Document GINA d 05/11/200

Page 1 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTORTH DIVISION FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

FORT WORTH DIVISION 2009 MAY 11 AM 11: 03

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

CLERK OF COURT

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

v.

Civ. No.:

KISELAK CAPITAL GROUP, LLC, GEMSTAR CAPITAL GROUP, INC., MICHAEL J. KISELAK, and JEFFREY J. SYKES,

4-09CV-256-A

Defendants.

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission alleges:

BACKGROUND

- 1. From approximately June 2007 to the present, Michael J. Kiselak solicited investments in Kiselak Capital Group, LLC ("KCG"). Kiselak raised at least \$24 million from 14 investors by promising high returns and misrepresenting how investor funds would be invested. For example, Kiselak told investors that KCG made a 2.25% per month profit trading treasury bills. In fact, Kiselak invested all investor funds in Gemstar Capital Group, Inc., a California-based private equity and venture capital fund. In addition, Kiselak failed to disclose to investors that KCG took a 35% performance fee on all trading profits.
- 2. In April 2009, Kiselak requested that Gemstar provide brokerage statements substantiating the value of KCG's investments. Gemstar, through its president, Jeffrey J. Sykes, produced to Kiselak a brokerage statement showing that Gemstar, as of March 31, 2009, had over \$23 million in segregated accounts for the benefit of KCG. Sykes fabricated this document. As of March 31, 2009, Gemstar had only \$20 million in its brokerage accounts. And these

monies were not segregated for the benefit of KCG investors. WBB Securities did not draft, sign, or send the above-referenced account statement to Gemstar or Sykes.

3. By conduct detailed in this Complaint, Defendants violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. Unless enjoined, Defendants are likely to commit such violations again.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa].
- 5. Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means or instruments of interstate commerce, the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the acts described herein.
- 6. Venue is proper under Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa] because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business occurred within this judicial district.

DEFENDANTS

- 7. Kiselak Capital Group, LLC, is a limited liability company organized in 2007 under the laws of the state of Texas. Its registered principal place of business is Kiselak's residence in Westlake, Texas. KCG is not registered with the Commission.
- 8. Gemstar Capital Group, Inc., is a corporation organized in 2003 under the laws of the state of Nevada. Its principal place of business is 19 E. Citrus, Suite 301, Redlands, California 92373. Gemstar is not registered with the Commission.

9. Michael J. Kiselak (CRD# 4816462), age 42, of Westlake, Texas, is the principal of KCG. Kiselak is a former NFL player, and played for the Dallas Cowboys from 1998 to 2000. He is not currently affiliated with a registered broker-dealer or investment adviser. He currently holds a series 7 license that was termed in 2006, and has no known disciplinary history.

Filed 05/11/2009

10. Jeffrey J. Sykes, age 51, of Redlands, California, is the president of Gemstar. He does not hold any securities licenses.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. KCG's Offering

- In or around June 2007, Kiselak formed KCG and began soliciting funds from 11. investors. Kiselak marketed the investment as a "friends and family" fund.
- In his offering documents and communications with investors, Kiselak 12. represented the following:
 - KCG investor funds are invested with a portfolio manager that "developed a (i) T-Bill traded 30-year computer program where he the at Institutional/Wholesale levels, also known as agency to agency transactions. [The manager] left to go on his own as an independent Proprietary Trader. [The manager's] reputation allowed him to gain clients quickly and over the past eleven 11 years, has grown his portfolio to over \$1.5 billion;"
 - (ii) The investment is "managed through KCG in conjunction with two AA rated financial institutions in New York City. These 'Wholesale/Institutional' transactions are, at all times, overseen by the professional management of highly experienced financial experts, who provide KCG with information as to

the gains achieved on a regular basis. The transaction is based on the daily purchase and resale of high-grade government securities;" and

Filed 05/11/20

"Historically, [KCG's] 10-year 'monthly average' has been better than 2% to (iii) the client. [Kiselak's] personal experience is that since I have been involved over the past 3 years, [KCG has] done a little better: averaging more than 2.25% per month."

В. KCG's Investor Funds Were Not Invested As Represented

- 13. Kiselak and KCG invested over 95% of the investor funds with Gemstar, a private Gemstar invested in a variety of venture capital equity and venture capital company. investments, including vineyards, a private jet, and other private investments.
- 14. Until allocated to a particular private equity or venture capital investment, Gemstar maintains investors' funds, including KCG's investments, in money market funds and capital reserve subaccounts.
- 15. Contrary to Kiselak's offering documents and communications with investors, KCG investor funds were not invested in T-bills or managed in conjunction with New Yorkbased financial institutions.

