

6.0 What are the Key Conclusions of this Study?

Lead Authors: Michael J. Savonis, Virginia R. Burkett, Joanne R. Potter

Contributing Authors: Thomas W. Doyle, Ron Hagelman, Stephen B. Hartley, Robert C. Hyman, Robert S. Kafalenos, Barry D. Keim, Kenneth J. Leonard, Matthew Sheppard, Claudia Tebaldi, Jessica E. Tump

The primary objectives of this phase of the Gulf Coast Study were to assemble the data needed for an analysis of the potential impacts on transportation, determine whether climate and ecological data could be usefully employed in such an assessment, identify and implement an assessment approach, and provide an overview of the potential impacts. The results are striking. They show that the data can provide useful information to transportation decision-makers about the natural environment as it exists today, as well as the likely changes stemming from climate shifts. By using the historical data on the natural environment, an ensemble of climate models, a range of emission scenarios, well-established literature on climate impacts, and a conservative approach toward interpretation, this study indicates that the potential impacts on transportation in the Gulf Coast are highly significant, as summarized below.

While further study is needed to examine in more detail the impacts on specific transportation facilities, such as individual airports or rail terminals, this preliminary assessment finds that the potential impacts on infrastructure are so important that transportation decision-makers should begin immediately to assess consider them in the development of transportation investment strategies. Phase 2 of this effort will examine one small part of the Gulf Coast study region in much more detail. While the significance of climate factors will vary across regions of the U.S., responsible transportation agencies in other areas would do well to consider these types of impacts as well, since the decisions they make today may result in infrastructure that will last 50 to 100 years. While the timing and pace of these impacts cannot be specified with precision, the central Gulf Coast already is vulnerable to certain impacts at the present time, as demonstrated by the 2005 hurricane season.

Given the characteristics of the climate system, especially the long periods of time greenhouse gases remain in the atmosphere, and the virtually certain increases in carbon dioxide concentrations in the coming decades, some degree of impacts cannot be avoided. Based on analysis of different emission scenarios, the magnitude of future impacts will depend on the amount of greenhouse gases emitted. While the modeled scenarios demonstrate very similar levels climate impacts over the next 50 years, lower emission scenarios show lesser impacts in the longer term (60 - 100 years). If aggressive measures

1 result in reduced emission levels globally, the climate impacts identified here may be on
2 the lower end of the anticipated ranges.

3 The study authors believe that prudent steps can be taken to fortify the existing
4 transportation system, as warranted, after an evaluation of impacts on critical transportation
5 facilities and systems. Structures can be hardened, raised, or even relocated as need be
6 and – where critical to safety and mobility – expanded redundant systems may be
7 considered as well. What adaptive strategies may be employed, the associated costs, and
8 the relative effectiveness of those strategies will have to be determined on a case-by-case
9 basis, based on studies of individual facilities and systemwide considerations. As
10 transportation agencies struggle to meet the challenges of congestion, safety, and
11 environmental mitigation – as well as maintaining transportation infrastructure in good
12 repair – meeting the challenges posed by a changing climate poses a new and major hurdle
13 toward creation of a more resilient transportation network in a time of increasingly scarce
14 resources. Phase 3 of this effort will examine potential response strategies and develop
15 methods to assist local decision-makers to assess the relative merits of various adaptation
16 options.

17 ■ 6.1 Trends in Climate and Coastal Change

18 The central Gulf Coast is particularly vulnerable to climate variability and change because
19 of the frequency with which hurricanes strike because much of its land is sinking relative to
20 mean sea level, and because much of its natural protection, in the form of barrier islands
21 and wetlands has been lost. While difficult to quantify, the loss of natural storm buffers
22 will likely intensify many of the climate impacts identified in this report, particularly in
23 relation to storm damage.

