: Notice No. 77]

RIN 1513–AA92

**Proposed Establishment of the Calistoga Viticultural Area; Comment Period Extension**

**AGENCY:** Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In response to industry member requests, we are extending the comment period for Notice No. 77, Proposed Establishment of the Calistoga Viticultural Area, a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on November 20, 2007, for an additional 90 days.

**DATES:** Written comments on Notice No. 77 must now be received on or before March 20, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments on Notice No. 77 to one of the following addresses:

- <http://www.regulations.gov> (Federal e-rulemaking portal; follow the instructions for submitting comments); or

- Director, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044–4412.

You may view copies of this notice, Notice No. 77, and any comments we receive about the proposals described in Notice No. 77 under Docket No. TTB–2007–0067 on the Regulations.gov Web site at <http://www.regulations.gov>. A

link to Docket No. TTB–2007–0067 is also available on the TTB Web site at [http://www.ttb.gov/regulations\\_laws/all\\_rulemaking.shtml](http://www.ttb.gov/regulations_laws/all_rulemaking.shtml), within the entry for Notice No. 77. In addition, you may view copies of the same materials described above by appointment at the TTB Information Resource Center, 1310 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20220. To make an appointment, call (202) 927–2400.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amy R. Greenberg, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, 1310 G Street, NW., Suite 200E, Washington, DC 20220; telephone 202–927–8210; or e-mail [Amy.Greenberg@ttb.gov](mailto:Amy.Greenberg@ttb.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 31, 2005, the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB) published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** regarding the establishment of the Calistoga viticultural area (see Notice No. 36, 70 FR 16451). In light of comments regarding the potential adverse impact on established brand names that we

received in response to that first notice, we published a second notice of proposed rulemaking on November 20, 2007, as Notice No. 77 (72 FR 65256) requesting comments on our proposal to provide “grandfather” protection for certain brand names used on existing certificates of label approval, provided those labels also carry information that would dispel an impression that the wine meets the requirements for using the viticultural area name. As originally published, comments on Notice No. 77 are due on or before December 20, 2007, 30 days after its publication.

Also on November 20, 2007, TTB published Notice No. 78 (72 FR 65261), a notice of proposed rulemaking regarding our regulations on the establishment of American viticultural areas. The proposed amendments clarify rules for preparing, submitting, and processing viticultural area petitions. The proposals contained in Notice No. 78 also include an amendment to 27 CFR 4.39(i) that would establish a “grandfather” provision to protect wine labels using a viticultural area name, provided that the label in question was approved and in actual commercial use for a specified period of time preceding TTB’s receipt of a perfected petition for establishment of the viticultural area. As originally published, comments on Notice No. 78 are due on or before January 22, 2008, 60 days after its publication.

After the publication of Notice No. 77, TTB received two requests from wine industry groups to extend that notice’s comment period. Both the Napa Valley Vintners, a trade group representing over 300 Napa Valley (California) vintners, and the Wine Institute, a trade representing 1,100 California wineries and wine-related businesses, requested that the comment period for Notice No. 77 be extended an additional 90 days.

In support of its extension request, Napa Valley Vintners indicates that the proposed grandfather provision contained in Notice No. 77 “is of great concern to our association” and is “inextricably linked” to TTB’s more general grandfathering proposal contained in Notice No. 78. The association believes that its decisions regarding the two notices “should be made at the same time.” Its requested 90-day extension, the group states, will allow its Winegrower Appellation Committee to consider the grandfather provision and the specific questions posed by TTB in Notice No. 77. The Napa Valley Vintners’ request notes that its appellation committee’s recommendations must then be presented to the group’s board of

directors, which only meets once a month.

The Wine Institute, in its comment period extension request, also noted that Notice Nos. 77 and 78 deal with similar issues “that call for consistent rather than staggered comment periods.” In addition, the Wine Institute stated that, with the holiday season, its membership “is engaged in one of its busiest months of the year.”

In response to these requests, TTB extends the original 30-day comment period for Notice No. 77 for an additional 90 days, so that the comment period will equal 120 days. Therefore, comments on Notice No. 77 are now due on or before March 20, 2008.

#### Drafting Information

Michael Hoover of the Regulations and Rulings Division drafted this notice.

**John J. Manfreda,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E7-24361 Filed 12-14-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-31-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau

#### 27 CFR Parts 4, 9, and 70

[Notice No. 80; Re: Notice No. 78]

RIN 1513-AB39

#### Proposed Revision of American Viticultural Area Regulations; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** In response to industry member requests, we are extending the comment period for Notice No. 78, Proposed Revision of American Viticultural Area Regulations, a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on November 20, 2007, for an additional 60 days.

**DATES:** Written comments on Notice No. 78 must now be received on or before March 20, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments on Notice No. 78 to one of the following addresses:

- <http://www.regulations.gov> (Federal e-rulemaking portal; follow the instructions for submitting comments); or
- Director, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044-4412.

You may view copies of this notice, Notice No. 78, and any comments we receive about the proposals described in Notice No. 78 under Docket No. TTB-2007-0068 on the Regulations.gov Web site at <http://www.regulations.gov>. A link to Docket No. TTB-2007-0068 is also available on the TTB Web site at [http://www.ttb.gov/regulations\\_laws/all\\_rulemaking.shtml](http://www.ttb.gov/regulations_laws/all_rulemaking.shtml), within the entry for Notice No. 78. In addition, you may view copies of the same materials described above by appointment at the TTB Information Resource Center, 1310 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20220. To make an appointment, call (202) 927-2400.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rita D. Butler, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, 1310 G Street, NW., Suite 200-E, Washington, DC 20220; telephone: 202-927-1608, fax: 202-927-8525.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 20, 2007, the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB) published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** as Notice No. 78 (72 FR 65261) requesting comments on proposed amendments to our regulations regarding the use of geographic brand names found in 27 CFR part 4 and the establishment of American viticultural areas (AVAs) contained in 27 CFR part 9. The proposed amendments address the effect that the approval of an AVA may have on established brand names and clarify the rules for preparing, submitting, and processing viticultural area petitions. TTB also proposes to add to the regulations statements regarding the viticultural significance of established viticultural area names, or key portions of those names, for wine labeling purposes. As originally published, comments on Notice No. 78 are due on or before January 22, 2008, 60 days after its publication.

Also on November 20, 2007, TTB published Notice No. 77 in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 65256), a notice of proposed rulemaking regarding the establishment of the Calistoga viticultural area in Napa County, California. Specifically, Notice No. 77 sought comments on a proposal to provide “grandfather” protection for certain brand names used on existing certificates of label approval. As originally published, comments on Notice No. 77 are due on or before December 20, 2007, 30 days after its publication.

After the publication of Notice No. 78, TTB received three requests from wine industry groups to extend that notice’s