1 2	JOHN B. BULGOZDY, Cal. Bar No. 219897 Email: bulgozdyj@sec.gov WILLIAM S. FISKE, Cal. Bar No. 123071 Email: fiskew@sec.gov	
3 4	Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission Rosalind R. Tyson, Regional Director Michele Wein Layne, Associate Regional Director John M. McCoy III, Regional Trial Counsel 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
l 1	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES
14	v.	LAWS
15	AHMAD HARIS TAJYAR, ZACHARY W. R. BRYANT, OMAR AHMAD TAJYAR, and VISPI B. SHROFF,	
17	Defendants,	
18	and	
19	DIONYSUS CAPITAL, LP,	
20	Relief Defendant.	
21		
22	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as	
23	follows:	
24	SUMMARY OF THE ACTION	
25	1. Between April 2005 and December 2006, Zachary Bryant ("Bryant")	
26	then an employee of an investor relations firm located in Los Angeles, repeatedly	
27	misappropriated material nonpublic information from his employer and tipped	

Ahmad Haris Tajyar ("Haris") with inside information about five impending

corporate announcements. Haris used the material nonpublic information he received from Bryant to trade in his accounts and accounts of a hedge fund he managed, relief defendant Dionysus Capital, LP ("Dionysus Capital"), realizing illegal trading profits of approximately \$924,000.

- 2. Harris in turn tipped his cousin Omar Ahmad Tajyar ("Omar"), and Omar used the information to trade in advance of the announcements, realizing illegal profits of approximately \$312,000. Haris and/or Omar tipped, or made trades in the account of, defendant Vispi Shroff ("Shroff"), in advance of three of the announcements, and Shroff made unlawful profits of approximately \$207,000 from the unlawful trading.
- 3. By engaging in the conduct alleged in this Complaint, the defendants violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. The Commission requests that the Court permanently enjoin each of the defendants from further violations of these laws, impose a substantial civil penalty on each defendant, require defendants and the relief defendant to disgorge all profits realized from their unlawful tipping and trading, plus prejudgment interest on those amounts, and issue an order barring Haris and Omar from serving as an officer or director of any public company.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d), and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d), and 77v(a), and Sections 21(d)(1), 21(e), 21A, and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(e), 78u-1, and 78aa. Defendants, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.
 - 5. Venue is proper pursuant to Sections 20(b) and 22(a) of the Securities

Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b) and 77v(a), and Sections 21(d), 21A, and 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u-1, and 78aa, because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district, and defendants reside within this district.

DEFENDANTS

- 6. Ahmad Haris Tajyar ("Haris"), age 33, resides in Encino, California. Since 2002, Haris has owned and operated Investor Relations International ("IRI"), an investor relations firm with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California. Prior to that, Haris was an account executive at an investor relations firm in Los Angeles named Financial Relations Board ("FRB"). Until September 9, 2008, Haris was the chairman and chief executive officer of Harry's Trucking, Inc. ("Harry's Trucking"), a publicly traded company whose shares were registered with the Commission. During 2005 and 2006, Haris ran a hedge fund named Dionysus Capital, LP ("Dionysus Capital").
- 7. Zachary W. R. Bryant ("Bryant"), age 38, resides in North Hollywood, California. For a period of time through mid-2000, Bryant worked with Haris at FRB. From mid-2000 through mid-2007, Bryant was an account executive, and later assistant vice president, at the Los Angeles office of Lippert Heilshorn & Associates, Inc. ("Lippert"), an investor relations firm. At Lippert, Bryant serviced various clients, including Connetics Corporation ("Connetics"), Medivation, Inc. ("Medivation"), Tercica, Inc. ("Tercica"), and Halozyme Therapeutics, Inc. ("Halozyme"). After Bryant left Lippert in mid-2007, he went to work at Haris' investor relations firm, IRI, where he is a senior vice president.
- 8. Omar Ahmad Tajyar ("Omar"), age 29, resides in Porter Ranch, California. Omar is Haris' cousin. Omar works as the comptroller of IRI and handles administrative matters. Until September 9, 2008, Omar was an officer and a director of Harry's Trucking.

9. Vispi B. Shroff ("Shroff"), age 56, resides in Canyon Country, California. Shroff has been licensed as a CPA in California since 1987, and is currently employed by Hilton Hotels Corp.