- 24 • **Relative Sea Level Rise** – Since much of the land in the Gulf Coast is sinking, this area
25 is facing much higher increases in relative sea level rise (the combination of local land
26 surface movement and change in mean sea level) than most other parts of the U.S.
27 coast. Based on the output of an ensemble of General Circulation Models (GCM) run
28 with a range of IPCC emissions scenarios, relative sea level in the study area is very
29 likely to increase at least 0.3 meter (1 foot) across the region and possibly as much as 2
30 meters (6 to 7 feet) in some parts of the study area over the next 50 to 100 years. The
31 analysis of a “middle range” of potential sea level rise of 0.3 to 0.9 meters (2 to 4 feet)
32 indicates that a vast portion of the Gulf Coast from Houston to Mobile may well be
33 inundated in the future. The projected rate of relative sea level rise for the region is
34 consistent with historical trends, region-specific analyses, and the IPCC 4th Assessment
35 Report (2007) findings, which assume no major changes in ice sheet dynamics.

36 Protective structures, such as levees and sea walls, could mitigate some of these
37 impacts, but considerable land area is still at risk to permanent flooding from rising
38 tides, sinking land, and erosion during storms. Subsidence alone could account for a
39 large part of the change in land area through the middle of this century, depending on

1 the portion of the coast that is considered. Sea level rise induced by the changing
2 climate will substantially worsen the impacts of subsidence on the region.

- 3 • **Storm Activity** – The region is vulnerable today to transportation infrastructure
4 damage during hurricanes and given the potential for increases in the number of
5 hurricanes designated as Category 3 and above, this vulnerability will likely increase.
6 This preliminary analysis did not quantitatively assess the impact of the loss of
7 protective barrier islands and wetlands, which will only serve to make storm effects
8 worse. It also did not consider the possible synergistic impacts of storm activity over a
9 sea that has risen by 0.6 to 1.2 meters (two to four feet). This potential would likely
10 make a bad situation even worse, as well.
- 11 • **Average Temperature Increase** – All GCMs used by the IPCC in its Fourth
12 Assessment Report (2007) indicate an increase in average annual Gulf Coast
13 temperature through the end of this century. Based on GCM runs under three different
14 IPCC emission scenarios (A1B, A2, and B1), the average temperature in the Gulf Coast
15 region appears likely to increase by at least $1.5^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($2.7^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 1.8^{\circ}\text{F}$) during the next
16 50 years, with the greatest increase in temperature occurring in the summer.
- 17 • **Temperature Extremes** – With increases in average temperature also will come
18 increases in extreme high temperature. Based on historical trends and model
19 projections, it is very likely that the number of days above 32.2°C (90°F) will increase
20 significantly across the study area; this has implications for transportation operations
21 and maintenance. The number of days above 32.2°C (90°F) could increase by as much
22 as 50 percent during the next 50 years.
- 23 • **Precipitation Change** – Future changes in precipitation are much more difficult to
24 model than temperature. Precipitation trends in the study area suggest increasing
25 values, with some climate divisions, especially those in Mississippi and Alabama,
26 having significant long-term trends. Yet while some GCM results indicate that average
27 precipitation will increase in this region, others indicate a decline in average
28 precipitation during the next 50 to 100 years. Because of this ambiguity, it is difficult
29 to reach conclusions about what the future holds regarding change in mean
30 precipitation. Even if average precipitation increases slightly, average annual runoff in
31 the region is projected to remain unchanged or decline slightly, as temperature and
32 evapotranspiration rates increase.
- 33 • **Extreme Rainfall Events** – Average annual precipitation increased at most recording
34 stations within the study area since 1919 and the literature indicates that a trend towards
35 more rainfall and more frequent heavy downpours is likely. At this stage, climate
36 modeling capacity is insufficient to quantify effects on individual precipitation events,
37 but the potential for temporary flooding in this region is clear. In an area where
38 flooding already is a concern, this tendency could be exacerbated by extreme rainfall
39 events. This impact will become increasingly important as relative sea level rises,
40 putting more and more of the study area at risk.

1 ■ 6.2 Transportation Impacts

2 Based on the trends in climate and coastal change, transportation infrastructure and the
3 services that require them are vulnerable to future climate changes as well as other natural
4 phenomena. While more study is needed to specify how vulnerable they are and what steps
5 could be taken to reduce that vulnerability, it is clear that transportation planners in this
6 region should not ignore these impacts.

- 7 • **Inundation from Relative Sea Level Rise** – While greater or lesser rises in relative
8 sea level are possible, this study analyzed the effects of relative sea level rise of 0.6 and
9 1.2 meters (2 and 4 feet) as realistic scenarios.. Based on these levels, an untenable
10 portion of the region’s road, rail, and port network is at risk of permanent flooding.

