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\author{
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Dear Judge Needelman:
During my March 19, 1979 testimony you requested that I supply you with a supplement to my report entitled, "The Child Audience for Network Television Programming and Advertising" which was submitted on November 22, 1978. The supplement you requested concerns the estimated loss to networks if advertising for a) Highly Sugared Products, b) Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and c) Restaurants and Drive-Ins was banned in network programs having \(50 \%, 30 \%\) or \(20 \%\) or more children (age 2-11) in the audience.

These data are provided in the attached tables. Please recall that these data are based on a sample of three months (February, May, and November) of 1977. During February, May and November 1977 there were 55,591 network originated commercials. The estimated network revenue for these commercials was \(\$ 980,656,900\). These data are from Broadcast Advertisers Reports.

\section*{Highly Sugared Products}

I included the following product categories in the Highly Sugared Product group: Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, Regular Gum, Non-Cabonated Beverages, Cookies, Cakes, Pies, Pastries, Desserts and Dessert Ingredients, Ice Cream, Raisins, and Regular Carbonated Beverages. These are listed separately in Table for network programs having \(50 \%, 30 \%\), and \(20 \%\) or more children (2-11) in the audience. I also separately listed two other product categories which may or may not be included in the Highly Sugared Product group. These product categories are Canned Fruit and Fruit Juices. The answers to your questions regarding Highly Sugared Products are as follows:
1) In network programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 1,640 network originated commercials for the three months of 1977 for Highly Sugared Products. The estimated network revenue for these commercials was \(\$ 11,057,200\). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of all Highly Sugared Products in network programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that about \(3 \%\) ( \(2.95 \%\) ) of all network commercials would be affected or \(1.13 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section A of Table \(I\) which is attached.
2) In network programs having \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 1,897 commercials for the three months of 1977 for Highly Sugared Products. The estimated network revenue for these commercials was \(\$ 12,716,000\). (Ads for Canned Fruit and Fruit Juices are excluded here.). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of all Highly Sugared Products in network programs having \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(3.41 \%\) of all network commercials would be affected or \(1.30 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section B of Table I which is attached.
3) In network programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 2,091 commercials for the three months of 1977 for Highly Sugared Products. The estimated network revenue for these commercials was \(\$ 18,559,600\). (Ads for Canned Fruit and Fruit Juices are excluded here.) If a ban was imposed on the advertising of all Highly Sugared Products in network programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(3.76 \%\) of all network commercials would be affected or \(1.89 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section C of Table I which is attached.

Games, Toys, Hobbycraft
1) In network programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 1,296 ads for the three months of 1977 for Games, Toys and Hobbycraft. The estimated network revenue for these ads was \(\$ 8,483,900\). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of Games, Toys and Hobbycraft in network programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(2.33 \%\) of all network commercials would be affected or \(0.87 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section A of Table II which is attached.
2) In network programs having \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 1,457 ads for the three months for Games, Toys and Hobbycraft. The estimated network revenue for these ads was \(\$ 9,870,800\). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of Games, Toys and Hobbycraft in network programs having 30\% or more children in the audience I estimate that \(2.62 \%\) of all network commercials would be affected or \(1.01 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section \(B\) of Table II which is attached.
3) In network programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 1,488 ads for Games, Toys, Hobbycraft. The estimated network revenue for these ads was \(\$ 10,874,200\). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of Games, Toys and Hobbycraft in network programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(2.68 \%\) of all network commercials would be affected or \(1.11 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section C of Table II which is attached.

Restaurants and Drive-Ins
1) In network programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 105 ads for Restaurants and Drive-Ins. The estimated network revenue for these ads was \(\$ 2,075,000\). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of Restaurants and Drive-Ins in network programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(0.19 \%\) of all network comercials would be affected or \(0.21 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section \(A\) of Table III which is attached.
2) In network programs having \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 124 ads for Restaurants and Drive-Ins. The estimated network revenue for these ads was \(\$ 2,356,500\). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of Restaurants and Drive-Ins in network programs having \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience

I estimate that \(0.22 \%\) of all network comercials would be affected or \(0.24 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section B of Table III which is attacheds
3) In network programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience there were 182 ads for Restaurants and Drive-Ins. The estimated network revenue for these ads was \(\$ 5,965,700\). If a ban was imposed on the advertising of Restaurants and Drive-Ins in network programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(0.33 \%\) of all network commercials would be affected or \(0.61 \%\) of all network advertising revenue. These data are contained in Section \(C\) of Table III which is attached.

\section*{Ban on Advertising of All Three Product Categories}

Although you did not request the information during my testimony I suppose the logical question is what would be the effect on networks if advertising for all three product groups (Highly Sugared Products, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and Restaurants and Drive-Ins) was banned on network programs having \(50 \%\), \(30 \%\), or \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience? I have estimated that effect in the following paragraphs. Canned Fruit and Fruit Juices are excluded from the Highly Sugared Products group.
1) \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience. If advertising for Highly Sugared Products, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and Restaurants and Drive-Ins was banned in network programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(5.47 \%\) of all network ads would be affected or \(2.20 \%\) of total network advertising revenue.
2) \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience. If advertising for Highly Sugared Products, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft and Restaurants and Drive-Ins was banned in network programs having \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(6.26 \%\) of all network ads would be affected or \(2.54 \%\) of total network advertising revenue.
3) \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience. If advertising for Highly Sugared Products, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and Restaurants and Drive-Ins was banned in network programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience I estimate that \(6.77 \%\) of all network ads would be affected or \(3.61 \%\) of total network advertising revenue.

I hope this information will be of value to you. If you have additional questions that my data can answer, please contact me.

Sincerely,

John D. Abel
Associate Professor

JDA:acs
Attachments:

TABLE I. Estimated Loss to Networks if Advertising for Highly Sugared Products was Banned in Network Programs Having \(50 \%, 30 \%\), or \(20 \%\) or More Children in the Audience.


