

3.0 How is the Gulf Coast Climate Changing?

Lead Authors: Barry D. Keim, Thomas W. Doyle, and Virginia R. Burkett

Contributing Authors: Claudia Tebaldi, Ivor Van Heerden, S. Ahmet Binselam, Michael F. Wehner, Tamara G. Houston, and Daniel M. Beagan

The central Gulf Coast is one of warmest, wettest regions in the United States, where annual rainfall averages over 150 cm (60 inches) per year (Christopherson, 2000). Since there is very little topographic relief, changes in precipitation and runoff could have a dramatic impact on fragile Gulf Coast ecosystems and coastal communities by changing the hydroclimatology of the region. Changes in runoff are important to virtually all transportation modes in the Gulf Coast region. Interstate highways in Houston and New Orleans, for example, are occasionally flooded by locally intense rainfall, and several state and local highways are closed due to high rainfall at least once every five years. Even ports can be affected by high rainfall and runoff to shallow coastal waterways. Changes in temperature and moisture regime also are relevant to many aspects of transportation planning, construction and maintenance. Airport runway length requirements, for example, are determined by mean maximum temperature for the hottest month of the year. As the climate and sea surface warm, we can anticipate an increase in the intensity of hurricanes making landfall along the Gulf of Mexico coastline. As the ocean warms and ice sheets decline, sea level rise is likely to accelerate, which has serious implications for the Gulf Coast region where much of the land is sinking (subsiding) due to local geological processes and human development activity.

This chapter summarizes the direct and indirect effects of climate change that are most likely to affect transportation in the Gulf Coast region. The key climate “drivers” examined in the study region are:

- Temperature;
- Precipitation;
- Sea level rise; and
- Hurricanes and less intense tropical storms.

The interactive effects of these drivers, coupled with ongoing environmental processes in the region, are discussed in the following sections. This chapter presents scenarios of future climate change in addition to analysis of historical trends. Specific implications of

1 the scenarios of future climate for each mode of transportation are discussed in the
2 subsequent chapter of this report.

3 **Intended Use of Climate and Emission Scenarios in the Context of This Report**

4 A “scenario” is a plausible description of possible future conditions and is generally
5 developed to inform decision-making under uncertainty. Building and using scenarios can
6 help people explore what the future might look like and the likely challenges of living in it
7 (Shell International, 2003). Scenarios are distinct from assessments, models, and similar
8 decision-support activities, although they can provide important inputs to these activities.
9 Scenarios also can be distinguished from precise statements about future conditions, which
10 may be referred to as “forecasts” or “predictions.” Compared to these, scenarios tend to
11 presume lower predictive confidence, because they pertain to processes for which weaker
12 causal understanding or longer time horizons increase uncertainties (Parson et al., 2007).

13 Climate scenarios describe potential future climate conditions and are used to inform
14 decision-making relative to adaptation and mitigation. Scenarios can be constructed for
15 higher order aspects of climate change and its impacts, such as future changes in sea level,
16 drought and storm intensity, or vegetation distribution. Scenarios of relative sea level rise,
17 for example, in a subsequent section of this report were constructed by combining climate-
18 change scenarios with information about coastal subsidence and other specific regional
19 characteristics. The climate and sea level rise scenarios discussed in this report identify
20 plausible potential future conditions for the Gulf Coast region. They are intended to frame
21 the analysis of potential risks and vulnerability within the transportation sector.

22 The earth’s climate is determined, in part, by the concentration of atmospheric greenhouse
23 gases and particulates that absorb infrared radiation (heat) reflected from the earth’s
24 surface. Human activity is increasing greenhouse gas and particulate emissions, which has
25 resulted in an increase in the earth’s temperature (IPCC, 2001, 2007). In order to assess
26 how the climate may change in the future, future emissions must be specified. The
27 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has conducted three exercises to
28 generate scenarios of 21st century greenhouse-gas emissions, the most recent being the
29 IPCC Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) (Nakicenovic and Swart, 2000). To
30 explore the potential effects on transportation, we selected a range of emissions futures
31 from the SRES report, including the low-emissions B1 scenario, the mid-range A1B
32 scenario, and the high-emissions A2 scenario. The AIFI scenario, which assumes the
33 highest reliance on fossil fuels during this century, also was added to the SRES scenarios
34 used to assess the effects of sea level rise.

35 The SRES A1B scenario assumes a balance across all energy sources, meaning it does not
36 rely too heavily on any one particular source, including fossil fuels. It is, therefore, based
37 on the assumption that improvement rates apply to all energy sources and end-use
38 technologies. The A2 scenario assumes that economic development is primarily regionally
39 oriented and per capita economic growth and technological change more fragmented and
40 slower than for the other emission scenarios. The B1 scenario assumes a high level of
41 social and environmental awareness with an eye toward sustainability. It includes an

1 increase in resource efficiency and diffusion of cleaner technologies (IPCC, 2001). These
2 three emission scenarios are among the six “marker/illustrative scenarios” selected for
3 climate model simulations the IPCC’s Third and Fourth Assessment Reports (IPCC, 2001,
4 2007) (Figure 3.1). The B1 scenario lies at the lower extreme end of the potential changes
5 in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations during this century, while the A1B emission scenario is
6 considered a middle-of-the-range scenario in terms of the hypothesized rate of greenhouse
7 gas emissions. The A2 scenario is among the higher end of the SRES scenarios in terms of
8 both CO₂ and SO₂ emissions. The influence of SRES emission scenario on global
9 temperature simulations is presented in Table 3.1.

10 ■ 3.1 Temperature, Precipitation, and Runoff

11 The climate of the study area is influenced by remote global factors, including the El Niño
12 Southern Oscillation, and regional factors such as solar insolation. Due to the influence of
13 the nearby Gulf of Mexico, the region is warmer and moister than most other continental
14 regions at this latitude. Rainfall across the study area has little seasonality, with slightly
15 higher rainfall values in spring and summer relative to fall and winter. The region enjoys
16 mild winters, which are occasionally interrupted by cold air masses extending far south
17 from the northern pacific or the Arctic, which brings low temperatures and freezing
18 conditions. Rainfall in the region is dependent upon a variety of processes, including
19 frontal passages in the winter and spring (Twilley et al., 2001). Short-lived, unorganized
20 thunderstorms fueled by afternoon heating and moisture are common in the study area and
21 associated, in part, with a prominent sea/land breeze (Ahijevych et al., 2003).

22 The Gulf Coast, like much of the world, has experienced significant changes in climate
23 over the past century. With continued increases in atmospheric greenhouse gases and their
24 radiative forcing, the earth’s climate is expected to change even more rapidly during the
25 21st century (IPCC, 2007). Computer-based climate simulation models are used to study
26 the present climate and its responses to past perturbations like variation in the sun’s output
27 or major volcanic eruptions. They also are used to assess how the future climate would
28 change under any specified scenario of greenhouse-gas emissions or other human activity
29 (Parson et al., 2007).

30 3.1.1 Historical Data Sources

31 Changes in the historical climatology of the study area were investigated from an empirical
32 perspective relying on instrumental records. The assessment of the present climate and 20th
33 century trends was built around climatic data from the United States Climate Division
34 Datasets (CDD) (Guttman and Quayle, 1996) and the United States Historical Climate
35 Network (USHCN) (Karl et al., 1990; Easterling et al., 1996). Since CDD were used in a
36 portion of this analysis, caution needs to be taken with data from 1905 to 1930 which are
37 synthesized from statewide data as described by Guttman and Quayle (1996) and therefore
38 are not true averages of data from within a climate division.

1 Empirical trends and variability were analyzed for temperature and precipitation at the
2 CDD level for the climate divisions along the Gulf Coast from Galveston to Mobile,
3 including Texas Climate Division 8, Louisiana Divisions 6-9, Mississippi Division 10, and
4 Alabama Division 8 (Figure 3.2).

5 Keim and others (2003) showed that CDD can have spurious temperature trends. Our
6 analysis synthesized CDD consisting of averages of stations within each division from the
7 USHCN (Table 3.2). FILNET data have undergone numerous quality assurances and
8 adjustments to best characterize the actual variability in climate. These adjustments take
9 into consideration the validity of extreme outliers, time of observation bias (Karl et al.,
10 1986), changes in instrumentation (Quayle et al., 1991), random relocations of stations
11 (Karl and Williams, 1987), and urban warming biases (Karl et al., 1988). Furthermore,
12 missing data were estimated from surrounding stations to produce a nearly continuous data
13 set for each station.

14 Monthly averages from the USHCN stations from 1905 to 2003 within each climate
15 division were then averaged annually, thereby constructing an alternative “divisional data”
16 annual time series. The year 1905 was selected as a starting point because it represents a
17 common period of record for all but one of the USHCN stations utilized in the study – the
18 exception is Fairhope, Alabama, beginning in 1919. Fairhope was maintained because it is
19 the only USHCN station available in Alabama Climate Division 8. Only USHCN FILNET
20 stations with a continuous monthly record of temperature from January 1905 through
21 December 2003 were included in the analysis, with the exception of Fairhope. USHCN
22 precipitation data were not as serially complete as temperature and there were fewer
23 stations available. As a result, this study incorporated the original CDD for precipitation,
24 which seems reasonable given results of Keim and others (2005).

25 **3.1.2 GCM Applications for the Study Area**

26 The scenarios of future climate referenced in this report were extracted from an ensemble
27 of up to 21 different atmosphere-ocean General Circulation Model (GCM) efforts which
28 contributed the results of their simulations in support of the IPCCs’ Fourth Assessment
29 Report, and are labeled Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 3 (CMIP3). Gridded
30 output limited to the study area was extracted from each GCM. Figure 3.3 shows the study
31 region and the boundaries used to subset the global grid of a typical GCM output. Results
32 are presented as spatial averages across the entire area. The GCMs were run under three
33 forcings, the low-emissions B1, the high-emissions A2 and the mid-range A1B scenarios
34 from the IPCC’s Special Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES) (Nakicenovic and Swart,
35 2000).

36 Scenarios of future temperature and precipitation change for the middle of the 21st century
37 were derived from the regional GCM runs. Scatter diagrams were produced to convey the
38 range of output of the models with respect to present conditions following the procedures
39 of Ruosteenoja et al. (2003) (Figure 3.4). Probability Density (or Distribution) Functions
40 (PDF) were developed by applying the method of Tebaldi and others (2004, 2005). Data
41 forming the basis of the PDF estimation is an ensemble of historical and future climate

1 simulations (from which temperature and precipitation are extracted). Output of
2 temperature and precipitation from up to 21 different GCMs under the three different
3 scenarios, area- and seasonally averaged, was considered for two 20-year periods, one
4 representative of recent climatology (1980-1999) and one representative of the future mid-
5 century time slice (2040-2059). Thus scenarios of “climate change” are to be interpreted
6 with respect to these two time periods and conditional on the SRES A1B, A2, and B1
7 scenarios (Nakicenovic and Swart, 2000). While the results from the GCM runs are indeed
8 plausible, they should be interpreted as mid-, high-, and low-range results, respectively,
9 among the SRES scenarios of the potential changes in temperature and precipitation.

10 The statistical procedure synthesizes the multimodel ensemble of projections into a
11 continuous PDF by applying a Bayesian method of estimation. At the core of the method
12 is the idea that both observed and modeled temperature and precipitation contribute
13 information to the estimate, so that different models will be differently “weighted” in the
14 final probabilistic projections on the basis of their differential skill in reproducing observed
15 climate. The method used also considered the convergence of different models when
16 producing future trajectories, rewarding models that agree with one another and
17 downweighting outliers. In the version of the statistical procedure applied here, the latter
18 criterion is discounted, ensuring that even model projections that disagree with the
19 consensus inform the shape of the final PDFs. This choice is made as a result of two
20 considerations: the ensemble of GCMs at our disposal is not made of independent models
21 (there are components and algorithms in common, for example) so rewarding agreement is
22 somewhat questionable when one can argue that the agreement is not independently
23 created. The second consideration has to do with the width of the PDFs produced, since
24 enforcing the convergence criterion has the effect of narrowing the width of the PDFs to a
25 range even smaller than the original ensemble range. It is well understood that the range of
26 uncertainty addressed by this particular ensemble of models is limited when compared to
27 the whole range of sources of uncertainty that can be listed, when examining climate
28 change projections. Thus we preferred to produce conservative estimates of the uncertainty
29 (i.e., larger rather than smaller). The result of applying the statistical analysis to the GCM
30 output are PDFs of temperature and precipitation change (the latter as absolute values or
31 percent change with respect to historical precipitation averages) from which any percentile
32 can be derived.

33 **3.1.3 Water-Balance Model**

34 The primary tool used to investigate the hydroclimatology of the study area was a modified
35 Thornthwaite Water Balance Model as described by Dingman (2002). The Thornthwaite
36 model is simply an accounting of hydroclimatological inputs and outputs. Monthly values
37 of temperature, precipitation, and potential evapotranspiration – called reference
38 evapotranspiration – were entered into the budget and parameters such as rain/snow ratios,
39 soil moisture, soil moisture deficits, and runoff were calculated. The water balance was
40 modified slightly by using an alternative reference evapotranspiration (ET_o) term than that
41 originally used by Thornthwaite to provide a better estimate of ET_o in the central Gulf
42 Coast region. As with any monthly water balance, atmospheric and terrestrial variables

1 (such as ET_o , soil moisture, runoff, etc.) were parameterized using bulk terms. A
2 description of the procedures used to estimate evapotranspiration, soil moisture, and other
3 components of the water balance model are presented in Appendix D.

4 **3.1.4 Temperature and Runoff Trends**

5 Results from our analysis of temperature variability during 1905 to 2003 indicate that the
6 1920s was generally the warmest decade for the various Gulf Coast climate divisions
7 (Figure 3.5). After a step down in the temperature in the late 1950s, the coolest period
8 occurs in the 1960s, while a warming trend is evident for all seven climate divisions
9 beginning in the 1970s and extending through 2003. Of the seven climate divisions, LA6,
10 LA8, and MS10 have slight but significant cooling trends at an $\alpha \leq .05$ over the 98-year
11 period of record. Precipitation variability shows that the 1940s and 1990s were the wettest
12 decades, while the 1950s was generally the driest (Figure 3.6). Although all of the climate
13 divisions at least suggest long-term patterns of increasing rainfall, only MS10 and AL8
14 have trends that are significant at an $\alpha \leq .05$.

