

United States
Department of
Agriculture

2008 ANNUAL TECHNICAL REPORT

Natural Resources
Conservation Service
Americus, Georgia

JIMMY CARTER PLANT MATERIALS CENTER



‘AU SUNUP CRIMSON CLOVER’

A Technical Summary of Plant Materials Studies
At the Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center
Americus, Georgia

STATE CONSERVATIONIST

James E. Tillman, Sr.

NAT'L PLANT MATERIALS SPECIALIST

John Englert

EAST REGION PLANT MATERIALS SPECIALIST

Ramona Garner

PLANT MATERIALS CENTER STAFF

Charles M. Owsley
Malcome S. Kirkland
Larry L. Vansant

Manager
Asst. Manager
Biol. Technician

STATE CONSERVATIONISTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Purpose: The purpose of the committee is to provide leadership in the coordination, communication, support, and integration of applied plant science technology within and between states, the Regional and National Plant Materials Advisory Committees and other partners.

James E. Tillman, Sr. – Chairman
Niles Glasgow
Bill Puckett
Mary K. Combs

Athens, GA
Columbia, SC
Auburn, AL
Raleigh, NC

PLANT MATERIALS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

The Plant Materials Technical Committee provides input to the PM Advisory process. The PM Technical Committee may be on a state, multi-state or other regional/local level for a single PMC or for multiple Plant Materials Centers. Responsibilities include:

- Provides overall technical leadership in the identification, integration, and prioritization of plant technology needs.
- Develops recommendations for addressing needs and submits information to the State Conservationist's Plant Materials Advisory Committee for review and approval.
- Promotes the transfer of developed applied science technology.

Technical Committee Members

NAME	LOCATION
Maryann Trent, SRC NRCS	Athens, Ga
Shannon Weaver SRC, NRCS	Auburn Ala
Erica Westbrook, SRC, NRCS	Columbia SC
Vacant, PMS, NRCS	Athens Ga
Dr. Mimi Williams, PMS, NRCS	Gainesville Fla
Vacant PMS, NRCS	Raleigh NC
Dr Jorge Mosjidis, Res, Auburn Univ	Auburn Ala
Dr Mary Goodman, Res, Auburn Univ	Auburn Ala
Dr Edzard VanSanten, Res, Auburn Univ	Auburn Ala
Dr Mark Latimore, Res, FVSU	Fort Valley GA
Dr Glenwood Hill, Res-Farmer	Fort Valley Ga
Dr Richard Lowrance, Res, ARS	Tifton Ga
Tom Wilburn, EPA	Atlanta Ga
Dr Brad Morris, UGA Griffin, Georgia	
Dr Errol Rhoden, Res, Tuskegee UNiv	Tuskegee Ala
Dr Makonnen Lima, Res, Ala A&M Univ	Normal Ala
Larry Dyck,,Res, Clemson Univ	Clemson SC
FVSU Liaison, USDA	Fort Valley Ga
Willie Buchanan, TVA	Muscle Shoals Ala
Laura Fogo, US F&W	Raleigh,NC
James Crozier, Rep Sanford Bishop Office	Albany Ga
Elaine Nash, GA Native Plant Soc	Atlanta Ga
Greg Brann, Agronomist, NRCS	Nashville Tenn
Simon Gilmore, Liaison, South Carolina St Univ, USDA	Orangeburg, SC
Dennis Law, USFS	Columbia SC
Brent Dykes, GA S&W Con Comm	Athens Ga
Tom Aiken, District Supervisor, Lamar Co GA	Barnesville Ga
Ed Hackett, Wildlife Biologist, Wildlife Inst NRCS	Madison Miss
Mike Owsley, Mgr., JCPMC, NRCS	Americus Ga
Malcome Kirkland, AMGR, JCPMC, NRCS	Americus Ga
George Coleman, HoneyHole Nursery	Glenwood Ga

TABLE of CONTENTS

TOPIC	PAGE
Introduction – Mission- Location-History	1
Partnerships- Plant Materials Program-Service Area	2
MLRA Served-Weather	3
13I128R-Evaluation and Increase of Big Bluestem	4-5
Coastal Plain Grazing System Demonstration	6
--13A142R- Grazing Management of Eastern Gamagrass	7
--13A144R- Grazing Management of Yellow Indiangrass	7
--13A151B- Silvopasture Demonstration Project	8
--13A152R- Rotational Grazing Management of a Mixed Native Grass Pasture	9
13A150R- Quantitative and Qualitative Response of Native Grasses Versus Introduced Warm Season Pasture Plants as Influenced by Different Burn Regimes	10-14
GAPMC-T-0154-CP-Alternative Crops for Small Farmers Demo at JCPMC	15
GAPMC-T-0155-GW- Carbon Sequestration Study	16
GAPMC-P-0456-WL- Longleaf Pine Native Under Story	17
GAPMC-T-0457-WL -Assembly of Native Plants for BobWhite Quail Habitat Improvement	18
GAPMC-T-0758 – WL – Restoration Study for the Enhancement of Bobwhite habitat	19-20
GAPMC-P-0759-OT-Observational Plantings	20
Special Publications	21-22
Releases from Jimmy Carter PMC	23

INTRODUCTION

The Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center (PMC) is part of a national plant materials program operated by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), formerly recognized as the Soil Conservation Service (SCS). The purpose of the PMC is: to assemble, evaluate, and release new plant materials for conservation use; to determine techniques for their successful use; to provide for their commercial increase; and to promote the use of plant materials needed to meet the objectives of the National Conservation Program.

The Plant Materials Center serves NRCS field offices, public agencies, commercial seed and plant producers, and the general public in Georgia, Alabama, South Carolina, North Carolina, and parts of Florida and Tennessee. These states present a wide range of climatic and soil conditions and include a total of 13 major land resource areas (MLRAs) representing 120,377,913 acres across the Southeastern United States.

PMC activities are guided by a five-year program focusing on the development of the following high priority items for **Farm Bill Implementation**:

- I. Evaluation of native grasses for grazing lands that support sustainable agriculture.
(Conservation buffers, forage, erosion control, wildlife habitat improvement, urban landscapes, bio-fuels Farm Bill Implementation)
- II. Evaluation of native plants for water quality (riparian forest areas, conservation buffers, filter strips, constructed wetlands, and streambank stabilization, Farm Bill Implementation).
- III. Evaluation of plants for conservation tillage (green manure, organic gardening, carbon sequestration and winter cover)

LOCATION AND FACILITIES

The PMC is located on the northwest corner of Americus, Georgia approximately 40 miles north of Albany, Georgia. The facility consists of 327 acres of land with 19 buildings, including a new office building (conference room), greenhouse, seed cleaning /seed storage facilities, pesticide storage, and an underground irrigation system that covers approximately 85 acres. The center's land includes seven soil types, with Orangeburg predominating. Approximately two-thirds of the acreage is open for cultivation, and Muckalee Creek runs through the southwest corner.

HISTORY

The PMC was established in 1936 to produce planting material, mainly pine seedlings for use by the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) and for former SCS demonstration projects. The site was originally rented, but was purchased by the federal government in 1942. The center was operated on contract by the University of Georgia Experiment Station from 1954 to 1975, was SCS-operated from 1976 to 1994, and is currently NRCS-operated. Historically, the PMC's objective has been to find erosion-minimizing plants. Today the center seeks to solve problems confronting soil, water, air, plants, and animals.