TABLE I. Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs with 30\%+ Child Audience} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total Network Commercials for Three \\
Months of \(1977=55,591\) \\
Total Network \$ Estimated Advertising \\
Revenue for Three Months \(=\$ 980,656,900\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & No. of Comm. & \$ Est.
\[
(000)
\] & \% of Total Network Comm. & \% of Total Network \$ Est. \\
\hline 1. Highly Sugared Cereals & 1106 & 6506.7 & 1.99 & 0.66 \\
\hline 2. Candy & 553 & 4563.6 & 0.99 & 0.47 \\
\hline 3. Regular Gum & 69 & 469.3 & 0.12 & 0.05 \\
\hline 4. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 80 & 589.9 & 0.14 & 0.06 \\
\hline 5. Cookies & 53 & 320.8 & 0.10 & 0.03 \\
\hline 6. Cakes, Pies, Pastries & 19 & 181.9 & 0.03 & 0.02 \\
\hline 7. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 15 & 72.8 & 0.03 & 0.01 \\
\hline 8. Ice Cream & --- & --- & -- & --- \\
\hline 9. Raisins & --- & --- & --- & --- \\
\hline 10. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 2 & 11.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline TOTAL FOR HIGHLY SUGARED PRODUCTS & 1897 & 12,716.0 & 3.41 & 1.30 \\
\hline Other Products of High Sugar Content & & & & \\
\hline 1. Canned Fruit & --- & --- & --- & --- \\
\hline 2. Fruit Juices & 9 & 46.1 & 0.01 & 0.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE I. Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs with 20\%+ Child Audience} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{```
Total Network Commercials for Three
Months of 1977 = 55,591
Total Network $ Estimated Advertising
Revenue for Three Months =$980,65\ell,900
```} \\
\hline & No. of Comm. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ Est. } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Total Network Comm. & \% of Total Network \$ Est. \\
\hline 1. Highly Sugared Cereals & 1120 & 7026.9 & 2.01 & 0.72 \\
\hline 2. Candy & 608 & 5782.2 & 1.09 & 0.59 \\
\hline 3. Regular Gum & 90 & 1022.0 & 0.16 & 0.10 \\
\hline 4. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 105 & 1477.1 & 0.19 & 0.15 \\
\hline 5. Cookies & 59 & 588.8 & 0.11 & 0.06 \\
\hline 6. Cakes, Pies, Pastries & 24 & 387.8 & 0.04 & 0.04 \\
\hline 7. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 60 & 1575.7 & 0.11 & 0.16 \\
\hline 8. Ice Cream & --- & --- & --- & --- \\
\hline 9. Raisins & 7 & 45.5 & 0.01 & 0.00 \\
\hline 10. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 18 & 653.6 & 0.03 & 0.07 \\
\hline TOTAL FOR HIGHLY SUGARED PRODUCTS ( \(20 \%+\) Child Audience) & 2091 & 18,559.6 & 3.76 & 1.89 \\
\hline Other Products of High Sugar Content & & & & \\
\hline 1. Canned Fruit & 1 & 47.5 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2. Fruit Juices & 16 & 168.0 & 0.03 & 0.02 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE II. Estimated Loss to Networks if Advertising for Games, Toys, Hobbycraft was Banned in Network Programs Having \(50 \%\), \(30 \%\), or \(20 \%\) or More Children in the Audience*
A. Network Programs with \(50 \%\) or More Children (2-11) in the Audience
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l} 
No. of \\
Comm.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
\$ Est. \\
\((000)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
\% of Total \\
Network Comm.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
\% of Total \\
Network \$ Est.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Games, Toys, Hobbycraft & 1296 & 8483.9 & 2.33 & 0.87 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
B. Network Programs with \(30 \%\) or More Children (2-11) in the Audience
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Games, Toys, Hobbycraft & 1457 & 9870.8 & 2.62 & 1.01 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
C. Network Programs with \(20 \%\) or More Children (2-11) in the Audience
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Games, Toys, Hobbycraft & 1488 & \(10,874.2\) & 2.68 & 1.11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* Total Network Commercials for Three Months of \(1977=55,591\)

Total Network Estimated Advertising Revenue for Three Months \(=\$ 980,656,900\)
}

TABLE III. Estimated Loss to Networks if Advertising for Restaurants and Drive-Ins was Banned in Network Programs Having \(50 \%\), \(30 \%\), or \(20 \%\) or More Children in the Audience*
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & No. of Comm. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ Est. } \\
& (000) \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Total Network Comm. & \% of Total Network \$ Est. \\
\hline Restaurants and Drive-Ins & 105 & 2075.0 & 0.19 & 0.21 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{B. Network Programs with \(30 \%\) or More Children (2-11) in the Audience} \\
\hline Restaurants and Drive-Ins & 124 & 2356.5 & 0.22 & 0.24 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{C. Network Programs with \(20 \%\) or More Children (2-11) in the Audience} \\
\hline Restaurants and Drive-Ins & 182 & 5965.7 & 0.33 & 0.61 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* Total Network Commercials for Three Months of \(1977=55,591\)

Total Network Estimated Advertising Revenue for Three Months \(=\mathbf{\$ 9 8 0 , 6 5 6 , 9 0 0}\)
}

\section*{LL-S3}

\author{
John D. Abel, Ph.D. Associate Professor Department of Telecommunication 322 Union Building Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824 November 22, 1978
}

Morton Needelman, Esq.
Presiding Officer
Children's Advertising Rulemaking
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580
Dear Mr. Needelman:
The "Comment" enclosed is a verbatim statement of testimony that I wish to lave included in the record of the Children's Advertising Rulemaking proceeding.

I wish to present my views orally at the legislative hearings scheduled for San Francisco (January 15 to January 26, 1979) and Washington, D.C. (January 29 to February 23, 1979). I will not be available to testify on the following dates: January 23, January 24, January 25, February 13, February 14, February 15, 1979.

I have searched my files and have found no unpublished or otherwise not publicly available studies or surveys in my possession, control or custody which support, contradict or otherwise pertain to issues raised in my Comment.
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\section*{CHILDREN'S ADV'ERTISING RULEMAKING COMMENT}
Network and Non-Network Sources of Programmingand Advertising for Children
byDr. John D. Abe1, Associate ProfessorDepartment of TelecommunicationMichigan State UniversityEast Lansing, Michigan 48824
Submitted to the Federa? Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580 ..... November 24, 1978

\section*{Purpose}

The major purpose of this paper is to describe the differences between network and non-network sources of programining and advertising. A secondary purpose is to summarize some of the more important findings of the network study report prepared by Dr . John Abel and the non-network or "spot" report prepared by Dr. Howard Beales.

Network and Non-Network Programming
Programming on the 728 commercial television stations in the United States comes from one of three sources:
1. Network. The first source of progranming is the three commercial networks: the American Broadcasting Company ( \(A B C\) ), the Columbia Broadcasting System (CBS), and the National Broadcasting Company (NBC). The programs which the networks distribute to stations are either produced by the networks themselves or the networks purchase that programming from independent program producers and suppliers. In either case, however, the programs are distributed by the networks to local stations called network affiliates.

A local station affiliates with a network, meaning that it carries ograms distributed by the networks, and, in turn, the station is compensated by the network for carrying those programs and advertising messages within the programs. Not all of the 728 local stations, however, are affiliated with one of the three networks. For example. \(A B C\) has 195 local station affiliates, CBS has 198 and NBC 209. That means that
about 600 of the 728 stations in the United States can receive programming from at least one of the three networks. A network affiliated station gets about \(70 \%\) of its programming from the network.

The local station affiliated with a network is left with about \(30 \%\) of its programming time to fill. It does this by either producing programs at the station or purchasing or renting programs from another source. These are the other two sources of programming: local and syndicated.
2. Local. A local program is any program originated or produced by the station. The Federal Communications Commision defines a local program as: "...any program originated or produced by the station, or for the production of which the station is substantially responsible, and which also employs live talent more than \(50 \%\) of the time. Such a program, taped, recorded or filmed for later broadcast. shall be classified as local."1
3. Syndicated. The term syndicated programs refers to any programs which are taped or transcribed or feature films which were produced other than by the local station. The local station purstases or "rents" these programs for broadcast. An example of a syndicated program is My Three Sons which is now in syndication but was originally distributed by a commercial network. Some programs are originally produced for the syndicated market, such as The Phil Donanue Show, which was never distributed by a network. Local stations rely heavily on syndicated programs to fill their programming time.

Earlier, it was mentioned that not ali stations are affiliated with a network. In fact, over 100 commercial television stations in the United States are not affiliated with a network. These stations are referred to
as independent stations as opposed to network affiliated stations. The independent stations do not receive programming from any of the commercial networks and must rely on programs which are produced at the local station or programs "rented" from program suppliers (syndicated) for their sources of programming.

When references are made to network and non-network sources of programming, the non-network term refers to locally produced programs and syndicated or "rented" programs.

In terms of child viewing of network and non-network programs, very little is known because there have not been any detailed studies relating child viewing to program source; however, it is possible to extrapolate some information from data published by A. C. Nielsen Company. Nielsen says that for older children (6-11 years), about one-third of their total viewing each week is from 8:00 to 11:00 p.m. (7:00-11:00 p.m. on Sundays). \({ }^{2}\) Children in cities with independent stations could be watching those stations, but since there are only about 100 independent stations in the country, the predominant amount of viewing must be of network originated programming during this time. Nielsen also says that a little over one-fifth of all older children's viewing is between the hours of \(4: 30\) and 7:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. \({ }^{3}\) Since almost no network programining is being distributed during this time, except the network newscasts, most of this viewing must be of non-network progranming. The next largest proportion of total viewing done by older children is during Saturday and Sunday morning between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. Nielsen reports that about \(13 \%\) of older children's viewing is during these Saturday and

Sunday time periods. \({ }^{4}\) During Saturday and Sunday mornings older chldren could be watching independent stations, but because these are scarce, once again the predominant child viewing is probably of network programs.

\section*{Network and Non-Network Advertising}

In the United States televised advertising messages are the source of revenue for local stations and networks. The 728 commercial stations in the United States carry some form of advertising as a means of financial support. Advertising messages come from two sources: network and non-network.

As mentioned in the previous section, the commercial TV networks distribute programs to their local station affiliates. The networks sell advertising time in those programs to companies interested in reaching a national (or sometimes regional) audience, The advertising messages are contained within the program and the network assumes that all of their local affiliates will air most or all of the advertising messages in programs carried by the local station. The network compensates the local station for carrying the network programs and the network originated advertising within those programs.

In addition, the network gives the stations a few minutes of time each hour to sefl other advertising messages not originated by the network. These other advertising messages are called "spot" and may be messages for local, regional, or national advertisers.

An example will make the distinction between network and non-network advertising clearer. A network affiliated station is permitted by The Televist te of the National Association of Broadcasters to air nine minutes ak econds of non-program material in a 60-minute prime
time program (e.g., 8:00-11:00 p.m. EST). The network will sell about six of those nine-and-one-half minutes to national advertisers and assume that the local station will air the network program and the six minutes of advertising. That leaves three-and-one-half minutes for the local station to use as it pleases. Some of that time will be devoted to station identification and public service announcements, but most of the time will be sold to local, regional or national advertisers. These announcements originate at the local station and are called "spot" TV advertising.

In other words, the local network affiliated station is compensated by the network for carrying the network advertisements and by local. regional or national advertisers for "spot" advertising messages.

Independent stations do not have the benefit of network compensation since, by definition, they are not affiliated with a network. Independent stations receive all of their advertising revenue from local, regional or national spot advertising.

In the network report by Dr . John Abel, the focus is on programs distributed by the networks and advertising messages within and between those programs which were originated by the networks. The focus of the report by Dr. Howard Beales is on spot advertising, or non-network advertising, which local network affiliated and independent stations carry for local, regional or national advertisers.

\section*{Comparison of Selected Network and Non-Network Advertising Findings}

The units of analysis in the network report were network programs. Network programs were studied which had large percentages of children in the audience-and a second group of network programs were studied with
large numbers of children in the audience. The units of analysis in the network study were programs because there is consistency among all network affiliated stations in terms of the programs carried by these stations, and, in addition, there is consistency in the network originated advertising messages aired within and between these programs.

By contrast, the units of analysis in the non-network (spot TV advertising) report were dayparts and not programs. \({ }^{5}\) A daypart may include several different programs. This distinction between network and non-network (spot) advertising studies occurs because there is no consistency among stations when discussing non-network sources of programs and advertising. In other words, stations are airing different nonnetwork advertising messages and different non-network programs. For example, Leave It To Beaver (a syndicated program) may be shown at 4:00 p.m. in Dallas, containing adveriising for highly sugared cereals, candy, and bicycles, but at 7:00 p.m. in Kansas City, with ads for diet carbonated beverages; gum, and toothpaste. Thus, there is no consistency from station to station in terms of the advertising messages within nonnetwork programs.

Because of the differences in the units of analysis noted above, it is difficult to compare children's exposure to network advertising and non-network advertising. Even given these differences, however, there are some general statements that can be made about the two sources of advertising messages.

A major similarity between the two reports is that as the percentage of children in the audience increases the proportion of advertising devoted to Highly Sugared Cereals and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft also
increases. For example, in network programs, as the percentage of children increases from \(20 \%\) of the audience to \(50 \%\) of the audience or more, Highty Sugared Cereals increase from \(17.7 \%\) of the advertising to \(26.9 \%\) of the total number of ads. Although the pattern is not as marked in non-network (spot) advertising, the same relationship is present.

Secondly, it is apparent that in non-network advertising a wide range of products is advertised. This is true even when the percentage of children in the audience is large. In other words, children exposed to spot advertising see many more products advertised which fall into the "other" categories. By contrast, in terms of network advertising, when the percentages of children in the audience are large, there is a limited number of product categories represented. Many products simply are not advertised at all or are in small proportions. For example, other Food and Beverage advertising and All Other Advertising in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience represents only about \(10 \%\) of all advertising in these programs. By contrast, when the audience is half or more children, slightiy over \(44 \%\) of all advertising is for these "other" categories.

Finally, apart from Toys, Games, Hobbycraft and Highly Sugared Cereals, the exposure to spot advertising of children and adults is quite similar. For network advertising, the situation is somewhat different. When the percentage of children in the audience is \(50 \%\) or more, the products advertised are limited and Highly Sugared Cereals, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft and Candy advertisements predominate. When considering only the absolute number of children in the audience the
products seen advertised by these children are similar to all advertising on network TV. In other words, the programs with large numbers of children in the audience also tend to be the same programs with large numbers of adults, and, of course, both groups are seeing the same advertising.

NOTES
\({ }^{1}\) Definition taken from FCC Form 303, Application for Renewal of License for Commercial Television Broadcast Station. Federal Communications Commission, 1976.
\({ }^{2}\) Nielsen Television 78. Northbrook, Illinois: A. C. Nielsen Company, 1978, p. 11.
\(3_{\text {Ibid. }}\).
\({ }^{4}\) Ibid.
\({ }^{5} \mathrm{~A}\) daypart is a specified period of time, on specified days of the week, on a specified station. Dayparts are similar to the concept of programs except that a daypart on a station will typically include several different programs. An example of a daypart is:8:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. for the Saturday morning daypart.
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\title{
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}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

The purpose of the research reported in detail in the following pages was to match information about the child (2-11 years) audience with the advertising messages contained in retwork television programs. The questions which guided the research were: (1) to what products and product categories are children exposed in network advertising? and (2) what percentage of the total amount of network advertising of these products is contained in programs that children watch? The report concentrates on two categories of network television programs:
(1) those which have the largest percentages of children in the audience, and
(2) those which have the largest absolute number of children in the audience.

The network audience research data were supplied by Arbitron, a commercial television audience measurement service. The network advertising data were supplied by Broadcast Advertisers Reports of New York. Advertising information was compiled for 20 different product categories, which included all advertising on network TV programs for February, May and November 1977.

The tabulation process involved the matching of audience and advertising information for 111 different network programs aired during the three months of 1977. Advertising information was also tabulated by product category for each program. For programs with large percentages of children in the audience, the analysis concentrated on programs with \(50 \%, 30 \%\) and \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience. In a similar manner, for prograns with large absolute numbers of children in the audience the analysis considered programs with \(8,000,000\), \(5,000,000\) and \(3,500,000\) or more children in the audience.

Here are some of the major findings of the study:
7. Considering all network programs for the three months of 1977, it was determined that about one-third ( \(37.4 \%\) ) of all advertisements were for food and beverage products \(a_{i}\) d about two-thirds for non-food products.
2. When the percentage of the total audience of network programs was \(20 \%\) or more children the advertised products which predominated were Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft. This was, of course, also true when the audience was composed of \(30 \%\) or more children and \(50 \%\) or more children.
3. Food and beverage advertising was nearly \(60 \%\) of all advertising in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience.
4. Nearly all of the network programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience were shown on Saturday and Sunday mornings between 8:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. (EST). About three-fourths of the programs with high percentages ( \(20 \%+\) ) of children in the audience were shown on Saturday and Sunday mornings. Fourtyfour (out of 248) different network TV programs had \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience during February, May and November 1977, 56 programs had \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience and 75 had \(20 \%\) or more children.
5. In programs having \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience, there were no network advertisements during these three months for the following product categories: Desserts and Dessert Ingredients; Ice Cream; Fresh Fruit; Raisins; Canned Fruit; Crackers; Fruit Juices; Sugarless Gum; Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts; Regular or Diet Carbonated Beverages, and Beer, Wine or Mixers. Only \(1.8 \%\) of all Dental Supplies advertising on network programs was included in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience.
6. Seventy-three percent of all ads for Highly Sugared Cereals on network television during these three months were in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children
in the audience. Over \(80 \%\) of all ads for Highly Sugared Cereals were in programs with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience, \(60 \%\) of all Candy ads and \(64 \%\) of all ads for Games, Toys, Hobbycraft were in these programs.
7. Over one-fourth of the ads in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience were for Highly Sugared Cereals and about \(13 \%\) of the ads were for Candy. Network programs with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience had about one-fourth Highiy Sugar Cereal advertising, 12\% Candy advertising and about one-third Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and Bicycle advertising. By comparison, for all network television, only \(2.5 \%\) or the ads were for Highly Sugared Cereals, \(1.7 \%\) for Candy and \(4.3 \%\) for Games, Toys, Hobbycraft and Bicycles.
8. Programs with large numbers of children in the audience were distributed throughout the week as opposed to being concentrated in Saturday and Sunday mornings. In addition, when there were large numbers of children in the audience more product categories were represented than when programs with large percentages of children in the audience were considered.
9. The advertising in programs with \(3,500,000,5,000,000\) and \(8,000,000\) or more children in the audience also tend to represent non-food products. In fact, non-food products are predominantly advertised in these programs.
10. As the child audience for programs decreases in absolute number, the percentage of advertising for Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft and Bicycles increases while the proportion of all other advertising decreases.
11. There were nine different programs on the air during February, May and November 1977 with \(8,000,000\) or more children in the audience. There were 32 different network programs with \(5,000,000\) or more children in the audience, and 60 programs with \(2,500,000\) or more children in the audience.

In general, the conclusions of this study are that programs with high percentages of children in the audience have a limited range of products advertised in them and ads for Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft predominate. Children who watch network programs on Saturday and Sunday mornings are likely to see disproportionately more ads for Highly Sugared Cereals; Candy; and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft and for food and beverage products than when watching in prime time.

My name is John D. Abel. I am an Associate Professor and Coordinator of Graduate Affairs in the Department of Telecommunication at Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan. Before I report on the study of network advertising and programming watched by children, which was conducted by me under contract to the Federal Trade Commission, I wish to detail some of my qualifications for conducting research of this type. A complete and current curriculum vitae is contained in Appendix A.

As an undergraduate I majored in psychology, sociology, and speech commuication and received by B.A. from Concordia College, Moorhead, Minnesota, in 1964. In addition to a B.A., I hold a Master of Arts and Doctor of Philosophy degrees from Indiana University. My M.A. is in Telecommunication and my doctorate is in Mass Communication and was awarded in 1972. In my graduate education I concentrated on research methods, statistical analysis, social and developmental psychology. My primary research interest as a graduate student was how the developing child is socialized by the mass media, especially television.

At Michigan State I teach research methods and statistical analysis at the graduate and undergraduate levels. I teach undergraduate courses on audience survey analysis and management of telecommunication systems.

I have been a professor at Michigan State since 1972; however, I have had two years of leave from my teaching and research duties. In 1974-75 I was awarded a post-doctoral fellowship to study in the Departments of Psychology and Sociology at the University of Exeter in England. During 1977-78 I was an expert social science consultant to the Federal Communications Commission in both the Boradcast Bureau and the Children's Television Task Force. My duties with the Broadcast Bureau were to review the social science research literature in termis of policy implications for the FCC. With the Task Force I reviewed the literature on the effects of television on children and advised the Task Force on the kind of research needed to determine compliance with the FCC's 1974 Policy Statement of Children's Television Programming and Advertising Practices.

I have been a consultant to several public and private groups, such as the Indianapolis Public Schools in the evaluation of televised instruction for fifth and sixth graders. I was a consultant to Kappa Systems, Inc., of Arlington, Virginia, in an evaluation of the National Science Foundation project entitled Research on the Effects of Television Advertising on Children. I was a consultant to Lloyds Bank of London in a study of broadcasting and cable in the United Kingdom. I have evaluated new television programs for the Corporation for Pubiic Broadcasting. I have been a consultant to many radio and television stations and citizen groups in Michigan. For example, I have advised stations in the selection of children's programs for airing on their stations and I have advised public interest groups on

\footnotetext{
on the kinds of children's programs that they should request broadcast stations to carry.

I have published about 15 technical papers, of which about half of these deal with the effects of television on children. One of my most recent projects deait with the effect of parental and adult mediation of television programming on children. This research was funded by the National Association of Broadcasters. Other research conducted by me has been funded by the Office of Child Development of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Department of the Treasury, and Michigan State University.

The research project on which I report in the following pages examines different levels of the child audience for network television programs and children's exposure to advertising messages contained within those programs. The report is divided into four sections: the background and purpose of the study, a description of the method used to study the research objectives, the analys is and treatment of the research results, and conclusions to be drawn from these results.
}

\section*{Background and Purpose}

Broadcast television is a pervasive and ubiquitous medium. The A. C. Nielsen Company, one of the two major TV audience research firms, estimates that \(98 \%\) of all households in the United States have at least one television set. \({ }^{1}\) Arbitron, the other major television audience research firm, estimates that there are nearly 74,000,000 households with at least one TV set. \({ }^{2}\) Nielsen reports that the average household in 1977 devoted over 6 hours each day to watching television. \({ }^{3}\) Individuals within those households spend a considerable amount of time watching television. Nielsen reports that in November 1977, average individual viewing time was over 27 hours per week. \({ }^{4}\) In addition, Nielsen, using audience data collected in November 1977. reports that women and children watch the most television. \({ }^{5}\) Young children, defined by Nielsen and Arbitron as children 2-5 years of age, watch over \(27 \frac{1}{2}\) hours per week on average and older children (age 6-11 years) watch nearly \(24 \frac{1}{2}\) hours per week. \({ }^{6}\) A report prepared for the National Science Foundation (Research on the Effects of Television Advertising on Children) states that "we can safely conclude that the average child over the past decade has watched 3 to 4 hours of television per day. " \({ }^{7}\)

Extrapolating from Nielsen data, it is estimated that children devote about half of their total TV viewing time to the watching of network programs. \({ }^{8}\) 01der children (6-11 years) probably see more network TV programs than younger (2-5 years) children because older children watch more prime time programs. The National Science

Foundation report cited earlier estimates that children on the average are exposed to about 20,000 commercials per year. \({ }^{9}\) If it can be assumed that these 20,000 commercials are evenly distributed between network and non-network sources, then about half of all commercials seen by children are aired by the networks. These are some of the commonly known statistics about the pervasiveness and use of television by children.

In general terms, the purpose of this research is to match the child audience information with the advertising in network television programs. In other words, to what products are children exposed in network advertising? What percentage of the total amount of network advertising of these products is contained in programs that children watch? This report will concentrate on two categories of network television programs: (1) those network programs which have the largest percentages of children in the audience, and (2) those programs which attract the largest number of children. Then the total amount and kind of advertising on these programs will be compared to the total advertising on network television.

In more specific detail, the objectives of this report are to:
1. Determine the categories of products to which children are exposed in advertising contained in network television programs.
2. Determine the number of commercial announcements for the above products.
3. Estimate the dollars expended by the advertisers in purchasing time for these commercial announcements.
4. Estimate the number of children who are exposed to these conmercial announcemerits.

\section*{Method}

The method used to research the above objectives was to match the network audience research data with network commercial advertising information. The network audience research data were supplied by Arbitron, a commercial TV audience measurement service. Arbitron is one of two major companies in the United States which regularly measures the audience for television programs. The network advertising data were supplied by Broadcast Advertisers Reports (BAR) of New York. BAR monitors the audio portion of the three commercial networks each day and notes the commercial advertising on network programs. As will be described in detail below, the advertisements which form the basis of this report are those which were aired in or between certain network programs during the months of February, May, and November 1977. A brief description of the methods used by Arbitron and BAR is contained in this report. In addition, both of these companies have placed in the rulemaking record a more complete description of their methods for collecting audience and advertising information.

Before the method for matching the audience data to the advertising data is explained, it may be useful to clarify the definitions of terms used throughout the remainder of this report.

\section*{Definition of Terms}
1. Children. The term children in this report refers to children in the 2-11 age range. The reason this age group was selected
is that this is the group of children for which Arbitron reports audience data. \({ }^{10}\) Arbitron reports no audience data for children younger than two years; and children who are 12 and older are included in the "teen" category. Arbitron further subdivides the 2-11 year old children by reporting the \(6-11\) year olds as a separate group. Arbitron does not generally report the 2-5 year olds but this figure can be obtained by simply subtracting the 6-11 from the 2-11 group. The 2-5 year old children could be thought of as being preschool age children and the 6-11 group as school age.
2. Network Television Programs and Advertising. The report is limited to programs and advertising messages carried by the three commercial television networks: American Broadcasting Company, Columbia Broadcasting System, and National Broaacasting Company. \({ }^{11}\)

Two lists of network TV programs are considered in this report:
a. The top 50 network television prograns ranked by the percentage of children in the audience for three months of 1977 (February, May, November). This list of programs was compiled for use in this study by Arbitron Television and is contained in Appen\(\operatorname{dix} B\).
b. The top 50 network television programs ranked by the total number of children in the audience for three months of 1977 (February, May, November). This list of programs was compiled by Arbitron Television for this study and is contained in Appendix \(C\).
3. Share. An audience share for a progran is expressed as a percentage of all persons who are watching television at that time.

For example, if we wished to determine what percentage of children make up the audience for Program \(X\) and we know that there are 5,000,000 people 2 years of age and older watching Program \(X\) and 4,000,000 of these people are children 2-11 years of age, we would say that the child audience share for Program \(X\) is 80 , or \(80 \%\) of all persons watching the program are children \(2-11\) years of age ( \(4,000,000 / 5,000,000\) X 100). Thus the top 50 network television programs ranked by the percentage of children in the audience is the same as saying the top 50 network programs ranked by the 2-11 share of audience.
4. Rating. An audience rating for a program is the percentage of all persons within a specific demographic group who watch a specific program. For example, Arbitron estimates that there were 33,602,600 children age 2-11 in the United States in February 1977. If \(16,000,000\) of those children watched Program \(Y\), then the child audience rating for Program \(Y\) would be 47.6 , or \(47.6 \%\) of ali children watched the program \((16,000,000 / 33,602,600 \times 100)\). Thus the top 50 network programs ranked by the total number of children in the audience is the same as saying the top 50 rated programs among children 2-11 years.