15 Data for each of the seven climate divisions were amalgamated into a regional dataset, by
16 month, and the continuous monthly water balance model was run. In a typical year, ET_o is
17 low in winter and early spring, and most rainfall is converted to runoff because soil
18 moisture storage remains at, or near, capacity. As temperatures rise in late spring and early
19 summer and the number of hours of daylight increases, ET_o also increases.
20 Evapotranspiration will often exceed rainfall in July, August, and September, which leads
21 to soil moisture utilization, on the average. Then in late fall, precipitation often exceeds
22 ET_o leading to recharge of soil moisture. Regional trends in model-derived runoff shows
23 large inter-annual variability with the high values in the 1940s and from 1975 to 2003
24 (Figure 3.7). Despite the variability, a long-term trend was detected in the data at an α
25 $\leq .05$, and the trend line indicates a 36 percent increase in runoff over the time period.
26 Moisture deficits show high values from the mid-1940s through the mid-1960s, with 1998
27 to 2000 also high (Figure 3.7) but without any long-term trends.

28 Historical monthly extremes of precipitation, runoff, and deficit in the Gulf Coast Region
29 were analyzed to provide a focus for this portion of the analysis. In the empirical record,
30 there is some evidence of an increase in precipitation extremes in the United States and in
31 the Gulf South. Karl et al. (1995) shows that one-day extreme rainfall events have
32 increased in portions of the United States, and Keim (1997) shows heavy rainfall events
33 have increased in the south-central U.S. These heavy rainfall events have very likely
34 contributed the increases in runoff found in this study.

35 The period 1971 to 2000 serves as the baseline climatology for this analysis. Using water
36 balance output for this 30-year period, partial duration series (PDS) are generated for the
37 three variables. A PDS includes the number of events (monthly extremes) equal to the
38 number of years under examination, which is 30 in this case. As such, the 30 largest
39 monthly totals of precipitation, runoff, and deficit were extracted and then fit to the beta-p
40 distribution, as recommended by Wilks (1993), and the 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year

1 quantile estimates are determined for each. These data serve as a baseline for assessing
2 potential future changes in extremes of precipitation, runoff, and deficit.

3 **3.1.5 GCM Results and Future Climate Scenarios**

4 To explore how the regional climate may change over the next 50 years, output from an
5 ensemble of GCM runs used by IPCC for the Fourth Assessment Report (2007) was
6 analyzed. Scatterplots and probability density functions of average temperature and
7 precipitation change were derived from the GCM ensemble output for the IPCC SRES
8 greenhouse gas emission scenarios labeled A1B, A2, and B1. The results presented in the
9 following discussion are based on GCMs (Table 3.3) contributing runs to the IPCC archive
10 used in the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report and are consistent with the temperature and
11 precipitation projections reflected in IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (2007).

12 The GCM results run with the A1B, A2, and B1 emissions scenarios suggest a warmer
13 Gulf Coast Region, with the greatest increase in temperature occurring in summer and
14 lowest increases in winter (Tables 3.4, 3.6, and 3.8). This is consistent with another
15 analysis of historical data that shows a significant increase in summer minimum
16 temperature across the Gulf Coast study area between 1950 and 2002 (Groisman et al.,
17 2004).

18 Although the climate model output for the A1B, A2, and B1 emissions scenarios
19 demonstrate a large degree of similarity, the A1B scenario was retained for more detailed
20 analysis since it is considered "mid-range" of the IPCC emissions scenarios. Also, we note
21 that the major differences in CO₂ concentrations under the IPCC SRES scenarios occur
22 after 2040 (Figure 3.1), which helps explain why temperature and precipitation do not vary
23 widely among the GCM experiments with the high-, low-, and mid-range emission
24 scenarios (Tables 3.4 to 3.9). Stated another way, the climate scenarios presented in these
25 tables are not likely to change significantly during the next three to four decades by
26 mitigation measures that would reduce emissions, although mitigation measures could
27 substantially affect the climate in the latter half of this century. Probability density
28 functions (PDF) for seasonal temperature and precipitation change through 2050 are
29 presented in Figures 3.8 and 3.9, respectively.

30 Hourly or daily precipitation extremes cannot be reliably simulated by current GCM
31 experiments. The percentiles (i.e., the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles) from the A1B PDFs
32 were used as a proxy for assessing potential changes to hydrological extremes across the
33 region. These percentiles stretch the range of output from all 21 GCMs, while also
34 providing the middle of the PDF, or region under the curve where there is most agreement
35 between the models (i.e., 50th percentile). The 1971-2000 temperature and precipitation
36 data therefore were modified seasonally according to the predicted changes presented in
37 Tables 3.5, 3.7, and 3.9 for each of the three quartiles. The water balance model was then
38 rerun using the three quartile datasets to simulate the hydrology under these altered climate
39 conditions. These datasets provided the means necessary to produce new PDS of
40 precipitation, runoff, and deficit for additional extreme value statistical testing.

1 The 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year return periods for mean monthly precipitation show
2 only modest differences between the current climate and the projected climate in 2050 at
3 the three PDF percentiles (Figure 3.10). As expected, there is a decrease in monthly
4 precipitation extremes at the 5th percentile for the less rare return periods (2- to 25-year),
5 relative to the current climate, which would be expected given the reduction in
6 precipitation by up to 36 percent in summer. However, given the shape of the beta-p
7 distribution, the 100-year precipitation event is slightly larger than the baseline. Results for
8 the 50th percentile indicate that the less rare return periods are on par with current climate,
9 but that the rare return periods may have modestly larger storms. At the 95th percentile,
10 storms are generally larger across the board.

11 Monthly runoff extremes show a very different relationship to the current climate. At both
12 the 5th and 50th percentiles, there is a dramatic reduction in projected runoff (Figure 3.11).
13 The mid-range of the GCMs suggests a decline in runoff relative to the 1971-2000 baseline
14 period. Runoff rates are lower because precipitation is somewhat reduced, but perhaps
15 more importantly, the projected increases in temperature also lead to increases in potential
16 and actual evapotranspiration, and evapotranspiration rates are highest in the Gulf and
17 southeastern United States compared to other U.S. regions (Hanson, 1991). An increase in
18 actual evapotranspiration, without any increase in precipitation, translates into a reduction
19 in runoff rates. However, at the 95th percentile, precipitation increases anywhere from 9 to
20 26 percent, depending on season.

21 Extremes in monthly deficit show a more complex pattern between the quartiles and over
22 the various return periods (Figure 3.12). The 5th percentile shows much larger deficits
23 occurring relative to the 1971-2000 baseline. This is especially relevant at the two-year
24 return period, which is nearly 30 percent larger in magnitude/intensity than in the current
25 climate. This makes physical sense as temperatures become somewhat warmer, thereby
26 increasing potential evapotranspiration, there also are substantial reductions in
27 precipitation. The net effect of this combination would be an increase in deficits (and
28 drought intensity). Smaller reductions in precipitation at the 50th percentile dampen the
29 increases in deficits. At the 95th percentile, increases in temperature are more than offset by
30 the dramatic increases in precipitation, with deficits substantially reduced in their intensity.

31 **3.1.6 Changes in Daily Temperature**

32 To examine trends in extreme temperature for the study area, daily maximum temperature,
33 and minimum temperature were analyzed from 1950 through 2005. The historical analysis
34 presented uses a data set and tools developed for an analysis of North American extremes
35 based on the Daily data set from the USHCN (NOAA, 2006).¹ Temperature indices of

¹ We acknowledge the modeling groups for providing their data for analysis, the Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (PCMDI) for collecting and archiving the model output, and the JSC/CLIVAR Working Group on Coupled Modeling (WGCM) for organizing the model data analysis activity. The multimodel data archive is supported by the Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy.

1 transportation sensitive parameters were created on a station basis and then averaged
2 together. For localized analyses, anomalies of the indices for all stations within 500 km of
3 the target location were averaged together. For the U.S. time series, anomalies of station
4 level indices were first averaged into 2.5° latitude by 2.5° longitude grid boxes. Where a
5 grid box did not have any stations, the values of the indices from neighboring grid boxes
6 were interpolated into that grid box in order to make the averaging area more spatially
7 representative. The grid box values were then averaged on an area-weighted basis to create
8 U.S. time series. The time series figures show the annual values and a smoothed line
9 derived from a locally weighted regression (Lowess filter; Cleveland et al., 1988). An
10 advantage of a Lowess filter is that it is not impacted very much by one extreme annual
11 value that might occur in an El Niño year, and therefore depicts the underlying long-term
12 changes quite well.

13 The number of very hot days has been increasing on average across the United States.
14 Figure 3.13 shows the average change since 1950 in the warmest 10 percent of July
15 maximum and minimum temperatures at each station. The positive trend in minimum
16 temperatures implies significantly warmer nights. The maximum temperature decreased
17 after a period of south-central U.S. droughts in the 1950s and has been increasing ever
18 since.

19 Temperature trends across the Gulf Region are not as pronounced as they are nationally
20 due to the moderating effect of the proximate Gulf of Mexico waters. Figure 3.14 shows
21 the anomaly in the number of days above 100°F averaged over stations within 500 km of
22 Dallas, Texas. Although centered outside the Gulf States regions considered in this report,
23 many of the stations are well within this region of interest and this figure is certainly
24 representative of the behavior of Gulf State extreme temperatures in the recent past. Note
25 the cooling following the 1950s droughts. Also, note that the magnitude of interannual
26 variations is considerably larger than any trend.

27 Notwithstanding this absence of a detectible trend in the number of days exceeding a high
28 threshold temperature, it is very likely that in the future the number of very hot days will
29 substantially increase. Figure 3.15 shows a prediction of the average number of days
30 exceeding 37.8°C (100°F) in the June-July-August (JJA) season 25 years, 50 years and 90
31 years into the future under the SRES A2 scenario for Houston, Texas, the closest station to
32 Galveston, Texas with available data. The algorithm used for this prediction exploits
33 current observations as well as predictions of the JJA average temperature from 17 of the
34 climate models contained in the WGNE-CMIP3 database prepared for the IPCC Fourth
35 Assessment Report. Twenty-five years from now, the probability of a week (although not
36 necessarily continuous) of 37.8°C temperatures in this region is greater than 50 percent.
37 Fifty years from now, the overall heating is such that the probability of three weeks of
38 37.8°C temperatures is greater than 50 percent. Note that results obtained under either the
39 B1 or A1B forcing scenarios would be statistically indistinguishable from these results
40 until well after the mid-century mark.

41 Climate models predict that the extreme temperature events could change more than the
42 average climate over the course of the next century (IPCC, 2007). One way of quantifying
43 this is consider 20-year return values of the annual maximum of the daily average

1 temperature. The 20-year return value is that value that is exceeded by a random variable
2 once every 20 years on average over a long period of time. Such an event is truly rare,
3 occurring only three or four times over the course of a typical human lifetime. Generalized
4 extreme value theory provides a robust statistical framework to perform these calculations
5 (Zwiers and Kharin, 1998; Wehner, 2005). Figure 3.16 shows the predicted change in this
6 quantity at the end of 21st century under the SRES A1B scenario from a mean model
7 constructed from 10 models from the WGNE-CMIP3 database. Over the Gulf States
8 region, this extreme value change is about 1°C greater than the change in the average
9 temperature. Another way to put this in perspective is to consider how frequent currently
10 considered rare events will be encountered in the future. Figure 3.17 shows the number of
11 times in a 20-year period that the 1990-1999 return value would be reached near the end of
12 the century. The purple shaded regions exceed 10 times, hence currently considered rare
13 events are likely to happen every other year or more frequently.

14 **3.1.7 Changes in Specific Temperature Maxima** 15 **Affecting Transportation**

16 Transportation analysts have identified several specific attributes of temperature change of
17 concern in transportation planning. Changes in annual days above 32.2°C (90°F) and
18 maximum high temperature, for example, will impact the ability to construct and maintain
19 transportation facilities. Concrete loses strength if it is set at air temperatures greater than
20 32.2°C and the ability of construction workers and maintenance staff to perform their
21 duties is severely curtailed at temperatures above 32.2°C degrees. In order to properly
22 design for the thermal expansion of concrete and steel elements of transportation facilities,
23 knowledge of the maximum expected temperatures is required.

24 Since global climate models are integrated at spatial scales around 200 km, a linear
25 regression analysis was used to downscale relationships between the three variables of
26 greatest concern at the localized scale of a weather station to the transportation sector.
27 Historical data from the USHCN for eight observation stations in the Gulf Coast study area
28 were analyzed to determine highest temperature of record, mean number of days at
29 minimum temperature 32.2°C or higher, and mean daily temperature. Table 3.10 shows
30 the reported observations for the eight weather stations for days above 32.2°C and the
31 associated annual and July mean daily temperatures.

32 Based on the relationship established in the regression analysis of the historical data,
33 changes in mean and extreme temperatures were calculated for the study area relevant to
34 the temperatures in 2050 and 2100, as predicted by the global climate models used in this
35 study. The analysis focused on the relationship between mean daily temperature, output
36 from the climate models at 200 km scales, and the desired values downscaled to local
37 spatial scales: number of days above 32.2°C and the highest temperature of record.
38 Comparisons were made to each of the annual mean daily temperatures and mean daily
39 temperatures for the month of July to determine which relationship better provided the
40 desired forecast variables.