RELIEF DEFENDANT

10. Dionysus Capital, LP ("Dionysus Capital"), a Delaware limited partnership formed in January 2004, operated a hedge fund based in Los Angeles, California. Its general partner, Dionysus Management, LLC, was controlled by defendant Haris.

THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT CONDUCT

I. Bryant's Access to Material Nonpublic Information

- 11. Bryant was an account executive and later assistant vice president at Lippert's Los Angeles office. Lippert specialized in helping small-cap public companies develop press releases, conference call scripts, and other materials to communicate important information to shareholders, analysts, and the public at large. Through his employment, Bryant routinely learned material information about Lippert's clients in advance of release of the information to the public.
- 12. Lippert's clients included the then publicly traded companies Connetics, Tercica, Medivation, and Halozyme, and it provided investor relations services for these companies.
- 13. Throughout his employment at Lippert, Bryant had a duty not to disclose any confidential information he learned in the course of his employment and not to use the information for his benefit or the benefit of others. During his entire employment at Lippert, Bryant was aware that he had a duty not to disclose any confidential information he learned from his employment. Periodically throughout his employment, Bryant signed confidentiality agreements with Lippert that, among other things, required him to maintain the confidentiality of information he learned during the course of his employment, such as a Confidentiality and Non-Solicitation Agreement that Bryant signed in January 2006.

28 | ///

II. The Insider Tipping and Trading

- 14. Bryant and Haris met in approximately 1997 when Bryant started working at FRB. Bryant was Haris' administrative assistant while the two worked together at FRB. Bryant left FRB in early 2000 and joined Lippert in June 2000. After Byrant left FRB, he and Haris stayed in contact with one another. At some point in 2005 or 2006, Bryant asked Haris for a job at IRI. During one conversation, Haris stated that Bryant might be able to work himself into a paying position by assisting Haris in establishing a business involving voice over Internet protocol ("VOIP") technology. During 2006, Haris and Bryant worked together on the VOIP venture, and Bryant held himself out as affiliated with IRI. In 2007, Haris hired Bryant to work at IRI.
- 15. Haris and Omar are cousins, and work together at IRI. In addition, Haris and Omar have at least one joint stock trading account.
- 16. Shroff knows Haris and Omar. Haris has managed one of Shroff's brokerage accounts since November 2005. Shroff had an agreement to permit Haris to place trades in one of Shroff's accounts, in return for Shroff's agreement to split profits from such trades with Haris. Shroff provided Omar with his user IDs and passwords for this account, and other brokerage accounts Shroff owned.

A. <u>Tipping and Trading in Advance of Connetics' April 26, 2005</u> Announcement

17. On or before April 26, 2005, Bryant misappropriated material nonpublic information, in breach of his duty of confidentiality to Lippert, by tipping Haris about a planned announcement by Lippert's client Connetics. Haris in turn tipped Omar, and they traded while in possession of material nonpublic information. Bryant tipped Haris to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to Haris, and Haris in turn tipped Omar to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to Omar.

- 18. One of the accounts Bryant worked on while at Lippert was Connetics, a specialty pharmaceutical company. Until December 2006, shares in Connetics traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol CNCT.
- 19. On or about April 18, 2005, in the course of working on the Connetics account, Bryant learned material nonpublic information that Connetics planned to announce it expected lower than projected revenue for the second quarter of 2005, even though first quarter sales were better than expected.
- 20. On or about April 25, 2005, Bryant participated in a teleconference between Lippert and Connetics, during which he learned that the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") had concerns about the safety of an acne treatment named "Velac" that Connetics was developing. Bryant learned this material information before it was announced to the public.
- 21. On April 26, 2005, between 9:56 a.m. and 10:56 a.m., ¹ Bryant placed three telephone calls to Haris and IRI. The third call was placed to Haris' extension at IRI and lasted approximately two minutes.
- 22. Shortly after the last call from Bryant to Haris, beginning at 11:14 a.m. on April 26, defendants Haris and Omar cumulatively sold short 85,000 shares of Connetics stock in various accounts they controlled, including Haris' personal account, Omar's personal account, and an account of Dionysus Capital.
- 23. At 1:05 p.m. on April 26, (shortly after the stock market closed for the day), Connetics issued its first quarter earnings release which projected that revenues for the second quarter would be lower than previously expected. At 1:33 p.m. on April 26, Connetics filed a Form 8-K with the Commission which disclosed the FDA's concerns with the safety of Velac.
- 24. On April 27, 2005, following these announcements, Connetics stock closed at \$22.30 per share, a decrease of approximately 19% from the prior day's

All times specified in the Complaint are Pacific Time.

closing price, while trading volume increased approximately 588% over the prior day.