5. Gross Impressions. This term refers to the total number of persons who were likely to be exposed to an advertising message. Gross impressions are obtained by multipiying the number of 30 -second commercial announcements by the number of persons watching the program. As an example, suppose that there were three identical commercial announcements for a product in a program and that there were 3,932,000 children, aged 2~11, watching the program. The gross
impressions for this commercial announcement would be 11,796,000 (or \(3 \times 3,932,000=11,796,000\) ).
6. Product Category. A product category is a generic term for classifying commercial products into classes or groups. Examples of product categories are: cereals, toys, restaurants, etc.
7. Brand Product. A brand product is a commercial name given to a product, such as Post Raisin Bran (a cereal), Barbie Doll (a toy), McDonald's (a restaurant), etc.

\section*{Assumptions of Study}

There are a few assumptions of this study which should be clarified. First of all, it is assumed that the children in the audience of these programs were exposed to the advertising messages. It is not necessarily assumed that each child attended to all of these commercials, but it is assumed that if \(3,000,000\) children were watching a program, then \(3,000,000\) children also were exposed to the commercials in that program.

Second, it is assumed that all network television commercials are 30 seconds in length. The author made several spot checks of BAR data to determine the length of several commercials. In all cases the commercials were 30 seconds in length; however, not all commercials were checked to determine the length of each commercial. Mr. Pro Sherman, Vice-President of Broadcast Advertisers Reports, also confirmed that nearly all commercials on the networks are 30 seconds in length. Barcus reports that \(98 \%\) of the commercials he monitored in his studies were 30 seconds in length. \({ }^{12}\) Finally,
this should be a conservative error if an error was made in assuming the length of each commercial to be 30 seconds. For example, all 60 -second commercials would be counted as 30 -second commercials, thus underrepresenting the total amount of time devoted to the commercials.

\section*{Product Categories}

Broadcast Advertisers Reports classifies brand products into a large number of product categories; however, not all of the BAR product categories are reported in this study and some have been combined or subdivided to make the report more succinct and meaningful. The list of 26 product categories reported in this study was supplied to the author by the Federal Trade Commission. These product categories combined contain all network advertising and are as follows:

\author{
Footwear \\ Dental Supplies \\ Desserts \\ Highly Sugared Cereals \\ Other Cereals \\ Ice Cream \\ Fresh Fruit \\ Raisins \\ Canned Fruit \\ Cakes, Pies and Pastries \\ Cookies \\ Crackers \\ Fruit Juices \\ Candy \\ Regular Gum \\ Sugarless Gum \\ Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts \\ Regular Carbonated Beverages \\ Diet Carbonated Beverages \\ Non-Carbonated Beverages \\ Bicycles \\ Games: Toys, Hobbycraft \\ Restaurants and Drive-.Ins
}

\begin{abstract}
Beer, Wine, Mixers
All other foods and beverages
All other advertising (except foods and beverages)
Lists of brands within each product category are attached as Appendix \(D\).
\end{abstract}

\section*{Description of Data Sources}

The two primary sources of data for this study were specially prepared reports and published reports from Arbitron Television for the audience data and Broadcast Advertisers Reports for the advertising data. Although these two companies have provided information on the details of how they collect these data and the reliability of their information, a brief description of the companies and their methods is included here.

\section*{Audience Data}

Arbitron is a subsidiary of Control Data Corporation and has its executive offices in New York City. Arbitron provides a number of published audience reports for both radio and television audiences.

The primary method Arbitron uses to survey television audiences is the diary. Households are selected at random and a diary is placed in that household. One diary is placed in each household for each TV set. In the diary the members of the household record their television viewing for a specified period of time. The TV viewing of all members of the household is recorded in one diary. Each household provides television viewing information for a one-week period during the survey period (generally four weeks).

Arbitron has divided the country into geographic areas called Areas of Dominant Influence (ADI). An ADI is a geographic market design which defines each television market exclusive of another based on measurable viewing patterns. The ADI is an area that consists of all counties in which the home market stations receive a preponderance of viewing. Each county in the U.S. is allocated exclusively to one ADI. There is no overlap of ADI's. The ground rules for ADI allocation are relatively simple. Once the estimated total viewing hours for a county, and the percentage of such estimated total viewing hours for each station are determined, Arbitron sums the station percentages by market of origin. The market of origin having the largest total percentage is deemed to be the "dominant influence" in the county under consideration, and that county is allocated for ADI purposes to that markei of origin. \({ }^{13}\)

Since there is no overlap the estimated TV households are therefore additive, and the total of all ADI's represents the total number of TV households in the U.S. as surveyed by Arbitron.

Arbitron conducts three nationwide surveys each year (February, May, and November). These nationwide surveys are called "sweeps" and each survey is conducted over a period of four weeks; however, each household in the sample participates for only one week. Arbitron changes the number of ADI's periodically because new ADI's are created. This usually occurs in the Fall for the new TV season. As a result, in 1977 Arbitron surveyed 208 ADI's in February and May 1977 and 211 ADI's in November 1977. Data for this study are confined to these three months because these are the only months for which

Arbitron collects national audience data which can be matched to the BAR network advertising data. The year 1977 was chosen because it is the most recent complete year for which audience and advertising data are available.

The diary is the standard method for collecting television audience data, but it does have some disadvantages and weaknesses which should be noted here. Diaries are generally kept by an adult in the household, so the TV viewing of children may not be accurately reported. It may be possible that children's TV viewing is underreported in the diary, particularly when TV viewing is done by the child alone and no adults are present to record that viewing in the diary. In addition, some of the reported TV viewing may have been made on the basis of hearsay, recall, and the estimates of the diary keeper.

\section*{Advertising Data}

The advertising information for this study was supplied by Broadcast Advertisers Reports (BAR), located in New York City. BAR monitors the audio portion of the three network feed lines out of Philadelphia. Audio tape recordings are made of each network, during the entire broadcast day, every day of the year. The BAR staff then listens to these audio recordings and determines the commercials that were on the networks. The information regarding the commercials, the number of commercials, and the estimates of the dollars expended to purchase the advertising is compiled into BAR Network Reports. These reports contain detailed and accurate information on the time, amount, and cost of advertising on network programming.

BAR can only report what advertisements went out on the network lines and cannot say that the advertisement appeared on all station affiliates of the network. For the purposes of this study, it is assumed that the ads did appear on all affiliated stations and, in fact, the networks themselves assure the advertisers that the ads run on all but a tiny minority of network affiliated stations. In terms of estimating the advertising expenditures, BAR received information from the networks on the average cost of commercial time for each network program. Using these average costs, BAR then calculates an estimated cost for every advertisement on a network program.

BAR advertising information was used for February, May, and November 1977--the same months for which Arbitron supplied audience data for the three lists of television programs. In this way it was possible to match the audience data for specific programs with the advertising messages contained within those programs.

\section*{Tabulation Process}

The competitive advertising expenditure information supplied by Broadcast Advertisers Reports for February, May, and November 1977 was combined with the audience information for each network program title of interest. The network programs of interest were on two lists; one list ranked program titles by the percertage of children in the audience (share) and the second by the number of children in the audience (rating). These program ranking lists were supplied by Arbitron (see Appendices B and C).

The advertising and audience information was tabulated for each program ranked by child audience share and child audience rating.

The tabulation process was done in two steps. First, information about each ad within each of the 26 product categories was recorded along with the audience information for each program for the three months. At this time the gross impressions for each ad were computed and recorded. These program-by-program tabulation forms are contained in Appendix E. They are separated by month but alphabetized by program title within each month.

The second step in the tabulation process was to summarize all advertising information by product category for each program across the three months. These product category within-program tabulation forms are contained in Appendix F. They are alphabetized by program title.

A listing of all programs included for analysis in this study' is contained in Appendix \(G\). The study analyzed 111 different network programs out of a possible 248 network programs that were aired in February, May, and November 1977. Appendix G contains an alphabetized listing of these programs, indicating the number of commercials, the amount of time devoted to those commercials, and the advertising dollar expenditures in those programs. As a basis for comparison, Appendix \(G\) also contains the total number of commercials, time devoted to commercials, and advertising dollar expenditures for the calendar year 1977 for each program title.

One other point should be made about the tabulation process to clarify how the advertising and audience information was compiled. Programs were only included for the month in which it was ranked among the top 50 for child audience share or child audience rating.

For example, Captain Kangaroo was ranked aniong the top 50 network programs by the percentage of children in the audience for all three months, so all advertising information for the three months was included. By comparison, Little House or the Prairie was only among the top 50 network programs ranked by percentage of children in the audience in May 1977 and not in February or November. Advertising and audience information for Little House on the Prairie was only included for May 1977.

This procedure was also followed when subdividing the programs into those programs which have \(50 \%, 30 \%\), or \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience. For example, Ark II was among the group of programs with audiences of \(50 \%\) or more children in November 1977 but not in February or May. The advertising and audience information for Ark II was only included for November 1977 when analyzing programs with audiences of \(50 \%\) or more children. The same procedure was followed when subdividing on the basis of the number of children in the audience.

\section*{Projection to Calendar Year 1977}

The results which follow in this report are based on information for the three months of February, May, and November 1977. As a result, the advertising and audience information estimates represent one-fourth of all information for 1977. In one sense, these estimates may be viewed as a sample of all network advertising and program audience information aired in 1977; however, this was not a random sample of network programs, nor a random sample of network advertising.

Since the assumption of random sampling was not met, no statistical tests were applied to these data. On the other hand, since the information for the three months represents \(25 \%\) of the year, it is possible to project to the entire calendar year of 1977 by multiplying the relevant figures by four to obtain an annual estimate.

\section*{Results}

There are two ways to examine the child audience for network television programs. First, it is possible to examine the child audience in terms of the proportion of children in the audience. In other words, what percentage of the total audience for a network program is composed of children 2-11 years? The second method used to examine the child audience for network programs is to consider the number of children in the audience of a network program. The first method is based on the child audience share and the second method is based on the child audience rating. These two ways of analyzing the child audience form the basis of the analysis of the research results.

The results section is divided into four categories. First, an analysis of all network advertising is presented. Second, an analysis is presented by the percentage of children in the audience and the kinds of products advertised. This analysis is based on the child audience share. A third analysis is presented which is based on the number of children in the audience and the products advertised. This third analysis is based on the child audience rating. A fourth analysis considers the gross impressions for various child audience shares and ratings.

\section*{I. Network Television Advertising}

Before discussing the nature of advertising on programs with large child audiences, it is appropriate to describe the make-up of network advertising as a whole for the three months of 1977. Table I
displays the number of commercials and total amount of dollars expended to purchase the advertising for each of the 26 product categories on all network programs. Table I also shows each product category as a percentage of all network advertising for the three mont.hs .

Several things should be noted about the total amount of network advertising for February, May, and November 1977. First, the advertising of food and beverage products was a little less than onethird (31.4\%) of the total number of network commercials. Food and beverage advertising represented about one-fourth (24.4\%) of all dollars expended in advertising. Second, within the food and beverage category, Highly Sugared Cereals comprised only \(2.5 \%\) of the total number of ads on network TV and about \(1 \%\) of the total dollars expended on advertising. Other Cereals also comprised about \(2.5 \%\) of the total number of commercials and about \(2.0 \%\) of all dollars expended on network advertising. Finally, the advertising of Bicycles and Toys, Games, Hobbycraft comprised \(4.3 \%\) of the total number of commercials and \(2.6 \%\) of the total network advertising expenditures.

These figures for the total network advertising for the three months of 1977 can be compared, for example, with network programs that had \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience. In network programs that had audiences with \(50 \%\) or more children, \(58.1 \%\) of the commercials were for food and beverages and \(35.6 \%\) were for Bicycles, Games, Toys, and Hobbycraft. This comparison serves as an introduction to the following detailed analyses of advertising within programs with high child audience shares and ratings.

TABIE 1. 'lotal Network Advertising for rebruary, May, November \(1 y / /\) and lerceritage or Total for Selected Product Categories.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & No. of Corm & rk
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ E S T \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Total Corm & \% of Total \(\$\) \\
\hline 1. Other Cereals & 1449 & 19699.8 & 2.6 & 2.0 \\
\hline 2. Highly Sugared Cereals & 1365 & 10532.0 & 2.5 & 1.1 \\
\hline 3. Beer, Wine, Mixers & 1373 & 36282.5 & 2.5 & 3.7 \\
\hline 4. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 1115 & 12923.2 & 2.0 & 1.3 \\
\hline 5. Candy & 921 & 9398.0 & 1.7 & 1.0 \\
\hline 6 Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts & 627 & 860 . 0 & 1.1 & 0.9 \\
\hline 7. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 590 & 7376.1 & 1.1 & 0.8 \\
\hline 8. Fruit Juices & 318 & 3869.4 & 0.6 & 0.4 \\
\hline 9. Cakes, Pies, Pastries & 245 & 2975.6 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\
\hline 10. Crackers & 214 & 3246.5 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\
\hline 11. Regular Gum & 240 & 3987.5 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\
\hline 12. Cookies & 145 & 1748.6 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline 13. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 190 & 4563.0 & 0.3 & 0.5 \\
\hline 14. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 128 & 2426.0 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 CONIINUFD Total for Selected Product Categories.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{All Network Programs} & 응 of Total Comm & \% of Total \$ \\
\hline 15. Sugarless Gum & 125 & 2179.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\
\hline 16. Canned Fruit & 65 & 688.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 17. Raisins & 41 & 393.6 & 0.1 & 0.0 \\
\hline 18. Ice Cream & 5 & 12.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 19. Fresh Fruit & 3 & 19.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 20. All Other Food \& Beverage & 8287 & 108,388.5 & 14.9 & 11.1 \\
\hline Potal Food \& Beverage & 17447 & 239,315.6 & 31.4 & 24.4 \\
\hline 21. Games, Toys \& Hobbycraft & 2280 & 24672.1 & 4.1 & 2.5 \\
\hline 22. Dental Supplies & 570 & 15229.9 & 1.0 & 1.6 \\
\hline 23. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 555 & 21579.6 & 1.0 & 2.2 \\
\hline 24. Footwear & 109 & 1472.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\
\hline 25. Bicycles & 99 & 439.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\
\hline 26. All Other Advertising & 34531 & 677948.6 & 62.1 & 69.1 \\
\hline Total Non-Food Advertising & 38144 & 74.1341 .3 & 68.6 & 75.6 \\
\hline TOTAL & 55591 & 98065.9 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
II. Share (Percentage of Ghildren in Audience)

For illustrative purposes, the analysis provided below addresses three levels of audience share. These levels are: \(50 \%\) or more of the total audience is composed of children 2-11 years, \(30 \%\) or more of the audience is children 2-11, and \(20 \%\) or more of the audience is children 2-11. These levels were selected after a close examination of the child audience share rankings provided by Arbitron (see Appendix B). In each case, for all three sweeps (February, May, November), the data had fairly clear divisions at these child audience shares, \(50 \%, 30 \%\), and \(20 \%\). Each of the child audience share levels is addressed separately.
A. 50\% or More Children in Audience. The major questions to be answered in this section are: (a) When are these pregrams shown on network TV and how many programs are included? (b) What percentage of each product category is advertised in these programs? and (c) What kinds of products are advertised in programs with audiences composed of \(50 \%\) or more children 2-11?

The network programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience with one exception were all shown on the networks on Saturday and Sunday mornings between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. (EST) in all three months. The single exception was Captain Kangaroo, which averaged over 70\% children in the audience and is shown Monday through Friday from 8:00-9:00 a.m. (EST).

The total number of different programs across the three months which met the \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience criterion
was 44 , out of a total of 248 programs. The audiences for these programs ranged between \(51.3 \%\) and \(75.5 \%\) children \(2-11\) years of age.

The data in Table II provide a listing of the 14 product categories advertised in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience. During the three months of February, May, and November 1977 there were 3,707 ads in these programs amounting to an ad expenditure of \(\$ 25,624,800\). Nearly \(60 \%(58.1 \%\) ) of these ads were for Foods and Beverages and the remaining \(40 \%\) ( \(41.9 \%\) ) for Non-Food products. Within the Food and Beverage group of products, Highly Sugared Cereals comprised over one-fourth (26.9\%) of all ads, followed by Candy (12.7\%). In the Non-Food group of advertising, over one-third (35.0\%) of all ads was for Games, Toys, Hobbycraft.

Table II indicates the kinds of products advertised in programs with audiences composed of \(50 \%\) or more children. Table III indicates the percentage of each product category that was advertised in these programs versus those products advertised in all other network programs. Over half of all network ads for three of the product categories were in programs with over \(50 \%\) children in the audience: Highly Sugared Cereals (73.0\%), Candy (57\%), and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft (56.8\%). Only four other product categories had over \(20 \%\) of all commercials in these programs. They were Footwear (23.9\%), Cookies (32.2\%), Regular Gum (24.2\%), and Bicycles (23.2\%).

Another way of viewing the data in Table III is to note what was not advertised in these programs or at least not advertised in significant amounts. For example, there were no advertisements for Desserts and Dessert Ingredients, Ice Cream, Fresh Fruit, Raisins,

LAbLE 11. froduct Categorjes Represented in Network IV Programs with \(50 \%\) or more Children in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs 50\% + Child Audience} & \% of Total Corm & \% of Total \$ \\
\hline 1. Highly Sugared Cereals & 997 & 5741.1 & 26.9 & 22.4 \\
\hline 2. Candy & 469 & 4059.9 & 12.7 & 15.8 \\
\hline 3. Other Cereals & 226 & 1401.3 & 6.3 & 5.5 \\
\hline 4. Regular Gum & 58 & 404.3 & 1.6 & 1.6 \\
\hline 5. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 54 & 417.0 & 1.5 & 1.6 \\
\hline 6. Cookies \(\quad\), & 47 & 280.4 & 1.3 & 1.1 \\
\hline 7. Cakes, Pies \& Pastries & 15 & 154.5 & 0.4 & 0.6 \\
\hline 8. All Other Food \& Beverage & 288 & 1735.8 & 7.8 & 6.8 \\
\hline Total Food \& Beverage & 2154 & 14194.3 & 58.1 & 55.4 \\
\hline 9. Games, Toys \& Hobbycraft & 1296 & 8483.9 & 35.0 & 33.1 \\
\hline 10. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 105 & 2075.0 & 2.8 & 8.1 \\
\hline 11. Footwear & 26 & 168.8 & 0.7 & 0.7 \\
\hline 12. Bicycles & 2. & 49.8 & 0.6 & 0.2 \\
\hline 13. Dental Supplies & 10 & 27. & 0.3 & 0.1 \\
\hline . & & 25 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CONIINUED in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs \(50 \%\) + Child Audience} & \% of Total Conm & \% of Total \$ \\
\hline 14. All Other Advertising & 93 & 625.2 & 2.5 & 2.4 \\
\hline Total Non-Food & 1553 & 11430.5 & 41.9 & 44.6 \\
\hline TOTAL & 3707 & 25624.8 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE III. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with \(50 \%\) or more Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, May, November).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1 \\
Network Progs. \\
50\%+ Child \\
Audience
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{All Network Programs} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of Commercials \& Dollars in Programs with \(50 \%\) or more Children in Au} \\
\hline & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\% of \\
Comm
\end{tabular} & \% of \$ ESI \\
\hline 1. Footwear & 26 & 168.8 & 109 & 1472.1 & 23.9 & 11.5 \\
\hline 2. Dental Supplies & 10 & 27.8 & 570 & 15229.9 & 1.8 & 0.2 \\
\hline 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & - & - & 1115 & 12923.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 4. High1y Sugared Cereals & 997 & 5741.1 & 1365 & 10532.0 & 73.0 & 54.5 \\
\hline 5. Other Cereals & 226 & 1401.3 & 1449 & 19699.8 & 15.6 & 7.1 \\
\hline 6. Ice Cream & - & - & 5 & 12.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 7. Fresh Fruit & - & - & 3 & 19.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 8. Raisins & - & - & 41 & 393.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 9. Canned Fruit & - & - & 65 & 688.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \[
\text { 10. Cakes, Pies, } \begin{aligned}
& \text { Pastries }
\end{aligned}
\] & 15 & 154.5 & 245 & 2975.6 & 6.1 & 5.2 \\
\hline 11. Cookies & 47 & 280.4 & 146 & 1748.6 & 32.2 & 16.0 \\
\hline 12. Crackers & - & - & 214 & 3246.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 13. Fruit Juices & - & - & 318 & 3869.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 14. Candy & 469 & 4059.9 & 921 & 9398.0 & 51.0 & 43.2 \\
\hline 15. Regular Gum & 58 & 404.3 & 240 & 3987.5 & 24.2 & 10.1 \\
\hline 16. Sugarless Gum & - & - & 125 & 2179.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17. Appetizers, Snacks, } \\
& \text { Nuts }
\end{aligned}
\] & - & - & 627 & 8606.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 18. \(\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular Carbonated } \\ & \text { Beverages }\end{aligned}\) & - & - & 190 & 4563.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE III. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with \(50 \%\) or more CONTINUED Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, May, November).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1
Network Progs.
\(50 \%+\) Child
Audience} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \text { Network }
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
3 \\
Percentage of \({ }^{-}\) Commercials \& Dollars in Programs with \(50 \%\) or more Children in Auc
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Comm & \% of \$ ESI \\
\hline 19. Diet Carbonated Beverages & - & - & 128 & 2426.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 20. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 54 & 417.0 & 590 & 7376.1 & 9.2 & 5.7 \\
\hline 21. Bicycles & 23 & 49.8 & 99 & 439.0 & 23.2 & 11.3 \\
\hline 22. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft & 1296 & 8483.9 & 2280 & 24672.1 & 56.8 & 34.4 \\
\hline 23. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 105 & 2075.0 & 555 & 21579.6 & 18.9 & 9.6 \\
\hline 44. Beer, Wine, Mixers & - & - & 1373 & 36282.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 25. All Other Food \& Beverages & 288 & 1735.8 & 8287 & 108388.5 & 3.5 & 1.6 \\
\hline 26. All Other Advertising Except Food \& Beverages & 93 & 62.5 .2 & 34531 & 677948.6 & 0.3 & 0.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Canned Fruit, Crackers, Fruit Juices, Sugarless Gum, Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts, Regular or Diet Carbonated Drinks, and, of course, no Beer, Wine or Mixers. The percentage of Dental Supplies advertising in these programs was insignificant. Only \(1.8 \%\) of all Dental Supplies advertising on network programs was included in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience.
B. \(30 \%\) or More Children in Audience. Programs with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience tended to be concentrated on Saturday and Sunday ( \(93 \%\) of all programs were shown on Saturday and Sunday). In fact, with five exceptions, all programs had starting times between 7:30 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. (EST) on Saturdays and Sundays. The exceptions were Captain Kangaroo, Jungle Book (Friday, 8:00 p.m.), Happy Days--Daytime (Monday-Friday, 11:00 or 11:30 a.m.), and Here's Lucy (Monday-Friday, 10:00 a.m.). Only one program, Jungle Book, was shown during prime time. The total number of network programs with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience was 56 (out of a total of 248 programs) across the three months of February, May, and November 1977. The number of programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience was 44, compared with 56 that had \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience. In other words, only 12 additional programs had between \(30 \%\) and \(50 \%\) child audience shares.

Table IV cortains the 21 product categories advertised in programs with audiences of \(30 \%\) or more children 2-11. There were 4,561 ads in programs having \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience. The \(60-40 \mathrm{split}\) between Food and Beverage advertising and Non-Food advertising was maintained in these programs. About one-fourth

Children in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs 30\% + Child Audience} & \(\%\) of Total Corm & \% of Total \$ \\
\hline 1. Highly Sugared Cereals & 1106 & 6506.7 & 24.2 & 20.2 \\
\hline 2. Candy & 553 & 4563.6 & 12.1 & 14.2 \\
\hline 3. Other Cereals & 259 & 1573.0 & 5.7 & 4.9 \\
\hline 4. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 80 & 589.9 & 1.8 & 1.8 \\
\hline 5. Regular Gum & 69 & 469.3 & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
\hline 6. Cookies & 53 & 320.8 & 1.2 & 1.0 \\
\hline 7. Appetizers, Snacks \& Nut.s & 47 & 365.3 & 1.0 & 1.1 \\
\hline 8. Cakes, Pies \& Pastries & 19 & 181.9 & 0.4 & 0.6 \\
\hline 9. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 15 & 72.8 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline 10. Fruit Juices & 9 & 46.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
\hline 11. Sugarless Gum & 4 & 20.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 12. Crackers & 2 & 11.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 13. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 2 & 11.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 14. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 1 & 4.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CONIINUED Children in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs} & \% of Total Comm & \% of Total \$ \\
\hline 15. All Other Food \& Beverage & 445 & 2667.6 & 9.8 & 8.3 \\
\hline Total Food \& Beverage & 2664 & 17404.7 & 58.3 & 54.0 \\
\hline 16. Games, Toys \& Hobbycraft & 1457 & 9870.8 & 31.9 & 30.7 \\
\hline 17. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 124 & 2356.5 & 2.7 & 7.3 \\
\hline 18. Footwear & 27 & 173.7 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\
\hline 19. Bicycles & 23 & 49.8 & 0.5 & 0.2 \\
\hline 20. Dental Supplies & 14 & - 48.6 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline 21. All Other Advertising & 252 & 2288.4 & 5.5 & 7.1 \\
\hline Total Non-Food & 1897 & 14787.8 & 41.5 & 46.0 \\
\hline TOTAL & 4561 & 32192.5 & 99.8 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(24.2\%) of all advertising in these programs was for Highly Sugared Cereals and about \(32 \%\) for Games, Toys, Hobbycraft. The only other product category with a significant amount of advertising in these programs was Candy, with \(12.1 \%\) of all ads.

The data in Table \(V\) provide a listing of all product categories and present the number of commercials and the dollars expended for products advertised in network programs (February, May, November) with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience. The number of commercials and the amount of dollars expended to purchase the commercial time are expressed as a percentage of all advertising on network TV for those product categories for three months.

Those product categories with over half of their total network commercials in programs with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience were Highly Sugared Cereals ( \(81.0 \%\) ), Candy ( \(60.0 \%\) ), and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft (63.9\%). These are the same product categories that had over half of their network commercials in programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience. Those product categories with between \(20 \%\) and \(50 \%\) of their commercials in programs with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience were Footwear ( \(24.8 \%\) ), Cookies (36.3\%), Regular Gum (28.8\%), Bicycles (23.2\%), and Restaurants and Drive-Ins (22.3\%). In other words, only Restaurants and Drive-Ins is added to the list of advertisers in programs with \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience as compared to \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience.

There was no Ice Cream, Fresh Fruit, Raisins, Canned Fruit, or Beer, Wine or Mixers advertised in these programs. Most other

TABLE V. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with \(30 \%\) or more Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, May, November).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1
Network Progs.
\(30 \%+\) Chíild
Audience} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { A.1 }^{2} \text { Network } \\
\text { Programs }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
3 \\
Percentage of Commercials \& Dollars in Programs with \(30 \%\) Children
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Comm & \[
\% \text { \$ EST }
\] \\
\hline 1. Footwear & 27 & 173.7 & 109 & 1472.1 & 24.8 & 11.8 \\
\hline 2. Dental Supplies & 1.4 & 48.6 & 570 & 15229.9 & 2.5 & 0.3 \\
\hline 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 15 & 72.8 & 1115 & 12923.2 & 1.3 & 0.6 \\
\hline 4. Highly Sugared Cereals & 1106 & 6506.7 & 1365 & 10532.0 & 81.0 & 61.8 \\
\hline 5. Other Cereals & 259 & 1573.0 & 1449 & 19699.8 & 17.9 & 8.0 \\
\hline 6. Ice Cream & - & - & 5 & 12.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 7. Fresh Fruit & - & - & 3 & 19.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 8. Raisins & - & - & 41 & 393.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 9. Canned Fruit & - & - & 65 & 688.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 10. \begin{tabular}{l} 
Cakes, Pies, \\
Pastries
\end{tabular} & 19 & 181.9 & 245 & 2975.6 & 7.8 & 6.1 \\
\hline 11. Cookies & 53 & 320.8 & 146 & 1748.6 & 36.3 & 18.3 \\
\hline 12. Crackers & 2 & 11.0 & 214 & 3246.5 & 0.9 & 0.3 \\
\hline 13. Fruit Juices & 9 & 46.1 & 318 & 3869.4 & 2.8 & 1.2 \\
\hline 14. Candy & 553 & 4563.6 & 921 & 9398.0 & 60.0 & 48.6 \\
\hline 15. Regular Gum & 69 & 469.3 & 240 & 3987.5 & 28.8 & 11.8 \\
\hline 16. Sugarless Gum & 4 & 20.8 & 125 & 2179.1 & 3.2 & 1.0 \\
\hline 17. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts & 47 & 365.3 & 627 & 8606.0 & 7.5 & 4.2 \\
\hline 28. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 2 & 11.0 & 190 & 4563.0 & 1.1 & 0.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE V. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with \(30 \%\) or more CONIINUED Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, May, November).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{```
                1.
Network Progs.
    30%+ Child
        Audience
```} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{2
\({ }^{2}\) Network
Programs} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of Commercials \& Dollars in Programs with \(30 \%+\) Children} \\
\hline & No. of Corm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & No. of. Cormm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\% \text { of }
\]
Comin & \% \$ EST \\
\hline 19. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 1 & 4.9 & 128 & 2426.0 & 0.8 & 0.2 \\
\hline 20. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 80 & 589.9 & 590 & 7376.1 & 13.6 & 8.0 \\
\hline 21. Bicycles & 23 & 49.8 & 99 & 439.0 & 23.2 & 11.3 \\
\hline 22. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft & 1457 & 9870.8 & 2280 & 24672.1 & 63.9 & 40.0 \\
\hline 23. Restaurants, Drive-Ins & 124 & 2356.5 & 555 & 21579.6 & 22.3 & 10.9 \\
\hline 24. Beer, Wine, Mixers & - & - & 1373 & 3628.2 .5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 25. All Other Food \& Beverage & 445 & 2667.6 & 8287 & 108388.5 & 5.4 & 2.5 \\
\hline 26. All Other Advertising Except Food \& Beverage & 252 & 2288.4 & 34531 & 677948.6 & 0.7 & 0.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
products were advertised in insignificant amounts, except Other Cereals (17.9\%) and Non-Carbonated Beverages (13.6\%).
C. \(20 \%\) or More Children in Audience. The total number of different programs across the three months which had \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience wàs 75 out of 248 programs. This compares with 44 programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience and 56 different network programs that had \(30 \%\) or more children in the audience. Nearly three-fourths (71\%) of these 75 programs had starting times between 7:30 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. on Saturdays and Sundays. Eighteen programs ( \(24 \%\) ) were shown in prime time and had starting times from 7:00 to 8:30 p.m. (EST).

