Impacting Alcohol Abuse through Proactive Outreach and Follow-up: The Wellness Outreach at Work Model

Presented by
Max Heirich, Ph.D.
The University of Michigan
Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations
Worker Health Program
October 2000

THE HEART OF PREVENTION

Doing something PROACTIVELY BEFORE trouble develops

"An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure"

In most populations:

- ♦ 15 % of people are already health conscious and actively interested in improving their health.
- ◆ 15 % of people are not at all interested in improving their health.
- ♦ 70 % are generally interested in improving their health and are at various stages of awareness about how to do so.

.

A discussion of three worksite studies that use proactive outreach to prevent alcohol abuse.

Study One.

Proactive Follow-up with Employee Assistance Program (EAP) Clients

3-year study of 320 clients in a public utility company who were randomly assigned for proactive outreach or for standard EAP procedures.

(sponsored by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism)

Study Two.

Alcohol Abuse Prevention through Proactive Cardiovascular Wellness Programs
3-year study with 2,000 manufacturing employees, studying ability to impact alcohol use through proactive cardiovascular wellness programs.

(sponsored by National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse)

Study Three.

Workplace Managed Care

3-year study of the impact of alcohol moderation counseling, wellness outreach at worksites, and integration with managed-care services on prevention and reduction of alcohol abuse and on utilization of disease care services, involving 1,300 employees of a major university.

(sponsored by Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Substance Abuse Prevention)

Study One.

Proactive Follow-up with Employee Assistance Program (EAP) Clients

3-year study of 320 clients in a public utility company who were randomly assigned for proactive outreach or for standard EAP procedures.

(sponsored by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism)

Table Two. The Impact of Proactive Outreach and Follow-up on Recidivism Rates among EAP Clients

Group (Entire caseload)				Group (Alcohol/Drug Clients Only)			
Recurrence	No Follow-up Counseling	Follow-up Counseling	Total	Recurrence	No Follow-up Counseling	Follow-up Counseling	Total
Yes	(66) 44%	(53) 31%	(119) 37%	Yes	(19) 44%	(14) 30%	(33) 37%
No	(84) 56%	(117) 69%	(201) 63%*	No	(24) 56%	(33) 70%	(57) 63% **

^{*}p<.05

^{**}p>.05

Study Two.

Alcohol Abuse Prevention through Proactive Cardiovascular Wellness Programs
3-year study with 2,000 manufacturing employees, studying ability to impact alcohol use through proactive cardiovascular wellness programs.

(sponsored by National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse)

•

Table Three. The Effectiveness of Cardiovascular Risk Screening for Engaging Problem Drinkers

Percent of population with at least one CVD risk: hypertension, cholesterol, weight, or smoking	Percent with at least one CVD risk who were drinking at risky levels
74%	59%
Of those with CVD risk:	% of drinkers with this CVD risk who were drinking at risky levels
Hypertension	48%
Hypercholesterolemia	49%
Low HDL cholesterol	48%
Smoking	64%
Overweight	49%

Classification of Alcohol Consumption Risk Level

	Safer Drinker	Problematic Drinker	Binge/Heavy Drinker
Male	Less than 3 drinks per day and less than 12 drinks per week	4-5 drinks per day or 12-15 drinks per week	5 or more drinks per day or 15 or more drinks per week
Female	Less than 2 drinks per day and less than 9 drinks per week	3-5 drinks per day or 9-15 drinks per week	5 or more drinks per day or 15 or more drinks per week

Centers for Disease Control

•

Table Three. The Effectiveness of Cardiovascular Risk Screening for Engaging Problem Drinkers

Percent of population with at least one CVD risk: hypertension, cholesterol, weight, or smoking	Percent with at least one CVD risk who were drinking at risky levels
74%	59%
Of those with CVD risk:	% of drinkers with this CVD risk who were drinking at risky levels
Hypertension	48%
Hypercholesterolemia	49%
Low HDL cholesterol	48%
Smoking	64%
Overweight	49%

