

# Cosmogenic $^3\text{He}$ surface-exposure dating of stone pavements: Implications for landscape evolution in deserts

Stephen G. Wells Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, Riverside, California 92521

Leslie D. McFadden Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131

Jane Poths }  
Chad T. Olinger } Isotope Chemistry, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

## ABSTRACT

The formation of stone pavements, a ubiquitous gravel armor mantling landforms in arid regions of the world, has been previously attributed to erosion by wind and water or alternating shrinking and swelling of soil horizons, implying that gravel is concentrated at the land surface in a time-transgressive manner. A newly proposed model for pavement evolution differs from these models in that pavement clasts are continuously maintained at the land surface in response to deposition and pedogenic modification of windblown dust. In-situ cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  surface-exposure ages on volcanic and alluvial landforms in the Mojave Desert of California are used to understand pavement evolution over geologic time scales and to test this new model. These exposure ages are stratigraphically consistent, show internal consistency at each site, and, for stone pavements adjacent to pristine, continuously exposed volcanic bedrock, are indistinguishable at the  $1\sigma$  level. We conclude that stone pavements are born at the surface and that pavements may provide one of the longest-term records of geologic, hydrologic, and climatic processes operating on desert surfaces.

## INTRODUCTION

Surface-exposure ages of landforms, as determined from in-situ-produced cosmogenic isotopes, are currently used to determine the timing and rates of geologic and hydrologic events during the Quaternary (reviewed in Cerling and Craig, 1994). In this paper, we apply the method of cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  exposure dating to elucidate the formation of stone (desert) pavements, one of the most prevalent features mantling almost all types of desert surfaces (Cooke et al., 1993; Mabbutt, 1977). Although a stone pavement is only a one- to two-clast-thick layer of closely packed angular to sub-rounded gravels that armor low-relief surfaces (Fig. 1), stone pavements control surface stability and hydrology, record activities of chemical and physical processes on desert surfaces, serve as a source and storage site for archaeological materials, and serve as a mappable feature for relative age control (Cooke et al., 1993). An understanding of the geomorphic processes that concentrate clasts at the land surface and the time scales of these processes is important to any land-management application or scientific interpretation of pavement attributes.

Pavement-forming processes have been evaluated primarily by experimental observations at time scales of years to decades. Pavement formation has been attributed to (1) wind deflation of fine particles, creating a coarse-grained lag; (2) surface runoff and lateral transport by creep, resulting in a winnowing of fine clasts; and (3) shrink-swell processes of soils, causing upward migration

of clasts to the land surface (Cooke et al., 1993; Thomas, 1989). In all of these hypotheses, clasts are concentrated at the land surface at significantly different times and, thus, are not necessarily related to the time of formation of the landform. None of these hypotheses, however, has been quantitatively evaluated at scales of  $10^3$  to  $10^4$  yr.

A new model of desert-pavement formation (McFadden et al., 1986, 1987; Wells et al., 1984, 1985) proposes that deposition of windblown sediments, not deflation or water erosion, is the major agent of pavement evolution. According to this model, the stone pavement remains at the land surface on an accretionary (i.e., vertically growing) mantle of soil-modified dust (Fig. 1). This hypothesis implies (1) that pavement clasts have been continuously exposed since the formation of the underlying landform and associated deposits and (2) that the properties of clasts in pavements (e.g., Wells et al., 1985, 1987) reflect the types of surficial processes operative since the underlying landform or deposit was created. Thus, we refer to this model as pavement formation by being "born at the surface."

Surface-exposure dating using cosmogenic isotopes provides a quantitative approach to understanding pavement evolution beyond the time scale of experimental observations. We compare in-situ cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  exposure ages of clasts from pavements with exposure ages of their bedrock source, late Quaternary basaltic flows. This method tests whether pavement clasts remain continuously at the surface or are con-

centrated at the surface randomly over time. Young basaltic lava flows provide a unique opportunity to test the various models of pavement formation since they can be readily dated using cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  (Kurz, 1986; Cerling, 1990; Laughlin et al., 1994), which is quantitatively retained in olivine and pyroxene. Such flows have uneroded bedrock highs surrounded by topographically lower stone pavements, thus allowing a comparison of the exposure age of the lava flow (i.e., time of emplacement of flow) with the surface-exposure ages of stone-pavement clasts derived from the flows.