Table VI indicates the 24 product categories advertised in programs with \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience. In these programs the division of Food and Beverage advertising and Non-Food advertising is about evenly split: \(52.0 \%\) of all ads were for Food and Beverage products and \(48 \%\) for Non-Food products. The advertising expenditures, however, are not equal, with about \(60 \%\) of the advertising expenditures for Non-Food products and about \(40 \%\) for Food and Beverage products.

Those product categories with the largest proportions of their products advertised in programs with \(20 \%\) or more children were: Games, Toys, Hobbycraft (23.5\%), All Other Advertising (20.0\%), Highly Sugared Cereals (17.7\%), Other Food and Beverage (12.0\%), and Candy (9.6\%).

Table VII provides a listing of all product categories and notes which product categories were advertised in programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience. In addition, these product

TABLE VI. Product Categories Represented in Network TV Programs with \(20 \%\) or more Children in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs \(20 \%\) + Child Audience} & \% of Total Corm & \% of Total \$ \\
\hline 1. Highly Sugared Cereals & 1120 & 7026.9 & 17.7 & 7.7 \\
\hline 2. Candy & 608 & 5782.2 & 9.6 & 6.3 \\
\hline 3. Other Cereals & 308 & 3260.7 & 4.9 & 3.6 \\
\hline 4. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 105 & 1477.1 & 1.7 & 1.6 \\
\hline 5. Regular Gum & 90 & 1022.0 & 1.4 & 1.1 \\
\hline 6. Appetizers, Snacks \& Nuts & 67 & 783.6 & 1.1 & 0.9 \\
\hline 7. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 60 & 1575.7 & 1.0 & 1.7 \\
\hline 8. Cookies & 59 & 588.8 & 0.9 & 0.6 \\
\hline 9. Cakes, Pies \& Pastries & 24 & 387.8 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\
\hline 10. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 18 & 653.6 & 0.3 & 0.7 \\
\hline 11. Fruit Juices & 16 & 168.0 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline 12. Beer, Wine, Mixers & 16 & 549.5 & 0.3 & 0.6 \\
\hline 13. Sugarless Gum & 13 & 299.6 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\
\hline 14. Crackers & 12 & 358.7 & 0.2 & 0.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CONIINUED Children in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Network Programs \\
\(20 \%\) & + Child Audience \\
& \\
No. of & \(\$\) EST \\
Comm & \((000)\)
\end{tabular}} & \% of Total Corm. & \% of Total \$ \\
\hline 25. Rajsins & 7 & 45.5 & 0.1 & 0.0 \\
\hline 16. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 5 & 163.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 \\
\hline 17. Canned Fruit & 1 & 47.5 & 0.0 & 0.1 \\
\hline 18. All Other Food \& Beverages & 761 & 11661.3 & 12.0 & 12.7 \\
\hline Iotal Food \& Beverage & 3290 & 35851.8 & 52.0 & 39.1 \\
\hline 19. Games, Toys \& Hobbycraft & 1488 & 10874.2 & 23.5 & 11.9 \\
\hline 20. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 182 & 5965.7 & * 2.9 & 6.5 \\
\hline 21. Dental Supplies & 53 & 1098.0 & 0.8 & 1.2 \\
\hline 22. Footwear & 30. & 292.2 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\
\hline 23. Bicycles & 23 & 49.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 \\
\hline 24. All Other Advertising & 1268 & 37507.7 & 20.0 & 40.9 \\
\hline Total Non-Food & 3044 & 55787.6 & 48.1 & 60.9 \\
\hline TOIAL & 6334 & 91639.4 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VII. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with 20\% or more Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, May, November).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1 \\
Network P'rogs. \\
20\% Child \\
Audience
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{All Network Programs} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of Commercials \& Dollars In Programs with 20\% or mare Children} \\
\hline & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Comm & \[
\% \text { SEST }
\] \\
\hline 1. Footwear & 30 & 292.2 & 109 & 1472.1 & 27.5 & 19.8 \\
\hline 2. Dental Supplies & 53 & 1098.0 & 570 & 15229.9 & 9.3 & 7.2 \\
\hline 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 60 & 1575.7 & 1115 & 12923.2 & 5.4 & 12.2 \\
\hline 4. Highly Sugared Cereals & 1120 & 7026.9 & 1365 & 10532.0 & 82.1 & 66.7 \\
\hline 5. Other Cereals & 308 & 3260.7 & 1449 & 19699.8 & 21.3 & 16.6 \\
\hline 6. Ice Cream & - & - & 5 & 12.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 7. Fresh Fruit & - & - & 3 & 19.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 8. Raisins & 7 & 45.5 & 41 & 393.6 & 17.1 & 11.6 \\
\hline 9. Canned Fruit & 1 & 47.5 & 65 & 688.1 & 1.5 & 6.9 \\
\hline \[
\text { 10. } \begin{aligned}
& \text { Cakes, Pies, } \\
& \text { Pastries }
\end{aligned}
\] & 24 & 387.8 & 245 & 2975.6 & 9.8 & 13.0 \\
\hline 11. Cookies & 59 & 588.8 & 146 & 1748.6 & 40.4 & 33.7 \\
\hline 12. Crackers & 12 & 358.7 & 214 & 3246.5 & 5.6 & 11.0 \\
\hline 13. Fruit Juices & 16 & 168.0 & 318 & 3869.4 & 5.0 & 4.3 \\
\hline 14. Candy & 608 & 5782.2 & 921 & 9398.0 & 66.0 & 6.1 .5 \\
\hline 15. Regular Gum & 90 & 1022.0 & 240 & 3987.5 & 37.5 & 25.6 \\
\hline 16. Sugarless Gum & 13 & 299.6 & 125 & 2179.1 & 10.4 & 13.7 \\
\hline 17. Appetizers, Snacks,
Nuts & 67 & 783.6 & 627 & 8606.0 & 10.7 & 9.1 \\
\hline 8. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 18 & 653.6 & 190 & 4563.0 & 9.5 & 14.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE VII. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with \(20 \%\) or more CONTINUED Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, May, November.).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1 . \\
Network Progs. \\
20\% Child \\
Audience
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{All Network Programs} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{```
3
Percentage of
Commercials & Dollars
In Programs with 20%
    or more Children
```} \\
\hline & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
No. of \\
Comm
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Corm & \% \$ EST \\
\hline 19. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 5 & 163.3 & 128 & 2426.0 & 3.9 & 6.7 \\
\hline 20. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 105 & 1477.1 & 590 & 7376.1 & 17.8 & 20.0 \\
\hline 21. Bicycles & 23 & 49.8 & 99 & 439.0 & 23.2 & 11.3 \\
\hline 22. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft & 1488 & 10874.2 & 2280 & 24672.1 & 65.3 & 24.2 \\
\hline 23. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 182 & 5965.7 & 555 & 21579.6 & 32.8 & 27.6 \\
\hline 24. Beer, Wine, Mixers & 16 & 549.5 & 1373 & 36282.5 & 1.2 & 1.5 \\
\hline 25. A11 Other Food \& Beverage & 761 & 11661.3 & 8287 & 108388.5 & 9.2 & 10.8 \\
\hline 26. All Other Advertising Except Food \& Beverage & 1268 & 37507.7 & 34531 & 677948.6 & 3.7 & 5.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
categories are compared with the advertising contained in all network programs for the same period of time (February, May, November 1977).

Nearly all 26 of the product categories are represented in these programs. Only two, Ice Cream and Fresh Fruit, had no advertising in these network programs. Once again, Highly Sugared Cereals ( \(82.1 \%\) of all commercials for this product category), Candy ( \(66.0 \%\) ), and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft (65.3\%) all had more than \(50 \%\) of their commercials in programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience.

The following product categories had between \(20 \%\) and \(50 \%\) of all of their network TV commercials in programs with audiences composed of \(20 \%\) or more children: Footwear (27.5\%), Other Cereals (21.3\%), Cookies (40.4\%), Regular Gum (37.5\%), Bicycles (23.2\%), and Restaurants and Drive-Ins (32.8\%). Dental Supplies, Desserts and Dessert Ingredients, Canned Fruit, Cakes, Pies and Pastries, Crackers, Fruit Juices, Regular and Diet Carbonated Drinks, and Beer, Wine and Mixers 211 had less than \(10 \%\) of their total network advertising in programs with \(20 \%\) of more children in the audience.

Summary: Share of Audience. In comparing the three levels of child audience share, several points should be noted. First, three product categories stand out as having most of their commercials concentrated in programs attracting \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience. These categories are Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft. In all three analyses, these product categories had more than half of all commercials on network TV in the programs of interest.

Second, as the child audience share decreases the number of product categories represented in the programs increases. In other
words, in programs with an audience composed of \(50 \%\) or more children there are fewer product categories advertised than in programs having \(30 \%\) or \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience.

Another way of expressing this point is to compare the distribution of advertisements in network programs with different child audience shares. Table VIII contains such a comparison. The first row of Table VIII displays the distribution of selected product ads for all network programs shown during February, May, and November 1977. The second row shows the proportions of ads for the same product categories for programs having \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience. The third and fourth rows display the same data for programs having \(30 \%\) or more and \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience. As the child audience share increases, the proportion of ads for Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and Bicycles increases. Also, when the child audience shares increase the advertising of all other products decreases from \(43.1 \%\) of all advertising with \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience to about \(20 \%\) of all advertising when half or more of the audience is composed of children. Bar graphs depicting some of these relationships are contained in Appendix \(H\).

Third, most of the prograns in which children comprise a significant share of the audience are shown on Saturday and Sunday morning. This is certainly true for the \(50 \%\) and \(30 \%\) or more child audience shares. It is somewhat less true for the \(20 \%\) or more child audience share.

Finally, as the child audience share decreases from \(50 \%\) or more to \(20 \%\) or more, the proportion of the estimated dollars expended
Canned Fruit, Raisins, Ice Cream.
**
\(*\)

TABLE VIII. Percentages of Network Advertisements within Different Program Groups for Selected Product Categories (Number)
to purchase the advertising increases. For example, in those programs with \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience, about half of the total dollars devoted to advertising Highly Sugared Cereals was expended. In comparison, when the child audience share decreased to \(20 \%\) or more children in the audience, two-thirds of all dollars expended on Highly Sugared Cereals was spent in these programs. The likely explanation for this increase is due not only to an increase in the number of programs and commercials, but also due to the fact that prime time programs have substantially higher advertising rates than programs shown on Saturday and Sunday mornings and a number of prime time programs are included in the \(20 \%\) or more child audience share group of programs. On the other hand, only one prime time program appears in the group of programs with \(30 \%\) or \(50 \%\) or more children in the audience.

\section*{III. Rating (Number of Children in Audience)}

A second way of addressing the child audience for network programs is to examine what products are advertised when a certain number of children are in the audience. This section analyzes network programs by the child audience rating, or the total number of children 2-11 in the audience. Arbitron has ranked the top 50 network television programs by the number of children 2-11 in the audience. The titles of these programs and relevant audience information are contained in Appendix \(C\).

In February, May, and November 1977 the highest child (2-i1) rating for any network program was 47; in other words, \(47 \%\) of all children in the United States watched the program. The median child
rating for the top 50 programs ranked by Arbitron was 13.4. An examination of the child audience ratings for the programs ranked by Arbitron revealed three breaks which seemed appropriate to analyze.

The first division was made when the child audience for programs contained \(8,000,000\) or more children. According to Arbitron, a program having \(8,000,000\) children in the audience would have a child audience rating of from 23.8 to 24.9. \({ }^{14}\) A second division was constructed when the number of children in the audience was \(5,000,000\) or more, or a child audience rating of 14.9 to 15.5 . The third and final division was constructed when the child audience was \(3,500,000\) or more, with a rating of 10.4 to 10.9. Each of these levels of the number of children in the audience is addressed separately.
A. 8,000,000 or More Children in Audience. On network television in February, May, and November 1977 there were only nine different programs which had \(8,000,000\) or more children in the audience out of a total of 248 programs. These programs were Happy Days, Laverne and Shirley, Donny and Marie Osmond Show, Bionic Woman, Six Million Dollar Man, Wonderful World of Disney, Welcome Back, Kotter, Hardy Boys/Nancy Drew Mystery Hour, and What's Happening. All of these programs were shown in prime time and had starting times of between 7:00 and 8:30 p.m. (EST). Only three of the nine programs were shown on Saturday or Sunday evening, with the remainder distributed throughout the week.

The product categories advertised in these programs are divided into two groups in Table IX. The two groups are Food and Beverage and Non-Food advertising. One-third of the advertising in
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Product Category} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs With 8,000,000 + Children in Audience} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\% of Total Corm} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(\%\) of Total \$} \\
\hline & No. of Corm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & & \\
\hline 1. Other Cereals & 17 & 881.5 & 3.2 & 3.1 \\
\hline 2. Candy & 12 & 555.9 & 2.2 & 2.0 \\
\hline 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 11 & 773.2 & 2.0 & 2.7 \\
\hline 4. Regular Carbonated Beverages & 8 & 379.0 & 1.5 & 1.3 \\
\hline 5. Beer, Wine, Mixers & 8 & 219.7 & 1.5 & 0.8 \\
\hline 6. Highly Sugared Cereals & 5 & 237.5 & 0.9 & 0.8 \\
\hline 7. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 4 & 178.0 & 0.7 & 0.6 \\
\hline 8. Cookies & 3 & 139.0 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\
\hline 9. Sugarless Gum & 3 & 150.7 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\
\hline 10. Appetizers, Snacks \& Nuts & 3 & 131.8 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\
\hline 11. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 2 & 111.8 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\
\hline 12. Crackers & 2 & 88.4 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\
\hline 13. Regular Gum & 2 & 146.1 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\
\hline 14. Cakes, Pies \& Pastries & 2 & 88.4 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE IX. Product Categories Represented in Network IV Programs with \(8,000,000\) or more CONIINUED Children in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs With 8,000,000 + Children in Audience} & \(\%\) of Total Corm & \(\%\) of Total \$ \\
\hline 15. Canned Fruit & 1 & 47.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\
\hline 16. All Other Food \& Beverage & 94 & 4185.9 & 17.5 & 14.7 \\
\hline Total Food \& Beverage & 177 & 8314.4 & 33.0 & 29.2 \\
\hline 17. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 29 & 1985.0 & 5.4 & 7.0 \\
\hline 18. Dental Supplies & 13 & 648.0 & 2.4 & 2.3 \\
\hline 19. Games, Toys \& Hobbycraft & 6 & 424.0 & 1.1 & 1.5 \\
\hline 20. All Other Advertising & 312 & 17135.0 & 58.1 & 60.1 \\
\hline Total Non-Food & 360 & 20192.0 & 67.0 & 70.8 \\
\hline TOTAL & 537 & 28506.4 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
programs with 8,000,000 or more children in the audience was for Food and Beverage products. Two-thirds was for Non-Food advertising. This division between Food and Beverage products and Non-Food products is nearly identical to that for all network advertising (see Table I). In other words, these programs, in terms of their Food and Beverage and Non-Food advertising, tend to be representative of all network programs. Nearly \(80 \%\) of all advertising in these programs was for Other Food and Beverage advertising or Other Advertising. Restaurants and Drive-Ins have \(5.4 \%\) of the total number of commercials in these programs, followed by Other Cereals (3.1\%), Dental Supplies (2.4\%), and Candy (2.2\%). All other product categories account for \(2.0 \%\) or less of the total amount of advertising in these programs.

Table X provides a listing of all 26 product categories and notes which product categories were included in programs having \(8,000,000\) or more children in the audience for the three months. Twenty of the 26 product categories were represented in the nine programs. Footwear, Ice Cream, Fresh Fruit, Raisins, Fruit Juices, and Bicycles were not advertised in these programs during the three months. As can be seen from Table \(X\), none of the product categories had large proportions of their total ads for the three months in these programs, which is not surprising since only nine programs were included. Only the product category of Restaurants and Drive-Ins had over 5\% of its ads in programs having \(8,000,000\) or more children. Most product categories had less than \(2.0 \%\) of their ads in these programs.

TABLE X. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with \(8,000,000\) or more (Child Audience Rating of 23.8-24.9) Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, Nay, November), 24.35
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1
Network Progs. \\
Child Audience of \(8,000,000+\)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\(\stackrel{2}{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { Prógrams }}}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3
Percentage of
Commercials \& Dollar
in Programs with
\(8,000,000+\) Children} \\
\hline , & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \% \text { of } \\
& \text { Comm }
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \% of \$ EST \\
\hline 1. Footwear & - & - & 109 & 1472.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 2. Dental Supplies & 13 & 648.0 & 570 & 15229.9 & 2.3 & 4.3 \\
\hline 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients & 11 & 773.2 & 1115 & 12923.2 & 1.0 & 6.0 \\
\hline 4. Highly Sugared Cereals & 5 & 237.5 & 1365 & 10532.0 & 0.4 & 2.3 \\
\hline 5. Other Cereals & 17 & 881.5 & 1449 & 19699.8 & 1.2 & 4.5 \\
\hline 6. Ice Cream & - & - & 5 & 12.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 7. Fresh Fruit & - & - & 3 & 19.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 8. Raisins & - & - & 41 & 393.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 9. Canned Fruit & 1 & 47.5 & 65 & 688.1 & 1.5 & 6.9 \\
\hline \[
\text { 10. } \begin{aligned}
\text { Cakes, Pies, } \\
\text { Pastries }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2 & 88.4 & 245 & 2975.6 & 0.8 & 3.0 \\
\hline 11. Cookies & 3 & 139.0 & 146 & 1748.6 & 2.1 & 7.0 \\
\hline 12. Crackers & 2 & 88.4 & 214 & 3246.5 & 0.9 & 2.7 \\
\hline 13. Fruit Juices & - & - & 318 & 3869.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 14. Candy & 12 & 555.9 & 921 & 9388.0 & 1.3 & 5.9 \\
\hline 15. Regular Gum & 2 & 146.1 & 240 & 3987.5 & 0.8 & 3.7 \\
\hline 16. Sugarless Gum & 3 & 150.7 & 125 & 2179.1 & 2.4 & 6.9 \\
\hline 17. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts & 3 & 131.8 & 627 & 8506.0 & 0.5 & 1.5 \\
\hline 18. \(\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular a } \\ & \text { Beverages }\end{aligned}\) & 8 & 379.0 & 190 & 4563.0 & 4.2 & 8.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE X. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with 8,000,000 or more CONTINUED (Child Audience Rating of 23.8-24.9) Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs (February, May, November).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Progs. Child Audience of \(8,000,000+\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
2 \\
All Network Programs
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3
Percentage of
Commercials \& Dollar
in Programs with
\(8,000,000+\) Children} \\
\hline & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & No. of Comm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
\] & \% of Comm & \% of \$ EST \\
\hline 19. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 2 & 111.8 & 128 & 2426.0 & 1.6 & 4.6 \\
\hline 20. Non-Carbonated Beverages & 4 & 178.0 & 590 & 7376.1 & 0.7 & 2.4 \\
\hline 21. Bicycles & - & - & 99 & 439.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 22. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft & 6 & 424.0 & 2280 & 24672.1 & 0.3 & 1.7 \\
\hline 23. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 29 & 1985.0 & 555 & 21579.6 & 5.2 & 9.2 \\
\hline 24. Beer, Wine, Mixers & 8 & 219.7 & 1373 & 36282.5 & 0.6 & 0.6 \\
\hline 25. AIl Other Food \& Beverages & 94 & 4185.9 & 8287 & 108388.5 & 1.1 & 3.9 \\
\hline 26. All Other Advertising Except Food \& Beverages & 312 & 17135.0 & 34531 & 677948.6 & 0.9 & 2.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
B. 5,000,000 or More Children in Audience. There were 32 different network programs (out of a total of 248 programs) on the air during February, May, and November 1977 with \(5,000,000\) or more children in the audience. Of these 32 programs, 8 were on the air on Saturday morning. The remainder, or 24 programs, were shown during prime time, with 3 of these programs having beginning times of 9:00 p.m. (EST).

Table XI divides the products advertised in programs with \(5,000,000\) or more children in the audience into Food and Beverage and Non-Food advertising. In these programs there is a \(60-40\) split of advertising, or \(60 \%\) of all ads were for Non-Food advertising and \(40 \%\) for Food and Beverage products. Within the Food and Beverage group, the largest product categories were All Other Food and Beverage advertising ( \(13.0 \%\) ), Highly Sugared Cereals ( \(8.0 \%\) ), Candy ( \(5.9 \%\) ), Other Cereals (3.5\%), and Beer, Wine, Mixers (2.2\%). All other product categories represented \(1.5 \%\) or less of the advertising in these programs. In the Non-Food group, All Other Advertising accounted for \(44.3 \%\) of the total ads in these programs, followed by Games, Toys, Hobbycraft (10.4\%) and Restaurants and Drive-Ins (4.1\%).

Table XII provides a listing of all product categories and presents the number of conmercials and the dollars expended for categories of products advertised in network programs with 5,000,000 or more children in the audience. Twenty-two of the 26 product categories were represented in these programs. There was no Ice Cream, Fresh Fruit, Raisins, or Bicycles advertised in these programs. The product categories with \(10 \%\) or more of their ads in programs with

TABLE XI. Product Categories Represented in Network TV Programs with 5,000,000 or more Children in Audience.


TABIF XI. Product Categories Represented in Network TV Progranis with 5,000,000 or CONTINUED more Children in Audience.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Network Programs with 5,000,000 + Children in Audience} & \(\%\) of Total Corm & 아 Total \(\$\) \\
\hline 15. Diet Carbonated Beverages & 4 & 206.9 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\
\hline 16. Canned Fruit & 2 & 120.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 17. All Other Food \& Beverage & 297 & 12626.1 & 13.0 & 13.2 \\
\hline Total Food \& Beverage & 916 & 29160.3 & 40.0 & 30.5 \\
\hline 18. Games, Toys \& Hobbycraft & 238 & 4778.1 & 10.4 & 5.0 \\
\hline 19. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins & 93 & 4936.8 & 4.1 & 5.2 \\
\hline 20. Dental Suppiies & 22 & 1180.3 & 1.0 & 1.2 \\
\hline 21. Footwear & 7 & 171.6 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline 22. All Other Advertising & 1014 & 55535.0 & 44.3 & 58.0 \\
\hline Total Non-Food & 1374 & 66601.8 & 60.0 & 69.5 \\
\hline TOTAL & 2290 & 95762.1 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE. XII. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with 5,000,000 or more (Child Audience Rating of 14.9-15.5) Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Product Category & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1 \\
Network" Progs. Child Audience of \(5,000,000+\)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
2^{2} \text { Network } \\
\text { Programs }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
```None \\
Percentage of Commercials \& Dollar: in Programs with 5,000,000+ Children
```

\end{tabular}} \\

\hline , \& No. of Comm \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
$$ \& No. of Corm \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ \text { EST } \\
& (000)
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& \% of Comm \& of \$EST \\

\hline 1. Footwear \& 7. \& 171.6 \& 109 \& 1472.1 \& 6.4 \& 11.7 \\
\hline 2. Dental Supplies \& 22 \& 1180.3 \& 570 \& 15229.9 \& 3.9 \& 7.7 \\
\hline 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients \& 29 \& 1775.1 \& 1115 \& 12923.2 \& 2.6 \& 13.7 \\
\hline 4. Highly Sugared Cereals \& 183 \& 2893.9 \& 1365 \& 10532.0 \& 13.4 \& 27.5 \\
\hline 5. Other Cereals \& 80 \& 2891.3 \& 1449 \& 19699.8 \& 5.5 \& 14.7 \\
\hline 6. Ice Cream \& - \& - \& 5 \& 12.6 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \\
\hline 7. Fresh Fruit \& - \& - \& 3 \& 19.5 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \\
\hline 8. Raisins \& - \& - \& 41 \& 393.6 \& 0.0 \& 0.0 \\
\hline 9. Canned Fruit \& 2 \& 120.0 \& 65 \& 688.1 \& 3.1 \& 17.4 \\
\hline 10. Cakes, Pies, Pastries \& 9 \& 264.7 \& 245 \& 2975.6 \& 3.7 \& 8.9 \\
\hline 11. Cookies \& 17 \& 164.2 \& 146 \& 1748.6 \& 11.6 \& 9.4 \\
\hline 12. Crackers \& 6 \& 253.9 \& 214 \& 3246.5 \& 2.8 \& 7.8 \\
\hline 13. Fruit Juices \& 4 \& 238.0 \& 318 \& 3869.4 \& 1.3 \& 6.2 \\
\hline 14. Candy \& 134 \& 2521.7 \& 921 \& 9398.0 \& 14.5 \& 26.8 \\
\hline 15. Regular Gum \& 21 \& 560.2 \& 240 \& 3987.5 \& 8.8 \& 14.0 \\
\hline 16. Sugarless Gum \& 7 \& 317.7 \& 125 \& 2179.1 \& 5.6 \& 14.6 \\
\hline 17. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts \& 24 \& 824.9 \& 627 \& 8606.0 \& 3.8 \& 9.6 \\
\hline 18. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regular \& Carbonated } \\ & \text { Beverages }\end{aligned}$ \& 14 \& 740.0 \& 190 \& 4563.0 \& 7.4 \& 16.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE XII. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with 5,000,000 or more CONTINUED (Child Audience Rating of 14.9-15.5) Children in Audience with All Network TV Programs.

| Product Category | 1 <br> Network Progs. Child Audience of $5,000,000+$ |  | $\stackrel{2}{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { Protwork } \\ \text { Proms }}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Percentage of } \\ \text { Commercials \& Dolla } \\ \text { in Programs with } \\ 5,000,000+\text { Childre } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Comm | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { EST } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | No. of Cormm | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { EST } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | \% of Comm | \% of \$ EST |
| 19. Diet Carbonated Beverages | 4 | 206.9 | 128 | 2426.0 | 3.1 | 8.5 |
| 20. Non-Carbonated Beverages | 34 | 892.0 | 590 | 7376.1 | 5.8 | 12.1 |
| 21. Bicycles | - | - | 99 | 439.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft | 238 | 4778.1 | 2280 | 24672.1 | 10.4 | 19.4 |
| 23. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins | 93 | 4936.8 | 555 | 21579.6 | 16.8 | 22.9 |
| 24. Beer, Wine, Mixers | 51 | 1869.7 | 1373 | 36282.5 | 3.7 | 5.2 |
| 25. All Other Food \& Beverages | 297 | 12626.1 | 8287 | 108388.5 | 3.6 | 11.6 |
| 26. All Other Advertising Except Food \& Beverages | 1014 | 55535.0 | 34531 | 677948.6 | 2.9 | 8.2 |

5,000,000 or more children, were Restaurants and Drive-Ins (16.8\% of all ads during the three months), Candy (14.5\%), Highly Sugared Cereals (13.4\%), Cookies (11.6\%), and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft (10.4\%). One other interesting point to be made about Table XII is that over one-fourth of all advertising dollars expended during the three months for Highly Sugared Cereals and Candy was spent in programs having $5,000,000$ or more children in the audience.
C. 3,500,000 or More Children in Audience. There were 60 network programs on the air during February, May, and November 1977 that had $3,500,000$ or more children in the audience. Of these programs, $15(25 \%)$ were shown on Saturday morning and the remainder were shown during prime time.

Table XIII divides the advertising in programs with $3,500,000$ or more children into two groups: Food and Beverage and Non-Food. Food and Beverage advertising accounted for about $42 \%$ of all commercials and Non-Food had about $58 \%$ of all commercials. Within the Food and Beverage group, All Other Food and Beverage advertising comprised $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ of all commercials, followed by Highly Sugared Cereals ( $9.0 \%$ ), Candy ( $7.6 \%$ ), Other Cereals ( $3.4 \%$ ), and Beer, Wine, Mixers ( $2.1 \%$ ). The remainder of the product categories comprised about $1.5 \%$ or less of the total ads in these programs. In the Non-Food group, All Other Advertising accounted for $39.5 \%$ of all ads, followed by Games, Tcys, Hobbycraft ( $13.7 \%$ ) and Restaurants and Drive-Ins ( $4.7 \%$ ).

Table XIV lists all 26 product categories and indicates the percentage of all ads for each product category contained in programs having $3,500,000$ children ir the audience. Three product categories
roauct categories nepresen: in Ande. in Network il Programs with si-

Product Categories Represented in Network TV Programs with 3,500,000 or COVTIINUED more Children in Audience.

| Product Category | Network Programs With 3,500,000 + Children in Audience |  | \% of Total Corm | \% of Total \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Corm | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { EST } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 15. Fruit Juices | 5 | 278.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 16. Canned Fruit | 2 | 120.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 17. All Other Food \& Beverages | 484 | 16558.7 | 12.5 | 12.1 |
| Total Food \& Beverage | 1624 | 41074.4 | 41.9 | 30.1 |
| 18. Games, Toys, \& Hobbycraft | 507 | 8100.1 | 13.1 | 5.9 |
| 19. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins | 159 | 7211.7 | 4.1 | 5.3 |
| 20. Lental Supplies | 43 | 2285.3 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| 21. Footwear | 11 | 206.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 22. All Other Advertising | 1533 | 77423.8 | 39.5 | 56.8 |
| Total Non-Food | 2253 | 95227.2 | 58.1 | 69.9 |
| TOIAL | 3877 | 136301.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

TABLE XIV. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with 3,500,000 or more (Child Audience Rating of 10.4-10.9) Children in Audience with All Network. TV Programs.

| Product Category | 1 <br> Network ${ }^{\text {Progs }}$. Child Audience of $3,500,000+$ |  | $\text { All }^{2} \text { Network }$Programs |  | $3$ <br> Percentage of Commercials \& Dollat in Programs with 3,500,000+ Children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | No. of Comm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ EST } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | No. of Comm | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { EST } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | \% of Comm | f \$ EST |
| 1. Footwear | 11 | 206.3 | 109 | 1472.1 | 10.1 | 14.0 |
| 2. Dental Supplies | 43 | 2285.3 | 570 | 15229.9 | 7.5 | 15.0 |
| 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients | 39 | 2176.6 | 1115 | 12923.2 | 3.5 | 16.8 |
| 4. Highly Sugared Cereals | 348 | 4564.9 | 1365 | 10532.0 | 25.5 | 43.3 |
| 5. Other Cereals | 133 | 3680.6 | 1449 | 19699.8 | 9.2 | 18.7 |
| 6. Ice Cream | - | - | 5 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7. Fresh Fruit | - | - | 3 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8. Raisins | - | - | 41 | 393.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| o. Canned Fruit | 2 | 120.0 | 65 | 688.1 | 3.1 | 17.4 |
| 10. Cakes, Pies, Pastries | 19 | 381.2 | 245 | 2975.6 | 7.8 | 12.8 |
| 11. Cookies | 34 | 315.1 | 146 | 1748.6 | 23.3 | 18.0 |
| 12. Crackers | 14 | 587.6 | 214 | 3246.5 | 6.5 | 18.1 |
| 13. Fruit Juices | 5 | 278.2 | 318 | 3869.4 | 1.6 | 7.2 |
| 14. Candy | 294 | 4011.6 | 921 | 9398.0 | 31.9 | 42.7 |
| 15. Regular Gum | 39 | 948.1 | 240 | 3987.5 | 16.3 | 23.3 |
| 16. Sugarless Gum | 9 | 416.1 | 125 | 2179.1 | 7.2 | 19.1 |
| 17. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts | 36 | 1058.8 | 627 | 8606.0 | 5.7 | 12.3 |
| 28. Regular \& Carbonated Beverages | 24 | 1113.3 | 190 | 4563.0 | 12.6 | 24.4 |

TABLE XIV. Product Category Comparisons of Network TV Programs with 3,500,000 or more CONTINUED (Child Audience Rating of 10.4-10.9) Children in Audience with All Network. TV Programs.

| Product Category | Network Progs. Child Audience of $3,000,000+$ |  | ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \text { Network }$Programs |  | 3 <br> Percentage of. Commercials \& Dolla in Programs with 3,500,000+ Children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Corm | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { EST } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | No. of Comm | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { EST } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | \% of Comm | $\%$ of $\$ E S$ : |
| 19. Diet Carbonated Beverages | 6 | 286.8 | 128 | 2426.0 | 4.7 | 11.8 |
| 20. Non-Carbonated Beverages | 55 | 1726.3 | 590 | 7376.1 | 9.3 | 23.4 |
| 21. Bicycles | - | - | 99 | 439.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 22. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft | 507 | 8100.1 | 2280 | 24672.1 | 22.2 | 32.8 |
| 23. Restaurants \& Drive-Ins | 159 | 7211.7 | 555 | 21579.6 | 28.6 | 33.4 |
| 24. Beer, Wine, Mixers | 83 | 2850.5 | 1373 | 36282.5 | 6.0 | 7.9 |
| 25. All Other Food \& Beverages | 484 | 16558.7 | 8287 | 108388.5 | 5.8 | 15.3 |
| 26. All Other Advertising Except Food \& Beverages | 1533 | 77423.8 | 34531 | 677948.6 | 4.4 | 11.4 |

had over one-fourth of ald their network ads in these programs: Candy (31.9\% of all ads), Restaurants and Drive-Ins (28.6\%), and Highly Sugared Cereals (25.5\%). Product categories with 10\% or more of all network ads in these programs were: Cookies (23.3\%), Games, Toys, Hobbycraft (22.2\%), Regular Gum (16.3\%), Regular Carbonated Beverages (12.6\%), and Footwear (10.1\%). There were no ads for Ice Cream, Fresh Fruit, Raisins, or Bicycles.