25. On April 27, 2005, Haris, Omar, and Dionysus Capital covered their short positions and reaped their unlawful profits. Haris realized a profit of approximately \$164,900. Omar realized a profit of approximately \$69,000. Dionysus Capital realized a profit of approximately \$196,800.

B. <u>Tipping and Trading in Advance of Connetics' July 10, 2006</u> <u>Announcement</u>

- 26. On or before June 22, 2006, Bryant misappropriated material nonpublic information, in breach of his duty of confidentiality to Lippert, by tipping Haris about a planned announcement by Lippert's client Connetics. Haris in turn tipped Omar, and Omar in turn tipped Shroff, and defendants traded while in possession of material nonpublic information. Bryant tipped Haris to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to Haris, and Haris in turn tipped Omar to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to his cousin. Haris and/or Omar tipped Shroff, to confer a benefit on themselves or to provide a gift to Shroff.
- 27. On June 20, 2006, through his work at Lippert, Bryant learned that Connetics was going to announce that it would not meet its second quarter 2006 earnings forecast during a conference call with Connetics management in which Bryant participated.
- 28. On June 22, 2006, Bryant placed an approximately five minute call to Haris.
- 29. On the morning of June 23, Haris began selling short Connetics stock and purchasing put options on Connetics in a Dionysus Capital account. Over the next two weeks, through July 7, Haris continued to short Connetics stock, as well as purchase put options, in Dionysus Capital accounts. During this period, defendants Haris and Omar also sold short Connetics stock and purchased put options in their personal accounts, and sold Connetics stock short in an account held jointly by Haris and Omar. On the day before Connetics' announcement,

Haris purchased 200 put options in his personal account. In total, Haris, Omar, and Dionysus Capital sold short approximately 152,250 shares of Connetics stock and purchased 710 put options.

- 30. On June 27, at 11:48 a.m., Omar called Shroff. Shortly after the call, short sales of Connetics stock were made in Shroff's account. A computer with an IP address belonging to IRI accessed Shroff's account before at least two subsequent orders for short sales were placed in Shroff's account. Between June 27 and July 3, two different accounts owned by Shroff sold short approximately 56,180 shares of Connetics stock and purchased 160 put options.
- 31. On Monday, July 10, 2006, at 4:00 a.m. (before the opening of the market), Connetics issued a press release announcing it expected earnings and revenue for the second quarter, and for the full year 2006, to be "materially below" the amounts included in the guidance Connetics had provided to the market on May 3, 2006, and withdrew its financial guidance for the 2006 fiscal year.
- 32. Connetics shares closed at \$7.76 per share on Monday, July 10, a decrease of approximately 33% over the prior close, while trading volume increased approximately 3,668% over the prior trading day.
- 33. After the market opened on July 10, 2006, defendants Haris, Omar, Shroff, and relief defendant Dionysus Capital covered their short positions, sold their put options, and reaped their unlawful profits. Haris realized a profit of approximately \$70,500. Omar realized a profit of approximately \$167,100. Haris and Omar together realized a profit of approximately \$13,000 in their joint account. Dionysus Capital realized a profit of approximately \$286,800. Shroff realized a profit of approximately \$173,800.

C. <u>Tipping and Trading in Advance of Tercica's July 18, 2006</u> <u>Announcement</u>

34. On or before July 18, 2006, Bryant misappropriated material nonpublic information, in breach of his duty of confidentiality to Lippert, by

tipping Haris about a planned announcement by Lippert's client Tercica. Haris in turn tipped Omar, and they traded while in possession of material nonpublic information. Bryant tipped Haris to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to Haris, and Haris in turn tipped Omar to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to his cousin.