PARTNERSHIPS

The PMC has conducted cooperative programs with the following organizations:

Alabama Agricultural Experiment Stations	Alabama A&M University
Alabama Crop Improvement Association	Auburn University
Fort Valley State University	Georgia Forestry Commission
Georgia Crop Improvement Association	Georgia Department of Transportation
Alabama S&W Conservation Commission	RC & D Councils
Clemson University	North Carolina A&T University
Quail Unlimited	Georgia Seed Development Commission
Georgia Agricultural Experiment Stations	Wildlife Management Institute
Georgia Department of Natural Resources	The University of Georgia
Tuskegee University	United States Environmental Protection Agency
United States Army	United States Forest Service
United States Fish & Wildlife Service	Georgia Soil & Water Conservation Commission
Lamar Co S & W CD	Flint River S & W CD
United States Department of Agriculture (ARS)	Lower Chattahoochee S & W C D
Alabama Forest Commission	Georgia Association of Conservation Districts
Alabama Association of Conservation Districts	

PLANT MATERIALS PROGRAM

The Plant Materials Program has established a **systematic process to evaluate and release plants** to address the conservation problems outlined in the long-range program. The intensity and time of evaluation will vary according to the class of release. A cultivar will require many years of intense evaluation whereas a source identified plant can be released in 1-2 years with little evaluation. The following illustrates the steps involved in this process: **1.** A problem is identified in the PM Advisory and Technical Committee **2** Plants are assembled to address problem **3** These plants are evaluated on and off PMC **4** Plants are increased on PMC **5** Field Plantings are established off the PMC in S&WCD **6** A new plant is named and released as a Source identified, Selected, Tested or Cultivar for use in conservation by the American people. In addition to the release of new plants, the PMC develops new technology to better utilize plant materials for our high priority concerns.

DESCRIPTION of SERVICE AREA

The Jimmy Carter PMC serves Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, and parts of Tennessee and Florida. These states present a wide range of climatic and soil conditions.

Elevations range from sea level to over 6,000 feet. Low temperatures will vary from -20 degrees F at the higher elevations to 10 degrees F along the coast while summer high temperatures range from 70 F in the mountains to 110 F at lower elevations.

Frost-free days vary from 260 days near the coast to 130 days at the higher elevations.

Annual rainfall over the area ranges from 45 to 80 inches.

The states served by the center are represented by the eleven major land resource areas.

MAJOR LAND RESOURCE AREAS SERVED

- 123 Nashville Basin
- 128 Southern Appalachian Ridges and Valleys
- 129 Sand Mountain
- 130 Blue Ridge
- 133A Southern Coastal Plain
- 134 Southern Mississippi Valley Silty Uplands
- 135 Alabama and Mississippi Blackland Prairies
- 136 Southern Piedmont
- 137 Carolina and Georgia Sandhill
- 152 Gulf Coast Flatwoods
- 153 Atlantic Coast Flatwoods

Soil Conditions vary widely -- deep droughty sand, heavy plastic clay subject to excessive intermittent wetness and drying, highly acid to alkaline extremes, and swamps and marshes - fresh and salt. Farming enterprises also vary widely. The area contains a number of heavily populated suburban areas surrounding centers of industry and commerce. The mountains, seashore, and other areas of natural beauty are being rapidly developed to meet the demand for recreation. Such diversity of climate, soil, and enterprises requires many different types and kinds of vegetation to provide for protecting the land when it is properly treated for soil and water conservation.

**Summary of Weather Conditions- Jimmy Carter PMC-2008
1929-2008**

Month	TEMPERATURE (°F)		PRECIPITATION (Inches)			
	2008 Average High	2008 Average Low	Month Total 2008	79 Year Average	79 Year High Month	79 Year Low Month
January	53	35	3.76	4.32	11.19	.64
February	67	37	6.15	4.58	12.28	.56
March	68	40	3.10	5.25	12.11	.28
April	75	50	3.62	3.78	12.26	.00
May	83	57	1.84	3.20	8.35	0.0
June	92	67	2.76	4.33	11.69	.03
July	90	69	2.78	5.24	24.79	1.25
August	87	69	12.18	4.23	12.18	.99
Sept	83	65	1.73	3.49	14.00	.10
October	73	50	3.99	2.09	9.60	.00
Nov	59	34	4.18	3.01	10.63	.05
Dec	60	41	7.35	4.20	12.29	.42
Total	-	-	53.44	47.72		

PROJECT 13H28R - EVALUATION AND INCREASE OF BIG BLUESTEM (*ANDROPOGON GERARDII*)

INTRODUCTION:

Big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*) is a perennial, warm season grass. It is cross-pollinated and has several ploidy levels (X = 20, 40, 60). Big bluestem is photoperiod sensitive. It is widely distributed in the United States. It occurs in tall grass prairies of the Midwest as well as in forested areas of the southeast. It also has potential for other conservation concerns, such as, wildlife habitat improvement (WHIP), farm bill implementation, erosion control, and warm season native forages. It has been utilized for forage and hay production. This study attempts to evaluate big bluestem ecotypes for cultivar development for the Southeast.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

In 1989-1990, the PMC assembled 750 vegetative ecotypes of southeastern big bluestems. These ecotypes were placed into an initial evaluation block. Each entry was planted to ten-foot rows with one foot between clones. All entries were separated by three-foot middles. Each entry was replicated twice.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

In 1990 and 1991, the evaluation process began. The following were the evaluation criteria: 1) vigor, 2) stem color, 3) inflorescence color, 4) foliage amount, 5) foliage height (cm), 6) foliage color, 7) forage potential, 8) disease/insect resistance, 9) boot date, bloom date, maturing date, and percent germ, 10) seed amount, 11) uniformity, 12) leaves height on stem, 13) total height, 14) stem size, 15) tillering, 16) steminess, 17) basal foliage, 18) lodging, 19) late maturity.

In spring 1992, Dr. Edzard van Santen of Auburn University began a cooperative big bluestem study with the Jimmy Carter PMC. The following criteria were added to the existing evaluation process: 1) percent stand, 2) forage mass, 3) greening up date, 4) biomass at flowering (green weight and dry weight), 5) surface area of plot, 6) morphological data, and 7) % ADF of stem.

In June 1993, four pairs of cow/calf units were allowed to graze the big bluestem area. Cattle were removed and Dr. van Santen evaluated the cattle's preference for specific ecotypes. After regrowth, cattle were again allowed to graze the vegetation down to 8-inch stubble residues.

Dr. van Santen's data was processed and determined which ecotypes were selected for '**biomass type**' crossing blocks in 1994. These blocks should produce germplasm for comparison testing against a standard big bluestem cultivar. The three blocks consist of early maturing ecotypes, late maturing ecotypes and median maturing ecotypes.

Early maturing crossing block

Lines - 23, 52, 54, 62, 71, 78, 81, 84, 94, 97, 140, 142, 161, 231, 260, 305, 322, 336, 351, 368, 481, 484, 542, 561, 578, 595, 624, 661, 676, 704, 719

Median maturing crossing block

Lines - 1, 7, 10, 18, 20, 38, 44, 57, 61, 69, 75, 77, 85, 88, 89, 91, 93, 111, 116, 159, 200, 204, 223, 373, 432, 438, 452, 496, 497, 513, 532, 560, 580, 592, 598, 627, 689, 691, 709, 738

Late maturing crossing block

Lines - 4, 14, 32, 42, 46, 48, 50, 58, 59, 66, 73, 76, 98, 99, 106, 107, 122, 123, 124, 126, 127, 130, 131, 134, 143, 366, 399, 406, 692

Each line was represented by three replications per crossing block to ensure proper pollination.