Table Four. Changes in Drinking Patterns

rabio roar. Criarigos		nig i att.	
	"At-Risk" Drinkers	"Safe" Drinkers	Non-Drinkers
	%	%	%
Stopped drinking	12.5	14.2	_
Remained non-drinkers	_	_	76.5
Became "safe" drinkers	30.6	_	17.3
Remained "safe" drinkers	_	62.6	_
Decreased their drinking but still at risk	5.2	_	_
Became at-risk drinkers	_	22.2	6.2
Did not change their drinking	51.8	_	_

p < 0.001, using one-tailed Z-test

Table Five. Comparison of Changes in Biometric Measures of Risk Factors with Changes in Reported Drinking

Changes in	Non-	Not "at-risk"		"At-risk" drinkers			
Biometric	drinkers	drinkers whose		whose drinking			
measures		drinking					
		Stayed Reduced		Stayed same	Reduced		
		same					
Systolic bp	+0.30	+1.88	+1.30	-4.33***	-5.18***		
Diastolic bp	-1.15	+1.30	+2.30	-1.62	-2.91		
***n < 05 using one-tailed t-test							

Study Three:

Workplace Managed Care

3-year study of the impact of alcohol moderation counseling, wellness outreach at worksites, and integration with managed-care services on prevention and reduction of alcohol abuse and on utilization of disease care services, involving 1,300 employees of a major university.

(sponsored by the Public Health Service, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Substance Abuse Prevention)

.

The Drinkwise Program

A drinking reduction and moderation program that adapts advice to each person depending on the situation.

Table Six. Participation in Follow-up Counseling by Initial Alcohol Risk Level in the Workplace Managed Care Project

	Potentially				
	Safe Drinkers		Problematic Drinkers		Binge/Heavy
					Drinkers
	(N)	%	(N)	%	(N) %
0 visits*					
	(49)	8	(1)	3	(4) 17
1+ visits	(438)	74	(26)	72	(15) 63
Refused follow-up co	unselino (65)) 11	(6)	17	(3) 13
Left study	(40)	7	(3)	8	(2) 7
Total	(592)	100%	(36)	100%	(24) 100%

^{*(}could not be reached for follow-up counseling)

Table Seven: Participation in Follow-up Counseling by Risk Factor in the Workplace Managed Care Project

	High						
	Alcohol	Hypertension	Cholesterol	Overweight	Smoking		
	(N) %	(N) %	(N) %	(N) %	(N) %		
0 visits*	(5) 8	(15) 10	(10) 14	(21) 10	(5) 9		
1+ visits	(41) 68	(116) 76	(49) 66	(147) 68	(37) 64		
Refused follo	Refused follow-up						
counseling	(9) 15	(13) 9	(11) 15	(28) 13	(9) 16		
Left study	(5) 8	(8) 5	(4) 5	(20) 9	(7) 12		
Total	(60)100%	(152)100%	(74)100%	(216) 100%	(58) 100%		

.

^{*(}could not be reached for follow-up counseling)

Table Eight. Changes in Drinking Behavior for the Intervention Group in the Workplace Managed Care Project

	Problematic Drinkers (N) (%)	Potentially "Safe" Drinkers (N) %	Abstainers/ "Safe" Drinkers (N) %
Became safe drinkers	(6) 25	(15) 42	_
Remained safe drinkers	_	_	(413) 70
Decreased their drinking but were still at-risk	(6) 25	(3) 9	_
Did not change their drinking	(1) 4	(7) 19	_
Became at-risk drinkers	_	_	(8) 1
Could not be reached for follow-up counseling	(6) 25	(4) 11	(92) 16
Refused follow-up counseling	(5) 21	(7) 19	(79) 13

Summary

Proactive Intervention: The Wellness Outreach at Work Model

The Wellness Outreach at Work model consists of five main elements:

- an assessment of an individual's risk and their stage of readiness to change behaviors placing them at risk
- proactive, individualized follow-up counseling
- assurances of confidentiality for workers
- social reinforcement of healthy behaviors
- periodic reassessment of the health status of the population