- 35. In 2006, Tercica, a biopharmaceutical company, was a Lippert client that traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol TRCA. In the course of his duties at Lippert, Bryant worked on the Tercica account.
- 36. On or about February 28, 2006, Bryant learned material and nonpublic information concerning negotiations between Tercica and Ipsen Pharmaceutical concerning a proposed agreement between the two companies to engage in a worldwide strategic collaboration in endocrinology research. Part of the collaboration involved Ipsen purchasing a substantial stake in Tercica.
- 37. On July 10, in the course of his duties at Lippert, Bryant prepared and sent an email containing a proposed timeline for the public announcement of the agreement between Tercica and Ipsen, as well as arrangements for a conference call with analysts. The timeline proposed July 17 as the date for the announcement. However, the date for the announcement subsequently slipped to July 18, 2006. Bryant continued to be involved in planning the Tercica announcement between July 10 and July 18.
- 38. On July 18, 2006, Bryant placed three telephone calls to Haris' cellular telephone, at 10:35 a.m., 10:36 a.m., and 10:42 a.m. At 11:04 a.m., Bryant received an approximately two-minute call from IRI.
- 39. At 11:36 a.m. on July 18, Haris began purchasing Tercica securities in his own account. At 11:43 a.m., Omar began purchasing shares of Tercica. At 12:03 p.m., Haris began purchasing shares of Tercica in Dionysus Capital's account. In total, by the end of the day, Haris had purchased approximately 20,000 shares of Tercica, Dionysus Capital had purchased 20,000 shares, and Omar had

purchased 10,000 shares.

- 40. During trading on July 18, Tercica's stock price increased approximately 10% on intra-day trading and closed at \$4.70 per share, a 52-week high. After Haris' initial purchases of Tercica and before the market closed on July 18, Haris sold 15,000 shares of Tercica acquired earlier that day from his own account, and 5,000 from the account of Dionysus Capital, at a profit of approximately \$8,100.
- 41. On July 18, 2006 at 4:26 p.m. (after the market closed), Tercica and Ipsen issued a press release announcing their strategic collaboration.
- 42. On July 19, Tercica's stock rose 8.2% to close at \$5.09 per share on increased trading volume of 163% over the prior day.
- 43. On July 19, 2006, defendants sold their remaining Tercica shares. Haris realized profits of approximately \$10,100. Dionysus Capital realized profits of approximately \$4,200. Omar realized profits of approximately \$1,600.

D. <u>Tipping and Trading in Advance of Medivation's September 21, 2006 Announcement</u>

- 44. On or before September 18, 2006, Bryant misappropriated material nonpublic information, in breach of his duty of confidentiality to Lippert, by tipping Haris about a planned announcement by Lippert's client Medivation. Haris in turn tipped Omar, and they traded while in possession of material nonpublic information. Haris and/or Omar tipped Shroff, who also traded while in possession of the material nonpublic information. Bryant tipped Haris to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to Haris, and Haris in turn tipped Omar to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to his cousin. Haris and/or Omar tipped Shroff to confer a benefit on themselves or to provide a gift to Shroff.
- 45. In 2006, Lippert had as a client a company named Medivation, a pharmaceutical and medical device technology company which traded on the AMEX under the symbol MDV. In the course of his duties at Lippert, Bryant

worked on the Medivation account.

- 46. On Friday, September 15, 2006, Bryant participated in a conference call with Medivation's management during which he learned material and nonpublic information that Medivation's clinical trial results for a new drug were better than expected. Bryant and Lippert began to work on a public announcement about the test results.
- 47. The following Monday, September 18, at 9:41 a.m., Bryant and Haris had an approximately two minute telephone conversation.
- 48. At 10:05 a.m. on September 18, Haris began purchasing shares of Medivation in his account, and 12:34 p.m., he began purchasing Medivation in Dionysus Capital's accounts.
 - 49. At 10:31 a.m., Omar began purchasing Medivation in his accounts.
- 50. Haris and Omar continued purchasing Medivation shares on September 19 and 20. In total, Haris, Omar, and Dionysus Capital purchased approximately 109,600 shares of Medivation between September 18 and September 20, 2006. During this period, defendants sold 11,300 Medivation shares for a profit, and 5,000 shares at a loss.
- 51. On September 20, 2006 at 7:26 a.m., Shroff called Haris, and within less than 20 minutes, at 7:43 a.m., one of Shroff's accounts began purchasing Medivation. By late morning, Shroff owned approximately 12,600 shares of Medivation in two different accounts.
- 52. On September 21, 2006 at 4:00 a.m. (before the market opened), Medivation issued a press release announcing the favorable clinical test results. In response to this news, Medivation's stock price increased approximately 37% to close at \$8.30 on September 21, and trading volume increased 356% over the prior day.
- 53. On September 21, Haris, Omar, Shroff, and Dionysus Capital sold all of their Medivation stock. Haris realized profits of approximately \$132,300, and Dionysus Capital realized approximately \$22,000. Omar reaped profits of