In 1995, seed was collected from the three-biomass crossing blocks. All seed collected expressed high dormancy characteristics. Dr. van Santen worked to resolve this seed dormancy problem.

In March 1998, Dr. van Santen determined which ecotypes should constitute crossing blocks for production of big bluestem '**forage type**' germplasm. The crossing blocks consist of early maturing ecotypes, median maturing ecotypes and late maturing ecotypes. Each line was replicated three times per crossing block to ensure proper pollination.

Early maturing crossing block

Lines - 15, 84, 105, 110, 135, 136, 140, 154, 166, 179, 198, 215, 216, 218, 245, 247, 260, 290, 297, 361, 364, 385, 389, 397, 436, 439, 455, 484, 488, 500, 548, 561, 568, 641, 661, 693, 707, 743.

Median maturing crossing block

Lines - 7, 17, 18, 26, 77, 114, 155, 181, 200, 214, 228, 234, 252, 266, 296, 328, 334, 377, 414, 420, 446, 447, 472, 482, 505, 510, 520, 524, 537, 559, 569, 584, 649, 651, 689, 700, 717, 725.

Late maturing crossing block

Lines - 3, 4, 14, 42, 46, 49, 59, 60, 66, 90, 98, 122, 124, 126, 131, 144, 170, 206, 219, 249, 254, 261, 298, 312, 325, 333, 341, 362, 366, 406, 426, 540, 575, 635, 658, 678, 679, 747.

In 2001 wildlife biologists with NRCS selected big bluestem lines to constitute a **wildlife type** big bluestem seed production block.

In 2004 late maturing seed was collected and tested for germination and seedling vigor. Results indicate very little seed fill and germination. Therefore in 2005 the PMC altered the cultural techniques used on the big bluestem fields. Fields were burned during growing season instead of dormant season to stimulate inflorescence production and sprayed in summer with insecticide to prevent possible insect (midges) infestation of the seed heads. Seed was harvested in October 25, 2005. This seed showed very little germ % in seed laboratory results. In **2006** seed production fields were **not burned** or **sprayed** for insects. Seed laboratory results for late maturing **forage and biomass** big bluestem were pure seed 13.91%, **germination 37%**. **Wildlife selection** pure seed was 14.75 % and **germination 54%**. Due to these improved seed germination results, **Dr van Santen of Auburn University** planted the forage/biomass and the wildlife seed to the greenhouses at the Auburn University in late summer 2007. The PMC **transplanted the resulting big bluestem seedlings to the field** in Americus in **August 2007**. Seedlings from the same lots were also planted at Auburn University. In **2008** seed from these field plantings were collected and planted in **March 2009 at the PMC** to expand the seed production fields. The subsequent seed collected from these increases will be used to compare against known cultivars in replicated tests (forage/biomass/wildlife).



Big Bluestem at the Jimmy Carter PMC

COASTAL PLAIN GRAZING SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION

In 2008 Dr Dennis Chessman (Grazing Specialist NRCS Georgia) with assistance from GLCI funds helped repair and install fencing on existing PMC pastures. Several existing projects ([13A142R-Grazing Management of ‘Pete’ Eastern Gamagrass](#), [13A144R-Grazing Management of ‘Americus’ Yellow Indiangrass](#), [13A151B-Silvopasture Demonstration Project](#), [13A152R-Rotational Grazing Management of a Mixed Native Grass Pasture \(switchgrass, big bluestem, little bluestem and indiangrass\)](#)) were combined into a comprehensive year long rotational grazing system. Prior information on each pasture project is available in previous Annual Technical Reports (ATR). This ATR contains basic background information on each of these projects. In addition to the existing projects, pastures of 1) common bermudagrass, 2) common bermudagrass/ ‘Pensacola’ Bahiagrass and 3) ‘Ky-31’ tall fescue pastures were included into the rotation. This ATR will give a summary of some of the pastures involved in the year round system, with additional information from Dr Mary Goodman (Auburn University) concerning the Silvopasture project. If necessary in the future each of the pasture projects can again be evaluated as individual studies as in past years. The following is a summary of the rotational pasture system for 2008. More comprehensive data will be collected from the grazing system in 2009-2010.

Perimeter energized fence was completed around approximately 50 acres at the Jimmy Carter PMC in January, 2008. The 50 acres are divided into **seven paddocks**, each of which consists of a different forage plant community. Primary species in each of the paddocks are as follows: 1) common bermudagrass, 2) common bermudagrass/ ‘Pensacola’ bahiagrass, 3) ‘Ky-31’ tall fescue, 4) ‘Pensacola’ bahiagrass in longleaf pine silvopasture, 5) mixed native warm-season grasses, 6) ‘Americus’ Indiangrass, and 7) ‘Pete’ eastern gamagrass. The previous October, approximately 35 acres of the system (common bermudagrass/ ‘Pensacola’ bahiagrass and ‘Pensacola’ bahiagrass in longleaf pine silvopasture) were planted with cereal rye and crimson clover to provide winter pasture. On March 31, 2008, twenty-two mostly Angus beef cows with calves were introduced to the system. Cattle grazed the winter pasture until warm-season species were available. Herd residence time in a paddock was 6 to 10 days. The native warm season grasses were grazed to a height of approximately 8 inches, then, depending on species, allowed to re-grow for 25 to 45 days before re-grazing. Introduced species were grazed to 2 to 3 inches then allowed to re-grow at least 30 days depending on rainfall. Average daily gain on calves between 31 March and 1 July was 2.3 lbs. Below are representative values for crude protein and digestible OM for the various forages at different times of the year.

Forage	Date	Crude Protein (%)	DOM (%)
Pensacola Bahiagrass in Silvopasture	4/21	16.7	66.0
Pete Eastern Gammagrass	5/15	14.4	67.2
Pete Eastern Gamagrass	9/20	10.7	65.7
Mixed Native Warm Season Grasses	5/7	16.7	63.1
Mixed native Warm Season Grasses	7/7	8.5	62.3
Americus Indiangrass	8/7	9.9	66.0
Pensacola Bahiagrass in Silvopasture	8/20	10.4	64.5

The introduced warm-season paddocks were over-seeded in November 2008 with a mixture of rye and annual ryegrass. All cows calved during January and February 2009.

PROJECT 13A142R - GRAZING MANAGEMENT OF EASTERN GAMAGRASS

INTRODUCTION:

Eastern gamagrass, *Tripsacum dactyloides*, is a warm-season, native, perennial grass suited to most of the Eastern United States. One of its potential uses is forage for livestock. The Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center in Americus, Georgia is demonstrating intensive grazing management of this plant. The uses of eastern gamagrass are grazing land, wildlife habitat improvement, critical area stabilization, biofuels, alternative fuels, streambank stabilization, nutrient reclamation/uptake, filter strip, conservation buffers, and urban conservation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

In the spring of 1993, a 4.5 acre field of Eastern gamagrass, (variety 'Pete'), was planted in 36 inch rows using a corn planter. This 4.5-acre pasture was allowed to establish through 1994 and into 1995.

This demonstration is located on the northwest side of the town of Americus, Georgia, where mean annual precipitation is 125 cm (about 49"), and the mean annual temperature is 18.5 degrees Celsius (about 65.3 degrees Fahrenheit).