## Summary: Child Audience Rating

When the network programs are analyzed by the number of children in the audience, several points should be noted. First, the percentage of Food and Beverage advertising and Non-Food advertising remains relatively constant across the three divisions of 8,000,000, $5,000,000$, and $3,500,000$ children in the audience. The proportions shift from one-third Food and Beverage advertising to two-thirds Non-Food advertising in programs with $8,000,000$ or more children in the audience to about $40-60$ in programs with $5,000,000$ and $3,500,000$ children in the audience.

Second, the product categories which tend to predominate in these programs are All Other Food and Beverage advertising and Al? Other Advertising. It is not until the child audience size gets as small as $3,500,000$ or more that Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft get close to or slightly above $10 \%$ of all ads in the programs.

Third, when the child audience size is at $3,500,000$ or more, the percentage of all network advertising for Candy, Highly Sugared

Cereals, Restaurants and Drive-Ins, Cookies, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft approaches $25 \%$ or more.

Finally, as can be seen in Table XV, as the child audience for programs decreases in number the percentage of advertising of Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and Bicycles increases while the proportion of all other advertising decreases. The advertising of Sugared Drinks and Other Highly Sugared Foods remains relatively constant and is present in about the same proportions as in all network programs. Bar graphs depicting some of these relationships are contained in Appendix $H$.

## IV. Gross Impressions

Gross impressions is an estimate of the probable number of exposures for advertising messages. It is obtained by multiplying the number of 30 -second advertisements for a brand product by the audience for the program in which the advertisement appeared. In this study, these gross impressions were then summed across all brand products within a product category. Gross impressions were computed for relevant product categories for the three months for children (2-11) and all other persons ( 12 years and older).

Tables XVI, XVII, and XVIII contain the gross impressions for the product categories represented in programs having $50 \%, 30 \%$, and $20 \%$ or more children in the audience.

In programs having $50 \%$ and $30 \%$ or more children in the audience, the largest categories of gross impressions are for Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, Highly Sugared Cereals, and Candy (see Tables XVI and XVII).

|  <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & (9 โ G Z) \\ & \circ 6^{\circ} \ddagger 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\operatorname{LOS}) \\ & \circ[` \varepsilon T \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\varepsilon \varepsilon \tau) \\ \circ \nabla \vee \cdot \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (6 L) \\ & \circ 0^{\circ} Z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (86 Z) \\ \% 9^{\circ} \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (8 \nabla \varepsilon) \\ \circ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ |  $+000^{\prime} 005^{\prime} \varepsilon$ Ч7ȚM <br>  |
| (609T) ${ }^{\circ} \varepsilon^{\circ} 0 L$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8 \varepsilon Z) \\ & \% \delta^{\circ} 0 \tau \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8 L) \\ & \circ \sigma^{\circ} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (8 \square) \\ & \frac{8}{8} \cdot \square \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (ヵ६โ) } \\ \% 6^{\circ} \text { S } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\varepsilon 8 \mathrm{I}) \\ 80^{\circ} 8 \end{gathered}$ |  $+000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ ЧҰȚM surexbaxd צגOM7əN |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\varepsilon 86) \\ & 86^{\circ} 68 \end{aligned}$ | （9） <br> \％［•T | $\begin{aligned} & (6 I) \\ & 8 \mathcal{S}^{\circ} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (Z T) \\ \div Z^{\bullet} Z \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (Z \mathrm{I}) \\ & \% Z^{*} Z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{G}) \\ & 86^{\circ} 0 \end{aligned}$ |  +000 ‘000＇8 чдт̦м surexbaxd צхамұәл |
| $\begin{gathered} \left(68 z^{\prime} 8 \nabla\right) \\ 86^{\circ} 98 \end{gathered}$ | （6LEZ） \％${ }^{\circ}$＂ | $\begin{aligned} & (\angle S 8 T) \\ & \circ \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (08 L) \\ 5 \sigma^{\circ} T \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\text { [Z6) } \\ & \% L^{\circ} T \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (S 9 \varepsilon L) \\ & \div G^{\circ} Z \end{aligned}$ | surexbatd y |
|  | SЭTOKOTg § ＇ 7 exoKqqo ＇SKOL＇sэures | ＊＊ <br> spoos paxe6ns КТЧБฺ̣н ләч7О | syutad parebns | Kpues | sโедォəゝ <br> pare6ns Kโчбт̣ |  |
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TABLE XVI. Comparison of Gross Impressions of Children 2-11 with All Other Persons (12+) $50 \%+$ Children in Audience.

| Product Category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Children }(2-11) \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Persons } 12+ \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Footwear | 80,344 | 35,904 |
| 2. Dental Suppiies | 27,660 | 11,630 |
| 3. Highly Sugared Cereals | 3,359,177 | 1,886,753 |
| 4. Other Cereals | 785,890 | 423,173 |
| 5. Cakes, Pies, Pastries | 67,387 | 37,544 |
| 6. Cookies | 153,141 | 72,852 |
| 7. Candy | 1,753,189 | 1,026,817 |
| 8. Regular Gum | 214,161 | 124,369 |
| 9. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts | 108,337 | 55,928 |
| 10. Non-Carbonated Beverages | 204,957 | 108,723 |
| 之. Bicycles | 61,878 | 24,757 |
| 2. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft | 4,448,733 | 2,892,595 |
| 13. Restaurants and Drive-Ins | 422,720 | 273,265 |
| 14. All Other Food and Beverages | 954,638 | 590,355 |
| 15. All Other Advertising | 314,436 | 220,355 |

[Conected by page 5784
Co
TABLE XVII. Comparison of Gross Impressions of Children $2-11$ with All Other Persons (12+) $30 \%+$ Children in Audience.


TABLE XVII. Comparison of Gross Impressions of Children 2-11 with All Other Persons (12+) 30\%+ Children in Audience.


TABLE XVIII. Comparison of Gross Impressions of Children 2-11 with All Other Persons (12+) $20 \%+$ Children in Audience.

| Product Category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Children }(2-11) \\ & (.000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Persons } 12+ \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Footwear | 98,153 | 90,159 |
| 2. Dental Supplies | 220,717 | 575,993 |
| 3. Desserts and Dessert Ingredients | 252,012 | 777,439 |
| 4. Highly Sugared Cereal | 3,668,775 | 2,425,738. |
| 5. Other Cereals | 1,140,227 | 1,415,325 |
| 6. Raisins | 11,480 | 28,595 |
| 7. Canned Fruit | 9,207 | 32,156 |
| 8. Cakes, Fies, Pastries | 111,516 | 151,885 |
| 9. Cookies | 214,129 | 226,421 |
| 10. Crackers | 61,961 | 192,245 |
| - Fruit Juices | 45,677 | 111,775 |
| 12. Candy. | 2,126,701 | 1,912,123 |
| 13. Regular Gum | 311,106 | 424,034 |
| 14. Sugarless Gum | 76,933 | 226,266 |
| 15. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts | 221,295 | 347,890 |
| 16. Regular Cabonated Beverages | 110,081 | 319,309 |
| 17. Diet Carbonated Beverages | 30,780 | 99,602 |
| 18. Non-Carbonated Beverages | 388,818 | 603,196 |
| 19. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft | 4,924,538 | 3,699,730 |
| 20. Restaurarits and Drive-Ins | 911,495 | 1,667,706 |
| 21. Beer, Wine, Mixers | 58,973 | 170,725 |
| 22. All Other Food and Beverages | 3,004,237 | 5,908,451 |
| All Other Advertising <br> 3. Fyoont Fand and Deverasen | 4,090,769 | 10,906,395 |

It is not until the programs with $20 \%$ or more children in the audience are considered that the ads for Other Food and Beverage, All Other Advertising, and Other Cereals become substantial. This is another indication that in programs with higher percentages of children in the audience there is a corresponding restriction on the kinds of products advertised in the programs.

In contrast to the programs with higher ( $50 \%$ and $30 \%$ ) percentages of children in the audience, when the total number of children (rating) is considered the gross impressions tend to be concentrated in ads for Other Food and Beverage and All Other Advertising. These gross impressions are contained in Tables XIX, XX, and XXI.

Table XIX. Comparison of Gros's Impressions of Children 2-11 with All Other Persons (12+): 8,000,000+ Children in Audience.

| Product Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Children }(2-11) \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Persons } 12+ \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Dental Supplies | 131,766 | 408,793 |
| 2. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients | 129,817 | 470,719 |
| 3. Highly Sugared Cereals | 302,396 | 596,605 |
| 4. Other Cereals | 323,655 | 926,508 |
| 5. Canned Fruit | 16,701 | 63,874 |
| 6. Cakes, Pies, Pastries | 45,641 | 105,117 |
| 7. Cookies | 19,389 | 28,203 |
| 8. Crackers | 24,429 | 77,684 |
| 9. Fruit Juices | 27,499 | 95,653 |
| 10. Candy | 184,161 | 386,410 |
| 11. Regular Gum | 28,569 | 78,750 |
| 12. Sugarless Gum | 40,38]. | 123,963 |
| 13. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts | 42,227 | 155,400 |
| 14. Regular Carbonated Beverages | 64,912 | 227,070 |
| 15. Diet Carbonated Beverages | 24,026 | 74,420 |
| 16. Non-Carbonated Beverages | 71,224 | 124,988 |
| 17. Games, Toys, Hobivycraft | 1,029,580 | 1,376,219 |
| 18. Restaurants \& Drive Ins | 431,961 | 1,137,971 |
| 19. Beer, Wine, Mixers | 220,212 | 863,276 |
| 20. All Other Food \& Eeverages | 1,858,713 | 5,356,193 |
| 21. All Other Advertising except Food and Beverages | 4,733,134 | 16,875,782 |

Table XX. Comparison of Gross Impressions of Children 2-1l with All Other Persons (12+): 5,000,000+Children in Audience.

| Product Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Children } 2-11 \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Persons } 12+ \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Footwear | 36,863 | 61,587 |
| 2. Dental Suppiies | 173,349 | 558,125 |
| 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients | 226,279 | 810,445 |
| 4. Highly Sugared Cereals | 964,619 | 1,086,562 |
| 5. Other Cereals | 561,115 | 1,342,617 |
| 6. Canned Fruit | 16,701 | 63,874 |
| 7. Cakes, Pies, Pastries | 63,754 | 115,104 |
| 8. Cookies | 86,102 | 191,087 |
| 9. Crackers | 48,722 | 169,247 |
| 10. Fruit Juices | 27,499 | 95,653 |
| 11. Candy | 832,529 | 963,920 |
| 12. Regular Gum | 133,174 | 231,018 |
| 13. Sugarless Gum | 58,727 | 186,064 |
| 14. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts | 860,637 | 390,299 |
| 15. Regular Carbonated Beverages | 99,068 | 345,555 |
| 16. Diet Carbonated Beverages | 35,316 | 125,937 |
| 17. Non-Carbonated Beverages | 199,017 | 376,146 |
| 18. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft | 1,447,489 | 1,792,849 |
| 19. Restaurants \& Drive Ins | 677,334 | 1,688,978 |
| 20. Beer, Wine, Mixers | 338,151 | 1,414,653 |
| 21. All Other Food \& Beverages | 2,534,341 | 7,506,962 |
| 22. All Other Advertising except Food and Beverages | 7,423,470 | 27,088,277 |

Table XXI. Comparison of Grośs Impressions of Children 2-11 with All Other Persons (12+): 3,500,000+ Children in Audience.

| Product Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Children (2-11) } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Persons } 12+ \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Footwear | 51,245 | 68,999 |
| 2. Dental Supplies | 259,067 | 1,055,430 |
| 3. Desserts \& Dessert Ingredients | 263,404 | 992,360 |
| 4. Highly Sugared Cereals | 1,625,075 | 1,606,312 |
| 5. Other Cereals | 818,233 | 1,690,104 |
| 6. Canned Fruit | 16,701 | 63,874 |
| 7. Cakes, Pies, Pastries | 103,682 | 157,275 |
| 8. Cookies | 156,063 | 249,251 |
| 9. Crackers | 114,105 | 355,290 |
| 10. Fruit Juices | 31,094 | 115,144 |
| 11. Candy | 1,478,697 | 1,431,897 |
| 12. Regular Gum | 206,487 | 401,412 |
| 13. Sugarless Gum | 66,053 | 232,012 |
| 14. Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts | 908,587 | 500,863 |
| 15. Regular Carbonated Beverages | 141,365 | 524,288 |
| 16. Diet Carbonated Beverages | 43,448 | 167,195 |
| 17. Non-Carbonated Beverages | 287,260 | 707,884. |
| 18. Games, Toys, Hobbycraft | 2,531,214 | 2,610,432 |
| 19. Restaurants \& Drive Ins | 935,719 | 2,246,959 |
| 20. Beer, Wine, Mixers | 467,895 | 2,247,190 |
| 21. Ali Other Food \& Beverages | 3,277,151 | 9,653,525 |
| 22. All Other Advertising except Food \& Beverages | 9,450,338 | 38,449,562 |

## Eonclusions

Several conclusions may be drawn from the results of this study:

1. When discussing the child audience for network TV programs and the children's exposure to advertising in those programs it is important to make a distinction between programs having a large percentage of children in the audience and programs having a large number of children in the audience. It is clear from this study that the advertising messages in programs having a large percentage of children in the audience and programs having a large number of children in the audience are substantially different. It is also clear that children in the audience of these two groups of programs see widely different product categories advertised. For example, in programs having $8,000,000$ or more children in the audience during the three months of 1977, 20 of the 26 product categories considered in this study were represented. By contrast, programs having $50 \%$ or more children in the audience had only 14 of the 26 product categories represented. This leads to a second conclusion.
2. Advertising in network programs with high percentages of children in the audience is much more restricted. In fact, the advertising is predominantly restricted to Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Other Cereals. By comparison, there is only a small amount of other advertising contained in these programs. As the percentage of children in the audience decreases the range of products advertised increases.
3. Frograms with high percentages of children in the audience are almost exclusively aired on Saturday and Sunday mornings between

8:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. By, contrast, programs with large numbers of children in the audience are distributed more evenly throughout the week. In other words, programs with large percentages of children in the audience are restricted to one or two time periods, and, at the same time, there is a corresponding restriction placed on the types of products advertised in those programs.
4. Although in all of network advertising the proportion of Food and Beverage product advertising is less than one-third of all advertising, in programs with high percentages of children in the audience the proportion of Food and Beverage advertising is $50-60 \%$ of all advertising. One could conclude that children who watch programs that have large percentages of children in the audience are exposed to nearly double the amount of food advertising that is in network programs overall. Food products are an important source of advertising in programs with high percentages of children in the audience.
5. Still another way of viewing the Food and Beverage advertising is to examine what proportion of all advertising for various Food and Beverage products is advertised in programs with high percentages of children. For example, nearly three-fourths of all network advertising for Highly Sugared Cereals, over half of all advertising for Candy, nearly one-third of all advertising for Cookies, and nearly one-fourth of all advertising for Regular Gum is contained in programs with $50 \%$ or more children in the audience. By comparison, in these same programs, there was no advertising for Desserts and Dessert Ingredients, Ice Cream, Fresh Fruit, Raisins, Canned Fruit, Crackers, Fruit Juices, Sugarless Gum, Appetizers, Snacks, Nuts, Regular or Diet Carbonated Beverages.

The same pattern emerges when considering programs with $30 \%$ or more children in the audience. Over $80 \%$ of all network ads for Highly Sugared Cereals, $60 \%$ of all ads for Candy, over one-third of all ads for Cookies, and nearly $30 \%$ of all ads for Regular Gum are in these programs. An almost identical pattern exists for programs with $20 \%$ or more children in the audience. These data lead to the conclusion that children who watch programs with high percentages of children in the audience are exposed to a considerable amount of advertising for highly sugared products.
6. The product categories with the largest amount of ads in programs with high percentages of children in the audience are Highly Sugared Cereals, Games, Toys, Hobbycraft, and Candy. These product categories predominate in programs with large percentages of children in the audience and do not vary substantially whether one is talking about half or more of the audience being children or $20 \%$ or more of the audience being children.

Up to this point the conclusions are almost entirely based on network programs with large percentages of children in the audience. This report also considered programs with large numbers of children in the audience. The kind and amount of advertising in this second group of programs is substantially different from the first group of programs.
7. As mentioned earlier, the range of products advertised in programs with large numbers of children in the audience is far greater than when considering only programs with large percentages of children in the audience.
8. Although the proportions of advertising for highly sugared products are lower in programs with large numbers' of children in the audience when compared to programs with large percentages of children, the product categories which have the highest proportions (after Other Food and Beverage and All Other Advertising) are Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft.
9. In programs with $8,000,000$ or more children in the audience, the distribution of Food and Beverage advertising and Non-Food advertising is similar to the level of advertising for these groups in all network programs. As we consider programs with $5,000,000$ and $3,500,000$ or more children in the audience the shift to more Food and Beverage advertising becomes apparent.
10. As the program audience of children becomes smaller in nurber, there is an increase in the amount of Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft. The likely explanation for this is that as the audience of children gets to be as small as $3,500,000$ the programs being included are those shown on Saturday and Sunday mornings that have high percentages of children in the audience. Programs with high percentages of children, as noted earlier, contain large amourts of advertising for Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft.

The general conclusions of this study are that programs with high percentages of children in the audience are not the same programs with large numbers of children in the audience. Programs with high percentages of children have a limited range of products advertised in them and ads for Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft predominate. The average child watching network programs probably seas
disproportionately more ads for Highly Sugared Cereals, Candy, and Games, Toys, Hobbycraft than does the average adult viewer.
${ }^{1}$ Niel sen Television 78. Northbrook, Illinois: A. C. Nielsen Company, 1978, p. 5.
${ }^{2}$ ADI Book: 1978-79. New York: The Arbitron Company, 1978, p. 41.
${ }^{3}$ Nielsen Television 78. Northbrook, Illinois: A. C. Nielsen Company, 1978, p. 8.
${ }^{4}$ Ibid., p. 10.
${ }^{5}$ Ibid., pp. 10-11.
${ }^{6}$ Ibid., pp. 10-11.
$7_{\text {Richard P P. Adler, et }}$ a1. Research on the Effects of Television Advertising on Children. Washington, D.C.: National Science Foundation (U.S. Government Printing Office), 1977, p. 13.
${ }^{8}$ A. C. Nielsen reports that on average children $6-11$ watched 24 hours and 26 minutes of television per week in November 1977. Nielsen also reports that $33 \%$ of that viewing was in Prime Time, $9 \%$ from 10:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 1.3\% on Saturday and Sunday from 7:00 a.m.-1:00 p.m. These three percentages total to $55 \%$, but children could be viewing public broadcasting stations, nonnetwork affiliated stations, and, in addition, the commercial networks do not begin service until 8:00 a.m. or later on Saturday and Sunday. If is is assumed that all of these exceptions account for $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ of viewing, then it seems reasonable to assume that older children are watching network-originated programs about half of the time. For younger children (2-5), Nielsen estimates that $22 \%$ of all viewing is in Prime Time, 20\% from 10:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, and 13\% on Saturday and Sunday from 7:00 a.m.-1:00 p.m. Again discounting other viewing of non-network material, it seems reasonable to conclude that about half of all young children's viewing is of network programs. The source of the Nielsen data used in this extrapolation is contained in Nielsen Television 78 published in 1978 by A. C. Nielsen Company, pp. 10-11.
${ }^{9}$ Richard P. Adler, et al. Research on the Effects of Television Advertising on Children. Washington, D.C.: National Science Foundation (U.S. Government Printing Office), 1977, p. 14.

10 The 2-11 year old age group is the standard industry category created for the child audience. A. C. Nielsen Company also reports the child audience for the age group of 2-11 years.

11
The report does not consider non-network programs. Nonnetwork programs and advertising refers to programs produced by local stations or programs purchased by local stations, often referred to as syndicated programs. This report also does not consider programs distributed by the Public Broadcasting Service, since these progranis contain no commercial messages.

12
Earle F. Barcus. Weekend Commercial Children's Television. Newton, Mass.: Action for Children's Television (October 1975).

13
ADI Book: 1978-79. New York: The Arbitron Company, 1978, pp. 1-3.

14
The reason the child audience ratings are expressed as a range is because Arbitron estimates that the number of children in the United States changed from 33,602,600 in February and May 1977 to $32,163,500$ in November 1977. As a result, when $8,000,000$ children are in the audience in February and May the rating is 23.8; however, in November the rating would be expressed as 24.9.

Appendix

## Appendix A

Curriculum Vitae of
John D. Abel

## PERSONAL INFORMATION

Home address: 2110 Barritt Street Lansing, Michigan 48912
Telephone: (517) 371-3870
Born: October 1, 1942
Marital status: Married, one child
Health: Excellent

## EDUCATION

Doctor of Philosophy in Mass Conmunication, Indiana University, 1972.
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Assistant Professor, Department of Telecommunication, Michigan State University, 1972-76.

Associate Instructor, Department of Telecommunication, Indiana University, Bloomington, 1968-71.

Associate Instructor, Department of Educational Psychology, Indiana University, 1970-71.

Instructor in Communications, North Dakota State University, Fargo, 1965-68.

CONSULTING
Consultant to Kappa Systems, Inc., Arlington, Virginia in an evaluation of a National Science Foundation project entitled Research on the Effects of Television Advertising on Children.

Research consultant to Indianapolis Public Schools in cognitive and affective evaluation of instructional television.

Research consultant to National Instructional Television, Bloomington, Indiana, in formative and sumnative evaluation of instructional television programs.

Research consultant (with Dr. Bradley S. Greenberg) to Corporation for Public Broadcasting, Washington, D.C., in audience evaluation of new programs.

Research consultant to Lloyds Bank of London in a study of broadcasting and cable communications in the United Kingdom.

Management consultant to WBCH, Hastings, Michigan, and also with WJBK-TV, WABX, WJR, all Detroit, and with WOTV, Grand Rapids.

Audience research consultant to WKAR-TV, East Lansing and WGVC-TV, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Advisor to many community groups, such as: 1) Lansing Committee for Children's Television; 2) Committee for Children's Television; Birmingham;
3) Kalamazoo Committee for Children's Television; 4) American Association of University Women, Child Study Group; 5) Citizens United for Better Broadcasting; 6) United Auto Workers; 7) Safety Council of Greater Lansing.

Research consultant to Dartmoor Prison, Devon, England. An evaluation of the scheme for accommodating visitors to the prison (survey design and instrument construction).

## PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

Broadcast Education Association
International Communication Association
Speech Communication Association

## PUBLICATIONS AND PAPERS PRESENTED

John D. Abel and Brian F. Fontes. The Effect of Parental and Adult
Mediation of Television Programming on Children. East Lansing, Michigan: Department of Telecommunication, Michigan State University, May 1978, 188 pages.

John D. Abel and Michael 0. Wirth. "Newspaper vs. TV Credibility for Local News," Journalism Quarterly, Vol. 54, No. 2 (Summer 1977), pp. 371-375.

John D. Abel and Maureen E. Beninson. "Perceptions of TV Program Violence by Children and Mothers," Journal of Broadcasting, Vol. 20, No. 3 (Summer 1976), pp. 355-363.

John D. Abel. "The Family and Child Television Viewing," Journal of Marriage and the Family, Vol. 38, No. 2 (May 1976), pp. 331-335.

John D. Abel and Phyllis L. Rogowski. "Women in Television Station Management: The Top Fifty Markets." Paper presented at the March 1976 Broadcast Education Association Convention, Chicago, Illinois.

John D. Abel, R.A. Hill and M.W. Spicer. "The Political Economy of Broadcasting, " Lloyds Bank Review (January 1976), No. 119, pp. 23-37.

John D. Abel and Lee R. Thornton. "Responders and Non-Responders to Television Editorials: A Comparison," Journalism Quarterly, Vol. 52, No. 3 (Autumn 1975), pp. 477-484.

John D. Abel and Frederick N. Jacobs. "Radio Station Manager Attitudes Toward Broadcasting Graduates," Journal of Broadcasting, Vol. 19, No. 4 (Fall 1975), pp. 439-452.

John D. Abel, Bradley S. Greenberg and Walter Gantz. "Public Awareness of New Public Television Stations," Public Telecommunications Review, Vol. 2, No. 3 (June 1974), pp. 22-30.

John D. Abel. "Family Type Influence on Child Television Viewing," Paper presented to the Mass Communications and Society Division of the Speech Communication Association, Chicago, Illinois (December 1972), 26 pages.

John D. Abel. "Review of 'Learning of Media Content: A Developmental Study, '" by W. Andrew Collins, Educational Broadcasting Review, Vol. 6, No. 1 (February 1972), pp. 74-75.

John D. Abel, Charles Clift, III and Fredric A. Weiss. "Ten Years of Forfeitures by the Féderal Conmunications Commission," Journal of Broadcasting, Vol. 15, No. 4 (Fall 1971), pp. 379-385.

John D. Abel, Charles Clift, III and Fredric A. Weiss. "Revocations and Denials of Renewal, 1934-1969," Media Industry Newsletter, Vol. 24, No. 34 (August 26, 1971), pp. 6-7.

John D. Abel. "Review of 'Objectives of Patterns in Arithmetic and Evaluation of the Telecourse for Grades 1 and 3. Report from Phase I of the Project on Prototypic Instructional Systems in Elementary Mathematics, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ in Educational Broadcasting Review, Vol. 5, No. 2 (April 1971), pp. 62-63.

John D. Abel, Charles Clift, III and Fredric A. Weiss. "Station License Revocations and Denials of Renewal, 1934-1969," Journal of Broadcasting, Vol. 14, No. 4 (Fall 1970), pp. 411-421.

John D. Abel. "Television and Children: A Selective Bibliography of Use and Effects," Journal of Broadcasting, Vol. 13, No. 1 (Winter 1968-1969), pp. 101-105.

## RECENT REPORTS AND SPEECHES

John D. Abel and James Miller. "The Effects of Advertising Clutter on Television News" (August 1978)

John D. Abel, Thomas A. Muth and Joey Reagan. "A Scale for the Assessment of Sense of Community" (July 1978).

John D. Abel and Joey Reagan. "Task Performance in the Presence of a Video Camera: Is There an Audience Effect?" (August 1978).

John D. Abel and Brian Fontes. "Illegal Behaviors on Television" (June 1978).

John D. Abel and Judith E. Saxton. Petition for Rulemaking to Amend the Rules Relative to FCC Form 395 to Establish Standardized IndustryRelated Employment Categories. Filed with the FCC as RM-2810, December 14, 1976.

John D. Abel. "The Academic Community, Social Problems, and the Formation of Social Policy." Speech presented at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts (Distinguished Visitors Program), March 30, 1973.

RESEARCH IN PROGRESS AND GRANTS
"Children's Learning of Occupational Roles Portrayed in Syndicated Dramatic TV Programs." Sub-grant from Children and Social Television Learning (Principal Investigators: Drs. Bradley S. Greenberg and Charles K. Atkin). Funded by the Office of Child Development, DHEW (Grant No. 90-C-635).

## RESEARCH IN PROGRESS AND GRANTS CONTINUED

"Content and Effects of Alcohol Advertising: A Study of the Effects of Alcohol Advertising on Consumer Perception." Funded by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms, Department of the Treasury. With Drs. Charles Atkin, Martin Block, Len Reid.
"TV Viewing Preference and Family Variables: A Study of TV Programming and Juvenile Delinquency." A study of the juvenile offenders and non-offenders in San Joaquin County, California. Funded by a grant from Michigan State University.

Research grant from the National Association of Broadcasters for the study of parental mediation of anti-social television programming, February 1976.

Research grant from the East Lansing Cable Commission, East Lansing, Michigan, to study the characteristics of cable subscribers and non-subscribers, public access users and viewers, December 1976.

Several research grants from Michigan State University awarded under All-University Research Grant Program.

## FELLOWSHIPS

Mary and Sarkes Tarzian Fellowship for outstanding student performance in graduate school, 1970-71.

## REFERENCES

Available upon request.
Appendix B
Top Fifty Network Programs
Ranked by Percentage of Children in Audience
(February, May, November 1977)


February 1977, May 1977, and November 1977

$$
\text { reuruary } 1917, \text { may } 1911, \text { and ivoveminer } 171
$$

> February 1977 - February 2-March 1, 1977 May 1977 - April 27-May 24, 1977 November 1977 - November 2-November 29, 1977
sqoyuew IO甘 80Z - LL6L Kew pue LL6L Kieniqəy November 1977 - 211 ADI Markets
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& \text { a. Projected Persons 2-5 Estimates in Thousands } \\
& \text { b. Projected Persons 6-11 Estimates in Thousands }
\end{aligned}
$$ c. Projected Persons 2-11 Estimates in Thousands d. Projected Persions 2+ Estimates in Thousands

a. Projected Persons 2-11 Estimates in Thousands b. Projected Persons 12+ Estimates in Thousands c. Projected Persons $2+$ Estimates in Thousands d. Children Share of Total Audience


MARKETS:
REPORTED ESTIMATES: A. Top Fifty Network Progranis Ranked by Percentage of Children 2-11 in Audience

## Total Program Audience Estimates <br> $\sim$



[^0]Audience 8 2.11 in B. Top Fifty Network Programs Ranked by Number of Children

1. Home Station Estimates
a. Projected Persons $2-5$ Estimates in Thousands
b. Projected Persons 6-11 Estimates in Thousands
c. Projected Persons 2-11 Estimates in Thousands
d. Projected Persons 2+ Estimates in Thousands
2. Total Program Estimates
$\qquad$

## PREPARED FOR:

## JOB NUHBER:

DATE:
a. Projected Persons 2-11 Estimates in Thousands c. Projected Persons 2+ Estimates in Thousands d. Children Share of Total Audience


110
8L6L. 'LZ 」əquəə ${ }^{\text {das }}$
110
September 21, 1978
.

REPORTED ESTIMATES:
cont...

~
2. Iotal Program Audience Estimates
a. Projected Persons 2-11 Estimates in Thousands
b. Frojected Persons $12+$ Estimates in Thousands
c. Projected Persons $2+$ Estimates in Thousands
d. Children Share of Total Audience



$\qquad$





| FROGPAAK |
| :---: |
| JOEEERE．JAW |
| TCM－JEFRY－MUMELY SHOW |
| CAPTAI？KANGANOO |
| SCUDEY DOO－DYNOMUTT SHOW |
| Clue ciub |
| WOUTY U：OOLEECKER SHOU |
| ESLUESTER Aitu THEETY |
| FAR TuT SPACE NUTS |
| KRU！FT SUFERSitOW |
| HULISUC R ROTHERS RAZ／DAZ SHON |
| Sremb bugor |
| SUFただRIENJS |
| MCXSVER SCUAD |
| SEfEE GHOST＋FRANKEINSTEIN JR |
| PIM PANTHEN LAUGH SHOW |
| HUGS YONABY－FOCD FUMVER HOUR |
| NED ADUENTUEES OF EATHAN |
| Thitzail lorid of the jungle |
| ODO PALL COUPLE |
| NEW ADVEゼTURES OF EILLIGAM |
| BIG JGHiN，LITTLE JOHN |
| LANE GF THE LOST |
| iflowsy |
| S！inza发－ISIS HDIJR |
| FAT $4 \mathrm{LBERT}+\operatorname{COSBY}$ KIDS |
| FRK I |
| AR，A！MODST ANYTHING EOES |
| CEG CHILINENS FILM FESTIUAL |
|  |
| WAY OUT GAMES |
| MSIVY DA：S－DAYTIME |
| HASGY／EREW MYSTERY HOUR |
| WORLEREUL WORLD DF DISNEY |
| IOT：IY GND MARIE OSMOND SHOW |
| FIE：IC WDMmid |
| HADEY IAAYS |
| SIY HILLION DOLLAR MAN |
| LfuCRAE Aidd SHIRLEY |
| EG：谷Y＇S BEAUTIES |
| U＇SLCOSE EACK゙，KOTTER |
| WHMT＇S HAPFENING |
| FISi |
| E？MGOENCY |
| IO\＃HOS SHOW |
| CSFTAIN AND TENNILLE |
| ABEEISAN EANESTANI |
| FABVIASTIC JOURNEY |
| Cone Fi |
| couc simu |
|  |齐






KED EY FERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN 2-11 IN AUDIENCE
MAY 1977

| 1 | Jabrer: law |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CAFTAII! KANGAROO |
| 3 | TOM-J: GY-ibMELY SHGW |
| 4 | SCOOET DCO-IYMOMUTT SHOW |
| 5 | ClUE fa.ub |
| 6 | WDOITY :SOOITECKER SHOW |
| 7 | SFEEI :IJGGY |
| 8 | SYLUE:TER ANII TWEETY |
| 9 | FAR O!: |
| 10 | KFidFFt SUFiteshow |
| 11 | FINK 1 ISTH:R LAUGH SHDW |
| 12 | MONET: $\because$ SQ:ALI |
| 13 | SPACE : HOSI/FRANKENSTEIN JK. |
| 14 | SUPER: :IENATS |
| 15 | MEN A ENT:HES OF EATMAN |
| 16 | EUGS : Riny ruali kunner hour |
| 17 | tarza; lof i of the jungle |
| 18 | Way o i bhies |
| 19 | ETG $J$ iiis LIITTLE JOHN |
| 20 | ODS E. . L COLCLE |
| 21 | KIIS 1 OM CAPEF |
| 22 | Lntia : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ THE LOST |
| 23 | SHIZA -ISI; HOUR |
| 24 | NEW fo ?EMTIRES OF GILLIGGN |
| 25 | JR, A: HOST ANYTIIING GOES |
| 25 | FAT f. SERT AND COSEY KINS |
| 27 | AFK I |
| 28 |  |
| 29 |  |
| 30 | Juigl. holiri |
| 31. | HAFFY IAYE-DAYTIME |
| コ2 | dere : lucy |
| 33 |  |
| 34 | HAETI : MEEN MYSTERY HOUR |
| 35 | HAFFY TAYS |
| 36 | LAVEF - AtMI SHIFLEY |
| 37 | WOALIL EUL WORLD OF DISNEY |
| 30 | monty min itarie osmont show |
| 39 | WHAT : HAFPENING |
| 40 | Elture ${ }^{\text {'S S SEAUTIES }}$ |
| 41 | WELCO EfREK, KOTTER |
| - 12 | FISH |
| 3 | Littl: house on the frairie |
| 4 | AMERI, AN LGNUSTANI |
| S | LIfe i tirios of grizaly ailams |
| 16 | STAES $/$ A MiLh :HUTCH |
| 47 | WALTC ; |
| 48 | GO:G :10W |
| 17 | G000 : [FES |
| 50 | Fantil FEH |

HOME STATION ESTIMATES IN THOUSANUS TOTAL PROGRAM ESTIMATES IN THOUSANDS

FEFSGNS PERSONS FERSONS PERSONS PERSONS FERSONS PEIISONS | $\sum_{1}^{2}$ |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| 0 |





复


RANK FRCGFAM

| 1 | ALL MEW SUPEFFRIENDS HOUT： |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CAFTAIN NANGAROO |
| 3. | C E EEAES |
| 4 | SCOOLIY＇S LAFF－A－LYMPICG |
| 5 | THINK FIMIK FANTHEF SHOW |
| 6 | SH゙STEEIEITS |
| 7 | SUPERGTTCH |
| 8 | HEW GREHIES／SAIRTNA SHOW |
| $5)$ | HHAT＇S M：W，MESTER MAGOO？ |
| 10 | KFOFFT SUPERSHOW |
| 11 | SFACE SEITINELS |
| 1\％ | EGBG SHAB！LALAFALODZA |
| 13 | の！Ki T |
| 14 | JAFEEREAS |