Figure 1. Stratigraphic section and corresponding pavement and soil-profile description for accretionary mantle developed on basalt flow derived from vent I (CVF-Qv5). See Wells et al. (1985) and McFadden et al. (1987) for processes of accretionary-mantle formation. For morphological properties of soil profile and properties of stone pavement, see Dohrenwend et al. (1984), Wells et al. (1984, 1985), and McFadden et al. (1986, 1987); over geologic time, pavement surfaces are characterized by reduction in original constructional relief of a landform, concentration of coarse interlocking gravel, relatively gravel-free layer, and weakly to moderately developed soil.

**Figure 2. Location map of cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  surface-exposure samples on volcanic and alluvial-fan landforms, southwestern Cima volcanic field.** Stratigraphic relations of landforms shown in upper left corner along with their associated sample numbers (see Table 1 for sample data).




Cosmic rays penetrate the upper few metres of the Earth's surface, creating detectable amounts of rare nuclides (Lal, 1991). The production of cosmogenic nuclides declines by a factor of two for every 75 cm below the surface in a sediment with a density of  $1.58 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . Previous pavement studies (Mabbutt, 1977) suggest clasts in pavements were buried in excess of 50 cm and, in some cases, in excess of 100 cm prior to their exposure at the surface. If clasts currently within a desert pavement were buried below the surface at such depths for a significant amount of time prior to their concentration at the land surface, a stone pavement developed over a basaltic flow will have (1) an apparent surface-exposure age that is less than the age of constructional topographic highs continuously exposed since the lava solidified and (2) considerable scatter in the ages as clasts arrive at the surface at different times. In contrast, stone pavements with surface-exposure ages similar to the age of the continuously exposed bedrock highs indicate that the pavements are born at the surface.

### SAMPLING STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

This study area is the Cima volcanic field in the Mojave Desert of eastern California that contains  $\sim 40$  basaltic scoria cones and  $>60$  associated lava flows, ranging in age from late Tertiary to latest Pleistocene (Dohrenwend et al., 1984; Turrin et al., 1985). Stone pavements are present on pedogenically altered accretionary mantles (Fig. 1) developed over topographic lows and highs on these lava flows (McFadden et al., 1986; Wells et al., 1985). Three late Pleistocene basalt flows (from oldest to youngest: Qv4, Qv5, and Qv6) with distinct

stratigraphic and geographic positions were sampled to evaluate whether the cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  surface-exposure dates would reflect the relative chronology of the volcanic eruptions (Fig. 2). The topographically higher areas of these flows were carefully sampled in locations where original volcanic textures (e.g., pahoehoe) were well preserved and where it appeared that erosion had been minimal ( $<10$  cm). Such locations provided the continuously exposed bedrock samples in this study, including the flows from vent I (Qv5) and vent H (Qv4) (Fig. 2). We also collected individual large pavement clasts derived from and adjacent to these two flows. In the first case, two samples each (CVF-Qv5-P2 through -P4) were taken from two geographically distinct desert pavements separated by a few metres horizontally and  $\sim 1$  m vertically. Bedrock samples were taken from a nearby and isolated volcanic tumuli (CVF-Qv5b-FS6 and -FS7). On

the older lava flow (Qv4), samples were collected from a stone pavement (CVF-Qv4-P4), and another from an adjacent bedrock high (CVF-Qv4-FS5) (Fig. 3). At a second sample site on flow Qv4, a sample was collected from the distal end of a flow that buried a stone pavement developed on a late Pleistocene alluvial fan surface (Qf3) (Harden et al., 1991) (Fig. 2). Near the terminus of flow Qv4, the sample CVF-Qf3-P1 was collected from the exposed portion of a stone pavement developed on an alluvial fan that had been partially buried by flow Qv4. From stratigraphic relations, the sample CVF-Qf3-P1 from the alluvial fan should predate samples from Qv4, yielding an older exposure age. Samples CVF-Qv6-CS1 and -CS2 were taken from volcanic bombs on the scoria cone rim of Black Tank (vent A) volcanic center, and sample CVF-Qv6-FS1 was taken from the surface of a basalt flow that breaches and thus postdates the scoria



**Figure 3. Cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  surface-exposure ages for selected stratigraphic samples of desert pavement, basalt-flow surfaces, and bombs in scoria cones, southwestern Cima volcanic field (see Table 1 for details and Fig. 2 for locations).**