The demonstration site is divided into ten paddocks, approximately 0.2 hectares (about 0.45 acre) each, using a single strand of electric fence wire about 90 cm high. Water is provided to each paddock using one inch black plastic pipe and 60 gallon portable water trough. The water source is Muckalee Creek.

PROJECT 13A144R - GRAZING MANAGEMENT OF YELLOW INDIANGRASS (*SORGHASTRUM NUTANS*)

INTRODUCTION:

Yellow indiagrass (*Sorghastrum nutans*) is a native perennial warm season grass. It can be utilized for forage and hay production. This test attempts to demonstrate the use of a PMC selection known as 'Americus'. Emphasis will be placed upon establishment and management techniques for forage production for the Southeast.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

In the fall of 1993, a three-acre bahiagrass pasture was sprayed with Roundup. In February 1994, the pasture was disked. In March 1994, 450#/Ac of 0-14-14 fertilizer was applied. On May 5, 1994 the pasture area was disked and cultipacked to firm the seedbed. 'Americus' Indiangrass seed was applied with a Solo fertilizer spreader set on No. 24 for a 12-14 foot swath. The rate of seeding was 25 #/Ac or 10# pls/Ac. The area was then cultipacked perpendicular to original cultipacking for proper seed covering. In June 1994, broadleaf weeds were sprayed with 2-4-D at a rate of 1 qt/Ac. A good stand of indiagrass was observed during the summers of 1995 - 1996.

PROJECT 13A151B - SILVOPASTURE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

INTRODUCTION:

In past years, silvopasture studies were conducted by various research institutions in the southeast. They found that tree production and cattle production could be accomplished in one management regime. However, there is a lack of silvopasture demonstration at the present time. This study was established to demonstrate the establishment, management and maintenance of a system designed to produce several valuable products (cattle, pasture, and trees) over the long-term.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

In 2000, longleaf pine trees were planted on the PMC. Containerized trees were planted on 6 foot spacing within a row with 10 feet between double rows and 40 feet between outside rows. Tree density was about 290 trees/Ac. Trees were planted into existing 'Pensacola' bahiagrass mixed pasture. Pasture was sprayed to reduce grass competition. Spraying was continued in 2002. **Dr. Goodman of Auburn University** is working with the PMC to produce maximum data and knowledge from this study concerning forage production, forage composition, and soil characteristics.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Southern-pine silvopasture can be established by thinning an existing forest plantation then adding or improving a forage component, or by adding low densities of trees to existing pasture. Studies in mature loblolly (*Pinus taeda* L.) pine silvopasture (26 years) developed from a thinned plantation on the Western Coastal Plain of Louisiana, USA estimated higher forage biomass production for open-pasture versus silvopasture. However, little is known about temporal and spatial dynamics of forage productivity and soil quality in permanent pastures being converted to silvopasture on the Southern Coastal Plain of the Southeastern USA. The objectives of this research were to determine the impact of nitrogen (N) source (legume-N versus fertilizer-N), pasture type (silvopasture versus open-pasture), and alley position relative to trees in young Southern Coastal Plain silvopasture on (1) forage productivity and quality; (2) soil aggregate stability, density of fungal hyphae, and soil penetration resistance. This research was conducted in a randomized complete block design with three replications from 2005 to 2007 at Americus, Georgia, USA in a young longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.)-bahiagrass (*Paspalum notatum* Flugge) silvopasture and adjoining bahiagrass pasture without trees (open-pasture). Treatments included either fertilizer-N or overseeded crimson clover (*Trifolium incarnatum* L. 'Dixie'). Silvopasture forage parameters were monitored at two (2005: 1.0 and 6.1 m) or three (2006–2007: 1.0, 3.5, and 6.1 m) alley positions relative to the tree base; soil parameters were monitored at two alley positions (1.0 and 6.1 m). Cool season (April or May) forage biomass was 40% higher and forage N concentration 27% higher for the legume-N versus fertilizer-N treatment. When compared to the 3.5- or 6.1-m alley positions, forage productivity at the 1.0-m alley position decreased when the pines were 6 years old. Lower N and higher acid detergent fiber (ADF) levels were found in forage from silvopasture versus open-pasture in August 2006, and July and September 2007, the result of pine straw accumulation in the forage alleys. Water stable aggregates were 5% lower in silvopasture versus open-pasture. Soil penetration resistance was lower in silvopasture versus open-pasture at 10–15- and 15–20-cm in 2005, and at 15–20-cm in 2007. This research found that forage productivity and forage and soil quality can be improved, and N fertilizer additions replaced by introduction and maintenance of crimson clover into young longleaf pinebahiagrass silvopasture during the hay-production period of pasture to silvopasture conversion on Southern Coastal Plain soil in the Southeastern USA.



Crimson Clover Plots in Silvopasture Study at PMC in May

PROJECT 13A152R- ROTATIONAL GRAZING MANAGEMENT OF A MIXED NATIVE GRASS PASTURE

INTRODUCTION:

Native grass pasture systems are used commonly in the Midwestern U.S. However, these systems are rarely utilized in the Southeastern United States. This study attempts to establish a mixture of native warm season grasses and to demonstrate their use in a managed rotational grazing system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

In April 2001 the PMC planted a 5-acre native mixed grass pasture using a Truax no-till drill. Since the planting area is sandy soil, a cover of oats was grown to stabilize the soil. Before planting the warm season grasses, the oats were sprayed with herbicide. The oat field was not mowed before planting because the mowed debris can interfere with the planting mechanisms of the planter. The oats were not completely killed before planting. Drill was set to plant switchgrass ('Cave in Rock' and 'Alamo' combined) at 4 # pls/Ac, 'Americus' indiagrass at 2.5 # pls/Ac, Oklahoma selection of little bluestem at 4.1 # pls/Ac, and 'Earl' big bluestem at 2.5 # pls/Ac. Each year the entire pasture is burned.

PROJECT 13A150R - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE RESPONSE OF NATIVE GRASSES VERSUS INTRODUCED WARM SEASON PASTURE PLANTS AS INFLUENCED BY DIFFERENT BURN REGIMES

INTRODUCTION:

Very little comparative testing between native and introduced warm season forage plants has been documented in the Southeastern United States. This test attempts to establish, evaluate, and analyze different warm season pasture plants and mixtures subjected to different burn regimes. Data should provide qualitative and quantitative information relative to native and introduced pasture species performance in different burn management regimes. The response variable is species composition. This is a cooperative effort between the NRCS and Dr. Mary S. Goodman of Auburn University.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

On May 6, 1997, the following experimental split plot design was established:

Split plot (cultivars) with main plots (burn regime) in RBD with three (3) reps. Main plots (50' x 300') are burn #1 and burn #2. Split plots (50' x 50') are six cultivars and cultivar mixes. (1) pure 'Cave-In-Rock' switchgrass (2) pure 'Earl' big bluestem, (3) pure 'coastal' bermudagrass, (4) pure 'Pensacola' bahiagrass, (5) a mixture of 30% "Oklahoma Select" little bluestem, 25% "Earl" big bluestem, 20% 'Americus' indiagrass, and 25% "Cave in Rock" switchgrass, (6) a mixture of 50% little bluestem and 50% 'Serala' lespedeza. Grass seeds were planted at a rate of 10 # PLS/Acre and coastal bermudagrass was planted at a rate of .15 Bu/120 sq. ft. Serala lespedeza was seeded at 20 #/Acre.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

