Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

INDIVIDUAL PROFILES OF MEDICARE RISK HMOs



APRIL 1995 OEI-06-91-00733

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) programs as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by three OIG operating components: the Office of Audit Services, the Office of Investigations, and the Office of Evaluation and Inspections. The OIG also informs the Secretary of HHS of program, and management problems, and recommends courses to correct them.

OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES

The OIG's Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides all auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations in order to reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and to promote economy and efficiency throughout the Department.

OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS

The OIG's Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of allegations of wrongdoing in HHS programs or to HHS beneficiaries and of unjust enrichment by providers. The investigative efforts of OIlead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, or civil money penalties. The OIalso oversees State Medicaid fraud control units which investigate and prosecute fraud and patient abuse in the Medicaid program.

OFFICE OF EVALUATION AND INSPECTIONS

The OIG's Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts short-term management and program evaluations (called inspections) that focus on issues of concern to the Department, the Congress, and the public. The findings and recommendations contained in these inspection reports generate rapid, accurate, and up-todate information on the efficiency, vulnerability, and effectiveness of departmental programs. This report was prepared in the Dallas regional office under the direction of Ralph Tunnell, Regional Inspector General and Chester B. Slaughter, Deputy Regional Inspector General. Project staff:

| REGION | HEADQUARTERS |
|--------|--------------|
| | |

| Michelle Adams | Pamela Smith | Hugh Hetzer |
|------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Leah Bostick | Sarah Taylor | Mark Krushat |
| George DeLuna | Judith Tyler | Brian Ritchie |
| Kevin Golladay | Nancy Watts | Barbara Tedesco |
| Carolyn Neuwirth | · | |

For additional copies of this report, please contact the Dallas Regional Office at (800) 848-8960.

| Ab | ove average | \oplus | Average | 0 | Below average | NS | Not sufficient data |
|----------------------|-------------|----------|---------|---|---------------|----|---------------------|
|----------------------|-------------|----------|---------|---|---------------|----|---------------------|

| HMO ID #: 0545 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 36) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 96% (26) | +15% | • | 75% (477) | 72% (13) | -3% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 96% (21) | +3% | Ф | 89% (453) | 93% (13) | +4% | • |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 85% (29) | -10% | 0 | 87% (704) | 76% (19) | -11% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 86% (18) | -4% | Ф | 89% (425) | 90% (18) | +1% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 100% (34) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 82% (22) | -6% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (30) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 71% (20) | -17% | 0 |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 94% (33) | +1% | Ф | 86% (696) | 85% (22) | -1% | Φ |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 38% | -15% | 0 | 41% | 20% | -21% | 0 |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (13) 59% (20) | +14% | • | (296) 49% (383) | (5) 72% (18) | +23% | • |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 8% (2) | -2% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 79% (22) | -9% | 0 | 76% (650) | 88% (29) | +12% | • |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: 0545 | | | Enrollees $(N = 37)$ | | | | Disenrollees $(N = 36)$ | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|--|
| Benefic Survey | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | <u></u> : | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 93% (28) | +7% | • | 72% (471) | 52% (12) | -20% | 0 | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 0% (4) | -9% | 0 | 26% (162) | 48% (11) | +22% | • | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | - | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 72% (23) | -2% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 43% (9) | -15% | Ф | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 6% (2) | -9% | 0 | 36% (230) | 48% (10) | +12% | ⊕ | |

AETNA HEALTH PLANS OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

| No. Enrollees: 14,580 | Total No. Sampled: 94 | Total No. Respondents: 60 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: H 0547 | Enrollees (N = 34) | | | | | Disenro (N = 2 | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 94% (15) | +31% | • | 58% (430) | 64% (9) | +6% | ⊕ |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 1 00% (20) | +4% | Ф | 98% (824) | 94% (16) | -4% | 0 |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 82% (14) | -6% | Ф | 82% (611) | 69% (11) | -13% | 0 |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 91% (31) | +2% | Ф | 84% (852) | 83% (20) | -1% | Ф |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 1 00% (34) | +4% | • | 93 % (958) | 96% (23) | +3% | ⊕ |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 86% (25) | +12% | • | 67% (523) | 60% (12) | -7% | Ф |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 94% (29) | +9% | Ф | 82% (681) | 90% (17) | +8% | Ф |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 0547 | Enrollees $(N = 34)$ | | | | Disenrollees (N = 26) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 1 00% (27) | +19% | • | 75% (477) | 94% (16) | +19% | • |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 96% (24) | +3% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 100% (14) | +11% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (31) | +2% | Φ | 87% (704) | 95% (18) | +8% | Ф |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 1 00% (20) | +10% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | 1 00% (9) | +11% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (31) | +1% | Ф | 88% (744) | 1 00% (19) | +12% | • |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (30) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 90% (18) | +2% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 97% (29) | +4% | • | 86% (696) | 83% (15) | -3% | 0 |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 57% | +4% | ⊕ | 41% | 41% | 0% | Ф |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (17) 43% (13) | -2% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (7) 59% (10) | +10% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 84% (26) | -4% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 95% (18) | +19% | • |

| | Above average | ⊕ Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| _ | Above average | ₩ Avciage | O Delow average | 115 110t Sufficient data |

| нмо | D #: H 0547 | | Enrollees (N = 34) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 26) | | | |
|-----------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 88% (28) | +2% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 95% (18) | +23% | • | |
| ٠ | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 6% (2) | -3% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 0% (0) | -26% | 0 | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 77% (23) | +3% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 56% (9) | -2% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 20% (6) | +5% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 31% (5) | -5% | Ф | |

AMERIMED (CA)

| No. Enrollees: 1,024 | Total No. Sampled: 96 | Total No. Respondents: 49 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|
| HMO ID #: 0557 | | Enrol (N = | | | A CONTRACTOR | Disenrollees (N = 21) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 63% (12) | 0% | Ф | 58% (430) | 47% (7) | -11% | ⊕ | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 96% (27) | 0% | Φ | 98% (824) | 100% (17) | +2% | ⊕ | | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMO |)s | | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 80% (20) | -8% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | 89% (16) | +7% | 0 | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 85% (22) | -4% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 77% (13) | -7% | Ф | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 85% (23) | -11% | 0 | 93% (958) | 80% (16) | -13% | 0 | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 81% (17) | +7% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 46% (5) | -21% | 0 | | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 91% (21) | +6% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 82% (9) | 0% | ⊕ | | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: 0557 | | Enrol (N = | - | | | Disenro (N = 2 | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 81% (13) | 0% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 55% (6) | -20% | 0 |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (9) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 80% (8) | -9% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 100% (24) | +5% | Ф | 87% (704) | 73% (8) | -14% | 0 |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 88% (7) | -2% | Ф | 89% (425) | 1 00% (3) | +11% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 91% (21) | -5% | 0 | 88% (744) | 82% (9) | -6% | 0 |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 1 00% (20) | +4% | • | 88% (732) | 91% (10) | +3% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 95% (18) | +2% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 82% (9) | -4% | ⊕ |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ► improve | 53% | 41% | -12% | 0 | 41% | 50% | +9% | ⊕ |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (9) 59% (13) | +14% | • | (296) 49% (383) | (5) 50% (5) | +1% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 1 0% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | Ф |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 76% (16) | -12% | 0 | 76% (650) | 70% (7) | -6% | ⊕ |

| НМО | ID #: 0557 | | Enrollees (N = 28) | | | | Disenrollees $(N = 21)$ | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Benefic Survey | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 91% (19) | +5% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 56% (5) | -16% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 1 0% (2) | +1% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 44% (4) | +18% | • | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | **** | 18-15- | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 71% (12) | -3% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 25% (2) | -33% | 0 | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 1 8% (3) | +3% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 75% (6) | +39% | • | |

HEALTH NET (CA)

| No. Enrollees: 6,131 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 72 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: H 0563 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenrol (N = 3 | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 57% (4) | -6% | Ф | 58% (430) | 93% (13) | +35% | • |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 100% (14) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 83% (5) | -5% | Ф | 82% (611) | 91% (10) | +9% | Ф |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 84% (27) | -5% | Ф | 84% (852) | 92% (23) | +8% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 94% (30) | -2% | Φ | 93% (958) | 1 00% (25) | +7% | ⊕ |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 73% (22) | -1% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 46% (6) | -21% | 0 |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 90% (27) | +5% | Φ | 82% (681) | 85% (11) | +3% | 0 |

| Above average | Ф | Average | \circ | Below average | NS | Not sufficient data |
|---------------|---|---------|---------|---------------|-----|---------------------|
| Above average | Ψ | Average | \circ | Delow average | 142 | Not Sufficient data |

| HMO ID #: H 0563 | | Enrol (N = | | · | Disenrollees (N = 36) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 88% (21) | +7% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 73% (8) | -2% | ⊕ | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 86% (19) | -7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 1 00% (9) | +11% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 1 00% (29) | +5% | Ф | 87% (704) | 100% (14) | +13% | • | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 86% (19) | -4% | 0 | 89% (425) | 1 00% (6) | +11% | ⊕ | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 100% (30) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 93% (13) | +5% | ⊕ | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (31) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 93% (13) | +5% | ⊕ | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 90% (28) | -3% | • | 86% (696) | 93% (13) | +7% | ⊕ | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 57% | +4% | ⊕ | 41% | 50% | +9% | ⊕ | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (17) 40% (12) | -5% | Ф | (296) 49% (383) | (7) 43% (6) | -6% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 7% (1) | -3% | ⊕ | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 90% (26) | +2% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 69% (9) | -7% | 0 | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 0563 | | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 36)$ | | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | <u></u> | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 93% (26) | +7% | • | 72% (471) | 73% (8) | +1% | Ф | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 0% (0) | -9% | 0 | 26% (162) | 27% (3) | +1% | ⊕ | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 89% (23) | +15% | • | 58% (387) | 67% (8) | +9% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 4% (1) | -11% | 0 | 36% (230) | 25% (3) | -11% | ⊕ | |

HMO COLORADO, INC.

| No. Enrollees: 894 | Total No. Sampled: 57 | Total No. Respondents: 52 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | Not For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 0603 | | Enro | | | Disenrollees (N = 6) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 67% (22) | +4% | Ф | 58% (430) | 75% (3) | + 17% | ⊕ | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 97% (35) | +1% | Ф | 98% (824) | 100% (5) | +2% | 0 | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMO |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 90% (26) | +2% | Ф | 82% (611) | 50% (2) | -32% | 0 | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 93% (42) | +4% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 40% (2) | -44% | 0 | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 93% | -3% | Φ | 93% | 100% | +7% | • | |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (41) | | | (958) | (5) | | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 74% (32) | 0% | Ф | 67% (523) | | | NS | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 100% (43) | +15% | • | 82% (681) | | | NS | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 0603 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 92% (34) | +11% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 100% (1) | +25% | • |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 92% (24) | -1% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 100% (1) | +11% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 98% (42) | +3% | Ф | 87% (704) | 100% (1) | +13% | • |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 95% (20) | +5% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | | | NS |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 96% (42) | 0% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 80% (4) | -8% | Ф |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 96% (43) | 0% | Φ | 88% (732) | 80% (4) | -8% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 91% (40) | -2% | • | 86% (696) | 100% (5) | +14% | • |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ► improve | 53% | 47% | -6% | ⊕ | 41% | 40% | -1% | ⊕ |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (21) 47% (21) | +2% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (2) 20% (1) | -29% | 0 |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 7% (3) | +5% | • | 10% (86) | 40% (2) | +30% | • |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | *************************************** | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 87% (34) | -1% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 80% (4) | +4% | ⊕ |

| HMO ID #: H 0603 | | | Enrollees (N = 46) | | | | Disenrollees $(N = 6)$ | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|------------------|---|---------|----------|--|
| | iary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 89% (32) | +3% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 60% (3) | -12% | Ф | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 8% (3) | -1% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 40% (2) | +14% | ⊕ | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | , | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 71% (27) | -3% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 60% (3) | +2% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 18% (7) | +3% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 40% (2) | +4% | ⊕ | |