TABLE 1. HELIUM ISOTOPIC ANALYSES AND SURFACE EXPOSURE AGES

Sample Number*	CRUSH		HEAT		$^3\text{He}_c$ §	Elevation (km)	$^3\text{He}$ prod. rate (g/yr)	Surface - exposure age (ka)
	$^4\text{He}^\dagger$ ( $10^{10}$ atom/g)	$^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ( $\times 10^{-6}$ )	$^4\text{He}^\dagger$ ( $10^{10}$ atom/g)	$^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ( $\times 10^{-6}$ )				
CVF-Qf3 - P1/1	16.	$12.5 \pm 3.1$	35	$63.2 \pm 4.4$	$17.7 \pm 1.9$	0.795	208	$85 \pm 9$
- P1/2	2.4	$2.5 \pm 17.0$	40	$51.8 \pm 3.6$	$16.7 \pm 2.0$	0.795	208	$80 \pm 10$
CVF-Qv4 - FS5/1	48.	$9.8 \pm 1.3$	38	$53.5 \pm 3.9$	$17.3 \pm 1.7$	0.980	240	$72 \pm 7$
- P4/1	62.	$10.6 \pm 1.2$	97	$26.2 \pm 1.6$	$15.5 \pm 2.2$	0.980	240	$65 \pm 9$
- FS3/1	35.	$14.3 \pm 1.7$	19	$87.4 \pm 6.6$	$15.4 \pm 1.4$	0.795	208	$74 \pm 7$
CVF-Qv5 - P2/1	35.	$12.4 \pm 1.9$	21	$59. \pm 7.$	$10.6 \pm 1.6$	1.088	260	$41 \pm 6$
- P3/1	32.	$15.1 \pm 2.1$	19	$52. \pm 7.$	$8.1 \pm 1.6$	1.088	260	$31 \pm 6$
- P4/1	38.	$10.5 \pm 2.1$	21	$56. \pm 11.$	$10.0 \pm 2.6$	1.088	260	$39 \pm 10$
- P5/1	51.	$11.2 \pm 1.8$	16	$66. \pm 10.$	$9.2 \pm 1.7$	1.088	260	$35 \pm 7$
- FS6/1	20.	$14.1 \pm 3.0$	19	$62. \pm 8.$	$9.5 \pm 1.6$	1.088	260	$37 \pm 6$
- FS7/1	51.	$11.0 \pm 1.5$	40	$31.8 \pm 3.8$	$8.1 \pm 1.7$	1.088	260	$31 \pm 7$
CVF-Qv6 - FS1/1	15.	$12.4 \pm 2.5$	13	$34.1 \pm 3.3$	$2.8 \pm 0.5$	0.790	207	$13 \pm 3$
- CS1/1	137.	$11.4 \pm 1.0$	148	$13.6 \pm 1.3$	$4.3 \pm 2.8$	0.964	239	$18 \pm 12$
- CS2/1	78.	$9.1 \pm 1.5$	129	$14.4 \pm 1.2$	$4.8 \pm 2.4$	0.964	239	$20 \pm 10$

\*P = Stone pavement, FS = flow surface, CS = cone scoria; CS2/1 indicates sample 2, aliquot 1 of cone scoria.

† Accuracy of  $\pm 10\%$ .

§ $^3\text{He}_c$  denotes cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$ , corrected for sample thickness.

cone (Fig. 2). The Qv6 samples are stratigraphically younger than all the other samples (Wells et al., 1994). Thus, our sampling strategy has a built-in check from stratigraphic relations, allowing us to assess the resolution of the chronologic concurrence yielded by the surface-exposure dates.

The concentrations of cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  were determined on olivine and pyroxene separates by means of a standard, two-step process (Kurz, 1986; Cerling, 1990; Laughlin et al., 1994). Mineral separates were first crushed in a vacuum, allowing us to analyze the magmatic He released from inclusions. We then extracted and analyzed the remaining mixture of cosmogenic and magmatic He, released by a fusion step. The amount of cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  is determined by the equation

$$^3\text{He}_c = ^3\text{He}_f - ^4\text{He}_f(^3\text{He}/^4\text{He})_{cr}, \quad (1)$$

where the subscripts c, f, and cr denote cosmogenic, fusion, and crush, respectively. This deconvolution assumes that only two He components are present: cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  and magmatic He. The magmatic He is characterized by the  $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$  ratio that results from the crushing step. The  $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$  ratios released by crushing were averaged for samples that were duplicates or from a given flow (e.g., all of the samples for flow Qv5, Fig. 2). The averaged  $(^3\text{He}/^4\text{He})_{cr}$  value used for the members of those sample sets has an uncertainty that reflects the scatter of the individual values. Concentrations of cosmogenic He were then corrected by 2% to 5% for the sample thickness (Cerling and Craig, 1994), giving the values listed in Table 1. We calculated the surface-exposure ages by using the production rate of Cerling (1990), corrected for altitude, latitude, and the recalibration of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  time scale (Cerling and Craig, 1994). Stated errors are  $1\sigma$  and include the uncertainties in these assumptions as well as the analytical assumptions.