11 Twenty-five percent of the major roads, 9 percent of the rail lines, and 72 percent of the
12 ports are at or below 1.2 meters (4 feet) in elevation. Protective structures, such as
13 levees and dikes, will continue to be an important strategy that could alleviate some of
14 this concern; however, the crucial connectivity of the intermodal system in the area
15 means that the services some segments of the network provide can be threatened even if
16 they themselves are not under water if other segments are inundated.

17 While these impacts are very significant, they can be addressed and adaptive strategies
18 developed if transportation agencies carefully consider them in their decisions. The
19 effectiveness of such strategies will depend on the strategies selected and the magnitude
20 of the problem, as scenarios of lower emissions demonstrate lesser impacts. It may be
21 that in some cases the adaptive strategy may be wholly successful, while in others
22 further steps may need to be taken. Adaptive strategies that can be undertaken to
23 minimize adverse impacts will be assessed in Phase 3 of this study.

- 24 • **Flooding and Damage from Storm Activity** – As the central Gulf Coast is already is
25 vulnerable to hurricanes, so is its transportation infrastructure. This study examined the
26 potential for short-term flooding associated with a 5.5- and a 7.0-meter (18- and 23-
27 foot) storm surge. Based on these relatively common levels, a great deal of the study
28 area’s infrastructure is subject to temporary flooding. More than half (64 percent of
29 Interstates; 57 percent of arterials) of the area’s major highways, almost half of the rail
30 miles, 29 airports, and virtually all of the ports are subject to flooding.

31 The nature and extent of the flooding depends on where the hurricane makes landfall
32 and its specific characteristics. Hurricanes Katrina and Rita demonstrated that that this
33 temporary flooding can extend for miles inland.

34 This study did not examine in detail the potential for damage due to the storm surge,
35 wind speeds, debris, or other characteristics of hurricanes since this, too, greatly
36 depends on where the hurricane strikes. Given the energy associated with hurricane
37 storm surge, concern must be raised for any infrastructure in its direct path that is not
38 designed to withstand the impact of a Category 3 hurricane or greater.

1 Climate change appears to worsen the region’s vulnerability to hurricanes, as warming
2 seas give rise to more energetic storms. The literature indicates that the intensity of
3 major storms may increase 5 to 20 percent. This indicates that Category 3 storms and
4 higher may return more frequently to the central Gulf Coast, and thus cause more
5 disruptions of transportation services.

6 The impacts of such storms need to be examined in greater detail; storms may cause
7 even greater damage under future conditions not considered here. If the barrier islands
8 and shorelines continue to be lost at historic rates and as relative sea level rises, the
9 destructive potential of tropical storms is likely to increase.

- 10 • **Effects of Temperature Increase** – As the average temperature in the central Gulf
11 Coast is expected to rise by 0.5°C to 2.5°C (0.9°F to 4.5°F), the daily high
12 temperatures, particularly in summer, and the number of days above 32.2°C (90°F) also
13 will likely increase. These combined effects will raise costs related to the construction,
14 maintenance, and operations of transportation infrastructure and vehicles. Maintenance
15 costs will increase for some types of infrastructure as they deteriorate more quickly at
16 temperatures above 32°C (90°F). Increase in daily high temperatures could increase
17 the potential for rail buckling in certain types of track. Construction costs could
18 increase because of restrictions on days above 32°C (90°F) since work crews may be
19 unable to be deployed during extreme heat events and concrete strength is affected by
20 the temperature at which it sets. Increases in daily high temperatures would affect
21 aircraft performance and runway length, as runways need to be longer when daily
22 temperatures are higher, all other things being equal. While potentially costly and
23 burdensome, these impacts may be addressed by transportation agencies by absorbing
24 the increased costs and increasing the level of maintenance for affected facilities.
- 25 • **Effects of Change in Average Precipitation** – It is difficult to determine how
26 transportation infrastructure and services might be impacted by changes in average
27 precipitation since models project either a wetter or a drier climate in the southeastern
28 U.S. In either case though, the changes in average rainfall are relatively slight and the
29 existing transportation network may be equipped to manage this.
- 30 • **Effects of Increased Extreme Precipitation Events** – Of more concern is the potential
31 for short-term flooding due to heavier downpours. Even if average precipitation
32 declines, the intensity of those storms can lead to temporary flooding as culverts and
33 other drainage systems are overloaded. Further, Louisiana DOT reports that prolonged
34 flooding of one to five weeks can damage the pavement substructure and necessitate
35 rehabilitation (Gaspard et al., 2007). The central Gulf Coast already is prone to
36 temporary flooding; and transportation representatives struggle with the disruptions
37 these events cause. As the climate changes, this will probably become more frequent
38 and more disruptive as the intensity of these downpours will likely increase. As
39 relative sea level rises, it appears likely that even more infrastructure will be at risk
40 because overall water levels already will be so much higher. While these impacts
41 cannot be quantified at present, transportation representatives can monitor where
42 flooding occurs and how the sea is rising as an early warning system about what
43 facilities are at immediate risk and warrant high-priority attention. In a transportation