COMPRECARE HEALTH CARE SERVICES (CO)

| No. Enrollees: 6,756 | Total No. Sampled: 100 | Total No. Respondents: 81 |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 0609 | Enrollees (N = 42) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 39) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 71% (17) | +8% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 85% (23) | +27% | • | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 97% (29) | +1% | Ф | 98% (824) | 100% (34) | +2% | Ф | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 74% (17) | -14% | 0 | 82% (611) | 69% (20) | -13% | Ф | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 98% (40) | +9% | • | 84% (852) | 97% (38) | +13% | • | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 1 00% (40) | +4% | • | 93% (958) | 100% (38) | +7% | Ф | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 68% (28) | -6% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 77% (26) | +10% | Φ | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 95% (38) | +10% | Φ | 82% (681) | 97% (31) | +15% | • | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 0609 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 3 | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | Ali HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 91% (32) | +10% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 96% (21) | +21% | • |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (28) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 96% (21) | +7% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 95% (35) | 0% | Φ | 87% (704) | 94% (29) | +7% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 100% (18) | +10% | Ф | 89% (425) | 95% (18) | +6% | Ф |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 100% (41) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 94% (32) | +6% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 95% (39) | -1% | Ф | 88% (732) | 91% (32) | +3% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 93% (38) | 0% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 97% (33) | +11% | • |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 41% | -12% | 0 | 41% | 46% | +5% | ⊕ |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (16) 59% (23) | +14% | • | (296) 49% (383) | (12) 54% (14) | +5% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | Ф | 10% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | _ | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | <u></u> | | | | | | | 200 (1.0000) |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 93% (37) | +5% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 76% (25) | 0% | ⊕ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 0609 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 39)$ | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 87% (28) | +1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 81% (21) | +9% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 3% (4) | -6% | 0 | 26% (162) | 15% (4) | -11% | Ф | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 81% (30) | +7% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 52% (12) | -6% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 11 % (4) | -4% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 39% (9) | +3% | ⊕ | |

QUAL-MED, INC. (COLORADO SPRINGS, CO)

| No. Enrollees: 828 | Total No. Sampled: 63 | Total No. Respondents: 50 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below avera | ge | NS No | t sufficien | t data | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 0616 | Enrollees (N = 39) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 11) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and | 63% | 84% | +21% | | 58% | 50% | -8% | ⊕ | |
| hospice care. | (717) | (27) | | | (430) | (3) | | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (36) | +4% | 0 | 98% (824) | 100% (10) | +2% | ⊕ | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 97% (33) | +9% | • | 82% (611) | 63% (5) | -19% | С | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 1 00% (36) | +11% | • | 84% (852) | 78% (7) | -6% | • | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 100% | +4% | • | 93% | 90% | -3% | • | |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (36) | | | (958) | (9) | | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 78% (25) | +4% | Ф | 67% (523) | | | N: | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, | | | | | | | | | |

+12%

 \oplus

82%

(681)

NS

usually waited less than 13

days.

85%

(1,276)

97%

(32)

| HMO ID #: H 0616 | | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 11) | | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|-------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| > | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 91% (29) | +5% | Φ | 72% (471) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 3% (1) | -6% | 0 | 26% (162) | | | NS | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 60% (18) | -14% | 0 | 58% (387) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 20% (6) | +5% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | | | NS | |

QUAL-MED, INC. (PUEBLO, CO)

| No. Enrollees: 1,009 | Total No. Sampled: 64 | Total No. Respondents: 42 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 0617 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 7) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 70% (14) | +7% | Ф | 58% (430) | | | NS | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 96% (23) | 0% | Ф | 98% (824) | | | NS | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 91% (21) | +3% | 0 | 82% (611) | | | NS | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 97% (31) | +8% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | | | NS | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 94% | -2% | Φ | 93% | | | NS | |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (31) | | | (958) | | | 3 | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 72% (21) | -2% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | | | NS | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 84% (26) | -1% | Φ | 82% (681) | | | NS | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 0617 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 91% (20) | +10% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | | | NS |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 96% (26) | +3% | Ф | 89% (453) | | | NS |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (29) | +2% | Ф | 87% (704) | - | | NS |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 93% (14) | +3% | Ф | 89% (425) | | | NS |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 94% (29) | -2% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | | | NS |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (29) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | | | NS |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 91% (29) | -2% | 0 | 86% (696) | | | NS |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | - MANA | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 41% | -12% | 0 | 41% | | | NS |
| stay about the same | (7 7 2) 45% (649) | (12) 55% (16) | +10% | • | (296) 49% (383) | | | NS |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | | | NS |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 84% (26) | -4% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | | | NS |

 \oplus Average

O Below average

| НМО | D ID #: H 0617 | | Enrollees (N = 35) | | | | Disenrollees $(N = 7)$ | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|-------|------------------|------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|
| Benefic Survey | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best | | | | | | | | | | |
| | medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 85% (22) | -1% | Ф | 72% (471) | | | NS | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 8% (2) | -1% | Ф | 26% (162) | | | NS | | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | · | | | | | | | | |
| | giving the best | | | | | | | | | | |
| | medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 62% (18) | -12% | 0 | 58% (387) | | | NS | | |
| ٠ | holding down the | 15% (213) | 28% (8) | +13% | • | 36% (230) | | | NS | | |

HEALTH OPTIONS - SOUTH FLORIDA

| No. Enrollees: 19,310 | Total No. Sampled: 100 | Total No. Respondents: 62 |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: H 1026 | Enrollees (N = 34) | | | | | Disenro (N = 2 | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 56% (14) | -7% | 0 | 58% (430) | 33% (6) | -25% | 0 |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 97% (29) | +1% | Φ | 98% (824) | 100% (23) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 96% (26) | +8% | Φ | 82% (611) | 76% (16) | -6% | Ф |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 1 00% (32) | +11% | • | 84% (852) | 85% (23) | +1% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 100% (32) | +4% | • | 93% (958) | 96% (25) | +3% | 0 |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 77% (24) | +3% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 61% (14) | -6% | ⊕ |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 91% (28) | +6% | Ф | 82% (681) | 88% (21) | +6% | Ф |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 1026 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 2 | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 89% (23) | +8% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 95% (18) | +20% | • |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 87% (20) | -6% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 100% (14) | +11% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 94% (29) | -1% | 0 | 87% (704) | 77% (17) | -10% | 0 |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 96% (24) | +6% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | 87% (13) | -2% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 90% (28) | -6% | , O | 88% (744) | 88% (21) | 0% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 90% (28) | -6% | 0 | 88% (732) | 87% (20) | -1% | Ф |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 97% (29) | +4% | • | 86% (696) | 91% (20) | +5% | 0 |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 74% | +21% | • | 41% | 30% | -11% | ⊕ |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (20) 26% (7) | -19% | 0 | (296) 49% (383) | (7) 57% (13) | +8% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 13% (3) | +3% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 94% (29) | +6% | • | 76% (650) | 68% (17) | -8% | ⊕ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 1026 | | | Enrollees (N = 34) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 28) | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 96% (24) | +10% | • | 72% (471) | 75% (12) | +3% | Ф | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 4% (1) | -5% | 0 | 26% (162) | 25% (4) | -1% | ⊕ | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 71% (20) | -3% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 61% (11) | +3% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 21% (6) | +6% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 28% (5) | -8% | ⊕ | |

HUMANA MEDICAL PLAN (FL)

| No. Enrollees: 209,393 | Total No. Sampled: 96 | Total No. Respondents: 59 |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Staff | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | rage | NS No | t sufficien | ıt data | |
|---|--|-----------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 1036 | in i | Enrol (N = | - | | Disenrollees (N = 23) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 46% (13) | -17% | Ф | 58% (430) | 39% (7) | -19% | ⊕ | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (35) | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 100% (20) | +2% | • | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 91% (31) | +3% | 0 | 82% (611) | 85% (17) | +3% | 0 | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 92% (33) | +3% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 76% (16) | -8% | ⊕ | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 94% | -2% | Ф | 93% | 95% | +2% | ⊕ | |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (34) | | | (958) | (20) | | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 74% (23) | -0% | Ф | 67% (523) | 74% (14) | +7% | ⊕ | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 | 85% | 86% | +1% | Φ | 82% | 86% | +4% | ⊕ | |
| days. | (1,276) | (24) | 1170 | ¥ | (681) | (18) | 1 7770 | • | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 1036 | | Enrol (N = | - | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 71% (17) | -10% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 73% (11) | -2% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (19) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 87% (13) | -2% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 94% (31) | -1% | Ф | 87% (704) | 71% (15) | -16% | 0 |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 90% (18) | 0% | Ф | 89% (425) | 80% (12) | -9% | Ф |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (30) | +1% | Ф | 88% (744) | 65% (15) | -23% | 0 |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (29) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 62% (13) | -26% | 0 |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 93% (27) | 0% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 68% (15) | -18% | 0 |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | a:147 | |
| ► improve | 53% | 47% | -6% | ⊕ | 41% | 29% | -12% | ⊕ |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (15) 53% (17) | +8% | Ф | (296) 49% (383) | (6) 33% (7) | -16% | 0 |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 38% (8) | +28% | • |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 89% (25) | -1% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 41% (9) | -35% | 0 |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 1036 | | | Enrollees (N = 36) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 23) | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | · · · · · - | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 69% (18) | -17% | 0 | 72% (471) | 39% (7) | -33% | 0 | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 19% (5) | +10% | • | 26% (162) | 56% (10) | +30% | • | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | - | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 71% (20) | -3% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 32% (6) | -26% | 0 | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 11% (3) | -4% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 58% (11) | +22% | • | |

PCA HEALTH PLANS OF FLORIDA

| No. Enrollees: 6,193 | Total No. Sampled: 100 | Total No. Respondents: 67 |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below avera | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 1056 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 27) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and | 63% | 84% | +21% | • | 58% | 55% | -3% | ⊕ | |
| hospice care. | (717) | (27) | | | (430) | (12) | | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (34) | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 92% (22) | -6% | 0 | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 92% (34) | +4% | Ф | 82% (611) | 91% (21) | +9% | Ф | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 95% (38) | +6% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 83% (19) | -1% | Ф | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 98% (39) | +2% | Ф | 93 % (958) | 1 00% (23) | +7% | ⊕ | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 81% (30) | +7% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 65% (11) | -2% | ⊕ | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 83% (30) | -2% | Φ | 82% (681) | 82% (14) | 0% | ⊕ | |

| • | Above average | \oplus | Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---|---------------|----------|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| _ | | _ | AL VOLUE | C Below average | 110 110 Bullicions acces |

| HMO ID #: H 1056 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 27) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 79% (23) | -2% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 72% (13) | -3% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 84% (21) | -9% | 0 | 89% (453) | 80% (8) | -9% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 90% (35) | -5% | Ф | 87% (704) | 75% (15) | -12% | 0 |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 94% (29) | +4% | Ф | 89% (425) | 100% (13) | +11% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 95% (36) | -1% | Ф | 88% (744) | 82% (14) | -6% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 95% (36) | -1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 68% (13) | -20% | 0 |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 97% (37) | +4% | • | 86% (696) | 79% (15) | -7% | ⊕ |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 49% | -4% | ⊕ | 41% | 12% | -29% | 0 |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (16) 52% (17) | +7% | Ф | (296) 49% (383) | (2) 71% (12) | +22% | • |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | Ф | 10% (86) | 18% (3) | +8% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 92% (36) | +4% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 56% (9) | -20% | 0 |

| HMO ID #: H 1056 | | _ | Enrollees $(N = 40)$ | | | | Disenrollees (N = 27) | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------|----------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 79% (27) | -7% | 0 | 72% (471) | 62% (8) | -10% | Ф | |
| ٠ | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 12% (4) | +3% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 39% (5) | +13% | ⊕ | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 62% (21) | -12% | 0 | 58% (387) | 31% (4) | -27% | 0 | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 21% (1) | +6% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 54% (7) | +18% | • | |

HUMANA HEALTH PLAN (IL)

| No. Enrollees: 20,817 | Total No. Sampled: 96 | Total No. Respondents: 54 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Staff | For-Profit | Competitive |

● Above average ⊕ Average ○ Below average NS Not sufficient data

| HMO ID #: H 1406 Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | Enrollees (N = 35) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 19) | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|-------|--|
| | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 44% (11) | -19% | 0 | 58% (430) | | | NS | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 96% (22) | 0% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | | | NS | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 92% (22) | +4% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | | | NS | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 82% (27) | -7% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | | | NS | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 97% (33) | +1% | ⊕ | 93% (958) | | | NS | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 76% (22) | +2% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | | | NS | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 73% (24) | -12% | Φ | 82% (681) | | | NS | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 1406 | Enrollees (N = 35) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 19) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 73% (22) | -8% | Φ | 75% (477) | | | NS | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 90% (18) | -3% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | | | NS | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 94% (29) | -1% | ⊕ | 87% (704) | | | NS | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 69% (11) | -21% | 0 | 89% (425) | | | NS | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 90% (28) | -6% | 0 | 88% (744) | | | NS | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (31) | +1% | Ф | 88% (732) | | | NS | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 91% (29) | -2% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | | | NS | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ► improve | 53% | 62% | +9% | ⊕ | 41% | | | NS | |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (21) 38% (13) | -7% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | | | NS | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | | | NS | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's | 88% | 79% | -9% | 0 | 76% | | | NS | |
| health complaints seriously. | (1349) | (23) | | | (650) | | | | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| нмо | ID #: H 1406 | Enrollees $(N = 35)$ | | | | Disenrollees (N = 19) | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 93% (28) | +7% | • | 72% (471) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 0% (0) | -9% | 0 | 26% (162) | | | NS | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | · | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 88% (28) | +14% | • | 58% (387) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 9% (3) | -6% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | | | NS | |