1 A linear regression of days above 32.2°C (90°F) as an independent variable for the stations
2 shown was undertaken for each of the annual mean daily and the July mean daily
3 temperatures as the dependent variables. The regression of observed days above 32.2°C
4 versus annual mean daily temperature showed that for each 0.6°C (1°F) degree rise in
5 annual mean daily temperature there is an associated 3.9-day increase in the annual days
6 above 32.2°C. However, the data for New Orleans falls outside the trend line for this
7 relationship.² The regression of days above 32.2°C versus July mean daily temperature
8 showed that for each 0.6°C (1°F) degree rise in July mean daily temperature there is an
9 associated 10-day increase in the annual days above 32.2°C.³

10 The regression of observed high temperature versus annual mean daily temperature
11 suggested that for each 0.6°C (1°F) rise in annual mean daily temperature there is an
12 associated 0.6°F rise in high temperature. However, this relationship only has an
13 R-squared of 0.10 largely because the data for New Orleans falls outside the trend line.
14 The regression of high temperature versus July mean daily temperature showed that for
15 each 0.6°C (1°F) rise in July mean daily temperature there is an associated 1.2°C (2°F) rise
16 in the high temperature.⁴

17 The mean daily temperature for the study area is 27.6°C (81.7°F) degrees. Based on the
18 relationships established above, this implies that the existing high temperature should be
19 approximately 40.6°C (105°F) degrees. For each additional 1°F degree increase in July
20 mean daily temperature that is forecast by the GCMs, this high temperature can be
21 expected to increase by 1.2°C (2°F) degrees. Using the relationship developed, this implies
22 that the baseline/historical number of days above 32.2°C (90°F) is approximately 77 days.
23 For each additional 0.6°C (1°F) degree increase in July mean daily temperature that is
24 forecasted by the GCMs, the number of days above 32.2°C (90°F) can be expected to
25 increase by approximately 10 days or 17 days for each increase by 1°C (2°F).

26 Airport runway length in the United States is generally calculated based on the mean
27 maximum temperature (that is, the average of the daily high temperatures) during the
28 hottest month of the year during the prior 30-year record. August is the month with the
29 highest monthly mean max temperature in the Gulf Coast study area. Mean maximum
30 temperature is reported by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for
31 283 NOAA stations across the United States, six of which are located in the study area.
32 The average mean maximum temperature for the hottest month of the year from these six
33 stations is 33.1°C (91.6°F). To verify this, we determined the 30-year mean maximum
34 temperature data (1972 to 2002) from the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center
35 (CDIAC) which encompasses 12 reporting stations located in the study area. CDIAC data
36 provides station elevation data as well as latitude and longitude data. The average mean

² As a result, this relationship only has an R-squared of 0.27.

³ With an R-squared of 0.61 if New Orleans is included and 0.77 if New Orleans is excluded from the analysis.

⁴ The R-squared associated with this data is 0.42 if New Orleans is included, and 0.89 if New Orleans is excluded from the analysis.

1 maximum temperature from the 12 CDIAC stations is 33.0°C (91.4°F) (Table 3.11). The
2 airport section in the subsequent chapter deals more specifically with this dataset in an
3 analysis of how runway length may be impacted by changes in temperature during the next
4 50 to 100 years.

5 **3.1.8 Increasing Daily Precipitation Extremes**

6 As mentioned above, current generation climate models are limited in their ability to
7 simulate individual storms by a lack of horizontal resolution. From a simple theoretical
8 argument (Allen and Ingram, 2003), it is expected that extreme precipitation events should
9 become more intense as the climate warms. The IPCC (2007) concluded that the frequency
10 of heavy precipitation events had increased over most areas during the past century and that
11 a continued increase in heavy precipitation events is very likely during the 21st century.
12 The largest rainfall rates occur when a column of air is completely saturated and
13 precipitates out nearly completely. The Clausius-Claperyon relationship dictates that as the
14 air temperature increases, the atmosphere has the ability to hold more water vapor. Hence,
15 under a warmer climate, it is very likely that specific humidity will increase both on
16 average and in extreme saturation conditions. Extreme value analysis of model output
17 daily precipitation in the Gulf States region, similar to the analysis discussed above with
18 daily surface air temperatures, reveals a predicted increase of around 10 percent in the 20-
19 year return value of the annual maximum daily averaged precipitation as shown in
20 Figure 3.18. The coarse horizontal resolution of the climate models used in this analysis
21 results in an underestimation of extreme precipitation events (Wehner, 2005).
22 Furthermore, these models lack the resolution to simulate tropical cyclones, a further
23 source of extreme precipitation events. However, these deficiencies likely cause the
24 predictions errors to be conservative and it is likely that currently rare daily mean
25 precipitation levels will become more commonplace in the future.

26 ■ **3.2 Hurricanes and Less Intense Tropical Storms**

27 Tropical cyclones (called hurricanes in the Atlantic and Gulf Coast regions) pose a severe
28 risk to natural systems, personal property, and public infrastructure in the Gulf Coast
29 region and this risk will likely be exacerbated as the temperature of atmosphere and sea
30 surface increase. Whereas loss of life from hurricanes has decreased in recent decades,
31 property losses due to rapid population growth and economic development of coastal areas
32 has increased (Herbert et al., 1997; Pielke and Pielke, 1997; Pielke and Landsea, 1998).
33 Hurricanes have their greatest impact at the coastal margin where they make landfall and
34 sustain their greatest strength. Severe beach erosion, surge overwash, inland flooding, and
35 windfall casualties are exacted on both cultural and natural resources. Transportation
36 facilities – roads, rails, pipelines, airports, ports – in coastal counties will likely be
37 subjected to increasing hurricane intensity in the coming decades. Changes in Atlantic
38 Basin hurricane formation and the behavior of hurricanes that make landfall in the Gulf

1 Coast region have important implications for transportation planning, design, and
2 maintenance in the short and long term.

3 **3.2.1 Assessing Trends in Historical Hurricane Frequency and Intensity**

4 Understanding hurricane frequency and landfall patterns is an important process in
5 calculating insurance liabilities and rates for coastal communities as well as forecasting
6 future risk under changing climate. Several studies have shown that landfalling hurricanes
7 are more or less frequent for given coastal reaches of the United States (see Figure 3.19)
8 and within given decades over the recorded history of North Atlantic storms (Simpson and
9 Lawrence, 1971; Ho et al., 1987; Neumann, 1991; Jarrell et al., 1992; Gray et al., 1997;
10 Pielke and Pielke, 1997; Neumann et al., 1999; Vickery et al., 2000). While different
11 methods have been employed to calculate landfall probabilities at the state and county
12 levels, there is general agreement that south Florida, the Carolinas, and the western Gulf
13 Coast are most frequently impacted by major hurricanes (Figure 3.19).

14 Studies of multidecadal hurricane variability and cycles have been complicated by the
15 relatively short period of available and reliable data. Landfall counts of tropical storms and
16 hurricanes at Grand Isle, Louisiana produced with the HURASIM model (Doyle and Girod,
17 1997) for five-year periods from 1951 through 2005 show periods of greater and lesser
18 hurricane history with short- and long-term variability (Figure 3.20). If there is any
19 pattern, historical records exhibit episodic hurricane activity, rather than trends toward
20 more frequent or stronger hurricanes despite the most recent period of intense hurricane
21 activity. While the long-term frequency trend of named storms within the Atlantic basin
22 has remained fairly constant, interannual variability is prominent particularly among major
23 hurricanes (Gray, 1990; Landsea et al., 1992; Gray et al., 1997; Goldenberg et al., 2001;
24 Bell and Chelliah, 2006). Hurricane spawning patterns have been linked to regional
25 oscillation cycles, Atlantic thermohaline circulation, and African West Sahel rainfall
26 patterns that have improved our understanding and forecasting of hurricane activity in the
27 North Atlantic Basin (Gray et al., 1997; Landsea et al., 1999).

28 Increased tropical storm activity is likely to accompany global warming as a function of
29 higher sea surface temperatures, which have been observed globally (Figure 3.21). The
30 kinetic energy of tropical storms and hurricanes is fueled from the heat exchange in warm
31 tropical waters. An increase in sea surface temperature (SST) from global climate change
32 is likely to increase the probability of higher sustained winds per tropical storm circulation
33 (Emanuel, 1987; Holland, 1997; Knutson et al., 1998). Sea surface temperature has
34 increased significantly in the main hurricane development region of the North Atlantic
35 during the past century (Bell et al., 2007) (Figure 3.22) as well as in the Gulf of Mexico
36 (Smith and Reynolds, 2004) (Figure 3.23).

37 Many scientists have evaluated the relationships between 20th century warming and
38 hurricane intensity, with some suggesting that the incidence of intense hurricanes over the
39 past decade for the Atlantic basin could signal the beginning of an ENSO-related cycle of
40 increased hurricane activity (Gray, 1984; O'Brien et al., 1996; Saunders et al., 2000).
41 Henderson-Sellers et al. (1998) found no discernible trends in global hurricane trends with

1 respect to number, intensity, or location during the past century. More contemporary
2 analysis of the upswing in intense hurricane activity since the 1990s demonstrates that the
3 proportion of intense, more destructive hurricanes has increased in some ocean basins,
4 including the North Atlantic, concomitant with rising sea surface temperature (Emanuel,
5 2005; Hoyos et al., 2006; Mann and Emanuel, 2006; Trenberth and Shea, 2006; Webster et
6 al., 2005). Some studies conclude that the increase in recent decades is due to the
7 combination of natural cyclical events (such as the North Atlantic Oscillation) and human-
8 induced increases in sea surface temperature (Elsner, 2006).

9 Ocean currents that regulate heat content also appear to play an important role in the
10 intensity of hurricanes when atmospheric conditions are favorable (Shay, 2006). In the
11 Gulf of Mexico, the Loop Current is a heat conveyor that can build a heat reservoir
12 spanning 200-300 kilometers in diameter and 80-150 meters in depth that is generally
13 oriented towards the central Gulf Coast (Figure 3.24) (Jaimes et al., 2006). Satellite-based
14 and *in situ* measurements by support the hypothesis that the warm water brought into the
15 Gulf of Mexico by the Loop Current played an important role on the rapid intensification
16 of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and Wilma (Jaimes et al., 2006).

17 Santer et al. (2006) used 22 climate models to study the possible causes of increased SST
18 changes in the Atlantic and Pacific tropical cyclogenesis region, where SST increased from
19 0.32°C to 0.67°C over the 20th century. Their analysis suggests that century-timescale SST
20 changes of this magnitude cannot be explained solely by unforced variability of the climate
21 system. In experiments in which forcing factors are varied individually rather than jointly,
22 human-caused changes in greenhouse gases are the main driver of the 20th century SST
23 increases in both tropical cyclogenesis regions. Ouuchi et al. (2006) used an atmospheric
24 general circulation model at 20 km horizontal resolution to directly simulate the
25 relationship between the tropical storm cycle and SST. This hurricane resolving model
26 produced seasonal tropical storm statistics under present day conditions and was capable of
27 hurricane force winds. When driven with the SST anomalies taken from A1B scenario
28 experiments, the model produced fewer tropical storms everywhere except the North
29 Atlantic basin where an increase was predicted. Tropical storms were more intense on
30 average in all basins in these modeling experiments.

31 These results and those from similar studies suggest that as radiative forcing and SST
32 continue to increase, hurricanes will be more likely to form in the Atlantic and Pacific
33 basins and more likely to intensify in their destructive capacity. In its Fourth Assessment
34 Report, the IPCC (2007) concludes that:

- 35 • There is observational evidence for an increase of intense tropical cyclone activity in
36 the North Atlantic since about 1970, correlated with increases of tropical sea surface
37 temperatures;
- 38 • Multi-decadal variability and the quality of the tropical cyclone records prior to the
39 beginning of routine satellite observations in about 1970 complicate the detection of
40 long-term trends in tropical cyclone activity; and
- 41 • There is no clear trend in the annual numbers of tropical cyclones.

3.2.2 Gulf Coast Hurricane History

Gulf coast ecosystems are exposed to varying degrees of hurricane disturbance as influenced by storm frequency, periodicity, and duration. Figure 3.25 shows that tropical storm landfall across the Gulf basin increases geometrically from west to east. Because most storms spawn in tropical waters in the eastern Atlantic there is a greater probability for eastern landmasses on the same latitude to incur tropical storms (Elsner, 1999). Temporal patterns of the past century reveal periods of relatively frequent hurricanes as well as inactive periods for most of the Gulf Coast region. The relatively calm period of record for hurricanes from the 1950s through the 1970s, has some hurricane specialists purporting an increase in North Atlantic storms over the past decade related to ENSO oscillations and general warming trends (Elsner and Kara, 1999). Palynological and geological studies offer another means to reconstruct the regional history of hurricane activity over several centuries coincident with species changes and sedimentary overwash indicative of surge heights and storm intensity. One study of lake sediments in coastal Alabama suggests that major hurricanes of a Category 4 or 5 struck the Alabama coast with a frequency of about 600 years during the past three millennia (Liu and Fearn, 1993).

3.2.3 HURASIM: Model Application

HURASIM is a spatial simulation model of hurricane structure and circulation for reconstructing estimated windforce and vectors of past hurricanes. The model uses historic tracking and meteorological data of dated North Atlantic tropical storms from 1851 to present. A description of the HURASIM model is presented in Appendix E.

The HURASIM model was applied in a hindcast mode to reconstruct hurricane windfields across the Gulf Coast region from Galveston, Texas to Pensacola, Florida on a 10 km grid basis for the period of record 1851 to 2003. The model calculated windspeed and direction for every 15 minutes of storm movement retaining only wind events of 30 mph or greater for all proximal storms and grid cells within the study region. Storm tracking for calendar years 2004 and 2005 have not been added to the HURDAT data set as yet and, therefore, have been omitted from this analysis despite record storm activity that may be associated with multi-decadal cycles and/or current global warming trends.

3.2.4 Historical Storm Frequency across the Northern Gulf Coast Study Region

HURASIM model results were categorized by storm class based on the commonly used Saffir-Simpson scale over a 153-year period from 1851 to 2003 to gain an historical perspective of recurrence potential and spatial distribution of storm events along the northern Gulf Coast between Galveston, Texas and Pensacola, Florida. Table 3.12 outlines the Saffir-Simpson scale for categorizing storms by intensity associated with range of windspeed. Storms on the Saffir-Simpson scale also have been ascribed typical storm surge levels based on observations during the 20th century. For example, NOAA states that

1 storm surge during landfall of a Category 1 Hurricane is “generally 4 to 5 feet above
2 normal” and a Category 3 Hurricane storm surge is “generally 9 to 12 feet above normal”
3 (NOAA, 2007). In the Gulf Coast region, however, storm surge is highly variable for
4 given class of storm on the Saffir Simpson scale in the Gulf Coast region. For example,
5 Hurricane Camille, a Category 5 Hurricane at landfall, had a peak storm surge in coastal
6 Mississippi of 7.6 meters (25 feet) while the storm surge associated with Hurricane Katrina
7 (a Category 3 Hurricane at landfall) had peak storm surge of 8.5 meters (28 feet)
8 (Graumann et al., 2005).