We omit the  $\sim 20\%$  uncertainty associated with the absolute calibration of the production rate in that this factor will bias all ages equally.

#### SURFACE-EXPOSURE AGES: EVALUATING STRATIGRAPHIC RELATIONS AND MODELS OF PAVEMENT FORMATION

The 14 surface-exposure ages are concordant with the chronology of volcanic eruptions established in the field by stratigraphic relations (Table 1; Figs. 2 and 3). Samples from Qf3 ( $85 \pm 9$  and  $80 \pm 10$  ka) are approximately the same age or slightly older than Qv4 ( $74 \pm 7$  and  $72 \pm 7$  ka), which, in turn, are older than Qv5 ( $37 \pm 6$  and  $31 \pm 7$  ka). Flow Qv6 yields the youngest ages ( $18 \pm 12$  ka and  $20 \pm 10$  ka for the volcanic bombs and  $13.2 \pm 3$  ka for the lava flow), in agreement with an independent surface-exposure age (J. Stone, 1989, personal communication) and thermoluminescence dates (Wells et al., 1994).

The pavement and bedrock data also show internal agreement at each site where multiple samples were analyzed. Stone-pavement samples CVF-Qv5-P2 through -P5 are indistinguishable at the  $1\sigma$  level, ranging from  $41 \pm 6$  to  $31 \pm 6$  ka. Likewise, the ages of the stone-pavement samples are statistically identical with the bedrock sample ages of  $31 \pm 7$  and  $37 \pm 6$  ka (CVF-Qv5-FS6 and -FS7). Bedrock samples from the stratigraphically older flow, Qv4, have concordant values of cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  ages,  $72 \pm 7$  and  $74 \pm 7$  ka, as well as a slightly younger but overlapping value ( $65 \pm 9$  ka) on its associated stone pavement. The agreement between surface-exposure ages of well-preserved pahoehoe surfaces on basaltic flows and those of their associated stone pavements developed over accretionary mantles indicates that clasts forming stone pavements have been exposed contin-

uously since the emplacement of the basalt flows.

At vent I (Fig. 2), two samples (CVF-Qv5-P4 and -P5) were collected from a pavement overlying a 20- and 30-cm-thick accretionary mantle on a slight bedrock high. Two additional samples (CVF-Qv5-P2 and -P3) were collected from a pavement in a topographic depression (i.e., created during emplacement of lava and not by deflation) in which the accretionary mantle (Fig. 1) exceeds 50 cm thickness. Both sample sets from pavements in differing geomorphic positions yield similar ages (Fig. 3, Table 1). These results substantiate the model that stone pavements remain at the land surface in response to vertically accreting eolian fines and their pedogenic alteration (McFadden et al., 1987; Wells et al., 1985). These results also demonstrate that different regions of stone pavements on the same underlying rock unit yield the same surface-exposure ages.

If clasts comprising a stone pavement arrive at the land surface by shrinking and swelling of soils, wind deflation, or winnowing by water, the surface-exposure ages in these two different geomorphic positions should not be the same. Soil-profile properties, including shrink-swell conditions, differ between topographic lows and highs on basalt flows because of the trapping efficiency of fine sediments in the internally drained basins (McFadden et al., 1986). Also, the accretionary mantle overlying flow Qv5 has 2–3-cm-thick, weakly developed Bt horizons overlying decimetre-thick CBk horizons (Fig. 1). This degree of soil-profile development is incapable of creating shrink-swell conditions that would allow clasts to migrate 0.5 to 0.7 m upward to the land surface. The lack of winnowing by water at these sites is demonstrated by field observations showing that boundaries of many pavement clasts fit together like a jigsaw puzzle. Significant al-

teration of the surface by running water would eliminate the compatible geographic position with adjoining pavement clasts.