HEALTHSOURCE INDIANA MANAGED CARE PLAN

| No. Enrollees: 399 | Total No. Sampled: 55 | Total No. Respondents: 52 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Non-Competitive |

| HMO ID #: H 1551 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 5)$ | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|------------------------|------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 85% (28) | +22% | • | 58% (430) | 75% (3) | +17% | ⊕ |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 1 00% (43) | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 1 00% (5) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 95% (38) | +7% | Ф | 82% (611) | 100% (5) | +18% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 80% (37) | -9% | 0 | 84% (852) | 60% (3) | -24% | 0 |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 91% (42) | -5% | 0 | 93% (958) | 60% (3) | -33% | 0 |
| Did know they had the right | (1,383) | (42) | | | (938) | (3) | | |
| to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 67% (28) | -7% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 33% (1) | -34% | 0 |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 91% (40) | +6% | Ф | 82% (681) | 1 00% (4) | +18% | • |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 1551 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenrol (N = | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|------------------|---------|-------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 74% (20) | -7% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | | | NS |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 97% (32) | +4% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | | | NS |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 86% (36) | -9% | 0 | 87% (704) | | | NS |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 1 00% (27) | +10% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | | | NS |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 93% (42) | -3% | 0 | 88% (744) | 75% (3) | -13% | 0 |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 95% (39) | -1% | Ф | 88% (732) | 1 00% (4) | +12% | • |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 95% (38) | +2% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 75% (3) | -11% | 0 |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 52% | -1% | ⊕ | 41% | 100% | +59% | • |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (22) 45% (19) | 0% | Ф | (296) 49% (383) | (2) | | NS |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 2% (1) | 0% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | | | NS |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 86% (38) | -2% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 100% (4) | +24% | • |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 1551 | | Enrollees (N = 47) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 5) | | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | iary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 85% (34) | -1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 100% (4) | +28% | • | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 10% (4) | +1% | Φ | 26% (162) | | | NS | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 66% (25) | -8% | Ф | 58% (387) | 75% (3) | +17% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 24% (9) | +9% | • | 36% (230) | 25% (1) | -11% | ⊕ | |

HUMANA HEALTH PLAN (KY)

| No. Enrollees: 3,010 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 74 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Non-Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ A ₁ | verage | 0 | Below average | e | NS No | ot sufficien | t data |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------|---------|---------------|-----|-----------------|--------------|--------|
| HMO ID #: H 1890 Enrollees (N = 41) | | | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key | All | This | % Diff. | Сотр. | All | This | % Diff. | Comp. |

| _ | | (N = 41) | | | | | (N=33) | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 38% (9) | -25% | 0 | 58% (430) | 55% (11) | -3% | ⊕ | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 94% (30) | -2% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 92% (23) | -6% | 0 | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 94% (29) | +6% | Ф | 82% (611) | 90% (17) | +8% | ⊕ | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 83% (33) | -6% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 83% (25) | -1% | Ф | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 100% (39) | +4% | • | 93% (958) | 94% (29) | +1% | 0 | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 68% (26) | -6% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 86% (19) | +19% | • | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 92% (35) | +7% | Ф | 82% (681) | 84% (21) | +2% | ⊕ | |

| | Above average | ⊕ | Average | 0 | Below average | |
|--|---------------|----------|---------|---|---------------|--|
|--|---------------|----------|---------|---|---------------|--|

| HMO ID #: H 1890 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 33) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 87% (20) | +6% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 69% (9) | -6% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (27) | +7% | Ф | 89% (453) | 86% (12) | -3% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (36) | +2% | Ф | 87% (704) | 86% (19) | -1% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 92% (22) | +2% | Ф | 89% (425) | 86% (12) | -3% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 95% (37) | -1% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 96% (23) | +8% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (35) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 92% (23) | +4% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 90% (35) | -3% | 0 | 86% (696) | 95% (18) | +9% | • |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 63% | +10% | • | 41% | 44% | +3% | ⊕ |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (20) 31% (10) | -14% | 0 | (296) 49% (383) | (8) 50% (9) | +1% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 6% (2) | +4% | • | 10% (86) | 6% (1) | -4% | Ф |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 82% (32) | -6% | 0 | 76% (650) | 76% (19) | 0% | ⊕ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 1890 | | Enrollees (N = 41) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 33) | | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | iary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | , | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 78% (28) | -8% | 0 | 72% (471) | 84% (16) | +12% | Ф | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 14% (5) | +5% | • | 26% (162) | 16% (3) | -10% | 0 | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | *** | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 82% (28) | +8% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 72% (13) | +14% | 0 | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 15% (5) | 0% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 28% (1) | -8% | ⊕ | |

HEALTHCARE CORP. OF THE MID-ATLANTIC (MD)

| No. Enrollees: 697 | Total No. Sampled: 53 | Total No. Respondents: 49 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Group | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ | Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | _ | | | 110 110t Bullitation and |

| HMO ID #: H 2101 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was not asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 76% (22) | +13% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 50% (1) | -8% | ⊕ |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 97% (33) | +1% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 100% (3) | +2% | 0 |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC | Os . | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 82% (22) | -6% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | 100% (2) | +18% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 86% (38) | -3% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 100% (4) | +16% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% · (1,585) | 91% (40) | -5% | 0 | 93% (958) | 100% (4) | +7% | ⊕ |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 76% (32) | +2% | 0 | 67% (523) | 50% (2) | -17% | 0 |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 73% (29) | -12% | Φ | 82% (681) | 50% (2) | -32% | 0 |

| | Above | average |
|---|-------|---------|
| _ | | a ruat |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 2101 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 5) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 76% (29) | -5% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | | | NS | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 93% (25) | 0% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | | | NS | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 95% (38) | 0% | Ф | 87% (704) | | | NS | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 89% (23) | -1% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | | | NS | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 95% (39) | -1% | Φ | 88% (744) | 100% (4) | +12% | • | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 1 00% (40) | +4% | • | 88% (732) | 1 00% (4) | +12% | • | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 97% (38) | +4% | • | 86% (696) | 100% (4) | +14% | • | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 70% | +17% | • | 41% | 75% | +34% | • | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (28) 30% (12) | -15% | 0 | (296) 49% (383) | (3) 25% (1) | -24% | 0 | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | | | NS | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 93% (39) | +5% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 100% (4) | +24% | • | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 2101 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 5)$ | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|------------------------|------------------|-------|----|--|
| Benefic Survey | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 87% (32) | +1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 1 00% (3) | +28% | • | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 11% (4) | +2% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | | | NS | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | 31.4 | - | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 80% (31) | +6% | Ф | 58% (387) | 1 00% (3) | +42% | • | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 13% (5) | -2% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | | | NS | |

HARVARD COMMUNITY HEALTH PLAN - URBAN (MA)

| No. Enrollees: 8,432 | Total No. Sampled: 98 | Total No. Respondents: 76 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Staff | Not For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 2206 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 35) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 54% (7) | -9% | Ф | 58% (430) | 25% (3) | -33% | 0 | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (19) | +4% | Ф | 98% (824) | 92% (12) | -6% | 0 | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 83% (10) | -5% | Ф | 82% (611) | 60% (6) | -22% | 0 | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 76% (28) | -13% | 0 | 84% (852) | 77% (24) | -7% | Ф | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 93% (37) | -3% | Ф | 93% (958) | 94% (31) | +1% | ⊕ | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 54% (20) | -20% | 0 | 67% (523) | 54% (14) | -13% | ⊕ | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 78% (28) | -7% | • | 82% (681) | 69% (18) | -13% | Ф | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 2206 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 35)$ | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 85% (29) | +4% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 68% (17) | -7% | ⊕ | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (31) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 96% (23) | +7% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 1 00% (40) | +5% | Ф | 87% (704) | 96% (27) | +9% | ⊕ | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 88% (15) | -2% | Ф | 89% (425) | 71% (10) | -18% | 0 | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 95% (36) | -1% | Φ | 88% (744) | 93% (28) | +5% | Ф | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 100 % (38) | +4% | • | 88% (732) | 1 00% (27) | +12% | • | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 97% (35) | +4% | • | 86% (696) | 96% (27) | +10% | • | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 65% | +12% | • | 41% | 47% | +6% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (24) 35% (13) | -10% | 0 | (296) 49% (383) | (14) 53% (16) | +4% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | ⊕ | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 94% (33) | +6% | • | 76% (650) | 85% (23) | +9% | ⊕ | |

| НМО | D ID #: H 2206 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 35)$ | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| | Ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All This % Diff. HMOs HMO | | | Comp. |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 92% (33) | +6% | • | 72% (471) | 93% (26) | +11% | Ф |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 8% (3) | -1% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 7% (2) | -19% | 0 |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 74% (26) | 0% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 83% (19) | +25% | • |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 17% (6) | +2% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 13% (3) | -23% | 0 |

HEALTH ALLIANCE PLAN OF MICHIGAN

| No. Enrollees: 2,822 | Total No. Sampled: 98 | Total No. Respondents: 57 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Group | Not For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | it data | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 2312 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 26) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 88% (15) | +25% | • | 58% (430) | 39% (5) | -19% | ⊕ | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 96% (25) | 0% | Ф | 98% (824) | 95% (19) | -3% | ⊕ | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 88% (15) | 0% | Ф | 82% (611) | 64% (7) | -18% | 0 | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 87% (26) | -2% | Ф | 84% (852) | 71% (15) | -13% | 0 | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 97% (30) | +1% | ⊕ | 93 % (958) | 87% (20) | -6% | ⊕ | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 76% (22) | +2% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 53% (10) | -14% | ⊕ | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 52% (13) | -33% | 0 | 82% (681) | 59% (13) | -23% | 0 | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 2312 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 26) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 68% (13) | -13% | 0 | 75% (477) | 60% (9) | -15% | ⊕ | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 92% (12) | -1% | Ф | 89% (453) | 81% (13) | -8% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 96% (27) | +1% | ⊕ | 87% (704) | 94% (17) | +7% | ⊕ | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 68% (15) | -22% | 0 | 89% (425) | 100% (14) | +11% | ⊕ | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 93% (27) | -3% | 0 | 88% (744) | 100% (19) | +12% | • | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 93% (28) | -3% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 1 00% (20) | +12% | • | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 85% (23) | -8% | 0 | 86% (696) | 88% (14) | +2% | Φ | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 52% | -1% | ⊕ | 41% | 63% | +22% | • | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (12) 48% (11) | +3% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (10) 38% (6) | -11% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | ⊕ | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 86% (25) | -2% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 74% (14) | -2% | ⊕ | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | D #: H 2312 | Enrollees (N = 31) | | | Disenrollees $(N = 26)$ | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|----------|--|
| Benefic Survey | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All This % Dif | | | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | _ | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 70% (19) | -16% | 0 | 72% (471) | 83% (10) | +11% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 15% (4) | +6% | • | 26% (162) | 1 7% (2) | -9% | ⊕ | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | | · . | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 81% (21) | +7% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 90% (9) | +32% | • | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 12% (3) | -3% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 10% (1) | -26% | 0 | |

PRIME HEALTH KANSAS CITY (MO)

