NIST Special Publication 800-53 Revision 3 Excerpt



National Institute of Standards and Technology

U.S. Department of Commerce

Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations

JOINT TASK FORCE TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE

LOW-IMPACT BASELINE

INFORMATION SECURITY

ANNEX 1

Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

August 2009



U.S. Department of Commerce Gary Locke, Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology Patrick D. Gallagher, Deputy Director

ANNEX ONE BASELINE SECURITY CONTROLS

LOW-IMPACT INFORMATION SYSTEMS

rganizations are required to employ security controls to meet security requirements derived from applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, standards, instructions, regulations, procedures or organizational mission/business case needs. The challenge for organizations is to determine the appropriate set of security controls, which if implemented and determined to be effective in their application, would most cost-effectively comply with the stated security requirements. Selecting the appropriate set of security controls to meet the specific, and sometimes unique, security requirements of an organization is an important task – a task that demonstrates the organization's commitment to security and the due diligence exercised in protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of its information systems that are dependable in the face of threats.

To assist organizations in making the appropriate selection of security controls for their information systems, the concept of *baseline* controls is introduced. Baseline controls are the starting point for the security control selection process and are chosen based on the security category and associated impact level of the information system determined in accordance with FIPS 199¹ and FIPS 200, respectively. Table 1 provides a summary of the security controls and control enhancements in the low-impact baseline from Appendix D, NIST Special Publication 800-53 (as amended). Part one follows, containing the full descriptions of the controls and associated enhancements listed in the table. Part two provides the minimum assurance requirements for low-impact information systems from Appendix E, NIST Special Publication 800-53 (as amended).

Organizations are expected to apply the tailoring guidance described in Section 3.3 of NIST Special Publication 800-53 (as amended) to the initial low-impact baseline security controls producing a tailored baseline. The tailored security control baseline serves as the starting point for organizations in determining the appropriate safeguards and countermeasures necessary to protect their information systems. Supplements to the tailored baseline (see Section 3.3 of NIST Special Publication 800-53, as amended) will likely be necessary in order to adequately mitigate risks to organizational operations (including mission, functions, image, and reputation), organizational assets, and individuals. The tailored baseline is supplemented based on an organizational assessment of risk with the supplemented baseline documented in the security plan for the information system. The supplemented security control baseline, along with any information system use restrictions required to achieve adequate risk mitigation, represents the organization's definition for information system security due diligence.

¹ FIPS 199 security categories are based on the potential impact on an organization or individuals should certain events occur which jeopardize the information and information systems needed by the organization to accomplish its assigned mission, protect its assets, fulfill its legal responsibilities, maintain its day-to-day functions, and protect individuals.

CNTL	CONTROL NAME	RITY	CONTROL BASELINES			
NO.		PRIO	LOW	MOD	HIGH	
	Acce	ss Con	trol			
AC-1	Access Control Policy and Procedures	P1	AC-1	AC-1	AC-1	
AC-2	Account Management	P1	AC-2	AC-2 (1) (2) (3) (4)	AC-2 (1) (2) (3) (4)	
AC-3	Access Enforcement	P1	AC-3	AC-3	AC-3	
AC-4	Information Flow Enforcement	P1	Not Selected	AC-4	AC-4	
AC-5	Separation of Duties	P1	Not Selected	AC-5	AC-5	
AC-6	Least Privilege	P1	Not Selected	AC-6 (1) (2)	AC-6 (1) (2)	
AC-7	Unsuccessful Login Attempts	P2	AC-7	AC-7	AC-7	
AC-8	System Use Notification	P1	AC-8	AC-8	AC-8	
AC-9	Previous Logon (Access) Notification	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
AC-10	Concurrent Session Control	P2	Not Selected	Not Selected	AC-10	
AC-11	Session Lock	P3	Not Selected	AC-11	AC-11	
AC-12	Session Termination (Withdrawn)					
AC-13	Supervision and Review—Access Control (Withdrawn)					
AC-14	Permitted Actions without Identification or Authentication	P1	AC-14	AC-14 (1)	AC-14 (1)	
AC-15	Automated Marking (Withdrawn)					
AC-16	Security Attributes	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
AC-17	Remote Access	P1	AC-17	AC-17 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (7) (8)	AC-17 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (7) (8)	
AC-18	Wireless Access	P1	AC-18	AC-18 (1)	AC-18 (1) (2) (4) (5)	
AC-19	Access Control for Mobile Devices	P1	AC-19	AC-19 (1) (2) (3)	AC-19 (1) (2) (3)	
AC-20	Use of External Information Systems	P1	AC-20	AC-20 (1) (2)	AC-20 (1) (2)	
AC-21	User-Based Collaboration and Information Sharing	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
AC-22	Publicly Accessible Content	P2	AC-22	AC-22	AC-22	
	Awarenes	s and	Training			
AT-1	Security Awareness and Training Policy and Procedures	P1	AT-1	AT-1	AT-1	
AT-2	Security Awareness	P1	AT-2	AT-2	AT-2	
AT-3	Security Training	P1	AT-3	AT-3	AT-3	
AT-4	Security Training Records	P3	AT-4	AT-4	AT-4	
AT-5	Contacts with Security Groups and Associations	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Audit and Accountability						
AU-1	Audit and Accountability Policy and Procedures	P1	AU-1	AU-1	AU-1	
AU-2	Auditable Events	P1	AU-2	AU-2 (3) (4)	AU-2 (3) (4)	
AU-3	Content of Audit Records	P1	AU-3	AU-3 (1)	AU-3 (1) (2)	
AU-4	Audit Storage Capacity	P1	AU-4	AU-4	AU-4	