 $\parallel / \! / \! /$

approximately \$56,900. Shroff made a profit of approximately \$22,800.

E. <u>Tipping and Trading in Advance of Halozyme's December 5,</u> 2006 Announcement

- 54. On or before December 5, 2006, Bryant misappropriated material nonpublic information, in breach of his duty of confidentiality to Lippert, by tipping Haris about a planned announcement by Lippert's client Halozyme. Haris in turn tipped Omar and Shroff, and they traded while in possession of material nonpublic information. Bryant tipped Haris to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to Haris, and Haris in turn tipped Omar and Shroff to confer a benefit on himself or to provide a gift to them.
- 55. In December 2006, Lippert had a company named Halozyme as a Lippert client. Halozyme was a biopharmaceutical company whose stock traded on the AMEX under the symbol HTI.
- 56. On December 5, 2006 at approximately 9:03 a.m., Bryant received an email from a colleague at Lippert that described the terms of an unannounced agreement between Halozyme and Roche, and attached a draft of a joint Halozyme and Roche press release describing the deal. The information about the agreement between Halozyme and Roche was material and nonpublic information.
 - 57. Four minutes later, at 9:07 a.m., Bryant placed a call to Haris.
- 58. Less than 15 minutes later, at 9:20 a.m. on December 5, Haris placed an order to purchase 20,000 shares of Halozyme stock. Omar also began purchasing shares of Halozyme.
- 59. Beginning at 11:11 a.m. on December 5, orders to purchase Halozyme were placed in an account owned by Shroff. A computer with an IP address belonging to IRI accessed Shroff's account before the orders were placed.
- 60. At 2:48 p.m. on December 5, 2006 (after the market closed), Halozyme and Roche issued a joint press releasing announcing their agreement.

61. On December 6, in response to the announcement, Halozyme's stock price increased approximately 60% and closed at \$4.55, while trading volume increased approximately 3,375% compared to the prior day.

62. On December 6, 2006, Haris, Omar, and Shroff sold all their Halozyme stock. Haris reaped an unlawful profit of approximately \$34,000. Omar realized a profit of approximately \$17,200. Shroff gained approximately \$11,100 from the trade.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES

Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act (Against Defendants Haris, Omar, Bryant, and Shroff)

- 63. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 62 above.
- 64. Defendants Bryant, Haris, Omar, and Shroff, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of securities by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, with scienter: (a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (b) obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.
- 65. Defendant Bryant learned material nonpublic information about the corporate announcements described above in the course of his employment at Lippert. Bryant owed Lippert a fiduciary duty, or similar duty of trust or confidence, to maintain such information in confidence until it was publicly disseminated.

- 66. Defendant Bryant, in breach of a fiduciary duty or similar relationship of trust or confidence owed to Lippert, misappropriated such material nonpublic information by tipping this information to defendant Haris. Bryant knew or should have known that Haris would trade and/or disclose the information to others who would trade in the securities of the corporations using this material and nonpublic information.
- 67. Defendant Haris knew or should have known that the information had been communicated to him in breach of Bryant's duty to Lippert, and while in possession of such information, wrongfully sold securities as alleged, in his personal accounts and in the accounts of Dionysus Capital.
- 68. Haris tipped Omar, who knew or should have known that Haris had provided him with material nonpublic information that had been misappropriated and disclosed to him in breach of a fiduciary duty or other relationship of trust or confidence, and wrongfully sold securities while in possession of such information, as alleged.
- 69. Haris and/or Omar tipped Shroff, or placed orders in his account for his benefit, and Shroff knew or should have known that he had been provided with material nonpublic information that had been misappropriated and disclosed to him in breach of a fiduciary duty or other relationship of trust or confidence, or that others were trading on such information in his account, and wrongfully sold securities while in possession of such information as alleged.
- 70. Either directly or indirectly, Bryant gained, or expected to gain, a personal benefit by tipping Haris with inside information.
- 71. Either directly or indirectly, Haris gained, or expected to gain, a personal benefit by tipping Omar and Shroff with the inside information provided by Bryant.
- 72. Either directly or indirectly, Omar gained, or expected to gain, a personal benefit by tipping Shroff with the inside information.