| No. Enrollees: 6,320 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 62 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Staff | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ A | verage | 0 | Below ave | rage | NS N | ot sufficie | nt data |
|--|---------------------|------------------|---------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: H 2649 | | Enro (N = | | | | Disenre | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | HWO. | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 32% (8) | -31% | 0 | 58% (430) | 17% (1) | -41% | 0 |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 85% (22) | -11% | 0 | 98% (824) | 100% | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HM | Os | | | | | (6) | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 96% (22) | +8% | Ф | 82% (611) | 75% | -7% | Ф |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 92% (34) | +3% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 77% (10) | -7% | Φ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 100% (41) | +4% | • | 93 <i>%</i> (958) | 100% (14) | +7% | Ф |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 72% (26) | -2% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 88% (7) | +21% | • |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 89% (32) | +4% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 90% (9) | +8% | ⊕ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Com |
| 81% (970) | 97% (32) | +16% | • | 75% (477) | 67% | -8% | Φ |
| 93% (942) | 100% (34) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 100% | +11% | Φ |
| 95% (1,431) | 97% (38) | +2% | ⊕ | 87% | 91% | +4% | ⊕ |
| 90% (780) | 1 00% (29) | +10% | ⊕ | 89% | 100% | +11% | ⊕ |
| | | | | \ | | | |
| 96% (1,475) | 100% (41) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 90% | +2% | ⊕ |
| 96% (1,439) | 98% (39) | +2% | ⊕ | 88% | 100% | +12% | • |
| 93% (1,397) | 95% (35) | +2% | ⊕ | 86% | 89% | +3% | Ф |
| | | | | | | | |
| 53% (772) | 51% (18) | -2% | ⊕ | 41% | 56% | +15% | ⊕ |
| (649) | 46% (16) 3% | +1% | ⊕ | 49% (383) | 44% (4) | -5% | ⊕ |
| (32) | (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | ⊕ |
| | | | | | | | |
| 88% | 85% | -3% | • | 76% | 85% | +9% | Ф |
| | 93% (942) 95% (1,431) 90% (780) 96% (1,475) 96% (1,439) 93% (1,397) 53% (772) 45% (649) 2% (32) | ## All This HMO ## 81% 97% (970) (32) ## 93% 100% (942) (34) ## 95% 97% (1,431) (38) ## 96% 100% (29) ## 96% (1,475) (41) ## 96% (1,475) (35) ## 95% (1,397) (35) ## 95% (1,397) (35) | ### HMOs #### HMO ### ### ### ### ### ### ### #### ### | (N = 42) All This Mode This State Diff. Comp. 81% 97% +16% ● 93% 100% +7% ⊕ (942) (34) 95% 97% +2% ⊕ (1,431) (38) 90% 100% +10% ⊕ (780) (29) 96% (1,475) (41) 96% 98% +2% ⊕ (1,439) (39) 93% (1,439) (39) 93% (1,439) (39) 94% (1,439) (39) 95% +2% ⊕ (1,439) (39) 95% +2% ⊕ (1,397) (35) € 88% 85% -3% ⊕ | N = 42 All This % Diff. Comp. All HMOs 81% 97% +16% • 75% (477) 93% 100% +7% ⊕ 89% (453) 95% 97% +2% ⊕ 87% (704) 90% 100% +10% ⊕ 89% (425) 96% (29) +10% ⊕ 88% (744) 96% 98% +2% ⊕ 88% (744) 96% 98% +2% ⊕ 88% (744) 96% 98% +2% ⊕ 88% (744) 93% (1,439) (39) +2% ⊕ 86% (696) 53% 51% -2% ⊕ 41% (296) (425) 53% 51% -2% ⊕ 41% (296) (425) 53% 3% +1% ⊕ 49% (649) (16) (286) (383) (22) (1) ⊕ (386) 88% 85% -3% ⊕ 76% | N = 42 No No No No No No No N | N = 42 N = 20 All This % Diff. Comp. All HMOs This % Diff. 81% 97% +16% |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО |) ID #: H 2649 | Enrollees (N = 42) | | Disenrollees (N = 20) | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| Benefic Survey | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 87% (34) | +1% | Ф | 72% (471) | 78% (7) | +6% | 0 |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 13% (5) | +4% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 22% (2) | -4% | ⊕ |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | · | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 87% (32) | +13% | • | 58% (387) | 60% (6) | +2% | 0 |
| - | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 14% (5) | -1% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 40% (4) | +4% | ⊕ |

TOTAL HEALTH CARE (MO)

| No. Enrollees: 7,233 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 71 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | Not For-Profit | Competitive |

| • | Above average | \oplus | Average | O Belo | w average | NS | Not sufficient data |
|---|---------------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|----|---------------------|
|---|---------------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|----|---------------------|

| HMO ID #: H 2652 | | Enro (N = | | | | Disenrollees (N = 28) | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | AII HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 25% (8) | -38% | 0 | 58% (430) | 16% (3) | -42% | 0 | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 95% (35) | -1% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 100% (27) | +2% | ⊕ | | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 78% (21) | -10% | 0 | 82% (611) | 75% (15) | -7% | Ф | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 95% (35) | +6% | Φ | 84% (852) | 100% (27) | +16% | • | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 100% (41) | +4% | Ф | 93% (958) | 100% (28) | +7% | 0 | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 74% (29) | -0% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 75% (7) | +8% | ⊕ | | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 97% (37) | +12% | • | 82% (681) | 100% (27) | +18% | • | | |

| _ | | |
|---|-----|---------|
| - | A 1 | |
| | | average |
| | | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 2652 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 2 | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 81% (6) | 0% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 96% (23) | +21% | • |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (32) | +7% | Φ | 89% (453) | 100% (17) | +11% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 98% (40) | +3% | ⊕ | 87% (704) | 93% (25) | +6% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 1 00% (23) | +10% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | 94% (16) | +5% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 93% (37) | -3% | 0 | 88% (744) | 96% (26) | +8% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 87% (33) | -9% | 0 | 88% (732) | 92% (24) | +4% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 95% (37) | +2% | Φ | 86% (696) | 96% (26) | +10% | • |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ► improve | 53% | 56% | +3% | ⊕ | 41% | 29% | -12% | Ф |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (20) 42% (15) | -3% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (7) 67% (16) | +18% | • |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 4% (1) | -6% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 87% (34) | -1% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 88% (22) | +12% | • |

| Above | average |
|-------|---------|
| | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| | O ID #: H 2652 | | Enrollees (N = 43) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 28) | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Surve | iciary Responses to Key y Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| Most HMC | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 84% (31) | -2% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 73% (16) | +1% | ⊕ | |
| . | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 11% (4) | +2% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 18% (4) | -8% | ⊕ | |
| Most vas: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 74% (28) | 0% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 61% (14) | +3% | Ф | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 16% (6) | +1% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 22% (5) | -14% | ⊕ | |

SHARE HEALTH PLAN OF NEBRASKA

| No. Enrollees: 3,256 | Total No. Sampled: 100 | Total No. Respondents: 75 | |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Non-Competitive | |
| | | 1 | |

| Above average | + A | Average | 0 | Below ave | erage | NS N | ot sufficie | ıt data | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| HMO ID #: H 2802 | | | rollees = 38) | | Disenrollees (N = 37) | | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This | % Diff. | Comp | | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | miles | нмо | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 74% (17) | +11% | • | 58% (430) | 73% (16) | +15% | Ф | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (32) | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 100% | +2% | Φ | | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HM | Os | | | | (024) | (25) | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 85% (22) | -3% | • | 82% (611) | 67% (14) | -15% | - O | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 89% (31) | 0% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 82% (28) | -2% | Φ | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 94% (34) | -2% | ⊕ | 93% (958) | 89% (31) | -4% | Φ | | |
| Oid know they had the right of appeal an HMO's refusal of provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 85% (29) | +11% | • | 67% (523) | 66% (19) | -1% | Ф | | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, sually waited less than 13 ays. | 85% (1,276) | 100% (35) | +15% | • | 82% (681) | 100% (29) | +18% | • | | |

⊕ Average ○ Below average

| HMO ID #: H 2802 | Enrollees (N = 38) | | | | | Disenro (N = 3 | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 97% (29) | +16% | • | 7 5% (477) | 92% (24) | +17% | • |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (23) | +7% | 0 | 89% (453) | 91% (19) | +2% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (35) | +2% | Φ | 87% (704) | 97% (29) | +10% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did not hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 1 00% (15) | +10% | Φ | 89% (425) | 94% (17) | +5% | Ф |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 94% (34) | -2% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 91% (29) | +3% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (35) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 91% (29) | +3% | Ф |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 1 00% (34) | +7% | • | 86% (696) | 82% (27) | -4% | Ф |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ► improve | 53% | 69% | +16% | • | 41% | 39% | -2% | ⊕ |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (22) 31% (10) | -14% | 0 | (296) 49% (383) | (12) 58% (18) | +9% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 3% (1) | -7% | Ф |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 89% (32) | +1% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 70% (21) | -6% | ⊕ |

HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA

| No. Enrollees: 13,794 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 76 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Group | For-Profit | Competitive |

● Above average ⊕ Average ⊖ Below average NS Not sufficient data

| HMO ID #: H 2931 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 68% (23) | +5% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 63% (17) | +5% | ⊕ |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 1 00% (37) | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 97% (29) | -1% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 94% (29) | +6% | Ф | 82% (611) | 93% (27) | +11% | Ф |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 90% (36) | +1% | Ф | 84% (852) | 97% (31) | +13% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 98% (39) | +2% | ⊕ | 93% (958) | 100% (32) | +7% | • |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 81% (30) | +7% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 65% (17) | -2% | ⊕ |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 58% (22) | -27% | 0 | 82% (681) | 59% (17) | -23% | 0 |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 2931 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenrol (N = 3 | - | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 67% (20) | -14% | 0 | 75% (477) | 55% (11) | -20% | 0 |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 71% (17) | -22% | 0 | 89% (453) | 72% (13) | -17% | 0 |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (36) | +2% | ⊕ | 87% (704) | 86% (24) | -1% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 86% (23) | -4% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | 87% (13) | -2% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (36) | +1% | 0 | 88% (744) | 85% (22) | -3% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 95% (36) | -1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 89% (25) | +1% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 95% (36) | +2% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 85% (23) | -1% | ⊕ |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | - | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 47% | -6% | ⊕ | 41% | 56% | +15% | ⊕ |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (17) 44% (16) | -1% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (14) 32% (8) | -17% | 0 |
| ► worsen | 2% (32) | 8% (3) | +6% | • | 10% (86) | 12 % (3) | +2% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 82% (31) | -6% | 0 | 76% (650) | 54% (13) | -12% | 0 |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 2931 | | Enrollees (N = 42) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 34) | | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр | | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 90% (28) | -4% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 71% (12) | -1% | ⊕ | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 0% (0) | -9% | 0 | 26% (162) | 24% (4) | -2% | Ф | | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | · | | | | | · · · · | *** | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 79% (27) | +5% | Ф | 58% (387) | 61% (11) | +3% | Ф | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 6% (2) | -9% | 0 | 36% (230) | 28% (5) | -8% | ⊕ | | |

FHP, INC. (NV)

| No. Enrollees: 4,341 | Total No. Sampled; 99 | Total No. Respondents: 58 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Average ○ Below ave | | | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 2949 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 26) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Com | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 76% (22) | +13% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 74% (14) | +16% | Ф | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 97% (28) | +1% | 0 | 98% (824) | 100% (23) | +2% | ⊕ | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 93% (28) | +5% | Φ | 82% (611) | 83% (19) | +1% | Ф | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 1 00% (30) | +11% | • | 84% (852) | 84% (21) | 0% | ⊕ | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 97% (29) | +1% | Φ | 93% (958) | 89% (23) | -4% | Ф | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 89% (23) | +15% | • | 67% (523) | 73% (11) | +6% | Ф | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 89% (25) | +4% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 71% (10) | -11% | 0 | |

| HMO ID #: H 2949 | | Enrol (N = | - | | | Disenro (N = 1 | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 75% (12) | -6% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 50% (5) | -25% | 0 |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (12) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 56% (5) | -33% | 0 |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 100% (26) | +5% | Ф | 87% (704) | 73% (11) | -14% | 0 |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 100% (9) | +10% | Ф | 89% (425) | 80% (8) | -9% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 1 00% (28) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 88% (15) | 0% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 1 00% (28) | +4% | • | 88% (732) | 88% (15) | 0% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 1 00% (27) | +7% | • | 86% (696) | 87% (13) | +1% | Ф |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 48% | -5% | ⊕ | 41% | 29% | -12% | ⊕ |
| ▶ stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (12) 52% (13) | +7% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (4) 64% (9) | +15% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 7% (1) | -3% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 96% (27) | +8% | • | 76% (650) | 71% (12) | -5% | ⊕ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| нмо | ID #: H 2949 | | Enrollees (N = 32) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 26) | | | | |
|----------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | Ali HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 92% (23) | +6% | • | 72% (471) | 83% (5) | +11% | Ф | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 8% (2) | -1% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 17% (1) | -9% | ⊕ | | |
| Most i was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 82% (22) | +8% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 33% (3) | -25% | 0 | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 4% (1) | -11% | 0 | 36% (230) | 44% (4) | +8% | ⊕ | | |

FHP, INC. (NM)

| No. Enrollees: 16,234 | Total No. Sampled: 97 | Total No. Respondents: 59 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS Not sufficient data | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 3204 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 28) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 48% (11) | -15% | Ф | 58% (430) | 48% (11) | -10% | ⊕ | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 97% (28) | +1% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 1 00% (25) | +2% | Ф | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | _ | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 89% (25) | +1% | Ф | 82% (611) | 71% (15) | -11% | ⊕ | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 93% (26) | +4% | Ф | 84% (852) | 85% (23) | +1% | ⊕ | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 97% (28) | +1% | Ф | 93% (958) | 96% (27) | +3% | Ф | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 56% (14) | -18% | 0 | 67% (523) | 76% (16) | +9% | 0 | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 78% (18) | -7% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 83% (19) | +1% | ⊕ | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3204 | | Enrol (N = | | 1 1000 | Disenrollees $(N = 28)$ | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 84% (16) | +3% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 63% (12) | -12% | ⊕ | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 94% (15) | +1% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 93% (14) | +4% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 96% (23) | +1% | Ф | 87% (704) | 1 00% (23) | +13% | • | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 92% (12) | +2% | Ф | 89% (425) | 92% (11) | +3% | Ф | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 89% (24) | -7% | 0 | 88% (744) | 91% (21) | +3% | ⊕ | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 1 00% (26) | +4% | • | 88% (732) | 86% (19) | -2% | ⊕ | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 88% (21) | -5% | 0 | 86% (696) | 64% (14) | -22% | 0 | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 62% | +9% | ⊕ | 41% | 26% | -15% | Ф | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (16) 39% (10) | -6% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (5) 58% (11) | +9% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 16% (3) | +6% | ⊕ | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 96% (25) | +8% | • | 76% (650) | 85% (17) | +9% | ⊕ | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 3204 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 28)$ | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 86% (19) | 0% | Ф | 72% (471) | 67% (10) | -5% | 0 | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 5% (1) | -4% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 33% (5) | +7% | ⊕ | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 73% (19) | -1% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 59% (10) | +1% | • | |
| • | holding down the | 15% (213) | 8% (2) | -7% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 41% (7) | +5% | ⊕ | |