9 Figure 3.26 shows the frequency patterns of storm events with Category 1, 2, and 3 winds
10 or higher across the study region. Results show that storm frequency by storm class is
11 highest for southeast coastal Louisiana than elsewhere and lowest in inland locations
12 decreasing with increasing latitude. Secondary locations with high hurricane incidence
13 include Galveston, Texas and the Mississippi coast. Coastal reaches west of Galveston,
14 Texas, the Chenier Plain of southwest Louisiana, and northwest Florida have experienced
15 low to moderate hurricane frequency respectively. The highest frequency of Category 3
16 storm winds or greater for the entire region are seven storms over the 153-year period,
17 equivalent to four to five storms per century. Based on the historical perspective alone,
18 transportation planners should expect at least one major hurricane of Category 3 or greater
19 to strike the northern Gulf Coast every 20 years. Over the same 20 years, planners can
20 expect another Category 2 hurricane and two Category 1 hurricanes for a combined
21 incidence rate of at least one hurricane every five years. While this rate is indicative of the
22 worst-case grid location coastwide and over the entire historical record, the chance for
23 storm track convergence elsewhere within the region is expected to be similar. However,
24 storm frequency may be influenced by multi-decadal variability such that some sites may
25 experience higher incidence depending on the timeframe and whether it spans periods of on
26 and off cycles.

27 **3.2.5 Temporal and Spatial Analysis of Hurricane Landfall**

28 The northern Gulf Coast exhibits spatially disjunct patterns of storm strikes related to the
29 landfall tracks and storm categories (Figure 3.27). Of storms exceeding Category 3 level
30 winds between 1851 and 2003, the HURASIM model counted a maximum of seven storms
31 equal to a recurrence interval of one major hurricane every 22 years for southeast
32 Louisiana. Hurricane tracking records are available from 1851 to present but data accuracy
33 was greatly improved at the turn of the century with expanded and instrumented weather
34 stations and since 1944 when aircraft reconnaissance of tropical storms was instituted.
35 HURASIM model output was analyzed by segmented time periods to determine short-term
36 return frequencies of tropical storms to account for cyclical behavior and data accuracy for
37 successive intervals of 15, 30, and 50 years of the longer 153-year record from 1851 to
38 2003. Data analysis focuses on the maximum potential return interval of storms by
39 category according to the Saffir-Simpson scale. Given the prospect of questionable data
40 accuracy of storm history and multidecadal storm cycling, it was deemed prudent to report
41 storm frequencies for different time intervals to establish upward bounds of storm
42 recurrence probabilities for catastrophe planning and assessment akin to worst-case

1 scenarios. Shorter time windows are likely to exhibit a wide range of storm recurrence
2 probabilities both high and low relative to longer periods.

3 The shorter the period of observation, the greater the probability of inflating the calculated
4 return interval. Figure 3.28 shows the storm frequency for 15-, 30-, and 50-year intervals
5 for Category 1 storms or greater for the most active grid location across the study area.
6 The most active time period historically for all time intervals was the latter 19th century
7 despite concerns of data accuracy for this period. These data show a potential maximum of
8 storm incidence of three to five hurricanes every 10 years nearly twice the strike frequency
9 for the entire 153-year record. The lowest incidence of hurricane activity within the Gulf
10 Coast study region for all time intervals spans the 1970s and 1980s with two to three
11 hurricanes for every 10 years. These historic hurricane return intervals provide an expected
12 range of .2 and .5 probability that a hurricane may strike a given coastal county within the
13 study region that can be used to guide coastal planning and preparation. Recent hurricane
14 studies spurred by the upswing in hurricane activity of the 1990s and early 21st century
15 reveal the highly variable and cyclical nature of hurricane activity in the Northern Gulf of
16 Mexico, as well as the need for reliable datasets that can be used to quantify long-term
17 trends and relationships with sea surface temperature (Goldenberg et al., 2001).

18 **3.2.6 Hurricane Wind Direction Patterns**

19 The HURASIM model outputs wind direction during storm landfall which often relates to
20 storm impact based on exposure to direct wind force. Road signs, for example, may be
21 more prone to damage or destruction depending on their orientation to circulating storm
22 winds. Because most storms approach the coast from the Gulf of Mexico on a northerly
23 track, approaching storm winds are easterly and northeasterly on account of the
24 counterclockwise rotation of North Atlantic tropical storms. Figure 3.29 displays
25 simulated wind rows and direction of wind force derived for one of the most active grid
26 cell locations in the study region at Grand Isle, Louisiana for tropical storm and hurricane
27 conditions over the 153-year period of record. The concentration of wind rows is westerly
28 and southerly for tropical storm events in accordance with prevailing storm approach from
29 the south. Hurricane force winds and direction at Grand Isle demonstrate a distinct shift to
30 southwesterly and southeasterly directions as a result of major hurricanes passing to the
31 east. As hurricanes pass inland of a given site, yet sustain their strength, backside winds in
32 the opposite direction can occur. The length of each wind row is a function of the total
33 number of 15-minute intervals of storm track interpolation and passage extracted from the
34 HURASIM model. Longer wind rows are indicative of more frequent occurrences. Wind
35 row data and polargrams have been generated for each grid cell within the Gulf Coast study
36 region so that local and regional characterization of wind direction can be determined.

3.2.7 Modeling Climate Change Effects on Tropical Cyclones into the 21st Century

Early theoretical work on hurricanes suggested an increase of about 10 percent in wind speed for a 2°C (4°F) increase in tropical sea surface temperature (Emanuel, 1987). A 2004 study from the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory in Princeton, New Jersey, that utilized a mesoscale model downscaled from coupled global climate model runs indicated the possibility of a 5 percent increase in the wind speeds of hurricanes by 2080 (cf. IPCC, 2001). To explore how climate change could affect 21st century hurricane intensity, windspeeds of hurricanes during 1904 to 2000 were modeled and then projected to increase from 5 to 20 percent over the equivalent forecast period of 2004 to 2100. Storm tracking for calendar years 2004 and 2005 have not been added to the HURDAT (NOAA/NCDC) data set as yet and, therefore, have been omitted from this analysis despite record storm activity in 2005 that may be associated with multidecadal cycles and/or current global warming trends. Future storm intensities were calculated by multiplying the historic wind reconstructions with the proportional increase based on the forecast year relative to a ramping increase to 5, 10, 15, and 20 percent by the year 2100. The theoretical and empirical limits of maximum hurricane intensity appear to be highly correlated with sea surface temperatures (SST) (Miller, 1958; Emanuel, 1986, 1988; Holland, 1997). While climatologists debate the weight of contributing factors, including SST, modeling and recent empirical evidence suggest that a 10 percent or more increase in potential intensity gain in storm intensity is plausible under warming conditions predicted for the 21st century (Emanuel, 1987; Camp and Montgomery, 2001; Knutson and Tuleya, 2004).

Due to the differences in multidecadal hurricane activity over the 20th century, it was appropriate to evaluate the potential increase in storm frequency relative to the period of record. Figure 3.30 shows the potential increase in storm frequency by year 2050 and 2100 under climate change supposing increased ramping of hurricane intensity concomitant with warming sea surface temperatures projected at 5, 10, 15, and 20 percent over the 21st century. Results show that an increase of one to two hurricanes can be expected by year 2050 and up to four added hurricanes by year 2100 above the historic frequency. The potential gain of four hurricanes over the next century from a 20 percent increase in storm intensities nearly doubles the strike probability of the historical record. Not only will hurricane incidence increase under these assumptions, individual storms will be stronger such that more catastrophic storms are likely to develop regardless of landfall location. These models and simulated data provide transportation planners with discrete and generalized probabilities of potential hurricane impact based on past and future climate.

3.3 Sea Level Rise and Subsidence

Changes in climate during ice ages and warming periods have affected sea levels and coastal extent as evidenced from geologic records. Currently, global sea level is on the rise and is likely to accelerate with continued fossil fuel consumption from modernization and

1 population growth (IPCC, 2001, 2007). As sea level rises, coastal shorelines will retreat
2 and low-lying areas will tend to be inundated more frequently, if not permanently, by the
3 advancing sea. Subsidence (or sinking) of the land surface already is contributing to the
4 flooding of transportation infrastructure in many Gulf Coast counties. In order to assess
5 the vulnerability of transportation systems to inundation due to sea level rise, an integrated
6 assessment of all important influences on coastal flooding must be considered. Relative
7 sea level rise (RSLR) is the combined effect of an increase in ocean volume resulting from
8 thermal expansion and the melting of land ice (“eustatic” sea level rise) and the projected
9 changes in land surface elevation at a given location.

10 In this section, global sea level trends are first reviewed, including a comparison of IPCC
11 findings in the Third and Fourth Assessments. This is followed by an examination of sea
12 level rise and subsidence in the study region. The application of two different models to
13 project RSLR in the region is then discussed, and a summary of the modeled range of
14 projected RSLR to 2100 is presented.

15 **3.3.1 Historic and Projected Global Sea Level Trends**

16 Sea level has risen more than 120 meters since the peak of the last ice age (about 20,000
17 B.P.) and over the 20th century by 1-2 mm/year (Douglas, 1991, 1997; Gornitz, 1995;
18 IPCC, 2001). The rate of global sea level rise since 1963 is estimated at 1.8 mm/year
19 (IPCC, 2007). More recent analysis of satellite altimetry data for the period 1993 to 2003
20 shows a global average rate of sea level rise of about 3.1 (2.4-3.8) mm per year. Whether
21 the faster rate since 1993 reflects decadal variability or a long-term acceleration over the
22 20th century rate is unclear. There is high confidence, however, that the rate of observed
23 sea level rise was greater in the 20th century compared to the 19th century (IPCC, 2007).

24 The rate of sea level rise in the world ocean basins varied significantly during 20th century.
25 Sea level rise during the 21st century is projected to have substantial geographical
26 variability as well. The historical rate of sea level rise calculated from tide gauge records
27 and satellite altimetry is much higher in the Gulf of Mexico than many other ocean basins
28 (see IPCC, 2007, Working Group I, page 412).

29 The IPCC Third Assessment Report (TAR) (2001) projected an increase of 0.09-0.88 meter
30 in average global sea level by year 2100 with a mid-range estimate of 0.45 meter. The
31 range of projected sea level rise through 2100 is slightly lower and narrower in the IPCC
32 Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) (see Table 3.1). The midpoint of the projections in sea
33 level rise differs by roughly 10 percent and the ranges in the two assessment reports would
34 have been similar if they had treated uncertainties in the same way (IPCC, 2007). As noted
35 in earlier, the IPCC 2007 sea level rise projections do not include rapid dynamical changes
36 in ice flow from Greenland or Antarctica. If realized, some of the model-based projections
37 could more than double the rate of sea level rise over the past century.

3.3.2 Tide Records, Sea Level Trends, and Subsidence Rates along the Central Gulf Coast

Changes in mean water level at a given coastal location are affected by a combination of changes in sea level in an ocean basin and by local factors such as land subsidence. Gulf Coastal Plain environments, particularly in the central and western parts of the Gulf Coast study area, are prone to high rates of land surface subsidence attributed to soil decomposition and compaction, deep fluid extraction (Morton et al., 2001, 2002; White and Morton, 1997), and the lack of sediment deposition. For example, the Mississippi River delta region demonstrates relative sea level rates of 10 mm/year, tenfold greater than current eustatic sea level rise (Penland and Ramsay, 1990; Gornitz, 1995). Cahoon et al. (1998) measured subsidence rates for several Gulf Coast sites ranging from a low of 0.27 cm/year in the Big Bend region of northwest Florida up to 2.39 cm/year for coastal Louisiana. Some of the forces driving shallow subsidence apparently included seasonal changes in water levels and aperiodic occurrences of major storms.

The National Ocean Service (NOS), a division of NOAA, validates and reposit historical water level records at primary tide stations along the coast and Great Lakes of the United States. Historic data from tide stations located within the Gulf Coast study region have been downloaded from the NOS web site at <www.co-ops.nos.noaa.gov> in graphical and digital formats to be used in model simulations for projecting future sea level rise. Three tide stations at Pensacola, Florida; Grand Isle, Louisiana; and Galveston, Texas comprise the most reliable long-term tide records corresponding with the eastern, central, and western coverage of the study area (Figure 3.31). The mean sea level trend for these gauges shows Grand Isle, Louisiana with the highest rate at 9.85 mm/year followed by Galveston, Texas at 6.5 mm/year and Pensacola, Florida at the lowest rate of 2.14 mm/year. These trend values are indicative of the high rates of local subsidence in Louisiana and Texas relative to the more stable geology underlying the Florida Panhandle. Multiple studies have extracted subsidence rates from these and other tide gauges within the Gulf Coast sector with some variability in rate estimates and methodology that mostly reaffirm regional patterns of generally high or low subsidence trends (Swanson and Thurlow, 1973; Penland and Ramsay, 1990; Zervas, 2001; Shinkle and Dokka, 2004).

Long-term tide gauge records are among the most reliable measures of local and regional subsidence. However, tide records also include the long-term trend of eustatic sea level change which over the last century has been estimated at 1.7-1.8 mm/year on a global basis (Douglas, 1991, 1997, 2001; IPCC, 2001, 2007; Holgate and Woodworth, 2004). Accounting for historic eustatic change in accord with the global average equates to regional subsidence rates of 8.05 mm/year for Grand Isle, Louisiana and the Mississippi River Deltaic Plain; 4.7 mm/year for Galveston, Texas and the Chenier Plain; and 0.34 mm/year for Pensacola, Florida and Mississippi/Alabama Sound of the central Gulf Coast. The high subsidence rate of the Mississippi Delta region at Grand Isle, Louisiana is more than four times greater than the historic eustatic trend of the last century and will account for a relative rise in sea level approaching 0.81 meter by the year 2100 apart from future eustatic changes. Some areas within the coastal zone of Louisiana have subsidence rates

1 exceeding 20 mm/year demonstrating the potential range and variability within a subregion
2 (Shinkle and Dokka, 2004).