PHASE I

In 1998 all plots were burned. Since 1999, burn #1 plots were burned every year and burn #2 plots burned every two years during dormant season. In 1998 - 2002, percent species composition was recorded for each plot. In 1999- 2002, species frequency was recorded for each plot. Dr. Mary S. Goodman conducted analysis of percent species composition and species frequency. **The following is an abstract from a poster based on this study presented by Dr Goodman and the PMC at the Second National Conference on Grazing Lands held in Nashville Tennessee December 7-10 2003.**

Accumulation of desirable canopy cover is necessary during pasture establishment to protect pasture soil and provide optimum forage quantity and quality. The objective of this study was to evaluate long-term responses of desirable and invasive cover components of forage swards to burn frequency during pasture establishment in a humid, southeastern environment. Forages were sown or sprigged spring 1997 at Americus Ga. in 6 blocks of six 50 by 50 foot plots that included (a) little bluestem +big bluestem +switchgrass +indiagrass (b) little bluestem + sercia lespedeza (c) bahiagrass (d) bermudagrass (e) big bluestem (f) switchgrass. All blocks were burned spring 1998; thereafter, one-half of the blocks were burned every, and one-half every-other year. Percent canopy cover was estimated each fall (1998-2002) and analyzed as a split plot design with year after establishment the main plots; burn frequency the subplots. Percentages of 70-yr average rainfall (48in) for 1997 to 2002 were 117, 92,60, 77, 100, 98, respectively. Burn frequency had significant and varying impacts on cover of specific desirable and invasive species and these impacts often occurred in interaction with impacts of year after establishment and mixture. For example, little bluestem cover in first mix was not different in year 1 (13%) versus year 5 (17%) after establishment if the mix was burned every year. However, when burned every other year, little bluestem cover in first mix was higher ($P=.016$) in year 5 (38%) versus year 1 (16%). In second mix little bluestem cover was higher ($P=.010$) after year 5 when burned every year (32%) versus every other year (16%). Also bahiagrass as an invasive was reduced after year 5 compared to year 1 in some cases. During pasture establishment, desirable and invasive cover components responded positively and negatively to burn frequency over time and these responses varied within a species when sown in different mixtures.

PHASE II

The **burning regime** for the study was changed in 2004 from a **cool season burn** to a **growing season burn (May-June)**. Also **burning frequency** was changed from burn every year and burns every other year to **burn every year and burn every third year**. Percent species composition was recorded from all plots. Data was analyzed in an ANOVA for **2004-2008**. Data was analyzed utilizing year as main plot and burn frequency as sub-plot. All data was analyzed using LSD comparison at $p<0.05$. Data in Table 1 and Table 3 was transformed to smooth distribution points. Due to lack of personnel burn was late in 2006 (August 2006).

Data in **Table 1** indicate that ‘Earl’ big bluestem in a four-way mixture of big bluestem, ‘Americus’ Indiangrass, “Cave in Rock” switchgrass, and “Oklahoma select” little bluestem shows no differences in % composition for years or burn frequency. This could imply big bluestem requires more than one complete cycle of the burn regime to show % composition differences possibly due to the large vegetative habit of the taxa.

Data in **Table 2** indicate that ‘Americus’ Indiangrass in a four –way mixture produces higher % composition if burned every year compared to burning every third year. This increase in % composition may be due to the cultivars ability to utilize nutrients cycled by yearly burns instead of waiting for a pulse of nutrients every three years. Percent composition has been fairly consistent from year to year with slight variations

Data in **Table 3** indicate that across the years of 2004-2008 the burn regime of every third year produced higher % composition of ‘Cave in Rock’ switchgrass in the four-way mixture. Cave in Rock % composition is adversely affected by burning every year in the four -way mixture. It may not have enough stored nutrients for re-sprouting to respond to a yearly burn regime.



A normal growing season burn conducted June 6

Table 4 Previous evaluations indicated a late burn (August 2006) instead of the normal May-June growing season burn had a negative effect on ‘Oklahoma select’ little bluestem % composition in a four- way mixture when burning every year probably due to increased fire intensity (refer to 2007 ATR). From 2004-2008 there is no difference in percent composition by year. Data indicates burning every third year produces more little bluestem % composition than burning every year. The little bluestem is probably able to maintain and increase % composition with an extended burn regime of every third year due to a concentrated pulse of nutrients available for new growth.

Table 5 indicates that the % composition of “Earl” big bluestem in a monoculture was reduced in 2006-2007. Without the buffering effect of the four way mixture the big bluestem monoculture % composition was adversely affected by the late (August) burn of 2006 and dry conditions of 2007(refer to 2007 ATR). In 2008 with above average rainfall the big bluestem % composition started to recover from the late burn effects. No difference in burn regimes was detected.

Table 6 indicates that the % composition of ‘Pensacola’ bahiagrass in a monoculture is not affected by burn regime. Over the last 5 years bahiagrass has been very consistent with high % composition. However an overall trend of reduced % composition from 2004-2008 has occurred. This could be due to no fertilizer applications. Bahiagrass is declining slightly as a response to lower nutrient availability. The native warm season grasses have a trend to be less dependent on high nutrient availability.

Table 7 Analysis of data indicates “Cave in Rock” switchgrass in a monoculture did not have normal distribution and a statistic was therefore not available. However a trend has developed that burning every year reduces the switchgrass % composition as opposed to burning every third year. Every year burn does not allow time for nutrient pulse to develop and benefit % composition through increased growth. Also burning every year does not allow carbohydrate reserves to accumulate in roots and rhizomes for spring regeneration and to sustain % composition.

Table 1. Percent Composition of ‘Earl’ Big Bluestem in Four–Way Mixture Burned Every Year and Every Third Year ,USDA-NRCS Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center, Americus, Ga. 2004-2008.

Burn Regime ^{1/}	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
	-----%-----					
	--					
Year 1	16.47	14.07	8.83	5.03	13.00	11.48a
Year 3	12.50	8.07	9.13	8.00	13.67	10.27a
Mean	14.48a ^{2/}	11.07a	8.98a	6.52a	13.33a	

1- burn regime—Year 1=burn every year in growing season; Year 3 = burn every third year in growing season 2- means in rows and columns followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05

Table 2. Percent Composition of ‘Americus’ Indiangrass in Four-Way Mixture Burned Every Year and Every Third Year, USDA-NRCS Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center, Americus, GA, 2004-2008.

Burn Regime ^{1/}	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
	-----%-----					
	--					
Year 1	14.33	30.43	23.00	28.73	12.33	21.77a
Year 3	7.30	23.97	19.37	8.10	15.00	14.75b
Mean	10.82 b ^{2/}	27.20a	21.18ab	18.42ab	13.67b	

1 – burn regime – Year 1 = burn every year in growing season; Year 3 = burn every third year in growing season 2 – means in rows or column followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05.

Table 3. Percent Composition of ‘Cave in Rock’ Switchgrass in Four-Way Mixture Burned Every Year and Every Third Year, USDA-NRCS Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center, Americus, GA, 2004-2008.

Burn Regime ^{1/}	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
	-----%-----					
	--					
Year 1	6.73	6.33	3.70	1.57	1.00	3.87b
Year 3	18.10	10.67	9.30	6.30	6.33	10.14a
Mean	12.42a ^{2/}	8.50a	6.50a	3.93a	3.67a	

1 – burn regime – Year 1 = burn every year in growing season; Year 3 = burn every third year in growing season 2 – means in rows or column followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05.