On the basis of similar stone-free eolian accretionary layers and pedogenic features, McFadden et al. (1987) and McDonald (1994) proposed that stone pavements on alluvial fans evolve in a manner similar to that on the basalt flows. Testing this hypothesis by cosmogenic exposure ages is difficult because clasts on alluvial landforms have transport histories with the potential for long-term exposure (Nishiizumi et al., 1993). In our study, exposure ages of the alluvial-fan (Qf3) pavement are estimated to be  $85 \pm 9$  and  $80 \pm 10$  ka (QVF-Qf3-P1/1 and -P1/2). The only independent age determinations on the Qf3 deposits are given, in part, by a series of K-Ar dates (Turrin et al., 1985) that bracket the exposure ages derived from the alluvial-fan pavement. No independent isotopic date exists to support the surface-exposure age of alluvial fan Qf3.

## CONCLUSIONS

The similarity of cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  surface-exposure ages for relatively uneroded basaltic-flow surfaces and adjacent stone pavements substantiates that individual clasts within stone pavements have been continuously exposed at the land surface since the emplacement of the underlying volcanic flow (i.e., up to  $10^5$  yr) and that clasts are not concentrated at the surface randomly over geologic time (Fig. 3; Table 1). Rather, stone pavements remain at the surface because of vertical inflation caused by deposition of windblown dust and its subsequent pedogenic modification. Gravel-free layers underlying stone pavements (Fig. 1) serve as a criterion for distinguishing born-at-the-surface pavements from those resulting from wind and water erosion (Williams and Zimbleman, 1994).

Pavement clasts prevent erosion of eolian accretionary mantles for hundreds of thousands of years and, consequently, preserve a long-term record of dust influx and soil formation. Over geologic time, the properties of pavements change systematically (see Fig. 1; Wells et al., 1985, 1987), reflecting the history of surficial processes operative since the formation of the landform and its underlying deposit. On pavement surfaces, the degree of soil-profile development, the amount of varnish cover, and Mn accumulations in varnish have been used in discriminating among deposits of Holocene and Pleistocene age (McFadden et al., 1989; Reneau, 1993). These properties are strongly dependent upon dust influx and relatively independent of the depositional character of the landform, such as lithology (McDonald, 1994). The genetic bond among

dust influx, soil formation, and pavement evolution explains why some pavement properties provide consistent age estimates for the landform and/or deposits that they overlie. On basaltic lava flows, the duration of geologic, hydrologic, and climatic activities operating on the pavement can be evaluated because of the long exposure histories. Exposure ages for pavements mantling fluvial, lacustrine, or colluvial deposits will most likely contain an inherited exposure owing to the transport path (Nishiizumi et al., 1993). For those pavement clasts that have been continually exposed on alluvial landforms, the exposure ages may not be consistent with the deposition and/or construction of the underlying landform.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by National Science Foundation grant EAR-9205696, Los Alamos National Laboratory, the University of California Granite Mountain Reserve, the California State University Desert Studies Center, and the Geological Society of America Gladys Cole Memorial Research Award (to Wells). We thank E. McDonald, K. Anderson, R. Fleming, J. McAuliffe, C. Harrington, R. Fulton, and many others for valuable discussions and insights; K. Anderson for assisting in the field and in preparing this manuscript; and J. Knott, K. Kendrick, T. Williamson, and A. Gillespie for comments on the manuscript.

## REFERENCES CITED

- Cerling, T. W., 1990, Dating geomorphologic surfaces using cosmogenic He-3: *Quaternary Research*, v. 33, p. 148–156.
- Cerling, T. W., and Craig, H., 1994, Geomorphology and in-situ cosmogenic isotopes: *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, v. 22, p. 273–317.
- Cooke, R., Warren, A., and Goudie, A., 1993, *Desert geomorphology*: London, UCL Press, 526 p.
- Dohrenwend, J. C., McFadden, L. D., Turrin, B. D., and Wells, S. G., 1984, K-Ar dating of the Cima volcanic field, eastern Mojave Desert, California: Late Cenozoic volcanic history and landscape evolution: *Geology*, v. 12, p. 163–167.
- Harden, J. W., Taylor, E. M., Hill, C., Mark, R. K., McFadden, L. D., Reheis, M. C., Sowers, J. M., and Wells, S. G., 1991, Rates of soil development from four soil chronosequences in the southern Great Basin: *Quaternary Research*, v. 35, p. 383–399.
- Kurz, M. D., 1986, Cosmogenic helium in terrestrial igneous rocks: *Nature*, v. 320, p. 435–439.
- Kurz, M. D., Colodner, D., Trull, T. W., Moore, R. B., and O'Brien, K., 1990, Cosmic ray exposure dating with in situ produced cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$ : Results from Hawaiian lava flows: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 104, p. 424–439.
- Lal, D., 1991, Cosmic ray labeling of erosion surfaces: In-situ nuclide production rates and erosion models: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 104, p. 424–439.
- Laughlin, A. W., Poths, J., Healey, H. A., Reneau, S., and WoldeGabriel, G., 1994, Dating of Quaternary basalts using cosmogenic  $^3\text{He}$  and  $^{14}\text{C}$  methods with implications for excess  $^{40}\text{Ar}$ : *Geology*, v. 22, p. 135–138.
- Mabbutt, J. A., 1977, *Desert landforms*: Cam-