| 15 | G：DOST EUİJEFS |
| 10 | EUGS EIMNAY／ROAD RUNNER SHOU |
| 17 | I AS THE GFEATEST |
| 18 | BAGSY FFG？TS ANL THE NITWITS |
| 19 | EATHANMTSRZAN ADUENTUAE HOUR |
| 20 | GREAT GFinE AFE |
| 21 | Srace Actimemy |
| 22 | THUITER |
| 23 | KED haida cang |
| 24 | SECFETS UF ISIS |
| 25 | FAT ALBEAT \＆COSBY KInS |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | SEGECH＋IEESCUE：ALPHA TEAM |
| 28 | Gillibinls，ANIMALS，ANIMALS |
| 29 | CiS SATU：TAY FILM FESTIUAL |
| 30 | ALCC UEEK： $1+\mathrm{D}$ SFECIAL |
| 31 | HAEF＇G DATS－EIAYTIME |
| 32 | NEL AUVEITTURES DF WONDEF WOMAN |
| 33 | TAEITHA |
| ${ }_{7}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | WSATERFUL WORLD OF JISİEY |
| 35 | HAFEIY／BE：W MYSTERY HOUR |
| 36 | HAFFY［ifits |
| 37 | LOU？：Y ANIT MARIE OGMOND SHOW |
| 38 | LOERidS FiUN |
| $3 \%$ | STX MILI：IN MOLLAFI MiAN |
| 40 | EIIORIC WITHAN |
| 41 | LAUECNE BME SHIRLEY |
| 42 | WELE：TE İACK゙，K゙OTTER |
| 43 | MAAt FAOM ATLANTIS |
| i4 | WHAT S HGPEMING |
| 45 | EIGHT IS EMOUSH |
| 46 | GFEISTIO！FETTTCOAT |
| 47 | STAS：CKY B SD HUTCH |
| 40 | LIFE＋TIMES DF GRIZZ！Y AIAMS |
| 47 | CHI：S |
| 50 | EORIG SHOW |

ADI (Area of Dominant influenco) - An exclusive geographic area consisting of all counties in which the Home Market Stations receive a preponderance of total viewing nours. (See separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitled Description of Methodology.)
cent ADI - Areas of Dominant Influence which are adjacent to the home rnarket's ADI and which are serviced by the home-market siations. Where more than three adjacent ADI's lie within the home market's TSA, selection of the three to be reported is based on an analysis of home station circulation in each adjacent ADI. The ADI's to which each county in the TSA has been assigned are identified by codes which appear above the county listing; counties with the code " $O$ " lie within the ADI of a market which is not reported in this publication.

## Average Quarter-Hour Audience - (See Quarțer-Hour Audience)

Color-Set Penetration - Arbitron reports estimates of color television households penetration for each survey area within the market, based on information obtained during the diary placement interview.

Controls - Arbitron weighting techniques are used in all sampling units to establish proportionate representation of viewing by sampling unit, by age of head of household and by week. The weighting techniques are also used in certain sampling units containing CATV households, and in certain sampling units where speciat interviewing techniques are used. The County list on Page 3 indicates the CATV households controls. In certain markets which meet Arbitron criteria, racial and/or ethnic characteristics are also considered when establishing weights.
Cume Households - For each reported Home Market Station, an estimate of the number of different Television Households that view at least once during the average week for five continuous minutes or more during the reported day-part. This is an unduplicated or cumulative estimate of circulation. The estimate is based on viewing within the TSA only.

Elfective Sample Basg (ESZ) - The computed size of a simple random sample which, if selected, would provide the same standard error as the actual sampling plan on which a survey result is based. The statistical reliability of audience estimates depends only indirectly on the number of diaries tabulated and is also subjest to all of the factors described in the cmation of this report entitled iimitations. (See separate publication provided

Ul television report subscribers entitlec Description of Methodology.)
Home County - The county in which a station's city license is located. (See Metro Rating Area.)

Households Using Telveision (HUT) - The estimated percent of television households with at least one television set turned on for five minutes or more during an average quarter hour, as reported for the ADI, Metro or Home County.

In-Tab Sample - The number of Television Households, or persons within those households, whose reported viewing is tabulated in producing the repori. In-Tab Sample includes television households which returned diaries and selected Planned-No-Viewing Households.

Hetro (Or Home County) Rating Area (MPA) - Metro Rating Areas, where applicable, generaliy correspond to Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas as defined by the U.S. Government's Office of Management and Budget. subject to exceptions dictated by historical industry usage and other markeling considerations such as channel ailocations. (Home-market MRA counties are indicated in the listing by an " $M$ " preceding the county r.ame.) Where there is no defined ADl, ratings may be shown for the Home County of the station's city of license. The Home County is indicated in the listing by an "H" preceding the county name.

Whuti-Set Penetration - Arbitron reports penetration estimates of households with more than one television set for each survey area vithin the market, based on information obtained in the diary placement interview.

Wet Wractly Circulation - An estimate of the number of unduplicated Television Households which viewed a station for at least five continuous minutes at leasi once during the week. This estimate is reported as Cume Households in Column 20 of the Sign-on/Sign-off day-part.

Heiwork Averaçes - The average weekly audience to network programming reported for eight day-pants. These estimates are based on network carriage Tumemarkerstationsonly.

Gima! Sample Size - The number oî estimated Television Househords originally drawn for the survey.

Planned-No-Viewing Households - Television households which indicated at the time of the diary placement interview that no television viewing wouid
occur during the survey week, and which did not return a usable diary. (Se separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitiec Description of Methodology.)

PVT (Persons Viewing Television) - The total number of persons by sex-a، group viewing a/l television in the ADI, reported as a percent of the toral number of ADI persons in each demographic category. This estimate inciuve viewing to both reported stations and to non-reported stations, whech incluc stations whose audiences were below the minimum reporting standaras an stations which were not tested.

Percentage Distribution - The share of Television Households viewin. a home-market station, reported for each day-part within specified survey are:

Projection - The expansion of sample statistics to household or populatio information in the respective universe. Estimates of persons viewing i: a specific sex-age group are projected and then rounded to the neares thousand. A projection of less than 500 persons for a specific category wi not be printed; this blank is not intended to imply that no viewing occurrec

Quarter. Hour Audience - A projected estimate of the unduciicated audience having viewed a station for a minimum of five minutes withi: a specific quarter-hour. These quarter-hour total audiences when combins into larger time periods become Average Quarter-Hour Audiences.

Rating - The estimated percent of television househoids. or persons within those households, tuned to a particular station for five minutes or more durif an average quarter-hour of the reported time period for the ADI, Metro or Home County. If the rating is estimated to be less than $0.5 \%$ for a time period, the space is left blank; this blank is not intended to imply that no viewing occurred.

Relative Standard Error Thresholds - The thresholds indicate the approx mate degree of sample variations in the audience estimates reporied. Thresholds are shown for two levels of relative error: 25-49 percent and 50 percent plus. One Standarć Error (1SE) is used in the calculation of tiresh clds. (See separate publication provided to all television report subscribe entitled Description of Methodology.)

Satellits Station - A station that duplicates some or all of the programming of a parent station in order to serve an area not normally reached, and wh: is assigned separate call ietiers and channel number by the FUC. In its regu!arly issued Television Niarket Reports, Arbitron combines the asoience of "satellite" stations with those of the "parent" station under certain co: ditions. (See separate publication provided to ali television report subscrice entitled Descriptiori of Methodoiogy.)
Share - The percentage of the total Households Using Television (HUT) reached by a station during a specified time. It is possible for the sum of the shares to exceed $100 \%$. All shares of $100 \%$ or greater will appear as "gs
Television Households (TV HH) - An Arbitron estimate of the number of households having one or more television sets.
TOT (Totals) - The sum of estiniated viewing in the Total Surrey Area to reported stations, by households and by demographic categories. This "To: does not include viewing to stations whose audiences were $\mathrm{D}=10 \mathrm{w}$ the m, mum reporting standards or to stations which were not tested.

Total Households - An updated estimate based on 1970 census provićs Market Statistics, Inc. These estimiates are projected to January 1,1976 a include households on military installations.

Total Survey Area (rSA) - A geographic area comprisine those counties which, Dy Arbitron estimates, approximately $98 \%$ of the net weesir rire: tion of commercial home market stations occurs. All Ts, estimates are reported in thousands.

UHF Penetration - Arbitron reports estimates of L!fif penetietion in the TSA, the ADI and Metro of all Metro markets, TSA and ADI of all non-Me:r markets. and the TSA of all non-ADI markets. These estimates are tased on the in-tab diary sample.
Universe - All television households located in the specified area.
Working Woman - A temale age $18+$ who works outside the horme 30 or more hours per week.

For additional intormation, the reader is directed 10 "Standard liesinn:ur
 Brcaacasters, 1771 N Street, N. W., Washington, D.C. 2003E, arid irbiron "Description of MEthodolgoy.

This report is a compilation of television audience estimates for this market. The estimates are based on information supplied by television households over the period of the sample survey. The households were selected by computer from local telephone directory sources. All television audience estimates eapproximations subject to statistical variations clated to sample sizes.
Arbitron uses one-week family viewing diaries to gather informationfor television audience reports. Information, such as color-set and multi-set ównership, is also gathered by telephone at the time of the diary placement interview. Diaries are printed in Spanish for piacement with families who indicate preference ior a Spanish language diary.

A methodology description is provided in this report. A more detarled description of Arbitron methodology may te found in a separate publication, Description of Methodology. If any specific details are not completely clear, further explanation will be furnished on request.

Arbitron clients who also receive survey data on EDP tape should note that the estimates on the tape are in somewhat different form than reported in this book.

## Estimates Reporied by Section

Day-Part Auclience Summary - Average quarter-hour audience estimates are summarized for each station by standard day-part segments. The day-part groupings in Central and Mountain time zone markets differ slightly from those in Eastern and Pacific time zones to better represent those times which are normally devoted to network and local programming. Station estimates in this summary are based on the quarterhour periods that each station was on the air during the specified day-part. Periods in which oif-air technical difficulties occur are not included in station averages. Estimates for stations on the air for less time than the station telecasting the most quarter hours during the period are so designated by a double asterisk ("). Because Arbitron viewing estimates are tabulated only for those time periods between 5.00AM and 2:00AM, "Sign-on" and "Sign. \& $f$ " da,-parts do not include selecasts prior to or after bese hours. (See separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitled Description of Methodology.)
Network Program Averages - These averages include only network program quarter-hour audiences carried on home stations with all local or syndicated programming eliminated.
Weekly Programming and Time Period Averages Average quarter-hour audience estimates are reported for each station for each night of the week, from 4:00PM to 2:00AM (local time), and for all day Saturday and Sunday. For the Monday-Friday period, estimates are reported as five-day averages. Station estimates are based on the quarter-hour periods that eacin station was on the air during the specified time period. Peliods in which off-air technical difficulties occur are not included in station averages.
The Weekly Programming and Time Pericd Averages section is arranged as follows: The time period is listed, followed oy the station call letters and the first week's firs: quarter-hour program title. If thie title is the same for any other quarter-hour in any week of the survey it will not be repeated. Dif. ferent program titles appear on the lines following with a maximum of eight title lines per statinn. If there is more than one titie for a station during a time period a four-week average line follows the title lines. The weekly ADI rating(s) for a given program appear in the appropriate week's rating column. If the first ance second quarter-hour of a hall-hour time period have different programming. two ADI weekly ratings are shown for the appropriate week, one representing each quarter-hour.

| Wernesray 6:30-7.00PM | WK 1 | WK 2 | WK 3 | WK. 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WAAA |  |  |  |  |
| Brady Bunch | 6 |  |  |  |
| News |  | 6 | 8 |  |
| Basketball |  |  | 10 | 11 |
| -SWK Avg - |  |  |  |  |

A dash (-i in one of these weekly reting columns indicates that the program did not achieve a reportable rating. A blank in one of these weekly rating columns indicaies that the station was not on the arr.

To qualify for individual weekly reporting, a minımum of 70 in-tab households inust be achieved in each of the survey weeks. In markets with smaller samples only multi-week average estimates are reported and an asterisk (") will appear in the weekly cotumns. On each title line, after the weekly rating(s), the time period averages are reported. For those programs telecast two or more weeks during a time deriod, complete household and demographic intormation is reported. For those programs telecas: only once in a time period, in those markets with weekly ADI ratings, the following is reported:
ADI TV Household Ratings and Shares
AD! Ratings !or Total Men and Total Women
Metro TV Household Ratings and Shares
TSA TV Households. Total Men and Total Women For those programs telecast only once in a time period in markets without weekly ratings, no estimates are reported. The four-week average line includes complete housenold and demographic estimates which are averages for all weeks of the survey.

Program titles are requested on a time-formatted, pre-printed log form from each commercial station. See separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitled Description of Methodology.
Station Break Averages - Audiences reported are averages of two quarter-hours. The time listed is the station break time between the two quarter-hours included in the average. Periods in which off-air technical difficullies occur are not included in station averages.
Program Audiences - Average quarter-hour estimates are reported for those programs which meet certain qualifications. All quarter-hours of a qualifying program telecast by Home Market or outside Class I station during the multiple-week survey are included. The number of quarter-hours on which the averages are based is shown in the revort. To qualify for inclusion a program must have been telecast at least one quarter-hour on the same day during each of iwo survey weeks. The program is reported in the Program Audiences section even if it was carred al different times. as !ong as the program was on the same calenoar day of the weeks involved ie.g., first Wednesday at 5:00PM and third wednesday at 8:0 PMi). Only full program quarter-hours are included.
Accumulation ol quarter-holir estimates is based on program titie. Programs of one quarter-hour duration shown two or more times during the same day and also on different weeks will be averaged together and reported in the section.
Time Periods and Programs Reported - Since Arbitron does not have individual day titles for programs telecast prior to 3:30PM Monday through Friday, programs must qualify within the Arbitron time frames. Thus, a movie telecast each day, Monday-Friday $2: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ 4:30Pf: is reported as two programs with a weighted average of the two programs. The first is a Monday. Friday average of the 2:30-3:30 portion the movie. The second is an average of the individual days (Monday through Friday) from 3:30 to 4:30 combined with the Monday-Friday $2: 30$ to $3: 30$ portion of the program. The resulting final program average fsee example below) includes all quarter-hours the program was telecast, even though it began pior to the 3:30PM break for individual day reporing and averaging. The Monday-Friday average musi be contiguous to the 3:30PM time period to be included in the weighted average.

| 2:30PM WAAA |  | No. of WKS. | No. of \% tirs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -M-F | Sovie | 4 | 80 |
| 3:30PM WAAA |  |  |  |
| -M.F | Move | 4 | 80 |
| - Mon. | Movie | 4 | 16 |
| - Tue. | Movie | 4 | 16 |
| - Wed. | Movie | 4 | 16 |
| -Thu. | Movie | 4 | 16 |
| - Fri. | Move | 4 | 16 |
| Avg. | Movie |  | 150 |

Programs scheduled more than onee weekly aiter 3:30FM are reported as ca:iy esumates and as a weekly averape. If a program is te:ecas: seven days a week ouring the survey, two average: re provided. The first is a live-day averaģe of the hic, :\% through Friday telecasts and the second is a se:n day average including the Moncay ithroun flloay telecast. as well as the Saturgay ano Sunday telecasis. A 6:00PM newscast would be reported for each
individual day Monday through Friday with a fiveday average ( 5 AV ) followed by the Saturday and Sunday individual days and a seven-day average ( 7 AV ) which includes all telecasts of the program.

| 6:00PM WAAA |  | 6:00PM WAAA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Mon. | News | ${ }^{\text {- Sat. }}$ | News |
| ${ }^{\text {T Tue. }}$ | News | -Sun. | News |
| - Wed. | News | 7 AV . | New9 |
| - Thu. | News |  |  |
| - Fri. | News |  |  |
| SAV. | Nows |  |  |

The weekly average of programs telecast more than once weekly, but with varying start times appears each time the program title appears. The average represents all time periods in which the program was telecast. An asterisk (*) preceding a program title indicates that the estimates for the program are included in an average.
Because viewing estimates are tabulated only for those time periods between 6:00AM and 2:00A.M, the Program Audience estimates do not include viewing to programs prior to 6:00AM and after 2:00AM (e.g. a program that begins at 12:30AM and continues to 3:00AM would be reported only for the 12:30AM2:00AN period). No program averages are reported for programs which begin after 1:00AM (local time) during the survey period.
No single title of a multi-titled quarter-hour can be exclusively.credited to a quarter-hour time pericd. Therefore, no single title of a multi-titled quarter. hour appears in the Program Audiences Section. For the reporting of program averages of Parent + Satellite combinations, the Parent and Satellite are assumed to be telecasting the same programs.

## Sampling and Calculations

Sampling Methodology - Surveys for Arbitron Television Market Reports are accomplished through the use of a geographic unit called an Arbitron Sampling Unit. A sampling unit is normally one county, although some counlies have been divided into two or more sampling units because of population distribution, terrain. or areas in which special intenviewing techniques ore used. There are no instances in which an Arbitron Television Sampling Unit consists of more than one county.) The actual number oi diaries piaced in eact Sampling Unit is determined by the ciuota established for the unit and the rate o: return wruct: Arbitron can reasonably expsci based on past placement experience. The total sample is dirided into approximately eqüal weekly segments for diari placement, and fre returns are tabulated separately for eacn survey week. For each survey a complete new sample of families is compuier-selecied for each sampling unit through the use of a systematic intenal selection technique. These samples of households are drawn by Metromall. This sampie setection, like all other processes used in developing Arbitren television estimates, is audited by the Broadcast Reting Council. Instances of hand-orawn samples are outlined in Arbitron's separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitledDescription of Methociology.
Diary Placement and Return - Abitron initiafly sends a letter to sample households informing them of their selection by computer, and stating tinat an interviewer will call to request their cooperation in ihe survey. Interviewers are instructec to contact the selected sample housemolus by telephone to place the ciares. Intervepvers are instructed to make at least flue attempts to reach every hruschold se:cetsd in the sample in order that everyone in the sample has a reasonable chance of being coritacted. These attempted calis are made at different hours during the day and evening. Diaries are then malied directly to the sample households from Arbitron headquarters in Bellsville. Maryiand. Arbitron seads a diary for each television set in the kno:un mitil-set households. Following the survey. respundents are asked to mail therr diaries back to Arbitron in Beltsvilie.

Although e.:plict instuctions are provided eser: interviewer, and indeperident checris ate regutariy conducted by interviewer cucrdinators and the Arbitron Field Operallons Derartment, there may de instarices where suen instrustions are nol followed. Special interviewing techniques and processing procedures are employed in certain markets to
improve representation of centain ethnic groups. See separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitled Description of Methodology.

Tabulation of Diarles - All diaries returned to Arbitron are not necessarily used in tabulating television audience estimates. Among those not used are diaries which are incomplete or inaccurate, and those which rive after the production cut-otf date. The total number of in-tab diaries may not reach the original quota. On the other hand, the total may exceed the quola.

Entries in diaries returned from mulli-set households are edited so as to provide unduplicated viewing information for a given household. Local time dif. ferences within a market which overlaps time zones and time differences caused by seasonal time changes are accounted for in the results by tabulating all viewing by a station's local time relative to the time zone oi the market being tabulated.
Arbitron has developed other special editing procedures for situations where a CATV system is required to protect a local station against duplication of its programs brought in oy the CATV system from other sources. These special editing procedures are described in Arbitron's separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entilled Descripition of Methodology.

Projection of Audience - Diary data for basic viewing categories are projected for each quarter-hour by a technique which assigns a value in terms of households and/or persons to each in-tab diary. The value assigned is referred to as the housenolds-per-diary value or HPDV. Selected planned-no-viewing households are included in the calculation of HPDV.

Projections derived using the HPDV are then summed across weighting cells and sampling units in each survey area (Metro, ADI and TSA) and gathered by various time periods for reporting. Individual survey variations in projected sex/age populations are stabilized for all survey periods for each year ending in September. Audiences thus calculated may be reported for basic data categories or for combinations thereof; depending on the survey area, they may be reportec as projections or converted to ratings. See separate publication provided to all .elevision report subscribers entitled Description of títhodology.

Returnedi-Sample Weighting - Arbitron exercises sample controls by calculating HPDV's taking into account the following: counly, special interviewing technique areas, survey week, CATV households. and the age of the head of the household. In certain markets which meet Arbitron criteria, racial and/or ethnic characteristics of the household are also considered. See separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitled Description of Methodology.

## Criteria for <br> Reporting Stations

In order to report the maximum amount of viewing in any given Arbitron-defined telerision market, the, criteria for reporting stations are applied each time the market is surveyed. The viewing levels of stations located outside of the market, as well as home stations, are examined to determine whether any qualify for inclusion in the report. Arbitron normally tests more stations i.han usuaily qualify.
Criteria are established according to station location and audience size. The minimum rejorting standards are:
A. Heme Station: any station located within the Metro, Home County andlor ADI of the market being reported.

1. A Home Station is included in the report if it delivers a $1 \%$ Net Weekly Circutanon based on the Tctal Suriey Area and also has a minimum of 500 households per average quarter-hour; or
2. If it delivers $1 \%$ of the Metro television housenotds (ar Home County television household in a non-ADI market) for at least 30 reported quarter-hour segmients; or
3. If it delivers $1 \%$ of the Metro television households for Home County television households in a non-ADI market) for at least
$8 \%$ of its broadcast time.
These criteria are applied to data encomoassed from sign-on to sign-off. Sunday througn Saturday, for each statron Qualified ETV Stations appear in the Day-Part Summary section only.
B. Outside Station: any station which is reported as a Home Station in another television market is an Outside Station and is classed according to different fifinimum reporting criteria:
4. Class I Outside Station
a. Must attain a $10 \%$ share of total ratings for 4:00PM to 1:00AM. Sunday through Saturday, based on the ADI (or Hisme County in a non-ADI market); or
b. Must attain a Metro rating of 5 for at least 100 quarter-hours, or for at least $20 \%$ of its programmed air time.
If a station qualilies for Class I, all data related to the Metro and ADI will be reported in all sections of the report except the ADI Rating Trends.
5. Ciass II Outside Station
a. Must attain an average rating of "one" for 4:00PM to 1:00AM. Sunday threugn Saturday, based on the ADI (or home County in a non.A.DI market); or
b. Must attain an average ADI (or Home County in a non-ADI market) rating of 1.5 for the early and late fringe time periods (Monday-Friday 5:00-7:30PM and 11:00PM-1:00AM, Eastern Time). If a station qualified for Class II, Metro and ADI data will be reported in the Day-Part Summary section only.
For complete data on outside stations, see the respective home market reports.

## C. Satellite Station - Arbitron recognizes two

 classes of satelites:1. S-1 Satellites - These are satellites which duplicate the programming of parent staticn in its entirety, carry no other programming from any other source, and at all times telecast programming which is identicat to that of the parent.
Audiences ef such satelites are always reported in combination with those of the parent in the parent's marker report.
2. S-2 Satellites - These are satellites that duplicate most, but not all. of the parent station's programming. Audiences of such satellites and the parent are shown on two lines in the parent's market report. The first line shows the audience of the parent alone, and the second line shows the combined audience of parent and satellite(s) together. When programming is identicai on both stations, the symbol(SP) will appear as part of the program title to represent Same Programming. When programming differs, the symbol (DP) will appear as part of the program title to represent Different Programming. However, (a) when an S-2 satellite is located in a market other than the home market of the S-2's parent station, and (b) when at least one non-satellite station is located in the same market as the S -2 satellite, the audience of the satelite alone is shown in the markst report of the non-satellite station. In these instarices, the audiences of such satellites are not combined with those of the parent in the parent's home market report.

## Limitations

In addition to the sources of possible errors which are described elsewhere in this book. the user should be awate of the iimitations described below:
A. The sample is drawn only from households listed in telephone directories which elimirates nontelephone householos and telephone househoids nol listed in the direciory. Enmmercial estavishments listed in the chectory ere spenticathy ex. cluded from the sample. Houstholds on muttary iristallations as well as stuctents and residents at educational ano other institutions riay or may not bs listed in the local teleni:cne directóly. All telo phone directories may not haye been located and included in the list prepared ty Metromail, which is used as Arbitron's sample frame.
B. Non-responding households may have some effect on the survey results to the extent that the television viewing babits of non-respondenls di!fer from those of respondents. Similarly, the viewing habits of non-telephone households oi these not listed in telephone directories may vary from those of households which have telephones and are listed in directories.
C. Non-responding households in the original designated sample prevent the "in-tab TV households" from being a probability sample.
D. The sample design and/or response patterns (including those markets where special diary placement and/or viewing data retrieval are utilized) may preclude proper representation oi certain groups within the population such as ethnic groups, households in certain low-income o low-education groups or households whose primar language is other than English. Such housenclos may not be fully represented in reported audiences because usable diaries may not te obtained from them. These iactors may be significant to the extent that television set ownership and/or viewing habits of these groucs difter from those of other groups.
E. Data from Bureau of the Census. Advertising Research Foundation, and Market Statistics. Inc. are subject to defects and limitations such as sampling, processing, and recording errors. In addition, for those years between decennial cen. sus dates. Census data are based upon a sample which is significantly smaller in most regions than that employed by Arbitron; and Market Statistios, Inc. utilizes published government figures in estimating population for individual counties. These defects and limitations in data from Bureau of the Census, Advertising Research Foundation and Market Statistics, Inc. are inherent in Arbitron estimates based thereon.
F. Diaries, or portions thereof, may be completed improperly if the diary instructions are not understood or are not followed. Such diaries may thereby be excluded from thie survey.
G. Some diary entries may have been made on the basis of hearsay, recall, the estimetes of the diary keeper, or could have been influenced by comments made by the interviewer to survey participents.
H. It is possible that human and computer precessing errors may occur after the diaries are received at Arbitron headquarters. Consequently, the degree of variance in the data may be greater than that expected from sampling vairation aione.

1. The population data upon which Arbitron has based its Sample Weighting may not be precise.
J. Logical analysis and pre-processing preparation of the data may affect some of the diary viewing entries before the data are projected.
K. Arbitron conducts research involving new methoos of improving television housenolds cooperation and/or securing additional information from sample households. Occasionally a portion of this research may be performed (on very limited basis) in conjunction with the actual surveys, and w:7en so done, may cause the degree of variance in the data to be greater than that expecied from sampling variation alone.
$L$ To the extent that any provisions contained in this section. "Limitations," are inconsis!ent or conflict with any provisions contaned in itie "Spectal Notices" on pace 5 of this report, sueh special notices should be ofemed to supersece and/or amend this section of the report.

## Retention of Raw Raterials

Retention Schedule - In-tab Arbitroriviewing diaries used for the compliation of the audience estumates published in this report will be stored and used for cross-tadulations for aleven montins trom the closing date of the survey and then gestroy so a!ong with ali unuseble diaries. Subscibers to inis repori aro advised that if spectal ciess tatmiations of the reporied estimates are desirec. :hey sticuld be ordered before the retention period has expired. Upon prior appointiment, subscribers to this reoort may examme the in-lab Abition viewing diaries (prior to the destruction thereof) al ArDitron's Eeltsville office.

## Appendix C

## Top Fifty Network Programs

Ranked by the Number of Children in Audience
(February, May, November 1977)
CHILDREN SHARE OF TOTAL AUDIENCE
FOR TOP FIFTY PROGRAMS
RANKED BY
FUMBER OF CHILDREN AND PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN
FEBRUARY 1977, MAY 1977, AND NOVEMBER 1977
February 1977, MAY 1977, AND NOVEMBER 1977
February 1977, May 1977, and November 1977
February 1977-February 2-March 1, 1977
May 1977 - April 27-May 24, 1977
November 1977 - November 2-November 29, 1977
February 1977 and May 1977 - 208 ADI Markets
November 1977 - 211 ADI Markets
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The Arbitron JuperSweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified network program as reported in Arbitron
Television Local inarket Reports for all AnI markets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Aci viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are exiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npi）for each survey period and are reported
to one decimal place（XX．X）． The Arbitron supersweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified network progran as reported in Arbitron
Televisicn Local inarket Reports for all AnI narkets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Asi viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are eyiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npa）for each survey period and are reported．
to one decimal place（XX．X）． The Arbitron supersweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified network progran as reported in Arbitron
Televisicn Local inarket Reports for all AnI narkets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Asi viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are eyiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npa）for each survey period and are reported．