QUAL-MED INC. (NM)

| No. Enrollees: 279 | Total No. Sampled: 51 | Total No. Respondents: 39 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Ave | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | ıt data | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--|
| HMO ID #: H 3249 | Enrollees (N = 39) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 0) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 91% (29) | +28% | • | 58% (430) | | | NS | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 97% (35) | +1% | Ф | 98% (824) | | | NS | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 88% (30) | 0% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | | | NS | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 92% (33) | +3% | • | 84% (852) | | | NS | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 95% | -1% | Ф | 93% | | | NS | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | (35) 80% (28) | +6% | ⊕ | (958) 67% (523) | | | NS | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 97% (31) | +12% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | | | NS | |

 \oplus Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3249 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 0) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 87% (20) | +6% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | | | NS | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 88% (14) | -5% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | | | NS | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (30) | +2% | Ф | 87% (704) | | | NS | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 100% (12) | +10% | Ф | 89% (425) | 30.00 | | NS | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (33) | +1% | Ф | 88% (744) | | | NS | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 1 00% (34) | +4% | • | 88% (732) | | | NS | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 86% (30) | -7% | 0 | 86% (696) | | | NS | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 55% | +2% | ⊕ | 41% | | | NS | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (18) 46% (15) | +1% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | | | NS | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | | | NS | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 80% (28) | -8% | 0 | 76% (650) | | | NS | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | D #: H 3249 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 0) | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 85% (28) | -1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 9% (3) | 0% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | | | NS | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 64% (21) | -10% | 0 | 58% (387) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 1 5% (5) | 0% | Ф | 36% (230) | | | NS | |

HIP OF GREATER NEW YORK

| No. Enrollees: 43,921 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 72 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Group | Not For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | ⊕ Average ○ Below average | | | rage | NS Not sufficient data | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| HMO ID #: H 3330 | Enrollees (N = 40) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 50% (5) | -13% | Ф | 58% (430) | 67% (10) | +9% | Ф | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (7) | +4% | Ф | 98% (824) | 87% (13) | -11% | 0 | | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 100% (11) | +12% | • | 82% (611) | 86% (12) | +4% | ⊕ | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 87% (32) | -2% | Ф | 84% (852) | 86% (25) | +2% | Ф | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 97% | +1% | ⊕ | 93% | 90% | -3% | • | | |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (37) | , _ | | (958) | (27) | | | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 82% (27) | +8% | • | 67% (523) | 67% (16) | 0% | 0 | | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 68% (25) | -17% | 0 | 82% (681) | 46% (11) | -36% | 0 | | |

| Above average | Above average | Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|
|---------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------|

data

| HMO ID #: H 3330 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 58% (19) | -23% | 0 | 75% (477) | 54% (14) | -21% | 0 | | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 94% (30) | +1% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 75% (12) | -14% | 0 | | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 75% (27) | -20% | 0 | 87% (704) | 48% (13) | -39% | 0 | | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did not hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 67% (18) | -23% | 0 | 89% (425) | 52% (13) | -37% | 0 | | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (34) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 82% (22) | -6% | ⊕ | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 94% (32) | -2% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 89% (23) | +1 | ⊕ | | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 83% (29) | -10 | 0 | 86% (696) | 79% (19) | -7 | 0 | | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | - White | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% (772) | 65% (22) | +12% | • | 41% (296) | 25% (5) | -16% | ⊕ | | |
| stay about the same | 45% (649) 2% | 32% (11) 3% | -13% | 0 | 49% (383) 10% | 50% (10) 25% | +1% | ⊕ | | |
| ▶ worsen | (32) | (1) | +1% | ⊕ | (86) | 25 % (5) | +15% | • | | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 91% (32) | +3% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 83% (19) | +7% | ⊕ | | |

| HMO ID #: H 3330 | | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 87% (26) | +1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 77% (13) | +5% | ⊕ |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 10% (3) | +1% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 24% (4) | -2% | ⊕ |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | 1.00 | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 82% (27) | +8% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 47% (13) | -11% | ⊕ |
| ٠ | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 15% (5) | 0% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 53% (4) | +17% | Ф |

SANUS HEALTH PLAN OF GREATER NEW YORK

| No. Enrollees: 5,794 | Total No. Sampled: 100 | Total No. Respondents: 70 |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | ot sufficien | ıt data | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|--|--|
| HMO ID #: H 3354 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenrollees (N = 29) | | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and | 63% | 71% | +8% | ⊕ | 58% | 63% | +5% | ⊕ | | |
| hospice care. | (717) | (24) | 1070 | Ψ | (430) | (12) | T370 | Φ | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 90% (34) | -6% | 0 | 98% (824) | 91% (21) | -7% | 0 | | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 92% (34) | +4% | Ф | 82% (611) | 73% (16) | -9% | ⊕ | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 90% (35) | +1% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 78% (18) | -6% | • | | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 97% | +1% | ⊕ | 93% | 83% | -10% | 0 | | |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (37) | ··· | | (958) | (20) | | | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 69% (25) | -5% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 80% (8) | +13% | Ф | | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, | | | | | | | | | | |
| usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 88% (29) | +3% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 86% (12) | +4% | ⊕ | | |

| • | Above average | ⊕ | Average | 0 | Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---|----------------|----------|---------|---|---------------|---------------------------|
| _ | ILDOVE UVELUEC | w w | AVCIAGO | | DCIUW ATCIAEC | 110 110t builticiciit uau |

| HMO ID #: H 3354 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 29) | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | AH HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 86% (12) | +5% | () | 75% (477) | 80% (8) | +5% | ⊕ | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 75% (9) | -18% | 0 | 89% (453) | 100% (5) | +11% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 81% (26) | -14% | 0 | 87% (704) | 75% (12) | -12% | 0 | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 86% (6) | -4% | Ф | 89% (425) | 67% (2) | -22% | 0 | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (36) | +1% | Ф | 88% (7 44) | 92% (12) | +4% | ⊕ | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 94% (29) | -2% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 86% (12) | -2% | • | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 94% (32) | +1% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 92% (12) | +6% | • | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% (772) | 47% (16) | -6% | ⊕ | 41% (296) | 8% (1) | -33% | 0 | |
| ▶ stay about the same | 45% (649) | 50% (17) | +5% | ⊕ | 49% (383) | 62% (8) | +13% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 31% (4) | +21% | • | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 91% (32) | +3% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 71% (10) | -5% | 0 | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | D ID #: H 3354 | | Enrollees (N = 41) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 29) | | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|--|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 77% (24) | -9% | 0 | 72% (471) | 50% (4) | -22% | 0 | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 16% (5) | +7% | • | 26% (162) | 50% (4) | +24% | • | | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | , | ACES Andrey grand 1991 I Schollen Berley | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 67% (20) | -7% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 50% (4) | -8% | Ф | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 1 7% (5) | +2% | Φ | 36% (230) | 50% (4) | +14% | ⊕ | | |

KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN (OH)

| No. Enrollees: 13,842 | Total No. Sampled: 98 | Total No. Respondents: 70 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Group | Not For-Profit | Non-Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | ıt data |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: H 3607 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 3 | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 48% (10) | -15% | Ф | 58% (430) | 44% (7) | -14% | ⊕ |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 80% (20) | -16% | 0 | 98% (824) | 93% (13) | -5% | 0 |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 84% (16) | -4% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | 50% (8) | -32% | 0 |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 80% (28) | -9% | 0 | 84% (852) | 73% (22) | -11% | Ф |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 94% (34) | -2% | ⊕ | 93% | 80% | -13% | 0 |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 76% (25) | +2% | ⊕ | (958) 67% (523) | (24) 40% (10) | -27% | 0 |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 30% (10) | -55% | 0 | 82% (681) | 32% (8) | -50% | 0 |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3607 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 35% (9) | -46% | 0 | 75% (477) | 28% (5) | -47% | 0 | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 93% (26) | 0% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 84% (16) | -5% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (32) | +2% | ⊕ | 87% (704) | 83% (19) | -4% | ⊕ | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 68% (19) | -22% | 0 | 89% (425) | 74% (14) | -15% | 0 | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 1 00% (35) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 79% (23) | -9% | 0 | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (34) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 86% (24) | -2% | ⊕ | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 89% (32) | -4% | 0 | 86% (696) | 70% (19) | -16% | 0 | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 58% | +5% | ⊕ | 41% | 32% | -9% | ⊕ | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (21) 36% (13) | -9% | Ф | (296) 49% (383) | (8) 40% (10) | -9% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 6% (2) | +4% | • | 10% (86) | 28% (7) | +18% | • | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | <u> </u> | | _ | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 92% (34) | +4% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 68% (19) | -8% | ⊕ | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3607 | | | Enrollees (N = 38) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp | | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 91% (29) | +5% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 65% (11) | -7% | ⊕ | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 6% (2) | -3% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 35% (6) | +9% | Ф | | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 84% (26) | +10% | • | 58% (387) | 53% (10) | -5% | ⊕ | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 10% (3) | -5% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 47% (9) | +11% | Ф | | |

PACIFICARE OF OKLAHOMA

| No. Enrollees: 5,077 | Total No. Sampled: 100 | Total No. Respondents: 80 |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Group | For-Profit | Non-Competitive |

| • | Above average | \oplus | Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|------------------------|
|---|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|------------------------|

| HMO ID #: H 3749 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 39) | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 63% (22) | 0% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 78% (25) | +20% | • |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (41) | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 97% (34) | -1% | Φ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMO | 2 | - | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 87% (32) | -1% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | 82% (28) | 0% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 98% (39) | +9% | • | 84% (852) | 78% (25) | -6% | Ф |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 100% (40) | +4% | • | 93% (958) | 94% (31) | +1% | ⊕ |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 76% (28) | +2% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 48% (11) | -19% | 0 |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 92% (33) | +7% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 81% (25) | -1% | ⊕ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3749 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 39) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 83% (20) | +2% | Φ | 75% (477) | 71% (15) | -4% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 1 00% (19) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 81% (13) | -8% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 1 00% (34) | +5% | Ф | 87% (704) | 84% (26) | -3% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 94% (15) | +4% | ⊕ | 89% (425) | 85% (11) | -4% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (34) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 68% (21) | -20% | 0 |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (35) | +1% | Φ | 88% (732) | 66% (19) | -22% | 0 |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 92% (33) | -1% | Ф | 86% (696) | 89% (23) | +3% | Φ |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 37% | -16% | 0 | 41% | 28% | -13% | • |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (13) 54% (19) | +9% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (8) 48% (14) | -1% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 9% (3) | +7% | • | 10% (86) | 24% (7) | +14% | • |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 91% | +3% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 69% (20) | -7% | ⊕ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3749 | | | Enrollees (N = 41) | | | | Disenrollees $(N = 39)$ | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 79% (23) | -7% | 0 | 72% (471) | 58% (14) | -14% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 17% (5) | -⊦8% | • | 26% (162) | 38% (9) | +12% | ⊕ | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | appel and definition of the Property of the College | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 64% (23) | -10% | 0 | 58% (387) | 44% (12) | -14% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 28% (10) | +13% | • | 36% (230) | 48% (13) | +12% | ⊕ | |

U.S. HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS OF PENNSYLVANIA

| No. Enrollees: 20,354 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 71 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below avera | ge | NS No | t sufficien | t data |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: H 3931 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 69% (11) | +6% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 86% (12) | +28% | • |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 91% (21) | -5% | Ф | 98% (824) | 100% (17) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 85% (17) | -3% | Ф | 82% (611) | 92% (11) | +10% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 92% (34) | +3% | Φ | 84% (852) | 93% (26) | +9% | 0 |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 95% (36) | -1% | ⊕ | 93% (958) | 96% (27) | +3% | Ф |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 77% (27) | +3% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 91% (19) | +24% | • |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | _ | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, | | | | | | | | |

+15%

82%

(681)

92%

(23)

+10%

Ф

usually waited less than 13

days.