C. KCG Failed to Disclose Its 35% Performance Fee

- 16. In April 2009, Gemstar provided KCG with an account statement that showed for the first quarter of 2009, KCG purportedly earned between 2.89% and 3.77% monthly (which is a total of 9.61% for the quarter, or approximately \$2.7 million), and that KCG's ending balance, as of March 31, 2009, was \$26,566,981.
- 17. Using this account statement, Kiselak calculated quarterly returns for KCG's investors and produced to them account statements reflecting profits of 6.7% for the quarter

- (2.23% per month). The return on investment percentage reflected in KCG's communications to its investors represented only 65% of the profit Gemstar purportedly earned investing on behalf of KCG. Kiselak, without disclosure to KCG investors, took 35% of the purported Gemstar profits as a performance fee.
- 18. Gemstar's brokerage records do not reflect significant profits from trading. Gemstar invested approximately \$20 million "on behalf" of KCG investors in money market funds or similar securities. During the first quarter of 2009, Gemstar's account at WBB Securities earned approximately \$20,000 or 0.09% on these money market-type investments. And Gemstar's brokerage accounts at Royal Alliance Associates and Hanlon Investment Management, totaling approximately \$1.8 million, earned approximately \$3,200 for the month of March 2009.
- 19. Gemstar misrepresented to Kiselak that KCG investors earned approximately \$2.7 million during the first quarter of 2009, a 9.6% return on investment.

D. Sykes Falsified Brokerage Account Information

- 20. In April 2009, Kiselak requested supporting documentation from Gemstar/Sykes for the March 31, 2009 KCG account statement. In response, Sykes sent to Kiselak a letter that he purportedly received from WBB Securities, Gemstar's broker-dealer, showing that Gemstar's accounts at WBB Securities held \$23,462,541.11 as of March 31, 2009, and that all of the money was held "on behalf" of KCG.
- 21. WBB Securities did not draft, sign, or send the above-referenced letter. Instead, WBB Securities sent an April 23, 2009 letter to Sykes, indicating that a Gemstar account held \$20,462,541.11 as of March 31, 2009 exactly \$3 million less than the amount represented in the letter Sykes provided to Kiselak.

Filed 05/11/2009

- 22. The WBB Securities' letter did not indicate that the funds were held "on behalf" of anyone, including KCG.
 - After receiving the forged letter from Sykes, KCG reinvested in Gemstar. 23.

E. Recent depletion of Brokerage Account Funds

- On April 21, 2009, Gemstar, at Sykes direction, transferred approximately 24. \$759,570 from its accounts at WBB Securities to KCG. On May 7, 2009, Gemstar, at Sykes direction, transferred approximately \$220,000 from its accounts at WBB Securities to KCG leaving, as of May 7, 2009, approximately \$19 million under the control of Gemstar and Sykes at brokerage accounts at WBB Securities as of May 7, 2009.
- Gemstar and Sykes have been unable to account for at least \$7 million of KCG 25. investor funds.

FIRST CLAIM

FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SECURITIES Violations of Exchange Act Section 10(b) and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5

- 26. The Commission realleges paragraphs 1 through 25.
- Defendants, with scienter, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate 27. commerce or of the mails, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities: (i) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact or omissions to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or (iii) engaged in acts, practices or courses of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
 - 28. Defendants representations and omissions were material.
- 29. By reason of the actions alleged herein, Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Exchange Act Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R.

§ 240.10b-5].

SECOND CLAIM

Filed 05/11/2009

FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act

- 30. The Commission realleges paragraphs 1 through 25.
- Defendants, with scienter, by use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate 31. commerce or of the mails, in the offer or sale of securities: (i) employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud; (ii) obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of material fact or omissions to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and/or (iii) engaged in acts, practices or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchasers of the securities offered and sold by Defendants.
- By reason of the actions alleged herein, Defendants violated Section 17(a) of the 32. Securities Act [15 U.\$.C. § 77q(a)].