to one decimal place（XX．X）． The Arbitron supersweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified network progran as reported in Arbitron
Televisicn Local inarket Reports for all AnI narkets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Asi viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are eyiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npa）for each survey period and are reported．
to one decimal place（XX．X）． The Arbitron supersweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified network progran as reported in Arbitron
Televisicn Local inarket Reports for all AnI narkets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Asi viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are eyiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npa）for each survey period and are reported．
to one decimal place（XX．X）． The Arbitron oupersweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified netrork program as reported in Arbitron
Television Local inarket Reports for all AnI narkets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Aci viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are eyiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npa）for each survey period and are reported
to one decimal place（XX．X）． The Arbitron supersweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified network progran as reported in Arbitron
Televisicn Local inarket Reports for all AnI narkets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Asi viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are eyiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npa）for each survey period and are reported．
to one decimal place（XX．X）． The Arbitron supersweep Report contains nationwide ratings
for each qualified network progran as reported in Arbitron
Televisicn Local inarket Reports for all AnI narkets for
each of the tiree survey periods．
Arbitron Supersweep nationwide ratings are the sum
of all Asi viewing estimates，divided by U．S．Television
Household population estimates．The ratings for this
Re：ort are eyiracted from the Network Program Analysis
Ta，（npa）for each survey period and are reported．
to one decimal place（XX．X）．

U．S．Television Household population estimates for the
three surveys are： b．Frojected Persons 2－5 Estimates in Thousands

1．Home Station Audience Estimates
B．Top Fifty Network Programs ranked by Number of Children 2－11 in Audience $\frac{\text { Total Program Audience Estimates }}{\text { a．Projected Persons 2－11 Estima }}$ a．Projected Persons 2－11．Estimates in Thousands spuesnoul u！safewtis3＋Z suosiad pataocold •o d．Children Share of Total Audience
2．Total Program Audience Estimates ：ave fioday s！47 u！safewifs3 pafioday ayl A．Top Fifty Network Programs ranked by Percentage of Children 2－11 in Audience Home Station Audience Estimates a．Projected Persons 2－5 Estimates in Thousands Projected Persons 6－11 Estimates in Thousands Projected Persons 2－11 Estimates in Thousands d．Projected Persons $2+$ Estimates in Thousands五 I

b．
b． q
 B．
This is a report of 1) Children 2-11 share of totalaudience for the top fifty network programs rankedby children viewing，and 2）Children 2－11 share oftotal audience for the top fifty network programs
ranked by percentage of children viewing．


The top fifty network programs ranked by children
 children rating．The top fifty network programs ranked by percentage of chilciren viewing are network programs with the highest percentage of total children＇s audience．Quilifying progralis were extracted from the Arbitron SuperSwecp Report for the February 1977， May 1977，and Hovember 1977 survey periods．

MAY 1977
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$73,307,000$
$77,944,400$
$70,820,800$
$24,439,900$
$32,163,500$
$20,596,800$
20，653，200

fide business interest in the data contained herein.
For an Arbitron client to divulge the contents of this
report to a nonsubscribing station, or to lend and/or
give a copy to any non-subscriber, including advertisers
and/or their agencies, constitutes a breach of the licenst
agreement between Arbitron and each of its clients.
Quotation by clients of the estimates contained in this
report as allowed by the preceding sentence for
purposes of advertising or promotion, must identify Arbits
as the source.
Users of this report are referred to the current policies
of the Federal Government relating to the use of audience
estimates. Neither this report nor any of its contents
may be used in any manner by non-clients of Arbitron
without written permission from Arbitron. equal to cotal U.S. total program audience estimates.
Howeser,
procedure; these estimates are not equal.
A desalculation
culation derocription of Arbitron sampling and cal-
Descriptin of ilethodology. Ranks are based on total program audience estimates for persons 2-11. It case of ties, ranks are then based on home station audience estimates for persons 2-11. In theor; total U.S. home station: audience estimate b. Projected Persons 12+ Estimates in Thousands c. Projected Persons $2+$ Estimates in Thousands d. Children Share of Total Audience

## Total Program Audience Estimates 2.

 C. a .
.
RANK PROC:AM

| 1 | HAPF: IRAYS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Lavione fild Shirley |
| 3 | FOAlf A AN: MfRIE OCMOND SHOW |
| 4 | BIOI.C W:..iAM |
| 5 | SIK :ILLIJN DOLLAR MAN |
| 6 | WONT EFUL WORLD OF DISNEY |
| 7 | WELC IE I :CK. KOTTER |
| 8 | HAAEI : /DR: I MYSTERY HOUR |
| ¢ | MHAT'S Ha, PENiNG |
| 10 | FiSt; |
| 11 | LITTEE MGUSE ON THE PRAIRIE |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | EUGE EUN, i-roal munner hour |
| 14 | Capt lit $\therefore$ ID TETINILLE |
| 15 | ELAi <r': REAUTIES |
| 16 | GELC . IIm, |
| 17 | NES OVE: TUFES OF BATMAM |
| 13 | TfR: 1 li d of the Jungie |
| 19 | KFidi r Sl. EFSSHOW |
| 20 | Emei zucy |
| 21 | STit! iY rial hutch |
| 22 | WAL: IS |
| 23 | SUF: RIE:dis |
| 24 | SFEE: EU , Y |
| 25 | LIFE + T:AES OF GRIZZI, Y ALIAMS |
| 26 | Cent timis. |
| 27 | - ; TA |
| 23 | Sinit 1-İ: is hour |
| 27 | SPES GH: $\operatorname{ST}+$ FRANKENSTEIN JR |
| 30 | JEFF MSOr\% |
| 31 | SIG HiN, LITTLE JOHN |
| 32 | M ${ }_{\text {OR: }}$ |
| 33 | FAT . MEIT + COSBY KILS |
| 34 | Clue Olu: |
| 35 | EAR Y M M, LER |
| 36 | Muni :R : IUAD |
| 37 | Jabi rasali |
| 39 |  |
| 39 | Lndi. ge i. E LOST |
| 40 | THE MOK' : 5 |
| 41 | Samy .id $\quad$ In Son |
| 42 | RUST IG l.adose |
| 43 | Fint. Stic: JOUfiney |
| 4.4 | ALL : A TI. FAMILY |
| 45 | SYLS STEFi AND TUEETY |
| 46 | Chat : And: The Mand |
| 47 |  |
| 48 | AEC . WIA M NIEHT MOUSE |
| 49 |  |
| 50 | CMAR.tE'S ANGELS |

THOUSARIDS
FERCENT






RANK PROGRIFIM

| HGFPY ：$A$ PYS |
| :---: |
| LAVERT：ANII SHIFLEY |
| WELCOI：FACN゙，KOTTER |
| WHAT＇S HAFFIENING |
| EUGG EAMNY－ROAD RUNNER HOUR |
| JUNGLEE EOOK |
| EIDARIC WOMFIN |
| WOMIIEE，UL L＇IJRLD OF IIISNEY |
| TARZAR LOAT OF THE JUNGLE DONMY NNO MAFIE OSMONT SHOW |
|  |  |
|  |
| NEUS AL ENTUEES OF BATMAN |
| LIFE＋TJMES OF GRIZZ̈L＿Y ADAMS |
| LITTLE HOUCE ON THE PRATFIE |
| Hali［YY／．SEW MYSTEFY HOUR <br> SFCEEI ：UGGY |
|  |  |
|  |
| KFidFFT SIJPEFSHOW |
| AEIC Sl．Dify Night movie |
| Ciffrli＇s firgels |
| F－iNK F－．idTHLR LAUGH SHOW |
| Nec Sir Ufinay night at movies |
| STAF：St．ATII HIUTCH |
| Clut C：UB |
| EiARETTA |
| STACE ：HOST／FRANKENSTEJN JR． EUFERF IENT： |
|  |  |
|  |
| LOOL T ；价S |
| JnEEEP HW |
| ［LritS＇：S E：AUTIES． |
| r゙OMSTE：SQUAD |
|  |
| I AFitey MILLER |
|  |
| FAT AL SRT AMD COSEY KIDS |
| EiG J Jinv，LITTLE JOHN |
| LALTOT． |
| CAPTA：：KAIIGAROD |
| I IC E！it ． |
| WDOETY $\therefore$ JOLIFCREER SHOW |
| FİC FF：JAY HIGHT MOVIE |
| SIEFFES MNS |
| Cite LAFO AT A TIME |
| GLL İ：THE FAMTLY |
| CAFiAL UERN：TT SHOW |
| T：®C MC：\＃AY MIGHT AT THE MOUIES |
| L．IMD E－THE LOST |
| fiICE |
| TOM－JENFiY－MLMELLY SHOW |

[^1]

|  |  |  | HOME STA FERSONS | TON EST PEFSONS | iates in FEFSONS | THOUSNNLS PEf:SONS | FECGONS | PEE:CNS | MATES IN | OUSANIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NET | IIAY | TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { FERSONS } \\ 2-5 \end{gathered}$ | PERSONS $5-11$ | FERSONS $2-11$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FEf:SONS } \\ 2+ \end{gathered}$ | FERGONS $2-11$ | FEFSONS | PEHESONS |  |
| ABC | TUE | 日, 0 F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADC | TUE | 830 F | 3.024 | 8.198 | 11.868 | 50.430 | 13.058 | 42,677 | 5,5,735 | 23.4\% = |
| ABC | GUN | 700p | 2,525 | $7 \times 32$ | 10.357 | 43,431 | 11.336 | 41,951 | 53,356 | 21.3\% - |
| AEC | FiiI | 800 F | 2,290 | 4.840 | 7,365 6,883 | 27,743 29,624 | 8.873 | 22,729 | 30, 302 | 26.28- |
| AEC | W:D | 300F- | 1,690 | 5,129 | 6,819 | 35. 651 |  | 24.783 31.718 | 52,509 39.212 | 23.2\% - |
| ABC | Snit | 900. | 2,002 | 4,367 | 6,368 | 9.545 | 6.979 | 3,496 | 10,476 | 65.8\% - |
| ABC | Stin | 700F | 1,578 | 4.753 | 6,336 | 24.065 | 6.947 | 19,663 | 26,610 | 26.1\% - |
| ABC | SUN | 800 F | 1,681 | 4.655 | 6,336 | 27.746 | 6.947 | 2.3 .657 | 30,605 | 22.7\% - |
| AEC | Sist | 800 F | 1,752 | 4,037 | 5.789 | 20,700 | 6.461 | 16, ら43 | 22,943 | 27.9x- |
| AEC | Till | 800F | 1,509 | 4,119 | 5.629 | 21,805 28,179 | 6,368 6.111 | 17,696 | 24.065 | $26.3 \%-$ |
| AEC | Till | 830F | 1,330 | 4.202 | 5,532 | 20,170 | 5.711 5.922 | 24,846 | 30.720 30.828 | 19.97 $19.4 \%$ |
| NEC | Mond | 800 P | 1.677 | 3.501 | 5.178 | 32,092 | 5.789 | 29,979 | 35,769 | $16.2 \%$ |
| AEC | Will | $900{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.104 | 3,913 | 5.018 | 36.630 | 5.468 | 34,813 | 40,281 | 13.5\% |
| NEC | SnT | 800 F | 1,523 | З,393 | 4,921 | 22,503 | 5.438 | 19,441 | 24.876 | 21.7\% |
| AEC | TUE | 900\% | 1,234 | 3,604 | 4 -89? | 33,895 | 5.371 | 31,736 | 37.307 | 14.14 |
| CES | Sat | 830A | $1 \times 817$ | 3,007 | 4.325 | 8,325 | 5.371 | 3.366 | 9,233 | 50.1\% |
| CRS | Midil | 800\% | 1 | 3.151 | 4,792 | 26:826 | 5,371 | 24,710 | 30,0ti | 17.9\% |
| ABC. | SnT | 900F | 1922 | 3.696 | 4,896 | 32,004 | 5.243 | 30.279 | 55.521 | 14.3\% |
| CES | Si:T | 1030A | 1,725 | 2,842 | 4,567 | 8,217 | 5.082 | 22,937 4.118 | 28,019 | 18.1\% |
| CBS | MiN | 800P | 1.300 | 2.945 | 4,246 | 16.775 | 4.896 | 15,886 | 20,583 | 55.12 $22.38-$ |
| ABC | Sir | 1100 A | 1,392 | 2.822 | 4.213 | 6.979 | 4.632 | 3.091 | 7,712 | 60.1\% - |
| AEC | S:T | 800A | 1.263 | 2,822 | 4,085 | 5.560 | 4.503 | 1,821 | 6.32.; | 71.2\% - |
| ABC | Sat | 830F | 255 | 3.059 | 3.924 | 21.202 | 4,439 | 19,359 | 23,790 | 18.78 |
| CES | Sit | 1030A | 1,397 | 2,430 | 3.827 | 7,133 | 4,213 | 3,600 | 7,814 | 53.9\%- |
| AEC | SAT | 1000 F | 706 | 3.090 | 3,795 | 27,918 | 4.149 | 26,581 | 30,730 | $13.5 \%$ |
| CES | Silt | 1230P | 1.290 | 2,193 | 3,474 | 7,132 | 3.827 | 4.032 | 7.659 | 49.7\% - |
| NBC | THL | 800 F | 965 | 2,343 | 3,313 | 19.023 | 3,795 | 17.664 | 21.459 | 17.7\% |
| CFS | Snit | EOOA | 1.193 | 2,018 | 3,216 | 5.042 | 3,570 | 2,072 | 5.643 | 6.3.3\% - |
| CES | Sint | 1200 N | 1.156 | 2,013 | 3,134 | 6,347 | 3.506 | 3:583 | 7,089 | $49.5 \%$ - |
| CBS | SAT | 700F | 731 | 2,389 | 3.120 | 21,325 | 3,409 | 20.795 | 24,205 | 14.1\% |
| NEC | Sit | 9.30 A | 1:131 | 1,957 | 3,098 | 5,009 | 3.409 | 2,163 | 5.577 | 61.1\% |
| CES | Sint | boca | 965 | 1.333 | 2,798 | 4.5\%3 | 3.056 | 1,997 | $5.05 ?$ | 60.15\% |
| NEC | TUE | 300:- | 76.3 | 1.874 | 2,637 | 13,401 | 2,759 | 11,876 | 14.835 | 19.9\% |
| ABC | T1U | 900F. | 619 | 2.018 | 2,637 | 25,344 | 2,895 | 25.434 | 23,323 | 10.2\% |
| NaC | SAT | 1200 N | 875 | 1.730 | 2.605 | 4,604 | 2,830 | 2.097 | 4.927 | 57.4X- |
| CES | Wer | 830F | 731 | 1,374 | 2,605 | 16,003 | 2.830 | 14,640 | 17,470 | 16.2\% |
| CES | M-F: | 800A | 1.743 | 700 | 2,444 | 3.427 | 2.766 | 1,163 | 3,92\% | 70.4\% - |
| NEC | FEI | 900. | 577 | 1.771 | 2,348 | 21,623 | 2,573 | 21,39 | 23.96, | 10.7\% |
| CBS | Sun | $900{ }^{\circ}$ | 606 | 1,710 | 2,316 | 31.275 | 2,541 | 31.933 | 34,479 | 7.4\% |
| CDSC | TUE | 730F | 503 | 1.913 | 2,316 | 26,74.3 | 2,541 | 27,296 | 29,827 | $8.5 \%$ |
| NEC | SAT | 800A | 675 | 1.545 | 2,219 | 3,130 | 2,509 | 1.108 | 3,817 | 69.4x = |
| CES | SIN | 800F | 677 | 1,807 | 2,294 | 29,779 | 2,4.44 | 28,1312 | 31.257 | 7.3\% |
| NEC | SGT | 900A | 672 | 1,483 | 2,155 | 3.534 | 2.300 | 1.801 | 3.981 | $59.13 \%$ - |
| Nec | S. 7 | 1100 A | 720 | 1.339 | 2.059 | 3.83.6 | 2.3is | 2.004 | 4.320 | 53.6\% - |
| CES | Mon | 700\% | $\wedge_{6} 34$ | 1.607 | 2.091 | 19.340 | 2,204 | 19,174 | 21.157 | 10.6\% |
| CES | SnT | 10008 | 402 | 1:0637 | 2,091 | 19.005 | 2,284 | 18.700 | 20.991 | 10. $\%$ \% |
| NEC | Sun | 900F | 570 | 1.452 | 2,058 | 20.849 | 2,204 | 29.022 | 31,306 | $7.3 \%$ |
| NEC | Srit | 930n | 636 | $\pm .35 \%$ | 1.994 | $3+151$ | 2.201 | 1,308 | 3: 560 | 63.22 |

## $\underset{\sim}{x}$

| : DAYS |
| :---: |
| NE AND SHIFILEY |
| RFU! ! Morld of ritsmey |
| ANA MARIE OSMOND SHOW |
| Is ENGUGH |
| Y'S LAFF-A-LYMFICS |
| :/DF:' I MYSTEFY HOUK |
| , IILIjod gollar man |
| TUEGITURES DF WGNDER WOMAN |
|  |  |
|  |
| S H. FFENING |
| E HIUSE ON THE PRAIRIE |
| IE'S ANGELS |
| C W. fan |
| 'S Cutifenty |
| FUN..Y/ROAL RUNMER SHOW |
| + TIVES OF GRIZZLY ADAMS |
| HS |
| KY Gun HUTCH |
| A/Ti..izail aduenture hour |
| $3 \mathrm{Fl}, \mathrm{d}$ |
| $r$ Sinershow |
| EW OPPEFFRIENDS HDUR |
| IIO: FETTICOAT |
| AC, LEMY |
| EOAT |
| CBELS + COSBY KIDS |
| 92FITS |
| TS ISIS |
|  |  |
|  |
| CLHES/SAERINA SHOU |
| 's N:U, risstek magoop |
| Fom atlantis |
| Y MIILER |
| PA:iTS AND THE NITUITS |
| $\because \mathrm{G}$ LIJOSE |
| IM H Angakoo |
| JSD FILES |
| $\therefore$ Th:E FAMIIY |
| - YY fit a time |
| SARS |
| SEMTINELS |
| fi |
| WHITEE SHOW |
| TUHEIET SHOU |
| VEMT |
| STCH |




ADI (Area of Dominant Influenco) - An exclusive geographic area consisting of all counties in which the Home Market Stations receive a preponderance of total viewing hours. (See separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitled Description of Methodology.)

Bent ADI - Areas of Dominant Influence which are adjacent to the nome ket's ADI and which are serviced by the home-markel stations. Where more than three adjacent ADI's lie within the home market's TSA, selection of the three to be reponed is based on an anaiysis of home station circulation in each adjacent ADI. The ADI's to which each county in the TSA has been assigned are identified by codes which appear above the county listing; counties with the code " $O$ " lie within the ADI of a market which is not reported in this publication.

## Average Quarter-Hour Audience - (See Quarter-Hour Audience)

Color-Set Penetratien - Arbitron reports estimates of color television households penetration tot each survey area within the market, based on iniormation obtained during the diary placement interview.

Controls - Arbitron weighimp tecnniques are used in all sampling units to establish proportionate representation of viewing by sampling unit. by age of head of household and by week. The weighting technloues are also used in certain sampling units containing CATV households, and in cerialn sampling units where special interviewing techniques are used. The County list on Page 3 indicates the CATV households controls. In ceriain markets which meet Arbitron criterta. racial and/or ethnic characteristics are also considered when establishing weights.
Cums Householes - For each reported Home Miarket Station, an estimate of the number of difierent Teievision Households that view at least once during the average week for five continuous minutes or more during the reported day-part. This is an unduplicated or cumutative estimate of circulation. The estimate is based on viewing within the TSA only.
Effective Sample Ease (ESB) - The computed size of a simple random sample vihich, it selected. would provide the same siandard error as the actual sampling plan on which a survey result is based. The statistical reliability of audience estimates depends only irdirectly on the number of otaries tabutated and is aiso subject to all of the factors described in the seclion of this report entitled Linvitations. (See sedarase publication provided
iefievision report subscribers entitied Description of Melhooiology.j
twine County - The county in which a station's city license is located. (See Kietro Rating Area.)
Households Using Teievision (HUT - The estimated percent of television households with at least one teievision set turned on for five minutes or more during an average quarter hour, as reported for the ADI, Metro or Home County.

In-Tab Sampie - The nurnber of Television Households, or persons within those householdis, whose redorted viewing is tabulated in producing the report In-Tab Sample includes television households which returned diaries and selected Planned-No-Viewing Households.
Metro (Or Horte County) Rating Arez (MRA) - Metro Rating Areas, where applicable, generally correspond to Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas as defined by the U.S. Government's Office of Management and Eudget, subject to exceptions dictated by historical indusiry usaģe and other marketing considerations such as channel allocations. (home-malket MRA counties are indicated in the listing by an " $M$ " preceding the county name.) Where there is no defined ADI, ratings may be shown for the Horne County of the station's city of license. The Home County is incticated in the listing by an "H". preceding the county name.
fiulth-Sbl Peneirationt - Arbitron reports penetration estimates of households with more than one television set for each survey area within the market, based on information oblained in the diary placement interview.
Nat Vieakly Circulation - An estimate of the nurrber of unduplicated Television Households which viewed a station for at least five contrivous minutes at leasi once durng the week. This estimate is reported as Cume: Householes in Column 26 of the Sign-on/Sion-cif day-part.
Ketwork Averages - The average weekly audience to network programmung re borter for eight noy-parts. These estimates are Dased on network carriage by homemarket stelions only.

Ma! Sample Size - The number of estimated Television Househotos origmally drawn for the survey.
Planned-No-Viswing Houssholds - Television housenolds which incicated at the time of the diary placement interview that no teievis!en viewing would
occur during the survey week. and which did not return a usable diary. (S. separato publication provided to all television report subscribers entitlec Description of Methodology.)

PVT \{Persons Viewing Television) - The total number of persons by sex-i group viewing all television in the ADI, reported as a percent of the iotal number of ADI persons in each demogradhic category. This estimate incluc viewing to both reported stations and to non-reporied stations, which inclus stations whose audiences were below the minimum reporing standards al stations which were not tester.
Percentage Distribution - The share of Television Househotes viewir a home-market station, reported for each day-part within specified survey are
Projection - The expansion of sample statistics to household or poputatic information in the respective universe. Estimates of persons viewing a specific sex-age group are projected ard then roundeg te the neare: thousand. A projection of less than 500 persons ior a specific eategory w not de printed; this blanik is not intended to ampiy that no viewing occurte
Quarter-Hour Audience - A projected es!mate of the uncupica:ec audience having viewed a station for a mintmum of five minutes with a specific quarter-hour. These quarter-hour total audiences when combir. into larger time periods hecome Average Quarter.Hour Audiences.
Reing - The estimated percent of television mousenclds, or persons witn!: those households, tuned to a particular station for five minutes or m-ore dur an average quarter-hour of the reported time period for the ADI. Ne:ro or Home County. If the rating is estimated to be less than $0.5 \%$ for a tirne period, the space is left blank; this blank is not intended to impiy that no viewing occurred.

Reiative Standard Error Thresholds - The thresholds indicate the approx. mate degree of sample variations in the audience estimates reported. Thresholds are shown for two levels of relative error: 25-49 percent and 50 percent plus. One Standard Error (1SE) is used in the calculation of itiresr. olas. (See separate pubiication provided to all television report subscrite entitled Description of hiethodology.)
Satellite Station - A station thet duplicates some or all of the programming of a parent station in orcier to serve an arsa not normally reschec. and win: is assignsd soparaie call letters and charne! number sy tho FC.C. In its regulaty issued Telexision hrartst Reporis. Abition combues ine audience of "satellite" stations whth those of the "parent" station under certan cor ditions. See separate publication prowided to all television report subscrioe: entitled Descripiton ci ivethuoology.)
Share - The percentage of the total Houscholds Using Television (HUT) reached by a station during a specified time. It is possible for the sum o: the shares to exceed $100 \%$. All shares of $100 \%$ or greater will appear as " 99 .
Television Households (TV KHi) - An Arbitron estimate of the number of households having one or more television sets.
TOT (Totals) - The sum of estimated viewing in the Total Survey Area to $E$ reported stations, by households and by demographic cateoones. This "Toti does not include viewing to stations whose audiences were beiow the mur mum reporting standards or 10 stations which were not tested.

Total Households $\rightarrow$ An updated estimate tased on 1970 census provideat Market Statistics, Inc. These estimates are projected to January 1, 1978 a: include households on multary installations.

Tctal Survey Area (TSA) - A geographic area comprising those counties I which, Dy Arbitron estimates. approximately $98 \%$ of the net weekiy clicui, tion of commercial home marnet starions ceccurs. All TSA Estimates are reported in thousands.

UHF Penefration - Arbitron reports estimates of UHF Denetralion in the TSA. the ADI and Wetro of all Metro markets, TSA and ADI of all nori-metrc markets, and the TSA of all non-ADI markets. These estimates are beseo on the in-tab diary sample.
Universs - All television households located in the specitied eiea.
Working Woman - A temale age $18+$ who works outside the horte 30 cif more hours per week.
 of Broaecast Fesearch Termis, " published by the Mablonei asscuenor ci Erosdcasters, 1774 NStred, A.W'. Washington, D.C. 20030, anta Arbiron "Description of hieithodolgoy."

This toport is a compilation of televiston autience estumates ior this market. The esturnates are vased on intermation supplied by televistion nousehoids over the period of the samnle survey. The households were selecteo by computer from local telenhone directory sources. All television audience estimates re approximations subiect to stalisícal varialions -tated to sample sizes
Arditron uses one-week family viewing diaries to gating information for lelevision audience reports. Information, such as colur-set and multi-set ovinershik. is also gathered by telephone at the time of the diary placement interview. Diaries are printed in Spanish for placement with tamilies who indicate preference for a Spanish language diary.

A methodology descriplion is provided in this report. A more detalled descriction of Arbitron methodology may be found in a separate dublication, Descript:on of Methodiology. If any specific details are not completely clear, furiher expianation will be furnishec on recuest.

Arbitron clients who also receive survey data on EDP tape srould note that the estimates on the tase are in somewhat differeni form than reported in thas book.

## Estimates Reported by Section

Day-Part kudience Summary -- Average avanter-hour audience estimates are summiarized for each station by standard Gay-part segments. The day-part groupings in Centrat and Mouritain limie zone markets differ slightly Irom those in Eastem end Pacific time zones to better represent those times which are normally devoted to nelwork and local programming. Station estimates in inis summary are based on the quarterhour periods that each stavion was on the air curing the specified cay-par. Periods in which off-atr technical ditficulties occur are riot included in station. averages. Estimates for stations on the air for less time than the station tetecasting the most quarter. nours during trie period are so designated by a double asterisk (**). Becaust Arbitron viewing estimates are ;abulared only for inose time periods betwesn G.OCAM and 2:00AM. "Sign-on" and "Siọnffi" ay-fars do not include felecasts pror to or aiker ese nours: (") separate pubucation proviced to all tetevision report subscribers entitled Description ai Methociology.)
Notwork Frogram Ayerages - These averages include only network c :ogram quarter-hour audiences cartied on home stations with all locai or syndicated programming eliminated.
Weekly Progremming and Tima Period Averages Average quaner-nour audience estimates are reported for each station for each night of the week. from 4:00PM to 200JAM (local timei. and for all day Saturday and Sunday. For the Monday-Fritay period, estmates are reported as five-day zverapes. Station estimates are based on the quaner-hou: periods that each station was on the air during the specified time period. Periods in which off-eır technical difficulties occur are not included in stalion averages.
The Weekly Programming and Time Period Averages section is arranged 25 follows: The time period is !isted. tollowed by the station call letters and the firsi week's first quarter-hour progrem title. If the ille is the same for ariy other quarter-hour in any week of the survey it will not be repeated. Dif. terent program tities appear on the lines iolloding with a maximum oi sighi titie lines jer station. If there is more than one titie for a siation during a lirie period a four-week average line follows the title lines. The weekly A.DI rating(s) icr a given program appear in the appronnate week's rating column. If the irst anc-second quarter-hour of a tall-hour time period have difierent progrernming. iwo ADI woekly ratings are shown for the adpropnate week, cne representing each quarter-hour.

| Wennesnay 6307 ROPM | WK 9 | WK 2 | WK. 3 | VWK 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WAAM |  |  |  |  |
| Bracy Eurien | 6 |  |  |  |
| News |  | 0 | 8 |  |
| Gaskolball |  |  | 10 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

A. dash $(-)$ in one of these weekity rating colurnns indicates inct the riogrant did not acneve a report. atie rating. A. biant. in one oi incese wedely raino columns incinites tha: the staturn was riot on the air.

To qualify for individual weekly reporting. a minımum of 70 in-tab households must be achieved in each of the survey weeks. In makets willi smaller samptes only multi-week average estimates are redorted and an asterisk ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) will appear in the weekly coiumns. On each title line, after the weekly ratino(s). the time period averages are redorted. For those programs telecast two or more weeks dunng a ume denod. complete household and oemographic intormation is reported. For those programs telecas: only once in a time period, in those markets with weekly ADI ratings, the following is reponed:

ADI TV Household Ratinos and Shares
ADI Ratings for Tetal Men anJ Total Women
Metro TV+Household Ratings and Shares
TSA TV Houscholds. Total Men and Total Women
For those programs telecast only once in a time period in markels without weekly ratings. no estimates are revorited. The four-keek average ine includes combleie nousenold and demográphic es. limates which arc averages toi a!l weeks of the survey.
Program thties are requested on a time-formatteo. pre-prinieこ log formi from eazn commercial station. See separate publication provided to all television repon subs arbers entulled Descnition of Aferhoaology.
Station Break Averaçes - Audiences reported are averages of two quarter-hours. The time listed is the station oreak llme between the two ouarter-nours ircluded in the average. Periods in which off-air technical difficulties occur are not included in station averages.
Program Audiences - Average quarter hour estimates are reported tor those programs which mee: certain qualifications. All quarter-hours of a oualifying program telecast by Home Market or ourside Class I station dunng the multiple-week survey are inciuded. The number of quarter-hours on which the averages are based is shown in the report. To qualify for inclusion a program must have been telecast at least one quarter-hour on the same cay during each of iwo survey weeks. The prooram is redorted in the Pro gram Audiences section even if it was catriec at dilferent times, as tone as the proprain was on the same caiendar day of the weers involved le.g.. first Wednesday at 5:00pM and third Wednesday at 8:00 PMi. Only full program quarter-hours are incluged.

Accumulation of quatter-hour estımates is Dased on program title. Programs of one quarter-nour duration shown two or more times during the same day and also on different weeks will be averaged together and reported in the section.
Time Pericds and Programs Reported - Since Aroitron does not have individual day titites for programs telecast prior to 3:30Pin Monday through Friday, programs must quality within the Arbition time Irames. Thus. a movie telecast each day. Monday-Friday 2:30PM4:30PM is recorted as two drograms with a werghted average of the two programs. The first is a Monday. Fnday average of the 2:30-3:30 portion of the movie. The second is an average of the indivioual days (Monday inrougn Friday) from 3:30 to 4:30 combined with the Monday. Friday $2: 30$ to $3: 30$ portion of the program. The resulting final program average (see example: Delow) incluces all quarter-hours the program weas telecast, everi though it began prior to the $3: 30 \mathrm{FM}$ break tor individual diay reponing and averaging. The Monday-Friday avorage mus: be contiguous to the 3:30PM time Deriod to be included in the werghted ayerage.

| 2:30PM WAAA |  | No. ol wiss. | $\mathrm{No.of} 1 / \mathrm{Hrs}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -M.F | Movie | 4 | 80 |
| 3:3JPM WIAAA |  |  |  |
| -M F | Movie | 4 | 80 |
| - Mon. | Movie | 4 | 16 |
| -Tue. | movie | 4 | 16 |
| -wed. | Hovio | 4 | 16 |
| -Tru. | Marie | 4 | 16 |
| -Fri | Movie | 4 | 16 |
| Avo. | Movie |  | 360 |

Procrams scheduted more than once weetrly atter 3:30PM are revorted as cally estimetes and as a weekly average it a procrant is te dece.: seven days a week during the survey, two averass : : : e provided The first is a hiveday average of the f.: $-\cdots$. ay through Frriay teiecests anc the second is a suser.Cay average includtrg the monsay througt: fioay telecast. as woll as the Saurcay anc Suriany telecas:s. A E:COPM newsces: would te reponed for each
individual day Monday through Fiicay with a flve day average ( 5 AV ) followed by the Saturuay and Sunday individual days and a seven-day avorage (TAV) which includes all telecasts of the program.

| 6.00PM |  | 6:00PM WRAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Mon. | News | - Sar. |
| - Tue. | News | - Sun. |
| - Wea. | News | TAV. |
| -Thu. | Nows |  |
| - Fri. | News |  |
| 5AV. | News |  | than once weekly, but with varying siart limes appears each fime the program title appears. The average represents all time penods in which the progiam wias telecast. An asterisk (*) preceding a program title indicates that the estimetes for the program are inculuded in an average.

Because viewing estimates are tabuleted oniy for
 Frograrn Audience estimates do not incluce yieving 10 programs prior to $0: 00 A M$ anc atter a.nouk (e.g.. a progrem that Depins at 12.20 Aks and conitnues io 3:004 M would de redonteo only for Ite 12:30 Ak2:00AN period). No piogram averạ̧es are reponted for programs wnich begin atier 1:00AM (local ime) during the survey period.

No singie title of a multi-titled quarter-hour can be exclusively creditizo to a ouarter-hour imme period. Thereiore. no single tille of a multi-thled inarter-nour appears in the Proçram Audiences Section. For the reporting of programaverages of farent + Sateliite combinations, the Parent and Eatellise are assumed to te telecasting the same programs.

## Sampling and Calculations

Sampling theinodology - Survevs for Arbitron Television Market Redorts are accomblished through the use of a gesgraphic unt called an Arbitron Sampling Unit. A sampling unit is normally one county, athough some counties have been civided into twe or more sampling uniss becauss of ponu'atero oistrioution. terrain. or areas in which soecial intentewng ischniques are useci. (There are no instances in which an Arbitron Television Sampling Unit consists of more inan one county. The actual number of cianies piaces in each Sampiing Unit is determined by the oucta estabished for the unit and the rate of return withen Arbitron can reasonably expect based on past placement experience. The total sample is divided into approximately equal weekly segments for dian; placement, and the returns are tabuiated separately for each survey week. For each survey a complete new sample of iamiles is compuier-selected for each samping unit througn the use of a systematic intencal selection tecnnique. These samples of housenolds are drawn dy Metromall. This sarnple se!ection, like all other processes used in developing Arbitron television estimates, is audiled by the Bropacasi Fidtug Council. Instances of hand-drawn sampies are ou:liried in Arbitron's separate pubilcation provided to all television repon subscriters entitledDescripturn of Methovology.
Diary Placament and Retum - Arbitron initialiy semas a letter to sample households informung them of the: selection by computer, and stating that an interviewer will call to request their cooperation in the survey. Interviewers are instructed to contact trie selected sample householes by teledhone to piace ine dartes. interviewers are insiructed to mare at itast ive atlompts to reach every housenoid seiected in the sample in order that everyone in the sample has a reasonable chance of being contacrec. These attempted calls are made at differen! nours ouning the day and eventio. Diaries are then maito directiy to the sample householus trom Arbitron hoadQuarters in Eettsiville, Niaryland. Artursin sends a diary tor each television set in the knowin multh-set nouspriolds. Following the survey, fesuonuents are asieet to mail their arares wack to Arsiren in Bel:swile.

Although explicit instruchons are grovided each interviewer, and mondencent checks are registatiy conducted by interviewer coordmators and ine Aidilron Fieto Operat!ons bepanmerit, there may be instances where such instruesions ate not followed. Specialinlervewing technioues arin pocissmg procedures are employed in cemen morkets to
imnrove representation of centain ethnic groups. See separate publication provided to all te!evision redor subscribers eniltied Description of Methoaoiogy.

Tabulation of Diarigg - All diaries returned to Amitron are not necessanly wsed in tabulating television audience estimates. Among those not used are diaries which are incomplete or maccurate. and those which
rive after the proouction cut-off date. The total jmber of in-tab oiaries may not reach the onginal quota On the other hand. the total may exceed the quota.

Entries in diaries relumed.from multi-set house holds are edited 50 as to provide unduplicated viewing information tor a given housenold. Local time differences within a market which overlaps time zones and time differences caused by seasonal lime changes are accounted for in the results by tabulating all viewing dy a siation's local time relative to the time zone of the market being tabulated.