1 system that already is under stress due to congestion, and with people and freight
2 haulers increasingly dependent on just-in-time delivery, the economic, safety, and
3 social ramifications of even temporary flooding may be significant.

4 ■ 6.3 Implications for Planning

5 The network in the study area provides crucial service to millions of people and transports
6 enormous quantities of oil, grain, and other freight. It is a network under increasing strain
7 to meet transportation demand as the American public's desire for travel and low-cost
8 goods and services continues to grow unabated. Even minor disruption to this system
9 causes ripple effects that erode the resources of transportation agencies as well as the good
10 will and trust of the public. Good stewardship requires that the transportation network be
11 as robust and resilient as possible within available resources.

12 This preliminary assessment raises clear cause for concern regarding the vulnerability of
13 transportation infrastructure and services in the central Gulf Coast due to climate and
14 coastal changes. These changes threaten to cause both major and minor disruptions to the
15 smooth provision of transport service through the study area. Transportation agencies –
16 bearing the responsibility to be effective stewards of the network and future investments in
17 it – need to consider these impacts carefully.

18 Steps can be taken to address the potential impacts to varying degrees. This study
19 demonstrates that there is benefit to examining the long-term impacts of climate change on
20 transportation. Climate data and model scenarios can be productively employed to better
21 plan for transportation infrastructure and services, even if there is not as much information
22 or specificity as transportation planners might prefer. State and local planners need to
23 examine these potentialities in greater detail within the context of smaller study areas and
24 specific facilities. But to effectively consider them, changes are likely necessary in the
25 timeframes and approaches taken.

26 • **Planning Timeframes** – Current practice limits the ability of transportation planners to
27 examine potential conditions far enough into the future to adequately plan for impacts
28 on transportation systems resulting from the natural environment and climate change.
29 As such, insufficient attention is paid to longer-term impacts in some cases. The
30 longevity of transportation infrastructure argues for a long timeframe to examine
31 potential impacts from climate change and other elements of the natural environment.

32 The current practice for public agencies of examining 20 to 30 years in the future to
33 plan for transportation infrastructure may represent the limits of our sight for social,
34 economic, and demographic assessments; as well as for consideration of fiscal
35 constraint and other Federal planning requirements. However, the natural environment,
36 including the climate, changes over longer time periods and warrants attention –
37 perhaps as part of a long-term visioning process that helps to determine where

1 transportation investments are needed and should be located. Such an approach would
2 inform the long-range planning process with valuable supplementary information.

3 This study could not examine transportation decision-making in the private sector in
4 detail due to proprietary concerns and the numerous companies involved. Clearly,
5 some companies, such as CSX, have responded to issues posed by the 2005 hurricane
6 season and made contingency plans to reroute service. Since the concerns are every bit
7 as real for the private sector, these companies also would do well to plan for and
8 implement adaptive strategies related to climate and other natural environment impacts.