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

For these reasons, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter a judgment:

- (i) finding that Defendants violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws as alleged herein;
- permanently enjoining Defendants from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities (ii) Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 | C.F.R. § 240.10b-5];
- (iii) ordering Defendants to disgorge the losses avoided as a result of the actions alleged herein and to pay prejudgment interest thereon;

granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper. (v)

Respectfully submitted,

Filed 05/11/2009

TOBY M. GALLOWAY Texas Bar No. 00790733 J. KEVIN EDMUNDSON Texas Bar No. 24044020 MICHAEL D. KING Texas Bar No. 24032634 STEVE GRAHAM Texas Bar No. 00792542

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Burnett Plaza, Suite 1900 801 Cherry Street, Unit #18 Fort Worth, TX 76102-6882 (817) 978-6447 (tmg)

(817) 978-4927 (fax)

Case 4:09-cv-002<u>56-</u>A

POPUTANAL Filed 05/11/2002

Page 9 of 10

RECEIVED

CIVIL COVER SHEET

U.S. DISTRICT GOURT

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of blue parties as required by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE DISTRICTIONS ON THE DECKED OF THE FORM OF THE F

purpose of initiating the civil of I.(a) PLAINTIFF	locket sheet. (SEI		UCTIONS ON THE RE		SE OF THE	FORM.) DEFENDANTS	2009 MAY 1 1	AM 11: 01	
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION						Kiselak Capital Group, LCC Gemstar வேர்ப்படு Michael J. Kiselak, and Jeffrey J. Sykes.			
						4 - 0	9 CV - 25	6 - A	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)						County of Residence of First Listed Defendant: Tarrant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(C) ATTORNEY (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER TOBY M. GALLOWAY U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission, Burnett Plaza, Ste. 1900, 801 Cherry Street, Unit #18, Fort Worth, TX 76102-6882 (817) 978-6447						ATTORNEYS (If known): David B. Giles 8140 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 830 Dallas, Texas 75231 (counsel for Kiselak Capital Group, LLC and Michael Kiselak) H. Thomas Fehn			
					Fields, Fehn & Sherwin 11755 Wilshire Boulevard, 15 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 (counsel for Gemstar Capital Group, Inc. and Jeffrey J. Sykes)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (PLACE AN	I "X" IN OI	NE BOX ONLY)			HIP OF PRINCIP	PAL PARTIES (PLACI PLAINT DEFEN	E AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR TIFF AND ONE BOX FOR	
				,	(For Divers	sity Cases Only) PTF PT		PTF PTF	
	☐ 3 Federal Ques (U.S. Governm		Party)	Citiz	en of This St	ate 🔲 1 🖂	1 Incorporated or Princ of Business In This		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	ment 4 Diversity			Citize	en or Subjec	n of Another State			
N NATURE OF CUIT (5							Foreign Nation	□ 6 · □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (F	LACE AN X IN				EODEEL	TURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander TORTS PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability			G10 Agriculture G20 Other Food & Drug G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 G30 Liquor Laws		culture or Food & Drug g Related Seizure of orty 21 USC 881	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 156 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 400 State Reapprotionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. ☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 330 Federal Er Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Pro		☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liabili PERSONAL PROPERT ☐ 370 Other Fraud	ty _		ne Regs.	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copy rights 830 Patient	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations☐ 810 Selective Service☐ 850 Securities	
☐ 153 Recovery OF Overpayment	Liability 350 Motor Vehic	☐ 371 Truth in Lending			☐ 690 Other LABOR		SOCIAL SECURITY	Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 355 Motor Vehic Product Liabi ☐ 360 Other Perso Injury	lity	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	e 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations			☐ 861 HIA (1395FF) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS				S		☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	1	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 740 Railw		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
□ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 442 Employmen ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommoda ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil	Habeas Corpus: 530 General tions 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other			☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
V. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN "X" IN ON			E BOX ONLY)				
☑ 1 Original Proceeding		noved from te Court				Remanded from appellate Court	☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened		
Sections 17(a) and 10(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, [15 U.S.C. §§ 77q(a) and 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].									
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: 26 USC 7609		CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			DEMAN	D \$	\$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND ☐ YES ☑ NO		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See Instructi		DGE			DOCKET NUM	BER		

DATE 5/11	Gase 4:09-cv-	OGE TO SEE OF AT THE BUTTER DEPOSITE OF SEE OF	tr1 Fred 05/	11/2000 Page 10 of 10	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY Receipt #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	
Neceipt #	AMOON1	AFFEIING IFF	JODGL	IWAG, JOBOL	