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF BASELINE SECRUITY CONTROLS

CNTL	CONTROL NAME	ΡRΙΟRITY	CONTROL BASELINES			
NO.			LOW	MOD	HIGH	
AU-5	Response to Audit Processing Failures	P1	AU-5	AU-5	AU-5 (1) (2)	
AU-6	Audit Review, Analysis, and Reporting	P1	AU-6	AU-6	AU-6 (1)	
AU-7	Audit Reduction and Report Generation	P2	Not Selected	AU-7 (1)	AU-7 (1)	
AU-8	Time Stamps	P1	AU-8	AU-8 (1)	AU-8 (1)	
AU-9	Protection of Audit Information	P1	AU-9	AU-9	AU-9	
AU-10	Non-repudiation	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	AU-10	
AU-11	Audit Record Retention	P3	AU-11	AU-11	AU-11	
AU-12	Audit Generation	P1	AU-12	AU-12	AU-12 (1)	
AU-13	Monitoring for Information Disclosure	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
AU-14	Session Audit	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
	Security Assess	ment ar	d Authorization			
CA-1	Security Assessment and Authorization Policies and Procedures	P1	CA-1	CA-1	CA-1	
CA-2	Security Assessments	P2	CA-2	CA-2 (1)	CA-2 (1) (2)	
CA-3	Information System Connections	P1	CA-3	CA-3	CA-3	
CA-4	Security Certification (Withdrawn)					
CA-5	Plan of Action and Milestones	P3	CA-5	CA-5	CA-5	
CA-6	Security Authorization	P3	CA-6	CA-6	CA-6	
CA-7	Continuous Monitoring	P3	CA-7	CA-7	CA-7	
Configuration Management						
CM-1	Configuration Management Policy and Procedures	P1	CM-1	CM-1	CM-1	
CM-2	Baseline Configuration	P1	CM-2	CM-2 (1) (3) (4)	CM-2 (1) (2) (3) (5) (6)	
CM-3	Configuration Change Control	P1	Not Selected	CM-3 (2)	CM-3 (1) (2)	
CM-4	Security Impact Analysis	P2	CM-4	CM-4	CM-4 (1)	
CM-5	Access Restrictions for Change	P1	Not Selected	CM-5	CM-5 (1) (2) (3)	
CM-6	Configuration Settings	P1	CM-6	CM-6 (3)	CM-6 (1) (2) (3)	
CM-7	Least Functionality	P1	CM-7	CM-7 (1)	CM-7 (1) (2)	
CM-8	Information System Component Inventory	P1	CM-8	CM-8 (1) (5)	CM-8 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	
CM-9	Configuration Management Plan	P1	Not Selected	CM-9	CM-9	
	Conting	gency P	lanning			
CP-1	Contingency Planning Policy and Procedures	P1	CP-1	CP-1	CP-1	
CP-2	Contingency Plan	P1	CP-2	CP-2 (1)	CP-2 (1) (2) (3)	
CP-3	Contingency Training	P2	CP-3	CP-3	CP-3 (1)	
CP-4	Contingency Plan Testing and Exercises	P2	CP-4	CP-4 (1)	CP-4 (1) (2) (4)	
CP-5	Contingency Plan Update (Withdrawn)					
CP-6	Alternate Storage Site	P1	Not Selected	CP-6 (1) (3)	CP-6 (1) (2) (3)	
CP-7	Alternate Processing Site	P1	Not Selected	CP-7 (1) (2) (3) (5)	CP-7 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	
CP-8	Telecommunications Services	P1	Not Selected	CP-8 (1) (2)	CP-8 (1) (2) (3) (4)	
CP-9	Information System Backup	P1	CP-9	CP-9 (1)	CP-9 (1) (2) (3)	