3 Subsidence rates across a broad region like the Gulf Coast are highly variable on a local
4 scale even within a representative coastal landform such as the Mississippi River Deltaic
5 Plain or Chenier Plain. Many factors contribute to the rate and process of subsidence at a
6 given locale by natural compaction, dewatering, and subsurface mineral extractions.
7 Releveling surveys of benchmark monuments and well heads provide additional evidence
8 and rates of rapid subsidence (Morton et al., 2001, 2002; Shinkle and Dokka, 2004). An
9 extensive releveling project of the Lower Mississippi Coastal Plain of first-order
10 benchmarks along major highway corridors provides an expansive network of measured
11 subsidence rates (Shinkle and Dokka, 2004). Oil and gas extractions in coastal Louisiana
12 and southeast Texas have accelerated local subsidence and wetland loss concomitant with
13 production (Morton et al., 2001, 2002). Releveling projects in large cities such as New
14 Orleans and Houston-Galveston have demonstrated high subsidence rates related to
15 sediment dewatering and groundwater pumping increasing the vulnerability to local
16 flooding (Gabrysch, 1984; Zilkowski and Reese, 1986; Gabrysch and Coplin, 1990;
17 Holzschuh, 1991; Paine, 1993; Galloway et al., 1999; Burkett et al., 2002).

18 **3.3.3 Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the Central Gulf Coast Region**

19 Two different sea level rise models were used to assess the range of sea level change that
20 could be expected in the study area during the next 50 to 100 years. The Sea Level Rise
21 Rectification Program (SLRRP) is a model developed by the U.S. Geological Survey to
22 explore the combined effects of future sea level change and local subsidence on coastal
23 flooding patterns. CoastClim is a commercially available model that allows users to select
24 GCM and emission scenario to predict sea level change within GCM grid cells over
25 oceans. Table 3.13 outlines the selection list of GCM models that were available for use
26 with SLRRP and the CoastClim models at the time of this study.

27 SLRRP projects future sea level rise for select tide gauge locations by rectifying the
28 historic tide record of monthly means for the period of record and adding the predicted
29 global mean eustatic sea level change obtained from IPCC (2001).⁵ The tidal data input for
30 the SLRRP model is composed of mean monthly water levels which captures both short-
31 term seasonal deviations and long-term trends of sea level change. Monthly values are
32 derived from averaged hourly recordings for each month. A mean sea level trend is

⁵ The sea level rise estimates from the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report were not available when the sea level rise simulations were run for this study. The projected range of sea level change in the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (2007) has an upper limit that is slightly lower and a lower limit that is slightly greater than the projections contained in the IPCC Third Assessment Report (2001). The IPCC Fourth Assessment Report also indicates, however, that the rate of historical sea level rise was greater in the Gulf of Mexico than most other ocean basins, so the global average rate may tend to underestimate the rate of change in the study area.

1 calculated for each tide gauge station, which includes both the local subsidence rate of
2 vertical land movement and eustatic rate of global sea level change for the period of record.
3 Data records are given in stage heights for different tidal datums such as mean low water,
4 mean tide level, and mean high water, which were rectified to North America Vertical
5 Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) to readily compare with land-based elevations of roads and
6 other transportation infrastructure. Monthly extremes data also were used in this study to
7 show that daily highs within a month can exceed the monthly average by as much 0.284
8 meter and 0.196 meter for Galveston, Texas and Pensacola, Florida, respectively. (SLRRP
9 model procedures and inputs are explained in further detail in Appendix F.)

10 The SLRRP model indicates that surface elevations between 47.8 cm and 119.6 cm
11 (NAVD88) will be inundated by sea level rise through 2050, dependent on geographic
12 location, emission scenario, and GCM forecast. The SLRRP model suggests that surface
13 elevations between 70.1 cm and 199.6 cm (NAVD88) will be inundated by sea level rise
14 through 2100, again dependent on geographic location, emission scenario and GCM
15 forecast. Table 3.14 provides SLRRP model results showing the mean land surface
16 elevations (cm, NAVD88) subject to coastal flooding for Galveston, Texas, Grand Isle,
17 Louisiana, and Pensacola, Florida by 2050 and 2100 based on averaged output for all seven
18 GCM models for the A1F1, B1, A1B, and A2 emission scenarios.

19 The CoastClim V.1. model is another database tool for extracting predicted sea level for a
20 given location, GCM, and emission scenario much like the SLRRP model. CoastClim has
21 a global database to predict regional patterns of sea level change associated with grid cell
22 output of inclusive GCM models. CoastClim's user-friendly interface allows the user to
23 select the region of interest from a global map. With a mouse click on the shoreline map,
24 CoastClim picks the closest GCM grid cell and extracts a normalized index of regional sea
25 level change relative to the global-mean sea level. The normalized index is derived as a
26 ratio or scaling factor for the average pattern of sea level change for the region or grid cell
27 resolution divided by the global mean sea level change for the forecast period of 2071 to
28 2100. Table 3.15 shows the equivalent normalized index for each of seven GCM model
29 selections for Galveston, Texas, Grande Isle, Louisiana, and Pensacola, Florida. The
30 different models display a variable range of grid cell resolution and projected sea level
31 response above and below the global mean from 0.88 to 1.04 for the northern Gulf Coast
32 region. The user also can select from six SRES emission scenarios (A1B, A1F1, A1T, A2,
33 B1, and B2) to run for a given GCM application. CoastClim displays the predicted
34 outcome in relative sea level rise above zero in tabular and graphical format from 1990 to
35 2100.

36 CoastClim was used to generate predicted outcomes for seven different GCM models, six
37 SRES scenarios, and three greenhouse gas forcing conditions of low, mid, and high for a
38 total of 126 individual sea level rise curves for the 21st century. Results indicate that sea
39 level rise will vary with both the selected model and emission scenario. The high
40 emissions A1F1 outcome for all GCM models predicts the highest rates of sea level change
41 among SRES options with a minimum eustatic sea level rise of 0.67 meter by 2100,
42 maximum potential rise of 1.55 meter, and a mid-range around 1 meter depending on
43 model selection (Figure 3.32). The CoastClim model shows that relative sea level will rise
44 between 12.68 cm and 75.42 cm by 2050, dependent on-site location, emission scenario,

1 and GCM forecast. By 2100, CoastClim predicts a potential sea level rise between 23.64
2 cm and 172.06 cm depending on-site location, emission scenario, and GCM forecast.
3 Table 3.16 displays the CoastClim model results of the mean predicted sea level rise (cm)
4 for the Gulf Coast region by 2050 and 2100 under a high, mid-, and low IPCC (2001)
5 scenarios based on combined output for all seven GCM models for the A1F1, B1, A1B,
6 and A2 emission scenarios. However, these same eustatic rates are captured in the SLRRP
7 model but rectified to a geodetic datum and local tidal conditions that more accurately
8 reflect the potential for coastal flooding.

9 ■ 3.4 Storm Surge

10 Storm surge is a wave of water that is pushed onshore by the force of the winds in the right
11 quadrant of hurricane approach that can often inundate shoreline and inland areas up to
12 many miles, length, and width. The added wave energy from advancing storms combines
13 with normal tides to create the hurricane storm tide, which increases mean water levels to
14 record heights usually inundating roadways and flooding homes and businesses. The level
15 of surge in a particular area is determined by the slope of the offshore continental shelf and
16 hurricane intensity. The stronger the hurricane and the shallower the offshore water, the
17 higher the surge will be. This advancing surge combines with the normal tides to create the
18 hurricane storm tide, which can increase the mean water level 15 feet or more. In addition,
19 wind driven waves are superimposed on the storm tide. This rise in water level can cause
20 severe flooding in coastal areas, particularly when the storm tide coincides with the normal
21 high tides.

22 3.4.1 Predicting Storm Surge with the SLOSH Model

23 NOAA's National Weather Service forecasters model storm surge using the SLOSH (Sea,
24 Lake, and Overland Surges from Hurricanes) model. NOAA and FEMA use SLOSH to
25 predict potential height of storm surge so as to evaluate which coastal areas are most
26 threatened and must evacuate during an advancing storm. The SLOSH model is a
27 computerized model run by the National Hurricane Center (NHC) to estimate storm surge
28 heights and winds resulting from historical, hypothetical, or predicted hurricanes by taking
29 into account storm barometric pressure, size, forward speed, track, and wind force. The
30 model accounts for astronomical tides by specifying an initial tide level, but does not
31 include rainfall amounts, riverflow, or wind-driven waves. SLOSH also considers the
32 approach or angle of hurricane landfall which can effectively enhance surge height of
33 westerly and northwesterly approaching storms along the northern Gulf Coast. Graphical
34 output from the model displays color coded storm surge heights for a particular area in feet
35 above the model's reference level, the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD), which
36 is the elevation reference for most maps. Emergency managers use output data and maps
37 from SLOSH to determine which areas must be evacuated for storm surge.

1 Modeling, theory, and recent empirical evidence suggest that hurricane intensity is likely to
2 increase in the Gulf Coast region (see prior section on hurricanes). Even if hurricanes do
3 not become more intense, however, sea level rise alone will increase the propensity for
4 flooding that will occur when hurricanes make landfall in the Gulf Coast region. To assess
5 the combined potential effects of hurricanes and sea level rise on the Gulf Coast
6 transportation sector, a database of storm surge heights for Category 3 and 5 hurricanes
7 was developed utilizing NOAA's SLOSH model for all coastal counties (extending inland
8 from coastal counties along the Gulf of Mexico to those counties incorporating I-10) for the
9 study area. Resulting surge elevations were overlaid on ArcView representations of each
10 study area, enabling views of the study area in its entirety and minimum graphic
11 representations at the county/parish level.

12 NOAA's National Hurricane Center (NHC) developed the SLOSH (Sea, Lake, and
13 Overland Surges from Hurricanes) model to predict storm surge potential from tropical
14 cyclones for comprehensive hurricane evacuation planning. The SLOSH models requires
15 grid-based configurations of near-shore bathymetry and topography on a basin level. NHC
16 has defined 38 basins in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans of which there are 14 subbasins
17 that define the offshore and onshore geomorphology of the Gulf Coast shoreline from the
18 Florida Keys to the Laguna Madre of Texas. SLOSH model simulations were performed
19 for a merged suite of SLOSH basins (n=7) that covers the central Gulf Coast between
20 Galveston, Texas and Mobile, Alabama (Table 3.17). SLOSH output were compiled for 28
21 simulation trials to extract surge levels for varying storm intensities (Categories 2-5) and
22 landfall approaches. A sample simulation of surge height predictions are shown based on
23 combined output for storms of Category 2, 3, 4, and 5 approaching the eastern half of the
24 study area (Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama) on different azimuths (Figure 3.33).
25 Storm intensity, speed, and direction produces different storm surge predictions. Model
26 simulation trials conducted for the SLOSH basin that covers New Orleans involved
27 calibration and validation checks with historic storms and flood data.

28 Study area SLOSH applications involved the collection, synthesis, and integration of
29 various geospatial information and baseline data for the central Gulf Coast region relevant
30 to storm surge model implementation and predictions with the following objectives:

- 31 • To derive a database of storm surge heights for Category 3 and 5 hurricanes utilizing
32 NOAA's SLOSH model for all coastal counties (extending inland from coastal counties
33 along the Gulf of Mexico to those counties incorporating I-10), for the study area
34 spanning Galveston, Texas to Mobile, Alabama;
- 35 • To overlay the resulting surge elevations on ArcView representations of each study
36 area, enabling views of the study area in its entirety and minimum graphic
37 representations at the county level;
- 38 • To add topographic contours at 1 meter intervals to the study area data sets; and
- 39 • To color code storm surge heights based on surge elevation in meters.

1 The integration of SLOSH output with local geospatial data will be particularly useful in
2 Phase 2 of the study, which will involve an assessment of transportation impacts for a
3 particular county or MPO within the study area.

4 **3.4.2 Future Sea Level Rise and Storm Surge Height**

5 Sea level rise can be incorporated into surge height predictions from SLOSH simulations
6 for future years by elevating surge levels in proportion to the amount of rise for any given
7 scenario (Figure 3.34). Sea level change will be particularly important in influencing this
8 coastal area, since the land already is subject to flooding with supranormal tides and surge
9 and rainfall events of even smaller, less powerful, tropical storms. Improved spatial detail
10 and vertical accuracy of coastal elevations will greatly enhance predictions of the spatial
11 extent of flooding from projected sea level rise and storm surges. LIDAR imagery used in
12 this project for coastal Louisiana offers distinct advantages for modeling purposes and
13 graphical representation over other available DEM data sources such as the National
14 Elevation Dataset (Figure 3.35). Also, it is expected that storm surges superimposed on
15 higher mean sea levels will tend to exacerbate coastal erosion and land loss. During
16 Hurricanes Rita and Katrina, for example, 562 km² (217 mi²) of land in coastal Louisiana
17 was converted to open water (Barras, 2006) and the Chandeleur Island chain was reduced
18 in size by roughly 85 percent (USGS, 2007). The implications of the loss of these natural
19 storm buffers on transportation infrastructure have not been quantified.

20 Surge analyses were conducted for the Gulf Coast study area by reviewing historical tide
21 records and simulated hurricane scenarios based on the NOAA SLOSH model. Highest
22 tide records for over 70 coastal tide stations were obtained from historical records within
23 the study area with the highest recorded surge of 6.2 meters (20.42 feet) (NAVD88) at Bay
24 St. Louis, Mississippi in the wake of a northerly approaching Category 5 storm, Hurricane
25 Camille (1969). Post-Katrina (2005) high watermark surveys in New Orleans proper and
26 east along the Mississippi Coast revealed storm surge heights approaching 8.5 meters (28
27 feet) msl. Simulated storm surge from NOAA SLOSH model runs across the central Gulf
28 Coast region demonstrate a 6.7-7.3 meters (22-24 feet) potential surge with major
29 hurricanes of Category 3 or greater without considering a future sea level rise effect. Storm
30 approach from the east on a northwesterly track can elevate storm surge 0.3-1.0 meter (1-3
31 feet) in comparison to a storm of equal strength approaching on a northeasterly track. The
32 combined conditions of a slow churning Category 5 hurricane making landfall on a
33 westerly track along the Central Gulf Coast under climate change and elevated sea levels
34 indicate that transportation assets and facilities at or below 9 meters (30 feet) mean sea
35 level are subject to direct impacts of projected storm surge.

