1 2	WILLIAM BLUMENTHAL General Counsel Federal Trade Commission		
3 4	David M. Newman Attorney, Western Region Federal Trade Commission	ENDORSED	
5	901 Market Street, Suite 570 San Francisco, California 94103 (415) 848-5123	FILE D San Francisco County Superior Court	
6	(415) 848-5184 (fax) dnewman@ftc.gov	10N - 5 2006	
7	California Atty. No. 54218	CORDON PARK-LI, Clerk	
8	CAROL J. JENNINGS ROBERT M. FRISBY	BY:UANITA MURPHY Deputy Clerk	
9	JAMES A. KOHM Division of Enforcement		
10	Bureau of Consumer Protection Federal Trade Commission		
11	600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC-20580		
12	(202) 326-3010	•	
13			
14	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
15	0001111	J DIETTICETORO	
10		•	
16	FRANK CHAVEZ, an individual, and California resident, on behalf of himself.	) CASE NO. CGC-04-434884	
	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general		
16	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,	) ) ) ) FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S ) MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE	
16 17	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,	) ) ) FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S	
16 17 18	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,  v.	) ) ) ) ) FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S ) MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ) MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS	
16 17 18	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS AMICUS CURIAE CLASS ACTION Hon. Thomas J. Mellon	
16 17 18 19 20	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,  v.	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS AMICUS CURIAE CLASS ACTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,  v.  NETFLIX, INC., a foreign corporation; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS AMICUS CURIAE CLASS ACTION Hon. Thomas J. Mellon	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,  v.  NETFLIX, INC., a foreign corporation; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,  Defendants.	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS AMICUS CURIAE CLASS ACTION Hon. Thomas J. Mellon	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,  v.  NETFLIX, INC., a foreign corporation; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,  Defendants.  The Federal Trade Commission ("F"	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS AMICUS CURIAE CLASS ACTION Hon. Thomas J. Mellon Dept. 514	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,  v.  NETFLIX, INC., a foreign corporation; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,  Defendants.  The Federal Trade Commission ("F" memorandum of law as amicus curiae opporation.	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS AMICUS CURIAE CLASS ACTION Hon. Thomas J. Mellon Dept. 514  TC") respectfully moves for leave to file a	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	California resident, on behalf of himself, those similarly situated, and the general public,  Plaintiff,  v.  NETFLIX, INC., a foreign corporation; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,  Defendants.  The Federal Trade Commission ("F" memorandum of law as amicus curiae oppose the subject of a Settlement Hearing on Ja	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MEMORANDUM OF LAW AS AMICUS CURIAE CLASS ACTION Hon. Thomas J. Mellon Dept. 514  TC") respectfully moves for leave to file a sing the proposed settlement in this case, which will	

memorandum, the FTC has considerable experience challenging allegedly unfair or deceptive marketing practices, including those involved in the use of negative option plans; issuing administrative orders and obtaining federal court injunctions prohibiting such practices; implementing redress programs for consumers injured by such practices; and filing *amicus* briefs in or otherwise commenting upon class action litigation and settlements. In light of this experience, we believe that the FTC's views would likely be useful to the Court as it considers whether to approve the proposed settlement.

This trial court clearly has discretion to consider the arguments of amici curiae. Stockton v. Department of Employment, 25 Cal. 2d 264, 272, 153 P.2d 741, 245 (1944) (trial court requested filing of amicus curiae brief); Board of Administration v. Wilson, 52 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1118 n.4, 61 Cal. Rptr. 2d 207, 214 n.4 (Cal. Ct. App. 1997) (California State Employees Association appeared as amicus curiae in the trial court); In re Executive Life Ins. Co., 32 Cal. App. 4th 344, 389, 38 Cal. Rptr. 2d 453, 480 (Cal. Ct. App. 1995) (formal status in trial court of Action Network for Victims of Executive Life was that of amicus curiae); Auchmoody v. 911

Emergency Services, 214 Cal. App. 3d 1510, 1518, 263 Cal. Rptr. 278, 282 (Cal. Ct. App. 1989) (California Ambulance Association was amicus curiae both in appellate and trial court); Beach

Colony II v. California Coastal Com., 151 Cal. App. 3d 1107, 1119 n.7, 199 Cal. Rptr. 195, 203 n.7 (Cal. Ct. App. 1984) (City of Del Mar appeared as amicus curiae both in appellate and trial court); Great Western Sav. & Loan Asso. v. City of Los Angeles, 31 Cal. App. 3d 403, 407 n.5 and 414, 107 Cal. Rptr. 359, 362 n.5 and 367 (Cal. Ct. App. 1973) (owner of property subjacent to land owned by developer seeking writ of mandate ordering recordation of tract map was permitted to argue amicus curiae upon the conclusion of the trial).

We further submit that the Court's acceptance of the FTC's amicus memorandum need not result in any delay in the Court's consideration of the proposed settlement at the Settlement Hearing on January 18, 2006. For the foregoing reasons, the FTC respectfully moves for leave to file the Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae being lodged with this motion.

1	Dated: January 5, 2006	Respectfully submitted,
2	:	WILLIAM BLUMENTHAL
3		General Counsel Federal Trade Commission
4		/
5		David M. Newman
6   7		Attorney, Western Region Federal Trade Commission
8		901 Market Street, Suite 570 San Francisco, CA 94103
9		(415) 848-5123 California Atty. No. 54218
10		Caniolina Mily. 140. 54216
11	•	CAROL J. JENNINGS ROBERT M. FRISBY
12		JAMES A. KOHM Federal Trade Commission
13		600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
14		Mail Drop NJ-2122 Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-3010
15		(,
16	•	
17		
18		
19		
20	`	
21		
22	:	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I, David M. Newman, an attorney, hereby certify that, on this 4th day of January,		
3	2006, I have caused to be served copies of the foregoing Motion by the Federal Trade		
4	Commission for Leave to File Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae; Proposed Order; and		
5	Memorandum of Law as Amicus Curiae, by overnight delivery, on each of the following:		
6 · 7 · 8	Adam Gutride Law Offices of Adam Gutride 835 Douglass Street San Francisco, California 94114		
9 10	Seth A. Safier Law Offices of Seth A. Safier 6467 California San Francisco, California 94121		
11	Keith E. Eggleton Rodney G. Strickland, Jr.		
12 13	Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1050		
14 15	David M. Newman		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			