Subject: OFFICIAL COMMENT: Waterfall **From:** "Scott Fluhrer" <sfluhrer@cisco.com>

Date: Fri, 19 Dec 2008 17:58:14 -0500

To: <hash-function@nist.gov> **CC:** <hash-forum@nist.gov>

I have submitted initial cryptographical results at $\underline{\text{http://eprint.iacr.org/2008/531.pdf}}$. If these results are correct, this shows a weakness in collision resistance.

l of l 12/22/2008 8:51 AM

Subject: OFFICIAL COMMENT: Waterfall is broken

From: "Bob Hattersley" <bob.hattersley@optaconsulting.co.uk>

Date: Sat, 20 Dec 2008 06:55:42 -0500

To: Multiple recipients of list <hash-forum@nist.gov>

Scott Fluhrer of Cisco has found a 2^70 collision attack on Waterfall. His paper is available on ePrint. I hereby withdraw from the competition.

I realise that I failed to think clearly about collision attacks at all. I believe the first and second preimage resistance is unaffected, but the collision weakness is fundamental - I can't see any way of patching it up. So you will be spared any embarrassing attempts to tune numbers of rounds etc.. I don't think there is much useful to be dragged from the wreckage except perhaps the message "don't try this".

Bob Hattersley

l of l 12/22/2008 8:51 AM

Re: OFFICIAL COMMENT: Waterfall is broken

Subject: Re: OFFICIAL COMMENT: Waterfall is broken **From:** Richard Outerbridge <outer@sympatico.ca>

Date: Sat, 20 Dec 2008 20:40:43 -0500

To: Multiple recipients of list hash-forum@nist.gov

On Dec 20, 2008, at 06:55, Bob Hattersley wrote:

Scott Fluhrer of Cisco has found a 2^{70} collision attack on Waterfall. His paper is available on ePrint. I hereby withdraw from the competition.

I realise that I failed to think clearly about collision attacks at all. I believe the first and second preimage resistance is unaffected, but the collision weakness is fundamental - I can't see any way of patching it up. So you will be spared any embarrassing attempts to tune numbers of rounds etc.. I don't think there is much useful to be dragged from the wreckage except perhaps the message "don't try this".

Bob Hattersley

Hey, thanks for trying.

Richard

l of l 12/22/2008 8:57 AM