- 9 • **Connectivity** – In addition to analysis at the level of particular facilities – such as an
10 airport, bridge, or a portion of rail line – it would be useful for planners to examine the
11 connectivity of the intermodal system for vulnerability assessed at the local, regional,
12 national, and international levels to long-term changes in the natural environment,
13 including changes induced by climate. This helps to identify critical links in the system
14 and ways to buttress them against exposures to climate factors or other variables, or to
15 create redundancies to maintain critical mobility for directly and indirectly affected
16 populations alike.
- 17 • **Integrated Analysis** – From a transportation planning perspective, it is unnecessary
18 and irrelevant to separate impacts due to climate change from impacts occurring from
19 other naturally occurring phenomena like subsidence or storm surge due to hurricanes.
20 In fact, such impacts are integrally related. Climate change is likely to increase the
21 severity or frequency of impacts that already are occurring. Any impact that affects the
22 structural integrity, design, operations, or maintenance that can be reasonably planned
23 for should be considered in transportation planning. Efforts to restore ecological
24 systems to redevelop protective buffers and reverse land loss may likewise help to
25 protect transportation infrastructure from future climate impacts.

26 ■ 6.4 Future Needs

27 The analysis of how a changing climate might affect transportation is in its infancy. While
28 there is useful information that can be developed, the continued evolution of this type of
29 study will serve to enhance the type of information planners, engineers, operators, and
30 maintenance personnel need to create an even more robust and resilient transportation
31 system, ultimately at lower cost. This study begins to address the research needs identified
32 in Chapter 1.0 based on the current literature, but much more investigation is required.
33 Based on the experience gained in conducting this study, research gaps are indicated in
34 several chapters and specifically identified in Chapter 4.0. Taken together, they indicate
35 the following areas where more information is critical to the further estimation of the
36 impacts of a changing climate on transportation infrastructure and services.

- 37 • **Climate Data and Projections** – It would be useful to the transportation community if
38 climatologists could continue to develop more specific data on future impacts. Higher

1 resolution of climate models for regional and subregional studies would be highly
2 useful. More information about the likelihood and extent of extreme events, including
3 temperature extremes, storms with associated surges and winds, and precipitation
4 events could be put to excellent advantage by transportation planners.

- 5 • **Risk Analysis Tools** – In addition to more specific climate data, transportation
6 planners also need new methodological tools to address the uncertainties that are
7 inherent in projections of climate phenomena. Such methods are likely to be based on
8 probability and statistics as much as on engineering and material science. The
9 approaches taken to address risk in earthquake-prone areas may provide a model for
10 developing such tools.

11 This study proposes a conceptual framework that may provide one way of approaching
12 the development of new tools. More effort is needed to make the concepts presented
13 here operational and thus useful to planners in the region. Specifically, more effort is
14 needed to identify thresholds at which adaptive actions are warranted and taken.
15 Monitoring short-term flooding due to increased downpours, relative sea level rise, and
16 operating, maintenance, and construction costs serves as a good first step toward the
17 identification of these thresholds. Eventually though, it would be most useful to have
18 operating standards based on societal needs to guide future investments.

- 19 • **Region-Based Analysis** – Future phases of this study will examine in more detail the
20 potential impacts specific to the Gulf Coast and determine possible adaptation
21 strategies. In addition, information developed either in this or subsequent studies
22 would be valuable on freight, pipelines, and emergency management, in particular.
23 Additional analysis on demographic responses to climate change, land use interactions,
24 and secondary and national economic impacts would help elucidate what impacts
25 climate will have on the people and the nation as a whole should critical transportation
26 services in the region be lost. However, the impacts that a changing climate might have
27 depends on where a region is and the specific characteristics of its natural environment.
28 The research conducted in this study should be replicated in other areas of the country
29 to determine the possible impacts of climate change on transportation infrastructure and
30 services in those locations. Transportation in northern climates will face much
31 different challenges than those in the south. Coastal areas will similarly face different
32 challenges than interior portions of the country.

- 33 • **Interdisciplinary Research** – This study has demonstrated the value of cross-
34 disciplinary research that engages both the transportation and climate research
35 communities. Continued collaboration will benefit both disciplines in building
36 methodologies and conducting analysis to inform the nation's efforts to address the
37 implications of climate change.

1 ■ **6.5 References**

- 2 **Gaspard, K., M. Martinez, Z. Zhang, Z. Wu., 2007:** *Impact of Hurricane Katrina on*
3 *Roadways in the New Orleans Area.* Technical Assistance Report No. 07-2TA, LTRC
4 Pavement Research Group, Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development,
5 Louisiana Transportation Research Center, March 2007, 73 pages.
- 6 **IPCC, 2007:** *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policy-*
7 *Makers.* Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the
8 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva, Switzerland, 21 pages.