bridge, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, 340 p.

- McDonald, E., 1994, The relative influences of climate change, desert dust, and lithologic control on soil-geomorphic processes and hydrology of calcic soils formed on Quaternary alluvial-fan deposits in the Mojave Desert, California [Ph.D. thesis]: Albuquerque, University of New Mexico, 383 p.
- McFadden, L. D., Wells, S. G., and Dohrenwend, J. C., 1986, Influences of Quaternary climatic changes on processes of soil development on desert loess deposits of the Cima volcanic field: *Catena*, v. 13, p. 361–389.
- McFadden, L. D., Wells, S. G., and Jercinovich, M. J., 1987, Influence of eolian and pedogenic processes on the origin and evolution of desert pavements: *Geology*, v. 15, p. 504–508.
- McFadden, L. D., Wells, S. G., and Ritter, J. B., 1989, Use of multiparameter relative-age methods for age determination and correlation of alluvial-fan surfaces on a desert piedmont, eastern Mojave Desert, California: *Quaternary Research*, v. 32, p. 276–290.
- Nishiizumi, K., Kohl, C. P., Arnold, J. R., Dorn, R. I., Klein, J., Fink, D., Middleton, R., and Lal, D., 1993, Role of in situ cosmogenic nuclides  $^{10}\text{Be}$  and  $^{26}\text{Al}$  in the study of diverse geomorphic processes: *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, v. 18, p. 407–425.
- Reneau, S. L., 1993, Mn accumulation in desert rock varnish: *Quaternary Research*, v. 40, p. 309–317.
- Thomas, D. S. G., 1989, *Arid zone geomorphology*: New York, Halsted Press, 372 p.
- Turrin, B. D., Dohrenwend, J. C., Drake, R. E., and Curtis, G. H., 1985, K-Ar ages from Cima volcanic field, eastern Mojave Desert, California: *Ischron/West*, v. 44, p. 9–16.
- Wells, S. G., Dohrenwend, J. C., McFadden, L. D., Turrin, B. D., and Mahrer, K. D., 1984, Types and rates of late Cenozoic geomorphic processes on lava flows of the Cima volcanic field, eastern Mojave Desert, California, in Dohrenwend, J. C., ed., *Surficial geology of the eastern Mojave Desert of California*: Reno, Nevada, University of Nevada, p. 69–87.
- Wells, S. G., Dohrenwend, J. C., McFadden, L. D., and Turrin, B. D., 1985, Late Cenozoic landscape evolution on lava flow surfaces of the Cima volcanic field, Mojave Desert, California: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 96, p. 1518–1529.
- Wells, S. G., McFadden, L. D., and Dohrenwend, J. C., 1987, Influences of late Quaternary climatic changes on geomorphic and pedogenic processes on a desert piedmont, eastern Mojave Desert, California: *Quaternary Research*, v. 27, p. 130–146.
- Wells, S. G., McFadden, L. D., Geissman, J., Olinger, C. T., and Renault, C. E., 1994, Quaternary geology of polycyclic volcanos: Black Tank volcanic center, Cima volcanic field, California, in McGill, S. F., and Ross, T. M., ed., *Geological investigations of an active margin: Redlands, California*, San Bernardino County Museum Association, p. 195–200.
- Williams, S. H., and Zimbleman, J. R., 1994, Desert pavement evolution: An example of the role of sheetflood: *Journal of Geology*, v. 102, p. 243–248.

Manuscript received September 26, 1994

Revised manuscript received April 12, 1995

Manuscript accepted April 24, 1995