Table 4 Percent Composition of ‘Oklahoma Select” Little Bluestem in Four-Way Mixture Burned Every Year and Every Third Year , USDA-NRCS Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center, Americus, Ga. 2004-2008

Burn Regime ^{1/}	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
	-----%-----					
	--					
Year 1	13.03	12.30	6.07	10.57	12.33	10.86b
Year 3	12.97	12.10	18.03	17.23	22.67	16.60a
Mean	13.00a ^{2/}	12.20a	12.05a	13.90a	17.50a	

1 – burn regime – Year 1 = burn every year in growing season; Year 3 = burn every third year in growing season 2 – means in rows or column followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05.

Table 5 Percent Composition of ‘Earl’ Big Bluestem Monoculture Burned Every Year and Every Third Year, USDA-NRCS Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center, Americus, GA, 2004-2008.

Burn Regime ^{1/}	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
	-----%-----					
	--					
Year 1	71.43	75.93	51.00	53.67	54.33	61.27a
Year 3	67.73	71.23	67.57	67.37	72.67	69.31a
Mean	69.58ab ^{2/}	73.58a	59.28c	60.52c	63.50 bc	

1 – burn regime – Year 1 =Burn every year in growing season; Year 3 = Burn every third year in growing season 2 – means in rows and columns followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05.

Table 6. Percent Composition of ‘Pensacola’ Bahiagrass Monoculture Burned Every Year and Every Third Year, USDA-NRCS Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center, Americus, GA, 2004-2008.

Burn Regime ^{1/}	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
	-----%-----					
	--					
Year 1	80.70	76.23	65.87	60.37	53.67	67.37a
Year 3	71.47	64.80	61.43	76.20	60.67	66.91a
Mean	76.08a ^{2/}	70.52ab	63.65bc	68.28ab	57.17c	

1 – burn regime – Year 1 =Burn every year in growing season; Year 3 = Burn every third year in growing season 2 – means in rows and columns followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05.

Table 7. Percent Composition of ‘Cave in Rock’ Switchgrass Monoculture Burned Every Year and Every Third Year, USDA-NRCS Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center, Americus, GA, 2004-2008.

Burn Regime ^{1/}	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Mean
	-----%-----					
	--					
Year 1	8.57	4.20	1.33	1.33	0.0	3.09
Year 3	34.0	31.53	24.57	13.47	13.0	23.31
Mean	21.28	17.87	12.95	7.40	6.50	

1 – burn regime – Year 1 =Burn every year in growing season; Year 3 = Burn every third year in growing season

PROJECT GAPMC-T-0154-CP ALTERNATIVE CROPS FOR SMALL FARMER'S DEMO AT THE JIMMY CARTER PMC (PHARMACEUTICAL PLANTS)

INTRODUCTION:

Humans have utilized plants for thousands of years. For example therapeutic agents for treating many ailments are derived from various herbs. Several plants produce economically important organic compounds such as **phytochemicals** and pesticides. The USDA-ARS is looking at many legumes for pharmaceutical purposes such as velvet bean (contains **L-DOPA**, which is used to treat **Parkinson's disease**). Dr. Morris with ARS (Griffin Georgia) states many obscure legumes can provide valuable multiple resources in addition to medicines such as human food, animal feed, cover crops, green manure and erosion control. This study will attempt to assemble, grow, increase and demonstrate new and different crops for small farmers. These farmers will subsequently produce valuable plant material for many uses including medicine, food, and conservation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Pharmaceutical plants that do not produce much seed at Griffin Georgia are grown in rod rows at the JCPMC for seed increase and morphological information.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

In 2008 the PMC grew the following cool-season plant taxa for potential work by Dr. Brad Morris and the pharmaceutical industry. Morphological information from this study was delivered to Dr Morris.

PI Number	Taxa	Country of Origin
284131	<i>Ornithopus compressus</i>	Portugal
284134	<i>Ornithopus perpusillus</i>	Neatherlands
517001	<i>Ornithopus pinnatus</i>	Morocco
189164	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Neatherlands
274640	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Poland
274641	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Poland
274642	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Poland
284136	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Portugal
284137	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Kenya
284139	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Kenya
284140	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Portugal
284141	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Portugal
284143	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Kenya
290725	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Great Britian
458014	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Tunisia
478398	<i>Ornithopus sativus</i>	Germany

PROJECT GAPMC-T-0155-GW CARBON SEQUESTRATION STUDY

INTRODUCTION:

Concerns over global warming have increased interest in carbon and carbon sequestration. Scientists estimate agriculture is responsible for about 7 % of the total U. S. contribution of greenhouse gases. Plants remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and store it in plant parts as carbon. When plants die and decompose some carbon is released back to the atmosphere while some is sequestered as soil carbon, especially under conservation tillage systems. This amounts to a natural giant carbon storage sink. This study will compare perennial crops ability to sequester carbon. This will be determined by soil organic matter testing of several entries in a long-term study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A randomized complete block design with four replications was planted to 'Earl' big bluestem, 'Iuka' eastern gamagrass and 'Alamo' switchgrass in May 2001 with a check of naturalized weed species. Soil organic matter content measured at 0-2 and 2-6 inch depth for each ground cover over time will be the main measured variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Table 1 and table 2 indicates no difference between ground cover for % soil organic matter sequestered. It could take another year or two to develop a difference in ground cover % soil organic matter content. However 'Iuka' eastern gamagrass is showing a trend toward superior sequestering ability at the 0-2 inch depth.

Table 1 Percent Soil Organic Matter Sequestered by Ground Covers Taken from 0 -2 Inch Depth of Carbon Sequestration Study at Jimmy Carter PMC-2008

GROUND COVER	% Soil Organic Matter
'Alamo' Switchgrass	2.80a ¹
'Earl'Big Bluestem	2.36a
'Iuka' Eastern Gamagrass	3.94a
Control (Natural Weed Cover)	2.34a
Mean	2.86

1 – means in rows and columns followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05

Table 2 Percent Soil Organic Matter Sequestered by Ground Covers Taken from 2 -6 Inch Depth of Carbon Sequestration Study at Jimmy Carter PMC-2008

GROUND COVER	% Soil Organic Matter
'Alamo' Switchgrass	1.17a ¹
'Earl'Big Bluestem	1.16a
'Iuka' Eastern Gamagrass	1.06a
Control (Natural Weed Cover)	1.07a
Mean	1.12

1 – means in rows and columns followed by the same letters are not statistically significant at P<0.05

PROJECT GAPMC-P-0456-WL LONGLEAF PINE NATIVE UNDER STORY PLANT COLLECTION AND INCREASE STUDY

INTRODUCTION:

The longleaf pine ecosystem of the Southeast is one of the most threatened in the United States. The loss of longleaf pine forests and related plant communities not only jeopardizes the extant plant species but also the native fauna that depend on the resources and structure provided by the vegetation. The objectives of this study are to locate, collect, and grow various native grasses, legumes and forbs which make up the understory vegetation of longleaf pine forest of the southeast United States. Later, seed will be increased for field planting and distribution to growers. Any seed produced by small farmers from these native seeds will be marketed for planting on **CRP longleaf pine sites**. Also seed grown by small farmers will be used to **restore natural areas** to longleaf pine and its native understory plant species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Old growth longleaf pine sites have been identified in Southwest Georgia for seed collection. The PMC staff will collect longleaf pine understory seed from locations in Worth, Irwin, Miller and Decatur Counties Georgia. The understory vegetation will be grown on upland soil at the JCPMC. The soil series is Orangeburg sandy loam. New Material will be added as needed. Plant Material will be in rod rows, 20 feet long and 6 foot spacing and 10 foot alleys. Seed will then be placed into increase blocks at the PMC for seed production and future use. Since this study is primarily a collection and increase of native understory vegetation for longleaf pine no statistical design will be employed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The following is a list of **taxa collected in 2004**: Pineywoods Dropseed *Sporobolus junceus*, Helianthus *radula*, Little Bluestem *Schizachyrium scoparium*, *Lespedeza angustifolia*, *Lespedeza hirta*, *Lespedeza virginica*, Wiregrass *Aristida stricta*, Grass Leaved Golden Aster *Pityopsis adenolepis*, Blue Sage *Salvia azurea*, Sweet Goldenrod *Solidago odora*, *Crotalaria purshii*, Pencil Flower *Stylosanthes biflora*, Scurf Pea *Psoralea canescens*, Sensitive Brier *Mimosa microphylla*, Goat's Rue *Tephrosia virginiana*, Dollar Plant *Rhynchosia reniformis*, Wild Indigo *Baptisia lanceolata*, Black-Eyed Susan *Rudbeckia hirta*, *Andropogon gyrans*. In **2005** Queens delight *Stillingia sylvatica*, Split beard bluestem *Andropogon ternarius*, Dusty clover *Lespedeza capitata*, Rattle-box *Crotalaria rotundifolia*, Purple Elephants-foot *Elephantopus nudatus* was added to the seed collection. In **2006** Hairy small- leaf ticktrefoil *Desmodium ciliare* Velvetleaf ticktrefoil *Desmodium viridiflorum*, Pinebarren ticktrefoil *Desmodium strictum*, White-topped aster *Aster tortifolius*, Rattlesnake Master, *Eryngium yuccifolium*, Blazing star *Liatris gracilis*, *Liatris elegans*, *Liatris tenuifolia*, Beaked panicum, *Panicum anceps*, Thoroughwort, *Eupatorium semiserratum*, *Eupatorium hyssopifolium*, Lopsided indiagrass, *Sorghastrum secundum*, Slender bluestem, *Schizachyrium tenerum*, Deers tongue, *Carphephorus odoratissimus*, Black senna, *Seymeria cassioides*, Summer farewell, *Dalea pinnata*, Narrow plume grass, *Erianthus strictus* and Golden aster, *Chrysopsis gossypina*, was added to the seed collection. In **2007** Ironweed *Veronia angustifolia*, Wild sensitive Plant *Chamaecrista nictitans*, Chinquapin *Castanea pumila*, Slimleaf ticktrefoil *Desmodium tenuifolia*, Panicleleaf ticktrefoil *Desmodium paniculatum*, Stiff ticktrefoil *Desmodium obtusum*, Thin paspalum *Paspalum setaceum*, Purpletop *Tridens flavus*, and Thoroughwort *Eupatorium altissimum*, was added to the seed collection. In **2008** seed was cleaned and land was prepared for seed increase. In **Spring 2009** summer legumes will be selected from the seed collection and increased at the PMC.



Seed Collection in Worth Co Georgia

PROJECT GAPMC-T-0457-WL ASSEMBLY OF PLANTS FOR BOBWHITE QUAIL HABITAT IMPROVEMENT

INTRODUCTION:

There is renewed interest in plant material for use in wildlife habitat improvement. The Georgia Department of Natural Resources and the Georgia NRCS is involved in improving wildlife habitat on landowners' property throughout the state. The bobwhite quail initiative designed to improve bobwhite quail habitat has received much national and local attention. This new special planting was installed to demonstrate to landowners and other cooperators the potential of plant materials for use in wildlife habitat improvement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

All material was selected to demonstrate use of plants for wildlife cover, nesting and food. This demonstration especially emphasizes wildlife habitat improvement for bobwhite quail in the Southeastern U.S. Plant cultivars, and accessions displayed included 20 big bluestem collected from the Southeastern U.S. and selected by NRCS biologists for bob white quail habitat improvement, Oklahoma Select little bluestem, 'Cave-in-Rock' switchgrass, Wabasso switchgrass, Stuart switchgrass, Martin eastern gamagrass, St. Lucie eastern gamagrass, Arkansas selection of big bluestem, Citrus maidencane, ragweed, Florida Paspalum, 'Kaw' big bluestem, 'Cheyenne' Indiangrass, 'Cimmaron' little bluestem, Union purpletop, Newberry Indiangrass, florida beggarweed, and partridge pea.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

October 21, **2008** landowners and cooperators observed the Bob white Quail habitat improvement study during the Jimmy Carter Plant Materials Center **Native Warm Season Grass Field Day**. Participants included the following: Lower Chattahoochee River Soil & Water Conservation District, Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission, Georgia DNR, NRCS, seed Companies, equipment companies, and local landowners.



Bobwhite Quail Habitat Improvement Study

PROJECT GAPMC-T-0758-WL RESTORATION STUDY FOR ENHANCEMENT OF BOBWHITE QUAIL HABITAT

INTRODUCTION:

Native warm season grasses and forbs constitute a major source of food, shelter and structure for bobwhite quail populations. However modern farming practices in the Southeastern U.S. have eliminated much of this habitat. Efforts such as this project at Jimmy Carter PMC and also at private sites in the entire region will demonstrate modification of conventional farming systems to enhance wildlife and upland bird habitat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The site at the JCPMC for this restoration project and demonstration is on 10 acres of bahiagrass-bermudagrass pasture and hayland. The soil series is Orangeburg sandy loam. The first phase of the restoration is the elimination of competitive vegetation by use of herbicides and disking. Next, native warm season grasses and forbs will be planted to the site. Shrubs may also be added at a later date. Once a stand of desirable vegetation has been established wildlife habitat rankings will be determined by wildlife biologists.

In October 2006 PMC personnel applied chopper, plateau ,and BASF 693 to the restoration site to eliminate competitive vegetation. In June 2007 journey was applied to the remaining pasture vegetation. In summer /fall 2007 the pasture was 95% free of competitive vegetation. All seed used in the study were Southeastern ecotypes from a native plant seed company. In February 2008 half of the treated pasture was planted according to specifications of **Alabama NRCS biologists** In April 2008 the other half of the treated pasture was planted according to **Georgia NRCS biologists** specifications. These planting will reflect the special needs of both states regarding demonstration of wildlife habitat improvement for bobwhite quail in the southeast. In May 2008 plateau was again applied to the Alabama planting to control ryegrass

In 2008 the Georgia planting displayed an infestation of bahiagrass. Plateau was applied in October 2008 to the Georgia planting to control bahiagrass. In March 2009 gramoxone was applied to the Alabama planting to control ryegrass. Also in March 2009 plateau was again applied to both Alabama and Georgia planting to control ryegrass and bahiagrass. There is a possibility for more applications of plateau in 2009 to control bahiagrass.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The following are wildlife habitat ratings by Georgia DNR wildlife biologists conducted November 2008.