Arbilion has developed other special ediling procedures for situations where a CATV system is required to protec: a loce: station agansi duplication of its proograms brougnt in oy the CATV system trom cther sources. These special ediling proceaures are described in Arbiron's separate publication nrovided tciall teievisicn report subscnibsrs entitled Description of Methodology.

Projection of Ausience - Disry data for basic viewing categories are projected ior each quarter-hour by a technique which assigns a value in terms of households andioi persons to each in-tab diary. The value assigned is reterred to as the housenolds-per-dian' value or HPDV. Selected planned-no-viewing households are included in the calculation of HPDV.
Projections derived using the HPDV are then summed across weighting cells and sampling units in each survey area (ivetro. ADI and TSA) and gathered by varteus time periods for reporting. Individual survey variations in prolected sexiage populations are siabilized for all survey perlods for each year ending in September. Audiences thus carculated may be reported for basic date categones or for combinations thereot: aepending on the survey area. they may be reporied as profections or converted
$\therefore$ oratings. See separate publication providec to all
evision report subscribers entitied Description of inetnodology.

Hoturned-Sample-Weighting - Arbirori exercises sample controls Dy calculating HPDV's taking into account the following: counry, special interviewing technique areas. survey week. CATV nousenolds. and the age of the tiead of the housenold. In certain markets which meel Arbition criteria, racial aindor ethnic charactenstics of tive housenold are also considered. See separate publication provided to all television report subscribers entitled Description of Methodology.

## Criteria for <br> Feporting Stations

In order to report the maximum amount of viewing in any given Arbitron-defined television market, the cnteria for reporting stations are apolied eacn time the market is surveyed. The viewing levels of stations located outside of the miarket, as veell as home stations, are examined to deternine whether any qualify for inclusion in the recort. Arbitron riormally tests more stations thien usually qualify.

Criteria are established according to station location anci audience size. The minimum reporting standards are:
A. Homa Station: any station losated within the Metro, Home County and/or AD! of the market being reported.

1. A Mome Siation is included in the report is it delivers a 10 in Wei Weekly Circulation based on the Tc:ai Eundey Area and also has a minimum of sou nouseholos per averaģe quaner-nour or
2. If it detwers $\%$ of the Wietre television housenotis far Home County television housenotd iri a rion-ADI marketl for at least 30 repor:cu alarter-hour segments; or
3. It it oelivers $1 \%$ of the Mietroterviston housenolds (oir Hoirte County television housenulds in a ron-ADl mamelf for at least
$8 \%$ of lis broadcast time.
These criteria are applied to data encompassed from sign-on to sigmoll! Sunday througn Saturday, for each station Quallied ETV Stations appear in the Day-Part Summary section only.
B. Outsido Station: any station which is reported as a Home Station in another television market is an Outside Station and is classed accoreing to difterentaminimum reporting criteria:
4. Classioutside Stailon
a. Must attain a $10 \%$ share of total ratings for 4:00PM 10.1:004M. Sunday through Saturday, based on the ADI for Hisme County in a non-A[I market): or
b. Must attain a Metro rating of 5 for at least 100 quarter:hours. or for at least $20 \%$ of its programmed air tirie.
If a station qualifies for Class $I$. all data related
to the fhetro and ADI will be reported in all
sections of the report except the ADI Pating Tiends.
5. C!assil Outsicie Station
a. Must antarn an averâge rating of "one" for 4:00fk to 1:00AK. Sunaay through Satursay. based on the ADi for home County in a non-ADI market): or
b. Must cltain an average ADI for Home County in a non-A.D! market) rating of 1.5 for the eariy and tale fringe time periods (PNonday-Friday 5:00-7:30PM and 11:00Ph.1:00AM. Eastern Timel.
If a station qualified for Class II. Metro and
ADI data will be reported in the Day-Part Summary section only.
For complete data on outside stations, see the respective home market reports.
C. Satollite Station - Arbitron recognizes two classes of satellites:
6. S-1 Satellites - These are satellites which duplicate the prograrnming of parent staticn in its entirety, carry no other programming from any other source, and at all times telecast programiling which is idenitical to that of the purent. Audiences of such satellites are always reforted in combinailon with those of the parent in the parent's market redort.
7. S. 2 Satallites - These are satellites that duplicate most. but not all. of the parent station's programming. Audiences of such saiellites and the parent are snown on iwo lines in the parent's markel redort. The tirst line shows the audience of the parent atone. and the second line snows the combined audience of parent and sateliters) together. When programming is identical on boith stations, the symool (SP) will appear as part of the program title to represent Same Programming. When programming differs, the symbel (DF) will afpear as part of the program titie to represen! Different Programming. However, (a) when an S - 2 satellite is located in a markel olner than the nome market of the $\mathrm{S}-2$ 's parent station, and (b) when at least one non-satellite station is located in the same market as the $\mathrm{S}-2$ ssitellite. the avolence of the satellte aione is shown in the market repor of the non-satellite station. In these ins:ances, the aublences of such satellites are not cornbined viin those of the parentin the parent's fome market report.

## Limitations

In addition to the sources of possitle errors which are descriteed e: sewnere in ints Dook, the user should be aware of the fimitations describsd below:
A. The sample is orawn only from households listed in teledhone directories which eliminates nomtelepnone nouseholes ana telepmone house: oids nol listed in the directory. Comererciat estrim sh ments lisiec in the directon: are specillesily excludeafrom the sample inouseriulas un miaidry installations as well as.stubents and restierits al educationai and other instlutiens may o: may no: bo tisied in the local telemhone drectory. Alltele prone directones may no: have beeri ho:ated and inclucied ir the hist prepared Dy pietroman, which is useo as Artation's sample fame.
8. Non-responding households may have scme ettect on the survey results to the extent that tre telk vision viewing habits of non-responcents d:te? from those of respondents. Similarly. the vewing hatits of non-telephone nous $\in$ holds or those not listed in teledhone arrectories may vary ire? those of households which have telephones and are listed in directories.
C. Non-responding houserolds in the originat designated sample prevent the "in-tab $N$ households" from being a prodability sambie
D. The sample design andior resdonse datie:ns (including those markets where soecial ciary placement and/or viewing data retreval are utilized) may preclude proper represemtano o: certaln groups within the doculalion sucn as ethnic groups, housenotos in certain !ow-incortie loweducation groups or househods whose prim, language is other than Enç:!sh. Such nousencios may not de fullyreoresentid in reponted aualences because usable diaries mar not wo obtaned from them. These tacto:s may de significant to the exient inat terevision se: ownership and/or viswing hajuts of these cicues difter irom those of other groups.
E. Data from Bureau of the Census. Adventstre Research Foundation, ard Market Stalisiics. ire. are subject to detects and limitations sucn es samping, processing, and recoranc ertors. Ir addition, for those years between jecennal census dates. Census data are baseo upen asarne which is significantly smaller in most regiens !n:2n that employed by Arbitron: ano Market Siatis!ics, Inc. utilizes publisheo government ingures in estimating podulation for indiviouaicounties. These defects and limitations in oata from gureau of the Census. Advertising Research Founculuon and Market Statistics, Inc. are innerent in Aro:tron estimates based thereon.
F. Diames, or ponions thereot. mav de compieted improperly if the diary insiructions are not understood or are nol followed. Sucn oiares may theredy be excluced irom the survey.
G. Some diary entries may have been mace on the thasis of hearsay, recall, the estmates of the जiar kerper or could have deer influeicer dy comments made by the interviewer to survey participants.
H. It is dossibie that human and compuler processine errors may occur after the diaries are received at Arbitron headauarters. Consequenlly. the degree of variance in the data may be greate: than that expected from sampiing variation aione.

1. The podulation data upon which Aroutron has based its Sample Weighting may not be precise.
J. Logical analysis and pre-processing predaretion of the data may affect somie of ine dary viewing entries before the data are prolectec.
K. Arbitron conducts research invoiving new meinocs of improving television housenoras ccoceration and/or securing additional informancon irom sampia households. Ciecasionally a ponton of th:s research may be pertormed (on very umited dasis: in conjunction with the actual surveys, end wrin so done. may cause the degree of variance iri hie data to be greater than that expected rom sampling vartation alone.
$L$ To the extent that any provisions contaned in this section, "iimitewors," are miconsisient or conllict with ariy orowsions contaned an the "Special Nutices" on page 5 or iristepon. surn spesial notices should bedeemes to supersene andior amend this section of trie repor.

## Retention of Raw Riaterials

Retention Schoculo - In-iab aromron viewnạ cieries used for tr:e cornoutation of the zuchence estirnatas published in this report witi te storas and usec :or cross tabulations for eleveri mionhs from ne cies. date of the surver anc then costrover asono wite a unusabia diarles. Suwscridors in inis reactate adased tret if spectaicross-tapulations st the reporied estmates are deblec. iney sioncide ordered oftore the retention perice has eximed.

 (prog to the destruchion theteot) at Arditons Belteville office.

Appendix D
Broadcast Advertising Reports Brand Products
Within Product Categories

## PRODUCT CLASS CODES

Al 31
D121
F115
F122A
F122B
Fl33
F142A
F142B
F142C
F162
F163A
F163B
F172
F211A
F211B
F211C
F212
F221A
F221B
F223
F300
G440
G450
V234
8888
9999

FOOTWEAR
DENTAL SUPPLIES
DESSERTS AND DESSERT INGREDIENTS
highly sugared cereals
OTHER CEREALS
ICE CREAM
fRESH FRUIT
RAISINS
CANNED FRUIT
CAKES, PIES AND PASTRIES
COOKIES
CRACKERS
FRUIT JUICES
CANDY
REGULAR GUM
SUGARLESS GUM
appetizers, snacks and nuts
regular carbonated beverages
diet carbonated beverages
NON-CARBONATED BEVERAGES
BEER, WINE AND MIXERS
BICYCLES
TOYS, GAMES AND HOBBYCRAFTS
RESTAURANTS AND DRIVE-INS
ALL OTHER FOOD AND BEVERAGES
ALL OTHER NON-FOOD PRODUCTS
Al31 FOOTWEAR
Buster Brown Shoes
Converse Footwear
Etonic Gold Shoes
Famolare Sport Shoe
Florsheim Shoes
Foot Joy Golf Shoe
Fred Perry Tennis Shoes
Freeman Shoes
Hush Puppies Shoes
Keds Footwear
Ked Footwear Jets
Keds Footwear Little Sports
keds Footwear ..... 360
Kinney Shoes
Kinney Shoes Regional
Levi Shoes
Naturalizer Shoes
Pro-KFD Footwear
Royal Tennis Shoes
Spotbilt Footwear
Stride Rite Shoes
Thom Mcan Shoes
D121 DENTAL SUPPLTES
Aim Toothpaste
Close up TIHPST Mouthwash
Colgate Dental PDTS FRML MFP CRM
Crest Toothpaste
Gleem Ibothpaste
Macleans Toothpaste
Pearl Drops Toothpaste
Pepsodent Toothpaste
Reach Toothbrush
Ultrabrite
FII5 DESSERTS \& DESSERT INGREDIENTS
Betty Crocker Food
Frosting Mix
Betty Crocker Food
RDY SPRD FRSTNG
Birds Eye Food
Cool Whip Topping
D-Zerta Gelatin Dessert
Dream Whip Topping Mix
Hunt Food Snack Pack
Jello Food American Desserts
Jello Food Gelatin
Jello Food Pudding
Nestle Fond Morsels
Phillsbury Food
Frosting Supreme
Swiss Miss Food Pudding
F122A HIGHLY SUGARED CEREAL
Captain Crunch Crunchberry Cereal
Captain Crunch
Peanutbutter Cereal
Captain Crunch Regular Cereal
Captain Crunch Various Cereal
Cocoa Puffs Cereal
Cookie Crisp Cereal
Crazy Cow Cereal
Freakies Cereal
General Mills Monster Cereals
Golden Grahams Cereal
Golden Grahams Cereal Regional
Grins \& Smiles Cereal
Kellogg Food
All Brand Bran Buds
Kellogg Food Apple Jacks
Kellogg Food
Corny Snaps Cereal
Kellogg Food
Cracklin Bran Cereal
Kellogg Food Froot Loops
Kellogg Food
Frosted Mini Wheats
Kellogg Food Frosted Rice
Kellogg Food Sugar Com Pops
Kellogg Food
Sugar Frosted Flakes
Kellogg Food Sugar Snacks
Lucky Charm Cereal
Moonstones Cereal
Post Food Alpha Bits
Post Food Honeycombs
Post Food Pebbles
Post Food Super Sugar Crisp
Trix Cereal
Fl22B OIHER CEREAL
C. W. Post Cereal
Cheerois Cereal
Cream of Wheat Regular Cereal
Kellogg Food Corn Flakes
Kellogg Food Product 19
Kellogg Food Raisin Bran
Kellogg Fooá Rice Krispies
Kellogg Food Special K
Kellogg Food
Toasted Mini Wheats
Kellogg Food Various Cereals
Buc Wheats Cereal
Iife CerealNabisco Food Shredded WheatNabisco Food Team Flakes
Nature Valley FoodGranola Cereal
Post Food Grape Nuts
Post Food Raisin Bran
Quaker Food Instant Oatmeal
Ralston Purina Chex Cereal
Total Cereal
wheaties Cereal
F133 ICE CREAM \& SHERBETS
Borden Food Ice Cream
Tastee-Freez Regional
F142A FRESH FRUIT
Cling Peach Promotion
Washington State Apples
F142B RAISINS
Calif Raisin Adv
F142C CANNED FRUITT
Dole Food Canned Pineapple
Del Monte Food Canned Fruit
Libby Food Canned Fruit
Ocean Spray Food
Cranberry Sauce
F162 CAKES, PIES, PASTRIES
Dolly Madison Bakery Cakes
Dolly Madison Bakery Donuts
Dolly Madison Bakery Regional
Hostess Bakery Cakes \& Pies
Kellogg Food Pop Tarts
Morton Food Donuts
Mrs. Smiths Pies
Mrs. Smiths Pies Regional
Pepperidge Farm Frozen Cake
Pillsbury Food Refrig Pastry
Sara Lee Food Frozen Cakes
Sara Lee Food
Light\&Luscious Dssrt
FI63A COOKIES
Nabisco Food Fig Newtons
Nabisco Food Chips Ahoy
Nabisco Food
Nutterbutter Cookies
Nabisco Food Oreo Cookies
F163B CRACKERS
Nabisco Food
Bacon N Dip Crackers
Nabisco Food
Cheese Swirl Crackers
Nabisco Food Ritz Crackers
Nabisco Food Triscuits Snacks
Nabisco Food Wheat Thins
F172 FRUIT JUICES
Beechnut Baby Food Fruit Juice
Florida Citrus Juices
Minute Maid Food Frozen Orange Juice
Minute Maid Food Iemon Juice
Ocean Spray Food Regional
Ocean Spray Food
Various Juices
Realemon Lemon Juice
Treesweet PDTS Regional
Tropicana Bev Fruit Juice
F211A CANDY
Brachs Candy
Charm Candy Pops
Forever Yours Candy Bar
Hershey Food Candy Bar
Kit Kat Candy
Kraft Food Candy
Kraft Food Wrapples Carmel Slices
Life Savers PDI'S Life Savers
Life Savers PDTS Lollipops
Life Savers PDTS Regional
M\&S Candies Plain/Peanut
Marathon Candy Bar
Mars Candy Almond Bar
Milky Way Candy
Mr. GoodBar Candy
Nestle Food Chocolite
Nestle Food Crunch Bar
Nestle Food Mini Bars
Nestle Food 100000 Candy Bar
Peter Paul Candy
Mounds\&Almond Joy
Peter Paul Candy Regional
Peter Paul Candy Whistle Pops
Reese Food Peanut Butter Cups
Snickers Chocolate Peanut Bar
Starburst Candy
Sugar Babies Candy
Three Musketeer Candy
Tootsie Roll Candy
Flavor Rolls
Tootsie Roll Candy
Toosie Pop Drop
Toosie Roll Candy
Tootsie Roll Pops
Tootsie Roll Candy
Toosie Rolls
Tootsie Roll Candy Various
Trog-Lo-Dytes Candy
F2ilB REGULAR GUM
Candy \& Gum
Beechnut Gum Fruit Stripe
Bubble Yum Bubble Gum
Dentyne ..... Various
Freshen-Up Gum
Wrigley Gum Spearmint
F211C SUGARLESS GUM
Carefree Gum
Candy \& Gum
Tri Dent Products Sugarless Gum\%
F2l2
APPETIZERS, SNACKS \& NUTS
Cracker Jack Food Confection
Crunchola Snack Bar
Doritos Chips
Doritos Chips Regional
Fla-Vor-Ice
Frito Snacks Corn Chips
Frito Snacks
NTRL Style Pot CHPS
Icee Ice Confection
Jiffy Pop Popcorn
Lays Potato Chips
Lays Potato Chips Regional
Nature Valley Food
Grandla Bars
Orville Redenbacher Food
Popcorn
Planter Food Nuts
Pop-Ice
Pringles Potato Chips
Ruffles Potato Chips Regional
F221A REGULAR CARBONATED SOFT DRINKS
Coco Cola
Dr. Pepper Bev Regular
Mountain Dew Soft Drink
Pepsi Cola
Royal Crown Bev Regular
Schwepples Beverages
Seven Up Beverages Regular
Shasta Beverages RegularSprite Beverage Regular
DIET CARBONATED SOFT DRINKS ..... 5748
Diet Pepsj. Cola
Diet Rite Beverages ..... $-6-$
Dr Pepper Bev Sugar Free
Fresca Soft Drink
Seven Up Beverage ..... Sugar Free
Tab Beverage Diet
NON-CARBONATED SOFT DRTNKS
Borden Prize Drink Mixes
Country Time Drinks
Powdered Mix
Funny Face Beverage Mix
Gatorade Bev Regular
Hawaiian Punch PDTS CNND Punch
Hawaiian Punch PDTS
PWDRD DRNK MiX
HI C PDTS Fruit Drinks
Kool Aid Beverage Mix
Minute Maid Food Lemonade
Squoze Drink Mix
Myler Food Drink Mixes
BEEER, WINE AND MTXERS
Andeker Beer
Anheuser BUSCH PDTS
NIRL Lite Beer
Buałweiser Beer
Budweiser Beer Regional
Colt 45 Malt Liquor
Coors Beer Regional
Hamms Beer Regional
Heileman Beer Regional
Lite Beer
Lowenbrau Beer
Michelob Beer
Michelob Beer Regional
Miller High IIfe Beer
Old Milwaukee Beer
Olympia Beer Regional
Pabst Beer
Schaefer F\&M Corp Regional
Schlitz Beer
Schlitz Beer Regional
Schlitz Light Beer
Schlitz Malt Liquor
Schmidts Beer Phila Regional
Stroh Beer Regional
Tuborg Beer
Tuborg Beer Regional
Andre Wines
Bolla Wine
Cella Wine
Gallo Wines
Giacobazzi Wine
Manischewitz Wine
Marinin \& Rossi Wine
Paisano Wine
Riunite Wine
Sonoma Vineyards Wine Regional
Yago Santgria Wine
G440 BICYCLESSchwinn Pdts Bicycles
G450 GAMES, TOYS \& HOBBYCRAFT
Atari Pong Game
Aurora AFX Cars \& Sets
Aurora
Railmaster Redball Express
Aurora Screecher Race Sets
Batter Up Toy
Child Guidance Toys
Coleco Action Sport Games
Coleco Holly Hobbie
Coleco Pinball Games
Coleco Swimming Pools
Colorform Stick On Toys
Crayola Craft Art Kit
Crayola Crayons
Fisher-Price Toys Pre School Toy
Gabriel Lone Ranger\&ACCS
Gabriel Othello Game
Gabriel Pop A Matic GMS
Gabriel Prof NOD\&The Turtle Games
Gabriel Wannabees Doll
Gabriel Chutes Away Game
Gum Ball Banks Toy
HASBRD Charlies Angles \& ACCS
HASBRO Gi Joe Adventure Sets
HASBRO Lite-Brite
HASBRO Mr Potato Head
HASBRO Play \& Make Kitchen Center
HASBRO Richochet Racer
Honda Kick \& Go Scooter
Ideal Battling Spaceship
Ideal Big Shot Game
Ideal Breaking Point Game
Ideal Dorothy Hamill\&ACCSRS
Ideal Electroman Doll
Ideal Evel Knievel
Ideal Flip Your Iid Game
Ideal Jaws Game
Ideal Junk yard Game
Ideal Mighty MD'S
Ideal Mouse Trap Game
Ideal Mr Muscle Game
Ideal R-R-R-Raw Power
Ideal Rub-A-Dub Dolly\&ACCS
Ideal Star Team CLICIN
Ideal TCR Total Control Racing
Ideal Thumbelina Doll
Ideal Tin Can Alley
Ideal Tippy Tumbles Doll
Ideal Talking TDY BR\&TOOT A 100
Ideal Toss Across Game
Ideal Tripple Up Game
Ideal TuesdayTaylor\&ACCSRYS
Ideal Up Against Time Game
Ideal Whistling Mr Machine
Ideal Winning Ticket Gamé
Illco Toys Mickey Ms RLLR CSTR
Kinner Aerial Aces Target Game
Kenner Baby Alive Doll\&ACCSSRY
Kenner Baby Heart Beat
Kenner Baby Worn't Let Go
Kenner Bionic Woman\&PDTS
Kenner Close N Play Phonograph
Kenner Easy Bake Oven \& Sets
Kenner Escape/Death STR Game
Kenner Girden\&Panel Bldg Set
Kenner Ice Bird
Kenner Milky MEVLS MLKG COW
Kenner
Oscar Goldman \& Acessry
Kenner Sit N Spin
Kenner
Six Million Dollar Man PDTS
Kenner
Six Million Dollar MN\&DSCR GLD
Kenner SoapSTER
Kenner Spectrograph
Kenner Spiro-Graph PDTS
Kenner SSP Proaucts
Kenner Star Wars Figures
Kenner Stretch Toys
Kenner Tree Tots Family \& ACCSRYS
Kenner TIP Products
Kenner Turn On Game
Lego Toys
Lionel Toys
Power Passers Race Set
Lionel Toys Trains
Lionel Toys Trains \& Trucks
Marx Big Wheel
Marx Cats Eye Game
Marx Cosmic Robots
Marx Flying Furies
Marx Green Machine Racer
Marx Monster Mania
Marx Popcorn Game
Marx Shooting Gallery
Marx Sky Heroes
Marx Super Shot Racers
Marx Wiz Wheel
Mattel Baby Come Back Doll
Mattel Baby Thataway
Mattel Donny \& Marie PDIS
Mattel Electronic PDTS
Mattel Flying Aces
Mattel Fun O Clock
Mattel Honey Hill Bunch Dolls
Mattel Hot Wheels
Mattel Hub Bub PDTS
Mattel Power Shifters PDTS
Mattel Pulsar Man
Mattel Putt Putt Toys
Mattel See $N$ Say
Mattel Sew Perfect
Mattel Sho Gun Warriors
Mattel Sizzler Race Set
Mattel Sunshine Family Toys
Mattel Tender Love
Mattel Tender Love \& Kisses
Mattel The Slime
Mattel Tuff Stuff Toys
Mattel Various Toys \& Games
Mattel Vertibird
Mattel World of Barbie
Mego Baby Needs You Doll
Mego C B McCall PDTS
Mego Cher Doll \& Accessories
Mego Farrah Doll \& ACCESS
Mego Happy Days PDTS
Mego Micronauts
Mego Star Trek PDTS
Mego Super Heroes \& ACCSRY
Ohio Art Drag Race Sets
Ohio Art Drag Race Sets
Ohio Art Etcha Sketch
Ohio Art Lil Sport Toys
Ohio Art Walker Farm
Parker Birds Code Name Sector
Parked Bros Dungeon Dice Game
Parker Bros Gambler Game
Parker Bros Gnip Gnop Game
Parker Bros Hot Tomato Game
Parker Bros
Nerf Pre School Toys
Parker Bros Nerf Sport Toys
Parker Bros Pay Day Game
Parker Bros Riveton Model Kit
Parker Bros Sorry Game
Parker Bros Whoozit Game
Parker Bros Worm Wrestle Game
Play Doh Toys \& Games
Playschool Baby Toys
Playschool Bristle Blocks
Playschool Dress Up Dolls
Playschool Puzzletown
Raggedy Anne \& Andy PDTS
Talk Bank
Remco Baby This And That
Remco Bat-A-IVay
Remco Batman \& ACCS
Remco MCKY MSE RNCH/MSE PWR
Remco Star Trek CSF
Remco System 7
Romper Room Digger The Dog
Romper Room
DNCG DN DCK\&MRCH MK ..... MS
Romper Room Mickey Mouse PDTS
Romer Room Weebles\&ACC SRYS
Schaper Ants In The Pants
Schaper Don't Break The Ice
Schaper Don't Spill The Beans
Schaper Finders Keepers
Scaper Playmobil Sets
Schaper
Super Jock Action Games
Schaper U-Drive-It Toys
Silly Putty
Snoops Bubble Pipe\&Tub
Super Hero Power SHLDS
Telestar Games
Tomy Eig Loader CONSTRN Set
Tomy Blip
Tomy Merry Go Toys
Tomy Mr Mouth
Tomy Nuttsy Tennis
Tomy Tuneyville Choo Choo
Tomy Turn $N$ Go Riding Toys
Tonka Toys Cars \& Trucks
Tyco Toys Race Sets
Tyco Toys Train Sets
Wham O Frisbee Toys
Wham O Hula Hoop
Wham O Slip N Slide \& Water WGGL
Wham O Trac Ball
V234 RESTAURANTS \& DRIVE-INS
Big Boy Drive In Rest
Burger King Drive In Rest
Dairy Queen Drive-In RST
Kentucky Fried Chicken
Long John Silvers Rest
McDonalds DRV In RSTRNT
Pizza Hut Restaurant
Wendys Old FSHND HMB RST

## Memorandum

FROM : Carole Christopher
sUBjECT: BAR data

I would recommend that the BAR data be divided into the following food classes:

| F115 | DESSERTS AND DESSERT INGREDIENTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| F122A | HIGHLY SUGARED CEREALS |
| F122B | OTHER CEREALS |
| F133 | ICE CREAM |
| F142A | FRESH FRUIT |
| F142B | RAISINS |
| F142C | CANNED FRUIT |
| F162 | CAKES, PIES AND PASTRIES |
| F163A | COOKIES |
| F163B | CRACKERS |
| F172 | FRUIT JUICES |
| F211A | CANDY |
| F211B | REGULAR GUM |
| F211C | SUGARLESS GUM |
| F212 | APPETIZERS, SNACKS AND NUTS |
| F221A | REGULAR CARBONATED BEVERAGES |
| F221B | DIET CARBONATED BEVERAGES |
| F223 | NON-CARBONATED BEVERAGES |
| F300 | BEER, WINE AND IVIXERS |
| V234 | RESTAURANTS AND DRIVE -INS |
| 8888 | ALI OTHER FOOD AND BEVERAGES |

The general purpose of this classification is to separate out the most highly cariogenic products and the reasons for specific sub-divisions of classes are as follows:

Fl22 is subdivided into highly sugared cereals and all other cereals. Tins was done for the obvious reason that presweetened cereals have increased cariogericity potential.

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Products are classified as presweetened if they contain $30 \%$ of more sugar as an ingredient. MPCA and other industry data, along with various nutrition literature, were evaluated to ascertain the appropriate cut-off percentage. In fact, the common figure appears closer to 20$25 \%$ but $I$ an recommending $30 \%$ as the figure to use since it is indisputably a "highlysugared" product.

Fl4l and Fl42 are classified so as to separate fresh fruits, canned fruits, and, raisins. These are seen as having very different potential cariogenicity. Canned fruits; because of their added sucrose (and/or other sweeteners) and raisins, because of their natural sugar content and "stickiness," are thought to be cariogenic while fresh fruit is considered non- or relatively non-cariogenic.

Fl63 is divided so as to separate cookies and crackers. Some, (in fact many) crackers do have added sugar but others have little or no sugar. It seems inappropriate to include crackers in the same category with a product like cookies that virtually always has relatively high amounts of added sugar.

F211 is divided into three classes: candy, sugared gum, and, sugarless gum. Again, the issue of cariogenicity is at the basis of this subdivision.

F221 is divided into two classes: regular and dietetic carbonated beverages and the distinction is again in the sugar content and potential cariogenicity.

To be on the safe side, where classes are sub-divided, products should be assigned to a new sub-class only if it is known that they belong in that class. For example, if it is not known whether a beverage is sugared or dietetic, even if its assumed to be sugared, it shouldn't be counted as a regular carbonated beverage (which would mean it would be counted as a sugared product). It should be assjgned instead


#### Abstract

to the category "All Other Foods and Beverages." This seems to particularly arise with respect to local spots where products are not so carefully designated as on network commercials. This caution applies to all product classes (i.e., gums, cereals, etc.). I realize that in following this suggestion there will be underreporting of the percentage of advertising devoted to sugared products on children's television but I think it's better to err on the side of conservatism.


## Appendix E
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## Appendix F <br> Network TV Program Tabulation Forms:

Product Category Information
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## Appendix G <br> Network TV Programs Included in Analysis

avecwoik rrograms ıncıuded in Analysis of Chıld Exposure to Network Program and Advertising.

| Program Title |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 要 } \\ & \text { y } \end{aligned}$ |  | TOIALS FOR FEBRIIARY, MAY, TOIALS FOR CAIENDAR YEARAND,NOVEMBER, 1977 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No. of Corm. | Min./ Sec. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Est. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | No. of Carm. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min./ } \\ & \text { Sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Est: } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |
| IBC Friday Night Movise | X | X | - | 211 | 105.3 | 8222.2 | 1259 | 640.3 | 48383.3 |
| HBC Monday Night Movie | X | - | - | 87 | 41.30 | 4472.4 | 221 | 113 | 9456.4 |
| IBC Sunday Night Movie | X | X | - | 214 | 106.30 | 10098.1 | 1308 | 664 | 60560.5 |
| IBC Weekend Special | - | - | X | 21 | 10.30 | 228.0 | 160 | 84.3 | 1551.8 |
| 17ice | - | x | - | 17 | 8.30 | 999.0 | 238 | 122 | 12194.8 |
| 171 in the ?amily | X | X | X | 73 | 36.3 | 4609.9 | 309 | 156 | 17781.2 |
| 111 New prfriends | - | - | X | 55 | 27.30 | 555.9 | 247 | 127.3 | 2497.4 |
| merican <br> zandstand | X | X | - | 166 | 83.0 | 996.0 | 957 | 478.30 | 6050.5 |
| inimals, Animals mimals | X | X | X | 70 | 34.30 | 255.1 | 306 | 158 | 1048.6 |
| rrk II | x | x | x | 68 | 34.00 | 527.6 | 304 | 155.30 | 2181.0 |
| laggy Pants and He Nitwits | - | - | X | 27 | 13.30 | 267.3 | 124 | 64.00 | 1136.7 |
| lang Shang Lala'alooza | - | - | X | 17 | 8.30 | 261.8 | 57 | 31.00 | 800.0 |
| iaretta | X | X | - | 93 | 46.30 | 4450.3 | 508 | 255.30 | 24185.1 |
| :arney Miller | X | X | X | 62 | . 31.00 | 3302.0 | 299 | 152.00 | 14434.0 |
| iatman/Tarzan .Jventure | - | - | X | 55 | 27.30 | 995.5 | 223 | 119.30 | 3568.1 |
| ietty White | - | - | X | 12 | 6.0 | 716.4 | 72 | 36.30 | 4149.7 |
| ) Event | - | X | x | 169 | 84.30 | 8986 | 693 | 356.3 | 32472.9 |
| ig John, Iittle shn | X | X | - | 65 | 32.30 | 422.4 | 260 | 135.30 | 1699.2 |


| Program Title | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 受 } \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { z } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { j } \end{aligned}$ | $z$z品品ju | TOTALS FOR FEBRILARY，MAY， AND NOVEMBER， 1977 |  |  | TOIALS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 1977 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No．of Camm． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min./ } \\ & \text { Sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$Est. } \\ & \text { (DOO) } \end{aligned}$ | No．of Corm． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min./ } \\ & \text { Sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Est. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |
| ionic Wornan | X | X | X | 87 | 43.30 | $41^{144} 4.8$ | 197 | 101.00 | 9852.4 |
| lanskys Beauties | X | X | － | 23 | 11.30 | 908.4 | 66 | 34.00 | 2623.5 |
| ugs Bunny／Road unner | X | X | X | 177 | 88.30 | 1920.3 | 773 | 407.30 | 7005.9 |
| usting Loose | X | － | X | 40 | 20.00 | 1876.0 | 143 | 78.00 | 5955.5 |
| aptain Kıangaroo | x | X | x | 1171 | 585.30 | 2398.9 | 4264 | 2140.00 | 7757 |
| aptain \＆Tennile | X | － | － | 53 | 26.30 | 2129.1 | 114 | 58.30 | 4391.9 |
| arol Burnett | － | X | X | 87 | 43.30 | 4246.8 | 445 | 226.00 | 20258.0 |
| B Bears | － | － | X | 65 | 32.30 | 381.8 | 280 | 145.00 | 1758.5 |
| BS Children＇s ilm Festival | X | X | X | 100 | 50.00 | 587.5 | 390 | 203.00 | 1786.2 |
| yrlie＇s Angels | X | X | X | 166 | 83.00 | 1.6379 .3 | 616 | 308.30 | 33889.4 |
| hico and the Man | x | － | － | 18 | 9.0 | 792.0 | 207 | 105.00 | 8364.9 |
| hips | － | － | X | 35 | 17.30 | 1494.0 | 157 | 81.30 | 5783.9 |
| lue Club | X | X | － | 52 | 26.00 | 416.1 | 245 | 127.30 | 1686.6 |
| ode R | X | － | － | 49 | 24.30 | 1132．8 | 151 | 79.30 | 3711.8 |
| on Ho Show | X | － | － | 236 | 118 | 1319.7 | 522 | 267.00 | 2928.5 |
| onny and Marie | X | X | X | 110 | 55.00 | 4511.61 | 376 | 197.0 | 18494.3 |
| ight is Enough | － | － | X | 78 | 39.0 | 5，510．0 | 335 | 169.30 | 19046.2 |
| mergency | X | － | － | 44 | 22.0 | 1968.0 | 319 | 169.00 | $11652.0{ }^{*}$ |
| amily Feud | － | X | － | 257 | 128.30 | 1138.5 | 2978 | 1500.00 | 17312.8 |
| antastic Journey | X | － | － | 55 | 27.30 | 1764.0 | 127 | 65.00 | 4158.0 |
| ar Out Space Nuts | X | X | － | 60 | 30.00 | 178.0 | 240 | 124.00 | 628.7 |
| st Albert and by Kids | X | X | X | 78 | 39.00 | － 788.9 | 332 | 174.00 | 3075.4 |
| ish | X | x | － | 28 | 14.00 | 1161.6 | 198 | 105.00 | 8107.8 |



| ） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 界 } \\ & \text { 弟 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { ت } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { j } \end{aligned}$ |  | TOIALS FOR FEBRIARY，MAY， AND NOVEMBER， 1.977 |  |  | TOIALS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 1977 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No．of Carm． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min./ } \\ & \text { Sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.Est. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | No．of Comm． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min./ } \\ & \text { Sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Est. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |
| host Busters | － | － | X | 21 | 10．30 | $\begin{aligned} & 147 \\ & 72.8 \end{aligned}$ | 105 | 52.30 | 288.4 |
| ；ong Show | X | x | X | 696 | 348.00 | 3119.3 | 2823 | 1432.30 | 11750.8 |
| ；ood Times | x | X | － | 58 | 29.00 | 2309.4 | 290 | 148.00 | 11568．1 |
| treat Grape Ape | － | － | x | 24 | 12.0 | 125.0 | 123 | 62.30 | 615.0 |
| trizzly Adams | X | x | x | 121 | 60.30 | 5204.0 | 418 | 215.30 | 16142.0 |
| appy Days | x | x | X | 90 | 45.00 | 6096.0 | 302 | 160.30 | 18574.9 |
| appy＇Days aytime | X | X | X | 744 | 372.00 | 3885.1 | 2964 | 1494.3 | 14990.7 |
| ardy Boys／ ancy Drew | X | X | x | 129 | 64.30 | 5266.0 | 485 | 255.00 | 16986．2， |
| ere＇s Lucy | － | X | － | 221 | 110.30 | 1209.5 | 1319 | 665.30 | 6191.0 |
| lison Bros．Raz／ as Show | X | － | － | 25 | 12.30 | 69.2 | 87 | 45.30 | 231.3 |
| Am the Greatest | － | － | X | 29 | 14.30 | 496.0 | 128 | 67.30 | 1907.2 |
| abberjaw | X | x | x | 65 | 32.5 | 506.5 | 302 | 157.00 | 2135.8 |
| he Jacksons | X | － | － | 18 | 9.0 | 635.5 | 35 | 19.00 | 1313.0 |
| ie Jeffersons | x | X | X | 64 | 32.00 | 2814.0 | 270 | 135.30 | 10899.1 |
| ungle Book | － | X | － | 7 | 3.30 | 210.0 | 7 | 3.30 | 210.0 |
| r．Almost Any－ ing goes | X | X | － | 52 | 26.00 | 179.9 | 209 | 108.30 | 700.4 |
| ids from Caper | － | X | － | 35 | 17.30 | 137.9 | 150 | 76.30 | 629.1 |
| cofft Supershow | X | x | x | 178 | 89.00 | 2531.5 | 784 | 410.30 | 10511.7 |
| ady of the Lost | X | x | － | 71 | 35.30 | 539.8 | 261 | 137.00 | 1993.7 |
| averne and iirley | X | X | X | 81 | 39.30 | 5698.1 | 279 | 143.30 | 16605.8 |
| tle House on ze Prairie | X | X | X | 111 | 55.5 | 6054.9 | 510 | 269.00 | 23885.0 |


| Program Title |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岩 } \\ & \text { j } \end{aligned}$ |  | TOTALS FOR FEBRIARY, MAY, AND NOVEMBER, 1977 |  |  | TOTALS FOR CAIENDAR YEAR 1977 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No. of Cam. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min./ } \\ & \text { Sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Est. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | No. of Camm. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min./ } \\ & \text { Sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ Est. } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ |
| jocoby's Laff-Asympics | - | - | X | 121 | 60.30 | 2617.9 | 501 | 269.0 | 10600.7 |
| jearch and Rescue Ilpha Team | - | - | X | 37 | 18.30 | '356.4 | 146 | 77.00 | 1347.2 |
| jecrets of Isis | - | - | X | 27 | 81.0 | 331.2 | 109 | 56.00 | 1135.5 |
| ;hazam/Isis | X | X | - | 121 | 60.30 | 1159.3 | 522 | 273.30 | 4790.8 |
| Six Million Dollar Ien | X | - | X | 95 | 47.30 | 5216.2 | 572 | 295.30 | 27922.1 |
| the Skatebirds | - | - | X | 55 | 27.30 | 778.2 | 236 | 124.00 | 3026. 4 |
| ipace Academy | - | - | X | 27 | 13.30 | 448.0 | 113 | 59.30 | 1594.7 |
| ;pace Ghosts and rankenstein, Jr. | X | X | - | 68 | 34.00 | 455.3 | 289 | 151.00 | 1848.8 |
| ace Sentinels | - | - | X | 34 | 17.0 | 332.5 | 74 | 40.00 | 653.8 |
| ;peed Buggy | X | X | - | 69 | 34.30 | 507.5 | 281 | 149.00 | 2034.4 |
| itarsky and Hutch | x | X | x | 127 | 63.30 | 5719.3 | 632 | 319.30 | 26528. 2 |
| iuperfriends | x | X | - | 44 | 22.00 | 396.7 | 216 | 113.30 | 1860.1 |
| iuperwitch | - | - | x | 15 | 7.30 | 228.8 | 53 | 27.30 | 646.2 |
| iylvester and weety | X | X | - | 58 | 29.00 | 152.6 | 260 | 131.30 | 588.8 |
| rabitha | - | - | x | 18 | 9.0 | 1062.0 | 55 | 28.30 | 2561.7 |
| 'arzan - Iord of he Jungle | X | X | - | 55 | 27.30 | 607.3 | 234 | 125.00 | 2387.5 |
| 'ruree's Company | - | - | X | 27 | 13.30 | 2175.0 | 146 | 75.00 | 8455.7 |
| hunder | - | - | X | 27 | 13.30 | 369.0 | 130 | 69.00 | 1481.0 |
| om and Jerry and umbly Show | X | X | - | 63 | 31.30 | 358.0 | 296 | 148.30 | 1425.1 |
| \% | - | - | X | 20 | 10.0 | 245.7 | 89 | 45.30 | 833.0 |
| he Waltons | X | X | X | 143 | 71.30 | 7199.7 | 587 | 312.00 | 26276.2 |

Network Programs Included in Analysis of Child Exposure to Network Program and Advertizing.


## Appendix H

# Bar Graphs Depicting Selected Advertising and Child Audience Relationships 
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Norton Naedenman Inh.
Presiding Officer
Children's Aavertising riRR No. 215-60

Eunice Dickerson
Legal and Public Pecords

Please add to LI-52 the attached letter dated March 15, 1979, with its attached corrected copies of tables from J. Howard Beales, III.

Please add to LL-53 the attached page 17 and Table XVII.
Please add to $I L-55$ the attached errata sheets for the testimony of Dr. Martin Block.
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