85%

(1,276)

100%

(34)

| Above | average |
|-------|---------|
| | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3931 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 90% (26) | +9% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 86% (18) | +11% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (25) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 94% (17) | +5% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 100% (33) | +5% | Ф | 87% (704) | 83% (20) | -4% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 88% (14) | -2% | Ф | 89% (425) | 100% (12) | +11% | 0 |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (33) | +1% | Ф | 88% (744) | 88% (22) | 0% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 94% (32) | -2% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 88% (21) | 0% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 94% (34) | +1% | Φ | 86% (696) | 91% (21) | +5% | ⊕ |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% (772) | 68% (23) | +15% | • | 41% (296) | 64% (16) | +23% | • |
| ► stay about the same | 45% (649) | 29% (10) | -16% | 0 | 49% (383) | 36% (9) | -13% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 94% (34) | +6% | • | 76% (650) | 84% (21) | +8% | 0 |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 3931 | | | Enrollees $(N = 39)$ | | | | Disenrollees $(N = 32)$ | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 86% (24) | 0% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 86% (19) | +14% | Ф | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 7% (2) | -2% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 9% (2) | -17% | 0 | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 69% (22) | -5% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 78% (19) | +20% | • | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 13% (4) | -2% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 13% (3) | -23% | 0 | |

HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF TEXAS (CORPUS CHRISTI)

| No. Enrollees: 5,486 | Total No. Sampled: 95 | Total No. Respondents: 54 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Non-Competitive |

| | Above average | \oplus | Average | 0 | Below average | NS | Not sufficient data |
|--|---------------|----------|---------|---|---------------|----|---------------------|
|--|---------------|----------|---------|---|---------------|----|---------------------|

| HMO ID #: H 4504 | Enrollees $(N = 30)$ | | | | Disenrollees (N = 24) | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 47% (9) | -16% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 41% (7) | -17% | • | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 93% (26) | -3% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 96% (21) | -2% | ⊕ | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 87% (20) | -1% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | 95% (18) | +13% | ⊕ | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 82% (23) | -7% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 91% (21) | +7% | ⊕ | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 93% (27) | -3% | ⊕ | 93% (958) | 96% (22) | +3% | ⊕ | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 71% (20) | -3% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 75% (12) | +8% | ⊕ | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 1 00% (28) | +15% | • | 82% (681) | 1 00% (20) | +18% | • | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 4504 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenrol (N = 2 | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 82% (18) | +1% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 73% (11) | -2% | ⊕ | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 96% (21) | +3% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 88% (7) | -1% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 87% (26) | -8% | 0 | 87% (704) | 79% (15) | -8% | Ф | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 100% (15) | +10% | Ф | 89% (425) | 100% (11) | +11% | ⊕ | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 100% (29) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 90% (18) | +2% | ⊕ | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 86% (25) | -10% | 0 | 88% (732) | 90% (17) | +2% | ⊕ | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 93% (27) | 0% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 79% (15) | -7% | ⊕ | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 48% | -5% | ⊕ | 41% | 28% | -13% | ⊕ | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (12) 52% (13) | +7% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (5) 61% (11) | +12% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 1 0% (86) | 11 % (2) | +1% | ⊕ | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 90% (26) | +2% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 75% (15) | -1% | Ф | |

| НМО | ID #: H 4504 | Enrollees $(N = 30)$ | | | | Disenrollees $(N = 24)$ | | | |
|--------|--|----------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| | iary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 85% (23) | -1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 77% (10) | +5% | ⊕ |
| | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 7% (2) | -2% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 23% (3) | -3% | Ф |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 89% (23) | +15% | • | 58% (387) | 69% (9) | +11% | Ф |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 8% (2) | -7% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 31% (4) | -5% | Ф |

HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF TEXAS (SAN ANTONIO)

| No. Enrollees: 9,584 | Total No. Sampled: 98 | Total No. Respondents: 42 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|
| HMO ID #: H 4510 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 40% (6) | -23% | 0 | 58% (430) | | | NS |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (19) | +4% | Ф | 98% (824) | | | NS |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 89% (16) | +1% | Ф | 82% (611) | | | NS |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 73% (16) | -16% | 0 | 84% (852) | | | NS |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 91% | -5% | 0 | 93% | | | NS |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (20) | | | (958) | | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 63% (12) | -11% | 0 | 67% (523) | | | NS |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 70% (14) | -15% | 0 | 82% (681) | | | NS |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 4510 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All KMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 71% (12) | -10% | Φ | 75% (477) | | | NS |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 85% (11) | -8% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | | | NS |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 85% (17) | -10% | 0 | 87% (704) | | | NS |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 56% (5) | -34% | 0 | 89% (425) | | | NS |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 94% (17) | -2% | Ф | 88% (744) | | | NS |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 100% (17) | +4% | • | 88% (732) | | | NS |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 89% (16) | -4% | 0 | 86% (696) | | | NS |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 78% | +25% | • | 41% | | | NS |
| ► stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (14) 22% (4) | -23% | 0 | (296) 49% (383) | | | NS |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | | | NS |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 81% (17) | -7% | 0 | 76% (650) | | | NS |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 4510 | Enrollees (N = 23) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 19) | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|-------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сошр. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 88% (15) | +2% | Ф | 72% (471) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 12% (2) | +3% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | | | NS | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | - | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 53% (9) | -21% | 0 | 58% (387) | | | NS | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 35% (6) | +20% | • | 36% (230) | | | NS | |

PACIFICARE OF TEXAS

| No. Enrollees: 16,833 | Total No. Sampled: 98 | Total No. Respondents: 54 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below avera | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: H 4590 | | | Enrollees (N = 34) | | | Disenro (N = 2 | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 69% (20) | +6% | Ф | 58% (430) | 53% (8) | -5% | Ф |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (31) | +4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 100% (19) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 97% (28) | +9% | • | 82% (611) | 82% (14) | 0% | 0 |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 94% (31) | +5% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 100% (17) | +16% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 100% (34) | +4% | • | 93% (958) | 100% (19) | +7% | ⊕ |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 81% (25) | +7% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 89% (8) | +22% | • |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 87% (27) | +2% | Φ | 82% (681) | 75% (9) | -7% | 0 |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 4590 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 2 | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 87% (20) | +6% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 71% (5) | -4% | ⊕ | | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (14) | +7% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 71% (5) | -18% | 0 | | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 97% (28) | +2% | Ф | 87% (704) | 82% (9) | -5% | ⊕ | | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 100% (10) | +10% | Ф | 89% (425) | 83% (5) | -6% | Ф | | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 97% (28) | +1% | Ф | 88% (744) | 82% (9) | -6% | ⊕ | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (29) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 91% (10) | +3% | ⊕ | | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 93% (26) | 0% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 83% (10) | -3% | Ф | | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | *** | | | | |
| ► improve | 53% (772) | 64% (18) | +11% | • | 41% (296) | 83% (10) | +42% | • | | |
| ▶ stay about the same | 45% (649) | 36% (10) | -9% | ⊕ | 49% (383) | 17% (2) | -32% | 0 | | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | Ф | 1 0% (86) | 0% (0) | -10% | ⊕ | | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 87% (27) | -1% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 67% (8) | -9% | ⊕ | | |

| lacktriangle | Above average | \oplus | Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|--------------|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | _ | | O DOOM MICHAEL | 115 110t Bullicitiit due |

| нмо | ID #: H 4590 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 20) | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--|-----------------|---------|-------|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | • | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 72% (18) | -14% | 0 | 72% (471) | 91% (10) | +19% | • | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 12% (3) | +3% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 9% (1) | -17% | 0 | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | and the state of t | | ****** | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 65% (17) | -9% | • | 58% (387) | 90% (9) | +32% | • | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 23% (6) | +8% | • | 36% (230) | 10% (1) | -26% | 0 | |

SENTARA HEALTH PLANS (VA)

| No. Enrollees: 259 | Total No. Sampled: 54 | Total No. Respondents: 47 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Staff | For-Profit | Non-Competitive |

| HMO ID #: H 4949 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 3) | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------|------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 17% (6) | -46% | 0 | 58% (430) | 67% (2) | +9% | Ф |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 73% (27) | -23% | 0 | 98% (824) | 100% (3) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 94% (31) | +6% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | 100% (3) | +18% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 98% (43) | +9% | • | 84% (852) | 1 00% (3) | +16% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 100% (43) | +4% | • | 93% (958) | 100% (3) | +7% | ⊕ |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 81% (33) | +7% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 1 00% (3) | +33% | • |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 83% (35) | -2% | Ф | 82% (681) | 1 00% (3) | +18% | • |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 4949 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenrol (N = | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 85% (29) | +4% | Φ | 75% (477) | 100% (3) | +25% | • |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 91% (21) | -2% | ⊕ | 89% (453) | 100% (1) | +11% | Ф |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 95% (40) | 0% | Ф | 87% (704) | 1 00% (3) | +13% | • |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 94% (17) | +4% | Ф | 89% (425) | | | NS |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 93% (40) | -3% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 100% (3) | +12% | • |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 95% (38) | -1% | Ф | 88% (732) | 1 00% (3) | +12% | • |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 88% (38) | -5% | 0 | 86% (696) | 100% (3) | +14% | • |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 35% | -18% | 0 | 41% | 33% | -8% | ⊕ |
| ▶ stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (14) 63% (25) | +18% | • | (296) 49% (383) | (1) 67% (2) | +18% | • |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | | | NS |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 95% (39) | +7% | • | 76% (650) | 67% (2) | -9% | Ф |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 4949 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|-----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|------------------|-----------------|---------|------|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 90% (34) | +4% | Φ | 72% (471) | 33% (1) | -39% | 0 |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 3% (1) | -6% | 0 | 26% (162) | 67% (2) | +41% | • |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 76% (28) | +2% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 33% (1) | -25% | 0 |
| ٠ | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 1 6% (6) | +1% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 67% (2) | +31% | • |

NETWORK HEALTH PLAN (WA)

| No. Enrollees: 12,136 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 84 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

Below average

NS Not sufficient data

Average

Above average

Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal

to provide/pay for services.

For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13

APPOINTMENTS

days.

74%

(1,113)

85%

(1,276)

82%

(20)

97%

(28)

| HMO ID #: H 5005 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 41) | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 80% (7) | +17% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 85% (28) | +27% | • |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (19) | +4% | Ф | 98% (824) | 1 00% (37) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 97% (10) | +9% | Ф | 82% (611) | 88% (30) | +6% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 86% (28) | -3% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 95% (37) | +11% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 95% (37) | -1% | ⊕ | 93 % (958) | 97% (38) | +4% | • |

+12%

 \oplus

+8%

67%

(523)

82%

(681)

81%

(26)

97%

(34)

+14%

+15%

 \oplus

 \oplus

| | A hove | average |
|---|--------|---------|
| • | Adove | average |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 5005 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = | | |
|--|-------------|---------------|---------|----------|-------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually | 81% | 94% | +13% | • | 75% | 97% | +22% | • |
| waited less than 13 days. | (970) | (28) | | | (477) | (29) | | |
| When very sick, was able to | | | | | | | | |
| get a doctor's appointment | 93% | 100% | +7% | ⊕ | 89% | 96% | +7% | ⊕ |
| within 1 to 2 days. | (942) | (31) | | | (453) | (22) | | |
| Usually waited less than 1 | | | | | | | | |
| hour in the office to see | 95% | 100% | +5% | ⊕ | 87% | 91% | +4% | ⊕ |
| primary HMO doctor. | (1,431) | (40) | | • | (704) | (31) | | • |
| Consistently busy telephone | | | | | | | | |
| lines did not hinder bene's | 90% | 94% | +4% | ⊕ | 89% | 94% | +5% | ⊕ |
| making appointments. | (780) | (15) | ,0 | v | (425) | (16) | 1570 | Ψ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to provide needed | 96% | 95% | -1% | ⊕ | 88% | 95% | +7% | ⊕ |
| Medicare services. | (1,475) | (36) | | - | (744) | (36) | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to refer to a specialist | 96% | 95% | -1% | ⊕ | 88% | 92% | +4% | ⊕ |
| when needed. | (1,439) | (38) | | _ | (732) | (35) | | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek | | | | | | | | |
| out-of-plan care, excluding | | | | | | | | |
| dental, routine eye, and | 93% | 90% | -3% | ⊕ | 86% | 89% | +3% | ⊕ |
| emergent/urgent care. | (1,397) | (35) | | | (696) | (33) | | |
| Medical care received | | | | | | | | |
| through the HMO caused | | | | | | | | |
| beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 55% | +2% | ⊕ | 41% | 39% | -2% | ⊕ |
| | (772) | (24) | . 2 / | Ψ | (296) | (12) | -2 /0 | Φ |
| stay about the same | 45% | 45% | 0% | Φ. | 49% | 55% | +6% | \sim |
| , | (649) | (13) | U /U | ⊕ | (383) | (17) | 1 10 /0 | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% | 0% | -2% | | 10% | 7% | -3% | _ |
| | (32) | (0) | · 24 /V | ⊕ | (86) | (2) | -J N | Ф |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's | 88% | 92% | +4% | ⊕ | 76% | 89% | +13% | |
| health complaints seriously. | (1349) | (35) | | _ | (650) | (32) | | _ |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| НМО | ID #: H 5005 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 4 | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 90% (33) | +4% | Ф | 72% (471) | 78% (25) | +6% | ⊕ |
| ٠ | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 5% (3) | -4% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 16% (5) | -10% | 0 |
| Most : | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 64% (26) | -10% | 0 | 58% (387) | 61% (19) | +3% | ⊕ |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 22% (6) | +7% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 23% (7) | -13% | ⊕ |