Alabama Planting

Type Planting ¹	Seeding Rate (Pounds pure live seed per acre)	Nest Cover Rating	Brood Cover Rating	Escape Cover Rating
Bearded NWSG Mix (BB,IN,LB,BES) ²	BB-2 IN-2 LB-2 BES-. 5	Fair	Poor	Too Early to rate
Debearded Seed (BB, IN, PP) ³	BB-3.5 IN- 2.5 PP- 2.5	Poor	Fair	Too early to rate
Debearded Seed (BB, IN,PP) ⁴	BB-3.5 IN-2.5 PP- 2.5	Fair-Good	Good -Excellent	Too early to rate
Debearded Seed (BB,IN,BES) ⁵	BB-3.5 IN-2.5 BES-.5	Fair-good	Poor	Too early to rate
Debearded Seed(BB, IN, PP) ⁶	BB-3.5 IN 2.5 PP- 2.5	Fair	Good	Too early to rate
Debearded Seed (BB, IN, BES) ⁷	BB-3.5 IN 2.5 BES-.5	Good	Poor	Too Early to rate

1- During establishment a cyclone spreader distributed the seed and a cultipacker pressed seed into soil approximately .25 inches deep

2- BB= Big Bluestem, IN= Indiangrass, LB= Little Bluestem BES= Blackeyed Susan. Pelleted lime used as seed carrier.

3- PP= Partridge Pea . Oats were used as a seed carrier

4- No seed carrier

5- Sand used as seed carrier

6- Cat Litter used as seed carrier

7- Pelleted lime as seed carrier

Georgia Planting

This planting used a traux grass drill to place and cover seed at planting to approximately .25 inches deep. All seed was debearded. Big Bluestem, Indiangrass, and Little bluestem were planted at the rate of 1.5 pounds of pure live seed per acre. Switchgrass was planted at rate of .5 pounds of pure live seed per acre. Florida beggarweed , partridge pea, Illinois bundle flower and common ragweed were planted at rate of .25 pounds of pure live seed per acre.

In areas with heavy bahiagrass infestation **Nest Cover Rating** and **Brood Cover Rating** was very bad.
In areas with no bahiagrass infestation **Nest Cover Rating** was Fair and **Brood Cover Rating** was Good

Rating of the planting will continue in 2009.

PROJECT GAPMC-P-0759-OT OBSERVATIONAL PLANTINGS

INTRODUCTION:

The plant material program began a new study at each PMC to conduct adaptation planting of future releases. Releases and future releases are sent to various PMCs around the U.S. to determine the range of adaptation of the release material.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Each PMC will plant one or two small rows of selected material from other PMCs to determine their adaptation and range. They will be evaluated for morphological characters such as stand, vigor, drought tolerance, insect problems, disease problems, seed production and plant height.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

In 2008 the following plant material was grown at the PMC for adaptation response

PLANT MATERIAL	TAXA	RELEASING PMC
Purple Bluestem	<i>Andropogon glomeratus</i>	Florida
Lopsided Indiangrass	<i>Sorghastrum secundum</i>	Florida
Eastern Gamagrass	<i>Tripsacum dactyloides</i>	Florida
Blue Maidencane	<i>Amphicarpum muehlenbergianum</i>	Florida
Florida Paspalum	<i>Paspalum floridanum</i>	NPMC
Tall Oatgrass	<i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i>	West Virginia
Orchardgrass	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	West Virginia
Florida Paspalum	<i>Paspalum floridanum</i>	East Texas
Herbaceous Mimosa	<i>Mimosa strigillosa</i>	East Texas
Velvet Rosettegrass	<i>Dichantherium scoparium</i>	East Texas

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS

In 2008 the PMC manager, Area 2 resource soil scientist, and PAS (Georgia) produced a plant identification document intended for field office use in evaluating CP 36 sites. This document was displayed and explained at Area 3 and Area 4 CP 36 meetings. The document (**Georgia Native Plant Material Guide for Longleaf Pine Understory**) contains photos and descriptions of plants naturally occurring or planted in CP36 sites. These are important wildlife plants that accompany longleaf pine plantings in this CRP program.



Partridge Pea and Hairy Lespedeza

In 2008 the Area 2 resource soil scientist and PMC manager produced a cd to assist field office personnel identify plants occurring in wetlands. The publication is entitled **Wetlands Plant Identification Guide** Version 2.0



Elderberry and Poor Mans Soap



RELEASES FROM JIMMY CARTER PMC

Common Name (Year of Release)	Scientific Name	Primary Use
'Pensacola' Bahiagrass ('44)	<i>Paspalum notatum</i>	Forage Production
'Amclo' Arrowleaf Clover ('63)	<i>Trifolium vesiculosum</i>	Forage Production
'Dove' Proso Millet ('72)	<i>Panicum miliaceum</i>	Wildlife Food
'Flageo' Marshhay Cordgrass* ('90)	<i>Spartina patens</i>	Beach Stabilization
(The 'Flageo' Marshhay Cordgrass release involved a cooperative effort with Fort Valley State Univ.)		
'Big O' Crabapple* ('92)	<i>Malus coronaria</i>	Wildlife Food
'Wetlander' Giant Cutgrass* ('93)	<i>Zizaniopsis miliacea</i>	Constructed Wetlands
'Restorer' Giant Bulrush* ('93)	<i>Scirpus californicus</i>	Constructed Wetlands
'Americus' Hairy Vetch ('93)	<i>Vicia villosa</i>	Winter Cover Crop and Conservation Tillage
(The 'Americus' Hairy Vetch release involved a cooperative effort with the University of Georgia)		
'AU Early Cover' Hairy Vetch ('94)	<i>Vicia villosa</i>	Winter Cover Crop and Conservation Tillage
(The 'AU Early Cover' Hairy Vetch release involved a cooperative effort with Auburn University)		
'AU Ground Cover' Caley Pea ('94)	<i>Lathyrus hirsutus</i>	Winter Cover Crop and Conservation Tillage
(The 'AU Ground Cover' Caley Pea release involved a cooperative effort with Auburn University)		
'Sharp' Marshhay Cordgrass* ('94)	<i>Spartina patens</i>	Beach Stabilization
(The 'Sharp' Marshhay Cordgrass release involved a cooperative effort with NRCS PMC in Brooksville, Florida)		
'AU Sunrise' Crimson Clover ('97)	<i>Trifolium incarnatum</i>	Winter Cover Crop and Conservation Tillage
(The 'AU Sunrise' Crimson Clover release involved a cooperative effort with Auburn University)		
'Americus' Indiangrass * (2002)	<i>Sorghastrum nutans</i>	Forage, landscape, restoration
(The 'Americus' Indiangrass release involved a cooperative effort with Alabama Crop Improvement)		
'Highlander' Eastern Gamagrass * (2003)	<i>Tripsacum dactyloides</i>	Forage, buffer, conservation
(The 'Highlander' release involved Coffeeville Miss PMC as primary with MAFES)		
'Kinchafoonie' Virginia Wildrye* (2004)	<i>Elymus virginicus</i>	Conservation, log roads, restoration
'Newberry' Indiangrass* (2005)	<i>Sorghastrum nutans</i>	Conservation buffers,wildlife habitat,urban landscape, restoration and critical areas
'Union' Purpletop* (2005)	<i>Tridens flavus</i>	Conservation buffers,wildlife habitat, urban landscape,restoration and critical areas
(Newberry and Union release involved cooperative effort with USDA-USFS and SC Native Plant Society)		
'Muckalee' Woolgrass*(2008)	<i>Scirpus cyperinus</i>	Small constructed wetlands and wetland restoration
'Sumter' Softrush * (2008)	<i>Juncus effuses</i>	Small constructed wetlands and wetland restoration

*Native plants

For more information concerning the plant materials center and its conservation efforts, contact the center's manager at 295 Morris Drive, Americus, Georgia 31709. Phone: (229) 924-4499 or 924-7003.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its program and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600. (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity employer.