■ 3.5 Other Aspects of Climate Change with Implications for Gulf Coast Transportation

Temperature, precipitation, runoff, sea level rise, and tropical storms are not the only components of Gulf Coast climate that have the potential to change as the temperature of the atmosphere and the sea surface increase. Changes in wind and wave regime, cloudiness, and convective activity could possibly be affected by climate change and would have implications for some modes of transportation in the Gulf Coast region.

3.5.1 Wind and Wave Regime

There have been very few long-term assessments of near surface winds in the United States. Groisman and Barker (2002) found a decline in near surface winds of about -5 percent (50) years during the second half of the 20th century for the United States, but they suggest that a stepwise increase in the number of wind reporting stations noticeably reduced the variance of the regionally averaged time series. They note that most reporting stations are located near airports and other developed areas. They did not attribute the decrease to climate change or land use change. Warming trends can be expected to generate more frequent calm weather conditions typical of summer months that are generally characterized by lower winds than in cold-season months (Groisman et al., 2004).

Few studies have been made of potential changes in prevailing ocean wave heights and directions as a consequence of climate change, even though such changes can be expected (Schubert et al., 1998, McLean et al., 2001). In the North Atlantic, a multidecadal trend of increased wave height has been observed, but the cause is poorly understood (Gulev and Hasse, 1999, Mclean et al., 2001). Wolf (2003) attributes the increasing North Atlantic wave height in recent decades to the positive phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation, which appears to have intensified commensurate with the slow warming of the tropical ocean (Hoerling et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2004). Changes in wave regime will not likely be uniform among ocean basins, however, and no published assessments have focused specifically on how climate change may affect wind and wave regime in the Gulf of Mexico. One three-year study of wave and wind climatologies for the Gulf of Mexico (Teague et al., 1997) indicates that that wave heights and wind speeds increase from east to west across the Gulf. This particular study, which is based on TOPEX/POSEIDEN satellite altimetry and moored surface buoy data, also indicates seasonality with the highest wind speeds and wave heights in the fall and winter.

Scenarios of future changes in seasonal wave heights constructed using climate model projections for the northeast Atlantic projected increases in both winter and fall seasonal means in the 21st century under three forcing scenarios (Wang et al., 2004). The IPCC (2007) concludes that an increase in peak winds associated with hurricanes will accompany an increase in tropical storm intensity. Increasing average summer wave heights along the U.S. Atlantic coastline are attributed to a progressive increase in hurricane activity between 1975 and 2005 (Komar, 2007). Wave heights greater than 3 meters increased by 0.7 to 1.8

1 meter during the study period, with hourly averaged wave heights during major hurricanes
2 increased significantly from about 7 meters to more than 10 meters since 1995 (Komar,
3 2007). A more recent study of wave heights in the central Gulf of Mexico between 1978
4 and 2005 suggests an increasing trend (Komar, In Press) (Figure 3.36).

5 If tropical storm windspeed increases as anticipated (see Section 3.2.8), this will tend to
6 have a positive effect on mean wave height during the coming decades. Wave heights in
7 coastal bays also will tend to increase due to the combined erosional effects of sea level
8 rise and storms on coastal barrier islands and wetlands (Stone and McBride, 1998; Stone et
9 al., 2003).

10 **3.5.2 Humidity and Cloudiness**

11 As the climate warms, the amount of moisture in the atmosphere is expected to rise much
12 faster than the total precipitation amount (Trenberth et al., 2003). The IPCC (2007) has
13 concluded that tropospheric water vapor increased over the global oceans by 1.2 ± 0.3
14 percent per decade from 1988 to 2004, consistent in pattern and amount with changes in
15 sea surface temperature (SST) and a fairly constant relative humidity. Several studies have
16 reported an increase in the near surface specific humidity (the mass of water vapor per unit
17 mass of moist air) over the United States during the second half of the past century (Sun et
18 al., 2000, Ross and Elliot, 1996). Sun and others found that during 1948 to 1993, the mean
19 annual specific humidity under clear skies steadily increased at a mean rate of 7.4 percent
20 per 100 years.

21 Gaffen and Ross (1999) analyzed annual and seasonal dewpoint temperature, specific
22 humidity, and relative humidity at 188 first-order weather stations in the United States for
23 the period 1961 to 1995. (Relative humidity is a measure of comfort based on temperature
24 and specific humidity.) Coastal stations in the Southeastern United States were moister
25 than inland stations at comparable latitude, and stations in the eastern half of the country
26 had specific humidity values about twice those at interior western stations. This dataset
27 also shows increases in specific humidity of several percent per decade, and increases in
28 dewpoint of several tenths of a degree per decade over most of the country in winter,
29 spring, and summer, with nighttime humidity trends larger than daytime trends (Gaffen
30 and Ross, 1999). In the southeastern United States, specific humidity increased 2 to 3
31 percent per decade between 1973 and 1993 (Ross and Elliot, 1996) and this trend is
32 expected to continue.

33 **3.5.3 Convective Activity**

34 Sun and others (2001) documented a significant increase in total, low, cumulonimbus, and
35 stratocumulus cloudiness across the United States during 1948 to 1993. The largest
36 changes in the frequency of cumulonimbus cloudiness occurred in the intermediate
37 seasons, especially in the spring. The increase in the frequency of cumulonimbus cloud
38 development is consistent with the nationwide increase in the intensity of heavy and very

1 heavy precipitation observed by Karl and Knight (1998) and Groisman and others (2004).
2 Cumulonimbus clouds are commonly associated with afternoon thunderstorms in the Gulf
3 Coast region. The historical and projected increase in summer minimum temperatures for
4 the study area suggest an increase in the probability of severe convective weather (Dessens,
5 1995, Groisman et al., 2004).

6 ■ 3.6 Conclusions

7 The empirical climate record of the past century, in addition to climate change scenarios,
8 was examined to assess the past and future temperature and hydrology of the central Gulf
9 Coast region. The empirical record of the region shows an annual temperature pattern with
10 high values in the 1920s-1940s, with a drop in annual temperatures in late 1950s, which
11 persisted through the 1970s. Annual temperatures then began to climb over the past three
12 decades, but still have not reached the highs of previous decades. The timing of the
13 increase in Gulf Coast temperatures is consistent with the global “climate shift” since the
14 late 1970s (Karl et al., 2000 and Lanzante, 2006) when the rate of temperature change
15 increased in most land areas.

16 Annual precipitation in the study area shows a suggestion toward increasing values, with
17 some climate divisions, especially those in Mississippi and Alabama, with significant long-
18 term trends. There also is a long-term trend of increasing modeled annual runoff
19 regionwide. Over the entire record since 1919, there was an increase in rainfall, and that
20 combined with relatively cool temperatures, led to an estimated 36 percent increase in
21 runoff. Modeled future water balance, however, suggests that runoff is expected to either
22 decline slightly or remain relatively unchanged, depending upon the balance of
23 precipitation and evaporation. Moisture deficits and drought appear likely to increase
24 across the study area, though model results are mixed. These findings are consistent with
25 the IPCC (2007), which concludes that it is very likely that heat waves, heat extremes and
26 heavy precipitation events over land will increase during this century and that the number
27 of dry days (or spacing between rainfall events) will increase. Even in mid-latitude regions
28 where mean precipitation is expected to decrease, precipitation intensity is expected to
29 increase (IPCC, 2007).

30 Changes in rainfall beyond the study area can play an important role in the hydrology of
31 the coastal zone. Weather patterns over the Mississippi River basin, which drains 41
32 percent of the United States, and other major drainages contribute to the total runoff in the
33 Gulf Coast region. Several recent modeling efforts suggest an increase in average annual
34 runoff in the eastern half of the Mississippi River watershed while drainage west of the
35 Mississippi and along the southern tier of states is generally predicted to decrease (Milly et
36 al., 2005; IPCC, 2007). In the case of the Mississippi River, drainage to the coast is not
37 presently a major factor in terms of flooding of infrastructure, because the river is leveed
38 and only a small portion of its flow reaches the marshes and shallow waters of the
39 Louisiana coastal zone. Drainage of the Mississippi and other rivers to the coast, however,
40 is important in maintaining coastal soil moisture and water quality. The decline of

1 approximately 150,000 acres of coastal marsh in south Louisiana in 2000 was attributed to
2 extreme drought, high salinities, heat and evaporation, and low river discharge (State of
3 Louisiana, 2000).

4 As stated earlier, climate models currently lack the spatial and temporal detail needed to
5 make confident projections or forecasts for a number of variables, especially on small
6 spatial scales, so plausible “scenarios” are often used to provide input to decision-making.
7 Output from an ensemble of 21 global climate models (General Circulation Models or
8 GCMs) run with the three emission scenarios indicate a wide range of possible changes in
9 temperature and precipitation out to the year 2050. The models agree to a warmer Gulf
10 Coast region of about $1.5^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$, with the greatest increase in temperature occurring in
11 the summer. Based on historical trends and model projections, we conclude that it is very
12 likely that in the future the number of very hot days will substantially increase across the
13 study area. Due to the non-normality of temperature distributions over the five Gulf States,
14 extreme high temperatures could be about 1°C greater than the change in the average
15 temperature simulated by the GCMs.

16 Scenarios of future precipitation are more convoluted with indications of increases or
17 decreases by the various models, but the models lean slightly toward a decrease in annual
18 rainfall across the Gulf Coast. However, by compounding changing seasonal precipitation
19 with increasing temperatures, average runoff is likely to remain the same or decrease, while
20 deficits (or droughts) are more likely to become more severe.

21 Each of the climate model and emission scenarios analyzed in this report represent
22 plausible future world conditions. As stated earlier, GCMs currently lack the spatial and
23 temporal detail needed to make projections or forecasts, so plausible “scenarios” are often
24 used to provide input to decision-making. Nor do these models have the capacity for
25 simulating small-scale phenomena such as thunderstorms, tornadoes, hail, and lightning.
26 However, climate models do an excellent job of simulating temperature means and
27 extremes. Hourly and daily precipitation and runoff extremes are much more difficult to
28 simulate due to horizontal resolution constraints. However, based on observational and
29 modeling studies the IPCC (2007) and numerous independent climate researchers have
30 concluded that more intense precipitation events are very likely during this century over
31 continental land masses in the Northern Hemisphere.

32 Recent empirical evidence suggests a trend towards more intense hurricanes formed in the
33 North Atlantic basin and this trend is likely to intensify during the next century (IPCC,
34 2007). In the Gulf region, there is presently no compelling evidence to suggest that the
35 number or paths of tropical storms have changed or are likely to change in the future.
36 Convective activity, heavy precipitation events, and cloudiness all appear likely to increase
37 in the Gulf Coast region as the climate warms.

38 Change in the rate of sea level rise is dependent on a host of interacting factors that are best
39 evaluated on decadal to centennial time scales. Two complimentary modeling approaches
40 were applied in this study to assess the potential rise in sea level and coastal submergence
41 over the next century. Both models were used to estimate relative sea level rise (RSLR) by
42 2050 and 2100 under a range of greenhouse gas emissions scenarios. Both models account

1 for eustatic sea level change as estimated by the global climate models, and also
2 incorporate values for land subsidence in the region based on the historical record. One
3 model, CoastClim, produces results that are closer to a simple measure of future sea level
4 change under the scenarios of future climate. A similar model, SLRRP, also incorporates
5 values for high and low tidal variation attributed to astronomical and meteorological
6 causes, which are pulled from the historical record. The SLRRP model is rectified to the
7 North American Vertical Datum (NAVD88) that is commonly used by surveyors to
8 calculate the elevations of roads, bridges, levees, and other infrastructure. The tide data
9 used in the SLRRP model is based on a monthly average of the mean high tide (called
10 Mean High Higher Water) for each day of the month. The SLRRP results capture seasonal
11 variability and inter-annual trends in relative sea level change, while the CoastClim results
12 do not.

13 The three long-term tide gauge locations analyzed in this study represent three subregions
14 of the study area: Galveston, Texas (the Chenier Plain); Grand Isle, Louisiana (the
15 Mississippi River Deltaic Plain); and Pensacola, Florida (Mississippi/Alabama Sound). For
16 each of these gauges, we examined potential range of relative sea level rise through 2050
17 and 2100 using the SRES B1, A1B, A2 and A1F1 emissions scenarios based on the
18 combined output of 7 GCMs (Table 3.14). Results for 2100 generated with CoastClim
19 range from 24 cm (0.8 feet) in Pensacola to 167 cm (5.5 feet) in Grand Isle. Results for
20 2100 from SLRRP, which as noted above accounts for historical tidal variation, are
21 somewhat higher: predicted relative sea level ranges from 70 cm (2.3 feet, NAVD88) in
22 Pensacola to 199 cm (6.5 feet, NAVD88) in Grand Isle.

23 Storm surge simulations accomplished basin specific surge height predictions for a
24 combination of storm categories, track speeds, and angled approach on landfall that can be
25 summarized by worst-case conditions to exceed 6 to 9 meters (20-30 feet) along the Central
26 Gulf Coast. Storm attributes and meteorological conditions at the time of actual landfall of
27 any storm or hurricane will dictate actual surge heights. Transportation officials and
28 planners within the defined study area can expect that transportation facilities and
29 infrastructure at or below 9 meters of elevation along the coast are subject to direct and
30 indirect surge impacts. Sea level rise of 1 to 2 meters (3-6 feet) along this coast could
31 effectively raise the cautionary height of these surge predictions to 10 meters (33 feet) or
32 more by the end of the next century.

33 Changes in climate can have widespread effects on physical and biological systems of low-
34 lying, sedimentary coasts. However, the large and growing pressures of development are
35 responsible for most of the current stresses on Gulf Coast natural resources, which include:
36 water quality and sediment pollution, increased flooding, loss of barrier islands and
37 wetlands, and other factors that are altering the resilience of coastal ecosystems (U.S. EPA,
38 1999). Human alterations to freshwater inflows through upstream dams and
39 impoundments, dredging of natural rivers and man-made waterways, and flood control
40 levees also have affected the amount of sediment delivered to the Gulf coastal zone.
41 Roughly 80 percent of U.S. coastal wetland losses have occurred in the Gulf Coast region
42 since 1940, and predictions of future population growth portend increasing pressure on
43 Gulf coast communities and their environment. Sea level rise will generally increase
44 marine transgression on coastal shorelines (Pethick, 2001) and the frequency of barrier

1 island overwash during storms, with effects most severe in coastal systems that already are
2 stressed and deteriorating. An increase in tropical storm intensity or a decrease in fresh
3 water and sediment delivery to the coast would tend to amplify the effects of sea level rise
4 on Gulf Coast landforms.