GROUP HEALTH CO-OP OF PUGET SOUND (WA)

| No. Enrollees: 43,585 | Total No. Sampled: 98 | Total No. Respondents: 68 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Staff | Not For-Profit | Competitive |

| HMO ID #: H 5050 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees $(N = 32)$ | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and | 63% | 32% | -31% | 0 | 58% | 46% | -12% | ⊕ |
| hospice care. | (717) | (6) | | | (430) | (6) | | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 81% (17) | -15% | 0 | 98% (824) | 100% (14) | +2% | 0 |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 79% (11) | -9% | 0 | 82% (611) | 100% (12) | +18% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 81% (26) | -8% | 0 | 84% (852) | 75% (21) | -9% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 97% (34) | +1% | Ф | 93% (958) | 93% (26) | 0% | 0 |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 61% (19) | -13% | 0 | 67% (523) | 64% (18) | -3% | 0 |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, | | | | | | | | |
| usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 82% (27) | -3% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 81% (26) | -1% | € |

| | Above | average |
|---|-------|---------|
| _ | | avuazc |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 5050 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 48% (14) | -33% | 0 | 75% (477) | 89% (23) | +14% | ⊕ | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 1 00% (26) | +7% | Ф | 89% (453) | 82% (22) | -7% | ⊕ | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 100% (31) | +5% | Φ | 87% (704) | 1 00% (29) | +13% | • | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 96% (22) | +6% | Ф | 89% (425) | 100% (21) | +11% | ⊕ | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 1 00% (32) | +4% | • | 88% (744) | 90% (26) | +2% | ⊕ | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 94% (32) | -2% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 81% (26) | -7% | ⊕ | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 97% (31) | +4% | • | 86% (696) | 83% (24) | -3% | ⊕ | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 58% | +5% | ⊕ | 41% | 56% | +15% | ⊕ | |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (18) 39% (12) | -6% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (15) 26% (7) | -23% | 0 | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 3% (1) | +1% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 19% (5) | +9% | ⊕ | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 75% (24) | -13% | 0 | 76% (650) | 69% (22) | -7% | Ф | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| HMO ID #: H 5050 | | | Enrol (N = | | Disenrollees (N = 32) | | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 94% (31) | +8% | • | 72% (471) | 83% (20) | +11% | Ф |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 6% (2) | -3% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 17% (4) | -9% | ⊕ |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | · . | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 87% (27) | +13% | • | 58% (387) | 76% (22) | +18% | • |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 3% (1) | -12% | 0 | 36% (230) | 21% (6) | -15% | ⊕ |

| Above average | ⊕ Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| - ILDUTE ATCLASE | ₩ Average | O Deluw average | 149 1401 Sufficient data |

| HMO ID #: H 9016 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 30) | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | Ali HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 73% (16) | -8% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 47% (7) | -28% | 0 | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 92% (12) | -1% | Ф | 89% (453) | 56% (5) | -33% | 0 | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 84% (21) | -11% | 0 | 87% (704) | 70% (16) | -17% | 0 | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 85% (11) | -5% | Ф | 89% (425) | 53% (8) | -36% | 0 | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 100% (22) | +4% | Ф | 88% (744) | 70% (14) | -18% | 0 | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 81% (17) | -15% | 0 | 88% (732) | 67% (14) | -21% | 0 | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 94% (17) | +1% | Φ | 86% (696) | 80% (16) | -6% | 0 | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% (772) | 60% (15) | +7% | Ф | 41% (296) | 32% | -9% | ⊕ | |
| stay about the same | 45% (649) | 36% (9) | -9% | Ф | 49% (383) | 46% (10) | -3% | ⊕ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 4% (1) | +2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 23% (5) | +13% | • | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's | 88% | 78% | -10% | \cap | 76% | 620 1- | 1 1 <i>0</i> 7. | ~ | |

⊕ Average

O Below average

| нмо | D #: H 9016 | | Enrollees (N = 29) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 30) | | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 85% (17) | -1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 38% (6) | -34% | 0 | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 10% (2) | +1% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 63% (10) | +37% | • | | |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 60% (12) | -14% | 0 | 58% (387) | 42% (8) | -16% | ⊕ | | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 25% (5) | +10% | • | 36% (230) | 58% (11) | +22% | • | | |

QUAL-MED CALIFORNIA VALLEY PLAN

| No. Enrollees: 7,143 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 75 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: Group | For-Profit | Not Competitive |

| | Above average | ⊕ Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|

| HMO ID #: H 9037 | Enrollees (N = 41) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 34) | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All FIMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | <u>.</u> | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 59% (19) | -4% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 68% (15) | +10% | Ф | |
| Physical exam was not required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 92% (33) | -4% | ⊕ | 98% (824) | 100% (29) | +2% | 0 | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 93% (28) | +5% | Ф | 82% (611) | 67% (16) | -15% | 0 | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 92% (36) | +3% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 86% (25) | +2% | Ф | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 97% (38) | +1% | ⊕ | 93% (958) | 93% (26) | 0% | ⊕ | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 74% (28) | 0% | 0 | 67% (523) | 59% (13) | -8% | ⊕ | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 92% (34) | +7% | • | 82% (681) | 89% (23) | +7% | 0 | |

| Above average | \oplus | Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| | | | - 200011 20002 | 110 1100 201110-0110 01100 |

| HMO ID #: H 9037 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 34) | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------|----------------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually | 81% | 93% | +12% | ⊕ | 75% | 88% | +13% | ⊕ | |
| waited less than 13 days. | (970) | (28) | . 12,0 | Ψ | (477) | (15) | . 10 /0 | v | |
| When very sick, was able to | | | - | | and the second second second | _ | | | |
| get a doctor's appointment | 93% | 91% | -2% | ⊕ | 89% | 94% | +5% | ⊕ | |
| within 1 to 2 days. | (942) | (21) | - / - | • | (453) | (16) | | • | |
| Usually waited less than 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| hour in the office to see | 95% | 100% | +5% | ⊕ | 87% | 92% | +5% | (1) | |
| primary HMO doctor. | (1,431) | (36) | | | (704) | (22) | . • | • | |
| Consistently busy telephone | | | | | | | | | |
| lines did not hinder bene's | 90% | 100% | +10% | ⊕ | 89% | 100% | +11% | \oplus | |
| making appointments. | (780) | (22) | | | (425) | (11) | | | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | | |
| failed to provide needed | 96% | 100% | +4% | • | 88% | 91% | +3% | Φ | |
| Medicare services. | (1,475) | (38) | | | (744) | (20) | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | | |
| failed to refer to a specialist | 96% | 100% | +4% | lacktriangle | 88% | 91% | +3% | Φ | |
| when needed. | (1,439) | (38) | | | (732) | (21) | | | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek | | | | | | | | | |
| out-of-plan care, excluding | | | | _ | | | | _ | |
| dental, routine eye, and | 93% | 97% | +4% | • | 86% | 83% | -3% | 0 | |
| emergent/urgent care. | (1,397) | (36) | | | (696) | (19) | | | |
| Medical care received | | | | | | | | | |
| through the HMO caused | | | | | | | | | |
| beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 50% | -3% | Ф | 41% | 47% | +6% | ⊕ | |
| | (772) | (18) | | | (296) | (8) | | | |
| stay about the same | 45% | 50% | +5% | ⊕ | 49% | 47% | -2% | ⊕ | |
| - | (649) | (18) | | • | (383) | (8) | | ¥ | |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 6% (1) | -4% | Ф | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | ` <u></u> | • • | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's | 88% | 92% | +4% | ⊕ | 76% | 82% | +6% | ⊕ | |
| health complaints seriously. | (1349) | (34) | | - | (650) | (18) | | _ | |

| Above average | ⊕ Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Above average | | O Delow average | No Not sufficient data |

| НМО | ID #: H 9037 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = : | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| | ciary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 85% (28) | -1% | ⊕ | 72% (471) | 63% (10) | -9% | Ф |
| | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 6% (2) | -3% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 19% (3) | -7% | ⊕ |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 77% (27) | +3% | ⊕ | 58% (387) | 39% (7) | -19% | 0 |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 9% (3) | -6% | 0 | 36% (230) | 50% (9) | +14% | Ф |

SHARE HEALTH PLAN OF ILLINOIS

| No. Enrollees: 30,518 | Total No. Sampled: 100 | Total No. Respondents: 78 |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

| Above average | ⊕ Av | erage | 0 | Below aver | age | NS No | t sufficien | t data |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| HMO ID #: 9045 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 3 | | |
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, | (2 g) | | | | | | | |
| excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 77% (23) | +14% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 55% (11) | -3% | ⊕ |
| Physical exam was not required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 95% (39) | -1% | Ф | 98% (824) | 100% (27) | +2% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMC |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 85% (29) | -3% | ⊕ | 82% (611) | 86% (18) | +4% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 83% (34) | -6% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 82% (23) | -2% | • |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside | 96% | 86% | -10% | 0 | 93% | 93% | 0% | ⊕ |
| the service area.) | (1,585) | (37) | | | (958) | (26) | | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 71% (29) | -3% | ⊕ | 67% (523) | 59% (13) | -8% | • |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, | | | | _ | | _ | | <u></u> |
| usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 83% (35) | -2% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 96% (22) | +14% | ⊕ |

| _ | | |
|---|-------|---------|
| | Above | average |

⊕ Average

O Below average

NS Not sufficient data

| HMO ID #: 9045 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 3 | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 80% (24) | -1% | ⊕ | 75% (477) | 88% (14) | +13% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 96% (26) | +3% | Φ | 89% (453) | 1 00% (10) | +11% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 95% (38) | 0% | Ф | 87% (704) | 86% (19) | -1% | ⊕ |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 96% (22) | +6% | Φ | 89% (425) | 94% (15) | +5% | ⊕ |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 95% (37) | -1% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 88% (21) | 0% | • |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 95% (37) | -1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 79% (38) | -9% | • |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 93% (38) | 0% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 83% (4) | -3% | Ф |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ► improve | 53% | 59% | +6% | ⊕ | 41% | 46% | +5% | ⊕ |
| ▶ stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (24) 39% (16) | -6% | ⊕ | (296) 49% (383) | (10) 46% (10) | -3% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 2% (1) | 0% | ⊕ | 10% (86) | 9% (2) | -1% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 83% (34) | -5% | ⊕ | 76% (650) | 78% (18) | +2% | Ф |

Above average

⊕ Average

O Below average

NS Not sufficient data

| НМО | ID #: 9045 | | Enrol (N = | | | - | Disenro (N = 3 | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|-----------------|---------|---|---|-------------------|---------|----------|
| | iary Responses to Key Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр, | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 86% (30) | 0% | Ф | 7 2% (471) | 71% (12) | -1% | Ф |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 11% (4) | +2% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 18% (3) | -8% | ⊕ |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | C. C. of Anthropy, Nation of the Control of | y Ar Valence (and Ar Sal Salan and Ar Salan | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 85% (29) | +9% | Ф | 58% (387) | 45% (9) | -13% | 0 |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 9% (3) | -6% | ⊕ | 36% (230) | 45% (9) | +9% | ⊕ |

HEALTH MAINTENANCE OF OREGON

| No. Enrollees: 11,400 | Total No. Sampled: 99 | Total No. Respondents: 76 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Model type: IPA | For-Profit | Competitive |