- 73. Defendants Bryant, Haris, Omar, and Shroff acted with scienter, or at a minimum, were negligent.
- 74. By engaging in the conduct described above, defendants Bryant, Haris, Omar, and Shroff, and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SECURITIES

Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder (Against Defendants Haris, Omar, Bryant, and Shroff)

- 75. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 62 above.
- 76. Defendants Haris, Omar, Bryant, and Shroff, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter: (a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other persons.
- 77. Defendant Bryant learned material nonpublic information concerning five corporate announcements described above in the course of his employment at Lippert. Bryant owed Lippert a fiduciary duty, or similar duty of trust or confidence, to maintain such information in confidence until it was publicly disseminated.

- 78. Defendant Bryant, in breach of a fiduciary duty or similar relationship of trust or confidence owed to Lippert, misappropriated such material nonpublic information by communicating this information to defendant Haris. Bryant knew or should have known that Haris would trade while in possession of the information, and/or disclose the information to others who would trade in the securities of the corporations that were the subject of the announcements.
- 79. Defendant Haris knew or should have known that the information had been communicated to him in breach of Bryant's duty to Lippert, wrongfully purchased and sold securities while in possession of such information, as alleged.
- 80. Haris tipped Omar, who knew or should have known that he had been provided material nonpublic information that had been misappropriated and disclosed to him in breach of a fiduciary duty or other relationship of trust or confidence, and Omar wrongfully purchased and sold securities while in possession of such information, as alleged.
- 81. Haris and/or Omar also tipped Shroff, or placed orders in his account for his benefit. Shroff knew or should have known that he had been provided with material nonpublic information that had been misappropriated and/or disclosed to him in breach of a fiduciary duty or other relationship of trust or confidence, or that others were trading on such information in his account, and wrongfully purchased and sold securities while in possession of such information, as alleged.
- 82. Either directly or indirectly, Bryant gained, or expected to gain, a personal benefit by tipping Haris with inside information.
- 83. Either directly or indirectly, Haris gained, or expected to gain, a personal benefit by tipping Omar and Shroff with the inside information provided by Bryant.
- 84. Either directly or indirectly, Omar gained, or expected to gain, a personal benefit by tipping Shroff with the inside information.
 - 85. Defendants Bryant, Haris, Omar, and Shroff acted with scienter.

86. By engaging in the conduct described above, defendants Haris, Omar, Bryant, and Shroff, and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 87. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 86 above.
- 88. Relief defendant Dionysus Capital obtained profits as part of and in furtherance of the securities violations alleged above, under circumstances in which it is not just, equitable or conscionable for it to retain the fruits of the illegal activity.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that the defendants committed the alleged violations.

П.

Issue judgments, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining defendants and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a), and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

III.

Order each defendant and the relief defendant to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, illicit trading profits or other ill-gotten gains received as a result of the conduct alleged in this complaint, including, as to each defendant and the relief

defendant, their own illegal trading profits or other ill-gotten gains, and, as to each 1 2 tipper, the illicit trading profits or other ill-gotten gains of their direct and indirect 3 tippees. 4 IV. 5 Order defendants Haris, Omar, Bryant, and Shroff to pay civil penalties under Section 21(A) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-1. 6 7 V. 8 Order that Haris and Omar be barred from serving as an officer or director of 9 any public company pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(e), and Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § (d)(2). 10 11 VI. 12 Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the 13 terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable 14 application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court. 15 16 VII. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and 17 18 necessary. 19 20 Respectfully submitted, 21 June 4, 2009 22 DATED: William S. Fiske 23 Attorney for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission 24 25 26 27