5 Our assessment of historical and potential future changes in Gulf Coast climate section
6 draws on publications, analyses of instrumental records and models that simulate how
7 climate may change in the future. Model results, climatic trends during the past century,
8 and climate theory all suggest that extrapolation of the 20th century temperature record
9 would likely underestimate the range of change that could occur in the next few decades.
10 The global near-surface air temperature increase of the past 100 years is approaching levels
11 not observed in the past several hundred years (IPCC, 2001); nor do current climate
12 models span the range of responses consistent with recent warming trends (Allen and
13 Ingram, 2002). Regional “surprises” are increasingly possible in the complex, nonlinear
14 earth climate system (Groisman et al., 2004), which is characterized by thresholds in
15 physical processes that are not completely understood or incorporated into climate model
16 simulations, e.g., interactive chemistry, interactive land and ocean carbon emissions, etc.
17 While there is still considerable uncertainty about the *rates* of change that can be expected
18 (Karl and Trenberth, 2003), there is a fairly strong consensus regarding the direction of
19 change for most of the climate variables that affect transportation in the Gulf Coast region.
20 Key findings from this analysis and other published studies for the study region include:

21 **Warming Temperatures** – An ensemble of GCMs indicate that the average annual
22 temperature is likely to increase by 1-2°C (2-4°F) in the region by 2050. Extreme high
23 temperatures also are expected to increase and within 50 years the probability of
24 experiencing 21 days a year with temperatures of 37.8°C (100°F) is greater than 50
25 percent.

26 **Changes in Precipitation Patterns** – While average annual rainfall may increase or
27 decrease slightly, the intensity of individual rainfall events is likely to increase during the
28 21st century. It is possible that average soil moisture and runoff could decline, however,
29 due to increasing temperature, evapotranspiration rates and spacing between rainfall
30 events.

31 **Rising Sea Levels** – Relative sea level is likely to rise between 1 and 6 feet by the end of
32 the 21st century, depending upon model assumption and geographic location. The highest
33 rate of relative sea level rise will very likely be in the central and western parts of the study
34 area (Louisiana and East Texas), where subsidence rates are highest.

35 **Storm Activity** – Hurricanes are more likely to form and increase in their destructive
36 potential as the sea surface temperature of the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico continue to
37 increase. Rising relative sea level will exacerbate exposure to storm surge and flooding.
38 Depending on the trajectory and scale of individual storms, facilities at or below 9 meters
39 (30 feet) could be subject to direct storm surge impacts.

3.7 References

- Ahijevych, D.A., R.E. Carbone, and C.A. Davis, 2003:** Regional Scale Aspects of the Diurnal Precipitation Cycle Preprints, *31st International Conference on Radar Meteorology*, Seattle, Washington, American Meteorological Society, 349-352B.
- Allen, R.G., 2003.** *REF-ET User's Guide*. University of Idaho Kimberly Research Stations: Kimberly, Idaho.
- Allen, R.G., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith. 1998.** *Crop Evapotranspiration-Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements*. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome.
- Allen, M.R. and W.J. Ingram, 2002.** Constraints on future changes in climate and the hydrologic cycle. *Nature*, 419:224-232.
- Barras, J.A., 2006.** Land area change in coastal Louisiana after the 2005 hurricanes – a series of three maps. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006-1274. [Available on-line at <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2006/1274/>. Accessed 18th October 2006].
- Bell, G.D., and M. Chelliah, 2006.** Leading tropical modes associated with interannual and multidecadal fluctuations in North Atlantic hurricane activity, *J. Clim.*, 19, 590–612.
- Bell, G.D., E. Blake, C.W. Landsea, M. Chelliah, R. Pasch, K.C. Mo, and S.B. Goldenberg, 2007.** Tropical Cyclones: Atlantic Basin. In “Chapter 4: The Tropics,” from the “State of the Climate in 2006,” A. Arguez (Ed.). *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 88:S1-S135.
- Benjamin, J., L.K. Shay, E. Uhlhorn, T.M. Cook, J. Brewster, G. Halliwell, and P.G. Black, 2006.** Influence of Loop Current ocean heat content on Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and Wilma. 27th Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology, Paper C3.4, American Meteorological Society, 24-28 April 2006, Monterey, California. Page 4.
- Burkett, V.B., D.B. Zilkowski, and D.A. Hart. 2002.** Sea Level Rise and Subsidence: Implications for Flooding in New Orleans, Louisiana. In: Prince, K.R., Galloway, D.L. (Eds.) Subsidence Interest Group Conference, Proceedings of the Technical Meeting, Galveston, Texas, 27-29 November 2001. USGS Water Resources Division Open-File Report Series 03-308, U.S. Geological Survey, Austin, Texas.
- Cahoon, D.R., J.W. Day, Jr., D. Reed, and R. Young. 1998.** Global climate change and sea level rise: estimating the potential for submergence of coastal wetlands. Pages 21-34 in G.R. Guntenspergen and B.A. Vairin, editors. *Vulnerability of coastal wetlands in the Southeastern United States: climate change research results, 1992-1997*. U.S. Geological Survey Biological Resources Division Biological Science Report, USGS/BRD/BSR-1998-0002.

- 1 **Christopherson, R.W.** 2000. *Geosystems*. Prentice-Hall: Upper Saddle River, New
2 Jersey.
- 3 **Dessens, J.**, 1995: Severe convective weather in the context of a nighttime global
4 warming. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **22**, 1241–1244.
- 5 **Dingman, S.L.** 2002. *Physical Hydrology, 2nd Ed.*: Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
6 Prentice Hall.
- 7 **Douglas, B.C.** 1991. Global sea level rise. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 96: 6981-92.
- 8 **Douglas, B.C.** 1997. Global sea rise: A redetermination. *Surveys in Geophysics*,
9 Volume 18, pages 279-292.
- 10 **Douglas, B.C.** 2001. Sea level change in the era of the recording tide gauge. In: *Sea*
11 *Level Rise: History and Consequences*, International Geophysics Series, Volume 75,
12 edited by B. Douglas, M. Kearney, and S. Leatherman, Chapter 3, pages 37-64.
13 Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- 14 **Easterling, D.R., T.R. Karl, E.H. Mason, P.Y. Hughes, D.P. Bowman, R.C. Daniels, and**
15 **T.A. Boden (Eds.),** *United States Historical Climatology Network (U.S. HCN)*
16 *Monthly*.
- 17 **Elsner, J.B.**, 2006. Evidence in support of the climate change-Atlantic hurricane
18 hypothesis. *Geophysical Research Letters* 33: L16705.
- 19 **Emanuel, K.**, 1987. The dependence of hurricane intensity on climate. *Nature* 326:
20 483-485.
- 21 **Emanuel, K.**, 2005. Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years.
22 *Nature* 436: 686-688.
- 23 **Fontenot, R.** 2004. *An Evaluation of Reference Evapotranspiration Models in Louisiana*.
24 M.N.S. Thesis, Department of Geography and Anthropology, Louisiana State
25 University.
- 26 **Gabrysch, R.K.** 1984. Ground-water withdrawals and land-surface subsidence in the
27 Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 1906-1980: Austin, Texas: Texas Department of
28 Water Resources Report 287, 64 pages.
- 29 **Gabrysch, R.K., and L.S. Coplin**, 1990. Land-surface subsidence resulting from ground-
30 water withdrawals in the Houston-Galveston Region, Texas, through 1987. U.S.
31 Geological Survey, Report of Investigations No. 90-01, page 53.
- 32 **Gaffen, D.J., and R.J. Ross**, 1999. Climatology and trends in U.S. surface humidity and
33 temperature, *J. Climate* 12:

- 1 **Galloway, D., D.R. Jones, and S.E. Ingebritsen.** 1999. Land Subsidence in the United
2 States. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1182.
- 3 **Gornitz, V.** 1995. Sea level rise: a review of recent past and near-future trends. *Earth*
4 *Surface Processes and Landforms* 20:7-20.
- 5 **Goldenberg, SB, Landsea, CW, Mestas-Nunez, A.M., and Gray, W.M.** 2001. The recent
6 increase in Atlantic hurricane activity: Causes and implications. *Science* 293:
7 474-479.
- 8 **Graumann, A., T. Houston, J. Lawrimore, D. Levinson, N. Lott, S. McCown, S. Stephens**
9 **and D. Wuertz,** 2005. Hurricane Katrina – a climatological perspective. October
10 2005, Updated August 2006. Technical Report 2005-01. page 28. NOAA National
11 Climate Data Center, available at [http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/reports/tech-report-](http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/reports/tech-report-200501z.pdf)
12 200501z.pdf.
- 13 **Groisman, P.Y., R.W. Knight, T.R. Karl, D.R. Easterling, B. Sun, and J.H. Lawrimore,**
14 2004: Contemporary changes of the hydrological cycle over the contiguous United
15 States: Trends derived from in situ observations. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 5, 64-85.
- 16 **Groisman, P.Y. and H.P. Barker,** 2002: Homogeneous blended wind data over the
17 contiguous United States. Preprints, 13th *Conf. on Applied Climatology*, Portland,
18 Oregon, Amer. Meteor. Soc., J114–J117.
- 19 **Gulev, S.K. and L. Hasse,** 1999. Changes in wind waves in the North Atlantic over the last
20 30 years. *International Journal of Climatology*, 19, 1091-1117.
- 21 **Guttman, N.B., and Quayle, R.G.** 1996. A Historical Perspective of U.S. Climate
22 Divisions. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 77: 293-303.
- 23 **Hanson, R.L.,** 1991, *Evapotranspiration and Droughts*, in Paulson, R.W., Chase, E.B.,
24 Roberts, R.S., and Moody, D.W., Compilers, National Water Summary 1988-89-
25 Hydrologic Events and Floods and Droughts: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply
26 Paper 2375, pages 99-104.
- 27 **Hoerling, M.P., J.W. Hurrell, X. Taiyi,** 2001. Tropical origins for recent North Atlantic
28 climate change. *Science* 292: 90-92.
- 29 **Holgate, S.J., and Woodworth, P.L.** 2004. Evidence for enhanced coastal sea level rise
30 during the 1990s. *Geophysical Research Letters*, Volume 31: L07305
- 31 **Holzschuh, J.C.,** 1991, Land subsidence in Houston, Texas U.S.A.: Field-trip guidebook
32 for the Fourth International Symposium on Land Subsidence, May 12-17, 1991,
33 Houston, Texas, 22 pages.
- 34 **Hoyos, C.D., P.A. Agudelo, P.J. Webster, J.A. Curry,** 2006. Deconvolution of the Factors
35 Contributing to the Increase in Global Hurricane Intensity. *Science* 312: 94-97.

- 1 **IPCC** (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 1996. *Climate Change 1995:*
2 *Impacts, Adaptations and Mitigation of Climate Change: Scientific-Technical*
3 *Analyses.* Cambridge University Press, New York, New York, 880 pages.
- 4 **IPCC** 2001. *Climate change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability.*
5 J.J. McCarthy, O.F. Canziani, N.A. Leary, et al. (Eds.). Contribution of Working
6 Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
7 Change. New York, New York: Cambridge University Press. Page 944 (Available
8 on-line at <http://www.ipcc.ch/>).
- 9 **IPCC**, 2007. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policy-*
10 *Makers Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the*
11 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Geneva, Switzerland, 21 pages.
- 12 **Jensen**, D.T., G.H. Hargreaves, B. Temesgen, and R.G. Allen. 1997. Computation of ETo
13 under Nonideal Conditions. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*
14 123(5):394-400
- 15 **Karl**, T., R. Knight, and N. Plummer, 1995. Trends in High-Frequency Climate
16 Variability in the Twentieth Century. *Nature* 377: 217-220.
- 17 **Karl**, T.R. C.N. Williams Jr., and F.T. Quinlan, 1990. *United States Historical*
18 *Climatology Network (HCN) Serial Temperature and Precipitation Data,*
19 ORNL/CDIAC-30, NDP-019/R1, Carbon Dioxide Information and Analysis Center,
20 Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- 21 **Karl**, T.R., and C.W. Williams, Jr., 1987. An Approach to Adjusting Climatological Time
22 Series for Discontinuous Inhomogeneities, *Journal of Applied Meteorology,*
23 Volume 26, 1744-1763.
- 24 **Karl**, T.R., C.N. Williams Jr., P.J. Young, and W.M. Wendland, 1986. A Model to
25 Estimate the Time of Observation Bias with Monthly Mean Maximum, Minimum, and
26 Mean Temperatures for the United States, *Journal of Applied Meteorology,*
27 Volume 25, 145-160, 1986.
- 28 **Karl**, T.R., H.F. Diaz, and G. Kukla, 1988. Urbanization: Its Detection and Effect in the
29 United States Climate Record, *Journal of Climate*, 1099-1123.
- 30 **Karl**, T.R., and R.W. Knight, 1998. Secular trends of precipitation amount, frequency, and
31 intensity in the United States. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 79, 231-241.
- 32 **Keim**, B.D. 1997. Preliminary Analysis of the Temporal Patterns of Heavy Rainfall
33 across the Southeastern United States. *Professional Geographer* 49(1):94-104.
- 34 **Karl**, T.R., R.W. Knight, and B. Baker, 2000. The record breaking global temperatures of
35 1997 and 1998: evidence for an increase in the rate of global warming? *Geophysical*
36 *research letters*, 27(5), 719-722.