● Above average ⊕ Average ○ Below average NS Not sufficient data

| HMO ID #: H 9049 | | Enrol (N = | | | Disenrollees (N = 37) | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (717) | 76% (22) | +13% | ⊕ | 58% (430) | 83% (20) | +25% | • |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (1,295) | 100% (31) | +4% | Ф | 98% (824) | 97% (29) | -1% | ⊕ |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HMO |)s | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (1,078) | 79% (23) | -9% | 0 | 82% (611) | 93% (27) | +11% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (1,444) | 92% (35) | +3% | ⊕ | 84% (852) | 91% (30) | +7% | ⊕ |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (except emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (1,585) | 97% (36) | +1% | ⊕ | 93% (958) | 97% (32) | +4% | ⊕ |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (1,113) | 90% (28) | +16% | • | 67% (523) | 82% (23) | +15% | ⊕ |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (1,276) | 97% (33) | +12% | ⊕ | 82% (681) | 80% (24) | -2% | Ф |

| Above average | \oplus | Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|------------------------|
|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|------------------------|

| HMO ID #: H 9049 | | Enrol (N = | | | | Disenro (N = 3 | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | .All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (970) | 95% (20) | +14% | • | 75% (477) | 90% (17) | +15% | ⊕ |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (942) | 100% (19) | +7% | Φ | 89% (453) | 100% (17) | +11% | ⊕ |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (1,431) | 100% (31) | +5% | Φ | 87% (704) | 1 00% (30) | +13% | • |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (780) | 95% (18) | +5% | Φ | 89% (425) | 73% (16) | -16% | 0 |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (1,475) | 94% (31) | -2% | ⊕ | 88% (744) | 93% (26) | +5% | ⊕ |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (1,439) | 97% (32) | +1% | ⊕ | 88% (732) | 96% (27) | +8% | ⊕ |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (1,397) | 91% (30) | -2% | ⊕ | 86% (696) | 90% (28) | +4% | ⊕ |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% | 42% | -11% | 0 | 41% | 31% | -10% | ⊕ |
| stay about the same | (772) 45% (649) | (13) 58% (18) | +13% | • | (296) 49% (383) | (20) 62% (18) | +13% | ⊕ |
| ▶ worsen | 2% (32) | 0% (0) | -2% | ⊕ | 1 0% (86) | 7% (2) | -3% | ⊕ |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (1349) | 94% (30) | +6% | • | 76% (650) | 74% (23) | -2% | ⊕ |

| | Above average | ⊕ Average | O Below average | NS Not sufficient data |
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|

| HMO ID #: H 9049 Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | | Enrollees (N = 39) | | | | Disenrollees (N = 37) | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--|
| | | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Comp. | All HMOs | This HMO | % Diff. | Сотр. | |
| | important to primary doctor was: | | | | | A CAMPANIA LIBERTURA MARIANTANA | | | | |
| ٠ | giving the best medical care possible | 86% (1,197) | 87% (26) | +1% | Ф | 72% (471) | 67% (18) | -5% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 9% (120) | 7% (2) | -2% | ⊕ | 26% (162) | 33% (9) | +7% | Ф | |
| Most i | important to the HMO | | | | | All Towns on the second | ··· | | | |
| • | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (1,036) | 79% (23) | +5% | Ф | 58% (387) | 73% (19) | +15% | ⊕ | |
| • | holding down the cost of care | 15% (213) | 7% (2) | -8% | 0 | 36% (230) | 19% (5) | -17% | Ф | |

ENDNOTES

- 1. We selected a stratified random sample from HCFA's Group Health Plan (GHP) data base. First, we sampled 45 HMOs from the 87 HMOs under a risk contract with HCFA as of February 1993. Beginning with the GHP data, we counted the number of enrollments occurring within calendar years 1991 and 1992. For this cohort, we then calculated the proportion of disenrollments within the following 12 months. Based on this disenrollment rate, we divided the 87 risk HMOs into three strata of 29 HMOs each. Within each strata, we selected 15 HMOs by simple random sampling. Second, from each sampled HMO, we randomly selected 50 Medicare beneficiaries who were enrolled as of February 28, 1993 and 50 who had disenrolled between November 1992 and February 1993 inclusive. When the total number per HMO for either group was less than 50, we selected them all. Using HCFA's Enrollment Data Base, we excluded, from the sampling universe, beneficiaries who had died or who appeared as current enrollees, but had actually disenrolled since the last update to the GHP file. This process resulted in 2,217 enrollees and 1,915 disenrollees for a total of 4,132 beneficiaries. A total of 2882 surveys were deemed usable, yielding an unweighted return rate of 70% overall, 77% for enrollees (N=1,705) and 61% for disenrollees (N=1,177).
- 2. "Complaints against Medicare HMOs show large variances nationwide," *Modern Healthcare*, February 13, 1995, p. 17.
- 3. Modern Healthcare, <u>ibid.</u> "The absolute number of reconsiderations filed with an HMO may not be indicative of quality issues related to the provision of medical care. In some instances it may indicate a need for education of beneficiaries as to what Medicare covers or other operational issues....Conversely, a low rate of appeals may indicate that the (plan) is not providing appeal rights to its members."

APPENDIX A

HMO Means and Standard Deviations By Survey Question

| | 1 | HMOs b | y Enrollee | s | HMOs by Disenrollees | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------|----------------|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | Mean of All HMOs | S. D. | Lowest HMO | Highest HMO | Mean of All HMOs | S. D. | Lowest HMO | Highest HMO | |
| HEALTH SCREENING | | | | | | | | | |
| Was <u>not</u> asked at application about health problems, excluding kidney failure and hospice care. | 63% (45 HMOs) | 18% | 17% | 94% | 58% (41 HMOs) | 20% | 16% | 93% | |
| Physical exam was <u>not</u> required before joining. | 96% (45 HMOs) | 6% | 73% | 100% | 98% (41 HMOs) | 4% | 87% | 100% | |
| UNDERSTANDING OF HM | Os | | | | | | | | |
| Did know they could change their minds about enrolling after they applied. | 88% (45 HMOs) | 9% | 46% | 100% | 82% (41 HMOs) | 14% | 50% | 100% | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they must be referred to a specialist by their primary HMO doctors. | 89% (45 HMOs) | 8% | 55% | 100% | 84% (41 HMOs) | 11% | 40% | 100% | |
| Did know, from the beginning, they could only use HMO doctors and hospitals (emergent care and urgent care outside the service area.) | 96% (45 HMOs) | 4% | 85% | 100% | 93% (41 HMOs) | 8% | 60% | 100% | |
| Did know they had the right to appeal an HMO's refusal to provide/pay for services. | 74% (45 HMOs) | 8% | 54% | 90% | 67% (39 HMOs) | 16% | 33% | 100% | |
| APPOINTMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| For scheduled appointments with primary HMO doctors, usually waited less than 13 days. | 85% (45 HMOs) | 14% | 30% | 100% | 82% (39 HMOs) | 16% | 32% | 100% | |
| For scheduled appointments with specialists, usually waited less than 13 days. | 81% (45 HMOs) | 13% | 35% | 100% | 75% (39 HMOs) | 17% | 28% | 100% | |

| | | HMOs b | y Enrollee | s | HI | HMOs by Disenrollees | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | Mean of All HMOs | S. D. | Lowest HMO | Highest HMO | Mean of All HMOs | S. D. | Lowest HMO | Highest HMO | | |
| When very sick, was able to get a doctor's appointment within 1 to 2 days. | 93% (45 HMOs) | 9% | 67% | 100% | 89% (38 HMOs) | 12% | 56% | 100% | | |
| Usually waited less than 1 hour in the office to see primary HMO doctor. | 95% (45 HMOs) | 6% | 75% | 100% | 87% (38 HMOs) | 12% | 48% | 100% | | |
| Consistently busy telephone lines did <u>not</u> hinder bene's making appointments. | 90% (45 HMOs) | 11% | 56% | 100% | 89% (36 HMOs) | 13% | 52% | 100% | | |
| SERVICES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to provide needed Medicare services. | 96% (45 HMOs) | 3% | 89% | 100% | 88% (40 HMOs) | 9% | 65% | 100% | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to refer to a specialist when needed. | 96% (45 HMOs) | 4% | 81% | 100% | 88% (40 HMOs) | 10% | 62% | 100% | | |
| Didn't feel the need to seek out-of-plan care, excluding dental, routine eye, and emergent/urgent care. | 93% (45 HMOs) | 4% | 83% | 100% | 86% (40 HMOs) | 9% | 64% | 100% | | |
| Medical care received through the HMO caused beneficiaries' health to: | | | | | | | | | | |
| ▶ improve | 53% (45 HMOs) | 10% | 35% | 78% | 41% (41 HMOs) | 18% | 8% | 100% | | |
| stay about the same | 45% (45 HMOs) 2% | 10% | 22% | 63% | 49% (41 HMOs) 10% | 16% | 0% | 72% | | |
| ► worsen | (45 HMOs) | 3% | 0% | 12% | (40 HMOs) | 11% | 0% | 40% | | |
| PERSONAL TREATMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary HMO doctor never failed to take beneficiary's health complaints seriously. | 88% (45 HMOs) | 6% | 75% | 97% | 76% (41 HMOs) | 12% | 41% | 100% | | |
| Most important to primary HMO doctor was: | | | | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| giving the best medical care possible | 86% (45 HMOs) | 6% | 69% | 96% | 72% (40 HMOs) | 17% | 33% | 100% | | |
| holding down the cost of care | 9% (45 HMOs) | 5% | 0% | 22% | 26% (40 HMOs) | 17% | 0% | 67% | | |

| | | HMOs by Disenrollees | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-------|---------------|----------------|---|-------|---------------|----------------|
| Beneficiary Responses to Key Survey Questions by Category | | Mean of All HMOs | S. D. | Lowest HMO | Highest HMO | Mean of All HMOs | S. D. | Lowest HMO | Highest HMO |
| Most was: | important to the HMO | | | | | Additionary of the Managery providing and an analysis of the second | | | |
| > | giving the best medical care possible | 74% (45 HMOs) | 10% | 52% | 89% | 58% (40 HMOs) | 18% | 25% | 100% |
| > | holding down the cost of care | 15% (45 HMOs) | 8% | 3% | 35% | 36% (40 HMOs) | 18% | 0% | 75% |

APPENDIX B

BENEFICIARY COMMENTS

Many beneficiaries wrote comments about problems they perceived with their HMOs. They wrote words of praise less often. We make no inference about this difference; we merely note it. We have tried to select a representative mixture of comments that illustrate what beneficiaries thought and felt about their HMO experiences.

Overall Comments

"The whole time I was in the HMO, I couldn't have asked for better service than I got from the HMO. But when the rates went up, I just didn't have the money to stay in or I would have still been in the HMO."

"Using only HMO doctors and hospitals is very inconvenient for me. The closest doctor is 38 miles from my home."

"The doctor I had and trusted does not take HMO patients. I am happy with the HMO primary care doctor, but my main worry is what to do if we need emergency care which might lead to long-term care. I am 86 years old."

"Though I have no complaints about care I have received so far through my HMO, I prefer the family doctor medical scene to today's highly specialized 'Big Dollar' machines. However, financially this is no longer an option."

"I don't see how the government can think HMOs are the answer to good medical care. The doctor could care less about the person on these plans. If you are well and do not need a doctor, this would be okay."

"This program is very successful, and if the government would put every citizen in this program, it would save millions and millions because the doctors and hospitals would have to conform or go into another profession."

"The problem I have is paying for all the prescription medicine I'm taking because of the extremely high prices. Which makes it impossible for the elderly to have any kind of health insurance. Why pay for insurance if one can't afford the cure?"

"...you receive more value for the money you spend for services. I like their emphasis on preventive medicine. The only negative I've seen...is that it's a rather complex/diverse organization that tends to be impersonal and requires the patient to show more initiative in getting and keeping track of treatment."

Understanding of HMOs

"The representative I talked with said my HMO doctor could refer me to the doctor I had before even if they were not a member, but he didn't and wouldn't."

"My prescriptions per year [were] over \$1000. My HMO promised \$5 per prescription, but did not explain the limitations were a \$100 per month, two prescriptions, then I paid if [I couldn't] take generic drugs."

"There were too many don'ts in coverage. I did not know the restrictions when I first signed up."

"All health maintenance plans are so complicated for elderly people. Don't cover us up with manuals, rules and paperwork. Just keep it simple."

"FHP had employees who misrepresented their plan. When I discovered this, I was left with bills from specialists who were not on their list of providers. I have turned this matter over to family members because the entire situation is overwhelming."

"I am enclosing the statement from Good Samaritan Hospital. The total bill was \$6,521.55. Kaiser paid \$2,382.75. They told me that is what Medicare would pay. I owe \$4,138.80. I am going to appeal."

"Your survey should have addressed the patient education clients receive who belong to HMOs and how the services decline as you get older and need more, as well as what clients really know about the appeal process."

Appointments

"[I am] rushed in and out too fast. [I] spend a lot more time in the waiting room."

"I've only had my physical exam, but there's always a doctor at the office center and I can walk in anytime and see a doctor. I can also call the office center and they will provide transportation to and from the office center."

"The average time [to wait for appointments] was one appointment approximately every 3 months, and there were times I needed it more in my estimation."

"You have to listen to about 15 minutes [of] damn commercials when calling for appointments."

"We left the HMO because we had to make appointments a month ahead of time at the beginning of the month. If we forgot to call at the beginning of the month, we were told to call back the first of the next month."

"The medical care was lousy. I felt like a piece of meat being shuffled from one doctor to the next and not one of them ever really cared about my health, only how much money they could milk out of the Medicare system."

"HMOs have no interest in one's health. I don't mean the doctors or staff. It's the ones making the rules, such as medicine formularies."

"...The HMO which I was formerly covered by and the present one honestly try to give the best care possible and still try to keep the cost down. I appreciate this. I do not believe in running to the doctor unless I feel it is necessary."

"Their main objective is to hold down costs....When a Parkinson patient is refused a specialist and a heart patient is refused an electrocardiogram, the reason is very clear."

"The primary HMO doctor tried to intimidate me by yelling at me. He was either overworked or felt threatened when I asked for reasons for certain medications."

"We have had little cooperation from the [HMO] office when we needed it. The office staff was uninformed, gave us incorrect information several times. Gave us a run-around not to be believed when we badly needed their help...."

"...male HMO primary physician [delivers] embarrassing services which should be available for women by female HMO physician, e.g. Pap smears."