As described in Section 6.1.2.6 of the draft ICCVAM BRD

(http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/restrict/ocupanel/mildmod/HETCAM-BRD.pdf), there were four EPA Category III ocular irritants that were classified as Category IV using the HET-CAM test method (i.e., were false negatives, see **Table 3**). Among the four false negatives for the EPA system, 100% (4/4) were EPA Category III substances based on Draize data. For 100% (4/4) of these substances, the categorization was based on conjunctival redness. As noted in Section 6.1.2.6, all of the false negative substances were oil/water emulsions.

Table 3 HET-CAM False Negative Substances¹ Using the EPA Classification System.

	In Vivo Scores		
Substance	N^2	Corneal	Conjunctival
		Opacity:	Redness:
		score (day	score (day
		cleared) ³	cleared) ³
HZA	6	-	$N=1\ 2(2)$
			$N=1\ 2(3)$
HZC	6	-	N=1 2(2)
HZV	6	-	N=2 2(2)
HZW	6	-	N=4 2(2)
			$N=1\ 2(3)$

¹ False negative compounds are those that test as nonirritants in vitro but are mild, moderate, or severe ocular irritants/corrosive in vivo i.e. EPA category I, II, and III; GHS 1, 2A, and 2B; and EU R41 and R36.

² N: Number of Animals.

³ For the purposes of this evaluation, clearing is defined in the EPA Hazard Category system as opacity or iritis scores = 0 or redness or chemosis scores = 1.