- 1 **Karl**, T.R., and K.E. Trenberth, 2003. Modern global climate change. *Science*, 302
2 (5651), 1719-1723.
- 3 **Keim**, B.D., A.M. Wilson, C.P. Wake, and T.G. Huntington. 2003. Are there spurious
4 temperature trends in the United States Climate Division database? *Geophysical*
5 *Research Letters* 30(7):1404, doi:10.1029/2002GL016295, 2003.
- 6 **Keim**, B.D., M.R. Fisher, and A.M. Wilson. 2005. Are there spurious precipitation trends
7 in the United States Climate Division database? *Geophysical Research Letters* 32,
8 L04702, doi:10.1029/2004GL021985, 2005.
- 9 **Komar**, P.D. and J.C. Allan, Jonathan C. 2007. Higher Waves Along U.S. East Coast
10 Linked to Hurricanes. *EOS, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, volume 88,
11 issue 30, page 301.
- 12 **Komar**, P.D. In Press (title and page numbers forthcoming). *Journal of Coastal Research*.
- 13 **Lanzante**, J.R., T.C. Peterson, F.J. Wentz, and K.Y. Vinnikov, 2006. What do
14 observations indicate about the changes of temperature in the atmosphere and at the
15 surface since the advent of measuring temperatures vertically? IN: *Temperature*
16 *Trends in the Lower Atmosphere*, Edited by T.R. Karl, S.J. Hassol, C.D. Miller and
17 W.L. Murray. U.S. Climate Change Science Program, Synthesis and Assessment
18 Product 1.1, Washington, D.C. pages 47-70.
- 19 **Liu**, K. and M.L. Fearn, 1993. Lake-sediment record of late Holocene hurricane activity
20 from coastal Alabama. *Geology* 21:973-976.
- 21 **Mann**, M.E. and K.A. Emanuel. 2006. Atlantic hurricane trends linked to climate change.
22 *EOS* 87 (24): 233-244.
- 23 **McLean**, R.F., Tsyban, A., Burkett, V., Codignotto, J., Forbes, D., Ittekkot, V., Mimura,
24 N., and Beamish, R.J. 2001. Coastal zones and marine ecosystems. IN: *Climate*
25 *Change: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Third Assessment Report, Working
26 Group II report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). IPCC
27 Secretariat, Geneva, Switzerland, page 343-379.
- 28 **Milly**, P.C.D., K.A. Dunne, and A.V. Vecchia, 2005. Global pattern of trends in
29 streamflow and water availability in a changing climate. *Nature* 438:347-350
- 30 **Morton**, R.A., N.A. Buster, and M.D. Krohn. 2002. Subsurface Controls on Historical
31 Subsidence Rates and Associated Wetland Loss in Southcentral Louisiana.
32 *Transactions Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies*. Volume 52, pages
33 767-778.
- 34 **Morton**, R.A., N.A. Buster, and M.D. Krohn. 2002. Subsurface controls on historical
35 subsidence rates and associated wetland loss in southcentral Louisiana. *Transactions*
36 *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Society*, Volume 52: 767-778.

- 1 **Morton, R.A., N.A. Purcell, and R.L. Peterson.** 2001. Field evidence of subsidence and
2 faulting induced by hydrocarbon production in coastal southeast Texas. Transactions
3 Gulf Coast Association of Geological Society, Volume 51: 239-248.
- 4 **Nakicenovic, N., and Swart, R. (Eds.).** 2000. *Special Report on Emissions Scenarios.*
5 Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, United Kingdom, 612 pages
6 <http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc/emission/See> Special Report on Emissions
7 Scenarios.
- 8 **NOAA, 2007:** The Saffir Simpson Scale. <http://www.nhc.noa.gov/aboutsshs.shtml>.
- 9 **Nerem, R.S., D.P. Chambers, E.W. Leuliette, G.T. Mitchum, and B.S. Giese,** Variations in
10 Global Mean Sea Level Associated with the 1997-1998 ENSO Event: Implications for
11 Measuring Long-Term Sea Level Change, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26 (19),
12 3005-3008, 1999.
- 13 **Nicholls, R.J., P.P. Wong, V. Burkett, J. Codignotto, J. Hay, R. McLean, S. Ragoonaden,**
14 **and C. Woodroffe.** 2007. Coastal Systems and Low-Lying Areas. IN: Climate
15 Change Impacts, Adaptations, and Vulnerability. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
16 Change Fourth Assessment Report. IPCC Secretariat, Geneva, Switzerland. (In Press).
- 17 **Oouchi, K., Yoshimura, J., Yoshimura, H., Mizuta, R., Kusunoki, S., and Noda, A.:**
18 Tropical Cyclone Climatology in a Global-Warming Climate as Simulated in a 20km-
19 Mesh Global Atmospheric Model: Frequency and Wind Intensity Analyses, *Journal*
20 *of the Meteorological Society of Japan*, 84, 259-276, 10 2006.
- 21 **Parson, E., V. Burkett, K. Fisher-Vanden, D. Keith, L. Mearns, H. Pitcher, C. Rosenzweig,**
22 **M. Webster,** 2007. *Global Change Scenarios: Their Development and Use.*
23 Subreport 2.1B of Synthesis and Assessment Product 2.1 by the U.S. Climate Change
24 Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research. Department of
25 Energy, Office of Biological & Environmental Research, Washington, D.C., USA,
26 page 106.
- 27 **Paine, J.G.,** 1993, Subsidence of the Texas coast – Inferences from historical and late
28 Pleistocene sea levels: *Tectonophysics*, Volume 222, pages 445-458.
- 29 **Penland, S., and K. Ramsey.** 1990. Relative sea level rise in Louisiana and the Gulf of
30 Mexico: 1908-1988. *Journal of Coastal Research* 6:323-342.
- 31 **Pethick, J.,** 2001: Coastal management and sea level rise. *Catena*, **42**, 307-322.
- 32 **Quayle, R.G., D.R. Easterling, T.R. Karl, and P.Y. Hughes.** 1991. Effects of Recent
33 Thermometer Changes in the Cooperative Station Network, *Bulletin of the American*
34 *Meteorological Society*, Volume 72, 1718-1724.
- 35 **Ross, R.J. and W.P. Elliott,** 1996. Tropospheric water vapor climatology and trends over
36 North America: 1973–93. *Journal of Climate* 9:3561–3574.

- 1 **Ruosteenoja, K.**, T.R. Carter, K. Jylha, and H. Tuomenvirta. 2003. Future Climate in
2 World Regions: An Intercomparison of Model-Based Projections for the New IPCC
3 Emissions Scenarios. Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, 83 pages.
- 4 **Santer, B.D.**, T.M.L. Wigley, P.J. Gleckler, C. Bonfils, M.F. Wehner, K. AchutaRao, T.P.
5 Barnett, J.S. Boyle, W. Brüggemann, M. Fiorino, N. Gillett, J.E. Hansen, P.D. Jones,
6 S.A. Klein, G.A. Meehl, S.C.B. Raper, R.W. Reynolds, K.E. Taylor, and W.M.
7 Washington, 2006. Forced and unforced ocean temperature changes in Atlantic and
8 Pacific tropical cyclogenesis regions. Proceedings of the National Academy of
9 Sciences. 103: 13905-13910.
- 10 **Shay, L.K.** (2006), Positive feedback regimes during tropical cyclone passage, 14th
11 Conference on Sea-Air Interactions, AMS Annual Meeting, 30 January-3 February,
12 Atlanta, Georgia.
- 13 **Shell International**, 2003. *Scenarios: an Explorer's Guide*. Global Business Environment.
14 at: www-static.shell.com/static/royal-en/downloads/scenarios_explorersguide.pdf.
- 15 **Shingle, K.D.** and R.K. Dokka. 2004. Rates of vertical displacement at benchmarks in the
16 Lower Mississippi valley and the northern Gulf Coast. NOAA Technical Report
17 NOS/NGS 50, U.S. Department of Commerce, 135 pages.
- 18 **Smith, T.M.**, and R.W. Reynolds, 2004: Improved Extended Reconstruction of SST
19 (1854-1997). *Journal of Climate* 17:2466-2477.
- 20 **Stone, G.W.**, and R.A. McBride, 1998: Louisiana barrier islands and their importance in
21 wetland protection: Forecasting shoreline change and subsequent response of wave
22 climate. *Journal of Coastal Research*, **14**, 900-916.
- 23 **Stone, G.W.**, J.P. Morgan, A. Sheremet, and X. Zhang, 2003: Coastal land loss and wave-
24 surge predictions during hurricanes in Coastal Louisiana: implications for the oil and
25 gas industry. Coastal Studies Institute, Louisiana State University, page 67.
- 26 **State of Louisiana**, 2000. Brown Marsh Questions and Answers. Coastal Wetlands
27 Planning, Protection, and Restoration Task Force, Baton Rouge, Louisiana Department
28 of Natural Resources, Fact Sheet #5. [http://www.brownmarsh.net/factSheets/
29 brown%20marsh%20Q%20and%20A.pdf](http://www.brownmarsh.net/factSheets/brown%20marsh%20Q%20and%20A.pdf)
- 30 **Sun, B.**, P. Ya. Groisman, R.S. Bradley, and F.T. Keimig, 2000: Temporal changes in the
31 observed relationship between cloud cover and surface air temperature. *Journal of*
32 *Climate*, **13**, 4341-4357.
- 33 **Sun, B.**, P. Ya. Groisman and I.I. Mokhov, 2001: Recent changes in cloud type frequency
34 and inferred increases in convection over the United States and the former USSR.
35 *Journal of Climate*, **14**, 1864-1880.

- 1 **Swanson, R.L.**, and C.I. Thurlow. 1973. Recent Subsidence Rates along the Texas and
2 Louisiana Coasts as Determined from Tide Measurements. *Journal of Geophysical*
3 *Research*: Volume 78: 2665-2671.
- 4 **Tebaldi, C.**, L.O. Mearns, R.L. Smith, and D. Nychka. 2004. Regional Probabilities of
5 Precipitation Change: A Bayesian Analysis of multimodel simulations. *Geophysical*
6 *Research Letters*, Volume 31.
- 7 **Tebaldi, C.**, R.L. Smith, D. Nychka, and L.O. Mearns. 2005. Quantifying Uncertainty in
8 Projections of Regional Climate Change: A Bayesian Approach to the Analysis of
9 Multimodel Ensembles. *Journal of Climate*, Volume 18, Number 10, 1524-1540.
- 10 **Teague, W.J.**, P.A. Hwang, G.A. Jacobs, E.F. Thompson and D.W. Wang, 1997. A three-
11 year climatology of waves and winds in the Gulf of Mexico. U.S. Naval Research
12 Laboratory, Stennis, Mississippi. NRL/MR/7332-97-8068. page 20.
- 13 **Trenberth, K.E.**, A. Dai, R.M. Rasmussen and D.B. Parsons, 2003. The changing
14 character of precipitation. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 84:1205-
15 1217.
- 16 **Trenberth, K.E.** and D.J. Shea, 2006. Atlantic hurricanes and natural variability in 2005.
17 *Geophysical Research Letters* 33: L12704.
- 18 **Turc, L.** 1961. Evaluation des besoins en eau d'irrigation, evapotranspiration potentielle,
19 formule climatique simplifiee et mise a jour. (In French). *Annales Agronomiques*
20 12(1):13-49
- 21 **Twilley, R.R.**, E. Barron, H.L. Gholz, M.A. Harwell, R.L. Miller, D.J. Reed, J.B. Rose,
22 E. Siemann, R.G. Welzel and R.J. Zimmerman. 2001. Confronting Climate Change in
23 the Gulf Coast Region: Prospects for Sustaining Our Ecological Heritage. Union of
24 Concerned Scientists, Cambridge, Massachusetts and Ecological Society of America,
25 Washington, D.C., 82 pages.
- 26 **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency**, 1999. Ecological Condition of Estuaries in the
27 Gulf of Mexico. Office of Research and Development, National Health and
28 Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Gulf Ecology Division, Gulf Breeze,
29 Florida. EPA-620-R-98-004. page 80.
- 30 **U.S. Geological Survey**, 2007. Predicting flooding and coastal hazards. U.S. Geological
31 Survey, Coastal and Marine Geology Program. Sound Waves Monthly Newsletter,
32 June 2007. page 1.
- 33 Wang, X.L., F.W. Zweirs and V.R. Swail, 2004: North Atlantic Ocean Wave Climate
34 Change Scenarios for the Twenty-First Century, *Journal of Climate*. pages 2368-2383
- 35 **Webster, P.J.**, G.J. Holland, J.A. Curry and H. Chang. 2005. Changes in tropical cyclone
36 number, duration, and intensity in a warming environment. *Science* 309: 1844-1846.

- 1 **Wehner, M.**, 2005. Changes in daily precipitation and surface air temperature extremes in
2 the IPCC AR4 models. *U.S. CLIVAR Variations*, 3, (2005) pages 5-9. Lawrence
3 Berkeley National Laboratory, LBNL-61594.
- 4 **White, W.A.**, and R.A. Morton. 1997. Wetland losses related to fault movement and
5 hydrocarbon production, southeastern Texas coast. *Journal of Coastal Research* 13:
6 1305-1320.
- 7 **Wigley, T.M.L.**, S.C.B. Raper, M. Hulme, and S. Smith. 2000. The MAGICC/SCENGEN
8 Climate Scenario Generator: Version 2.4, Technical Manual, Climatic Research Unit,
9 UEA, Norwich, United Kingdom, 48 pages.
- 10 **Wilks, D.S.** 1993. Comparison of three-parameter probability distributions for
11 representing annual and partial duration precipitation series. *Water Resources*
12 *Research* 29: 3543-3549.
- 13 **Wolf, J.**, 2003, Effects of Climate Change on Wave Height at the Coast. *Geophysical*
14 *Research Abstracts*, European Geophysical Society 5:13351.
- 15 **Zervas, C.** 2001. Sea Level Variations of the United States 1854-1999; NOAA Technical
16 Report NOS CO-OPS 36, NOAA, Silver Spring, Maryland, 66 pages.
- 17 **Zilkoski, D.B.**, and S.M. Reese. 1986. Subsidence in the Vicinity of New Orleans as
18 Indicated by Analysis of Geodetic Leveling Data; NOAA Technical Report NOS 120
19 NGS 38; NOAA, Rockville, Maryland.
- 20 **Zwiers, F.W.**, and V.V. Kharin, 1998. Changes in the extremes of the climate simulated
21 by CCC GCM2 under CO₂ doubling. *Journal of Climate*, 11, 2200-2222.