CNTL	CONTROL NAME	япү	CONTROL BASELINES				
NO.		PRIORITY	LOW	MOD	HIGH		
CP-10	Information System Recovery and Reconstitution	P1	CP-10	CP-10 (2) (3)	CP-10 (2) (3) (4)		
	Identification	and Au	uthentication				
IA-1	Identification and Authentication Policy and Procedures	P1	IA-1	IA-1	IA-1		
IA-2	Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users)	P1	IA-2 (1)	IA-2 (1) (2) (3) (8)	IA-2 (1) (2) (3) (4) (8) (9)		
IA-3	Device Identification and Authentication	P1	Not Selected	IA-3	IA-3		
IA-4	Identifier Management	P1	IA-4	IA-4	IA-4		
IA-5	Authenticator Management	P1	IA-5 (1)	IA-5 (1) (2) (3)	IA-5 (1) (2) (3)		
IA-6	Authenticator Feedback	P1	IA-6	IA-6	IA-6		
IA-7	Cryptographic Module Authentication	P1	IA-7	IA-7	IA-7		
IA-8	Identification and Authentication (Non- Organizational Users)	P1	IA-8	IA-8	IA-8		
	Incider	nt Resp	oonse		•		
IR-1	Incident Response Policy and Procedures	P1	IR-1	IR-1	IR-1		
IR-2	Incident Response Training	P2	IR-2	IR-2	IR-2 (1) (2)		
IR-3	Incident Response Testing and Exercises	P2	Not Selected	IR-3	IR-3 (1)		
IR-4	Incident Handling	P1	IR-4	IR-4 (1)	IR-4 (1)		
IR-5	Incident Monitoring	P1	IR-5	IR-5	IR-5 (1)		
IR-6	Incident Reporting	P1	IR-6	IR-6 (1)	IR-6 (1)		
IR-7	Incident Response Assistance	P3	IR-7	IR-7 (1)	IR-7 (1)		
IR-8	Incident Response Plan	P1	IR-8	IR-8	IR-8		
	Mai	ntenan	ce				
MA-1	System Maintenance Policy and Procedures	P1	MA-1	MA-1	MA-1		
MA-2	Controlled Maintenance	P2	MA-2	MA-2 (1)	MA-2 (1) (2)		
MA-3	Maintenance Tools	P2	Not Selected	MA-3 (1) (2)	MA-3 (1) (2) (3)		
MA-4	Non-Local Maintenance	P1	MA-4	MA-4 (1) (2)	MA-4 (1) (2) (3)		
MA-5	Maintenance Personnel	P1	MA-5	MA-5	MA-5		
MA-6	Timely Maintenance	P1	Not Selected	MA-6	MA-6		
	Media	Prote	ction				
MP-1	Media Protection Policy and Procedures	P1	MP-1	MP-1	MP-1		
MP-2	Media Access	P1	MP-2	MP-2 (1)	MP-2 (1)		
MP-3	Media Marking	P1	Not Selected	MP-3	MP-3		
MP-4	Media Storage	P1	Not Selected	MP-4	MP-4		
MP-5	Media Transport	P1	Not Selected	MP-5 (2) (4)	MP-5 (2) (3) (4)		
MP-6	Media Sanitization	P1	MP-6	MP-6	MP-6 (1) (2) (3)		
	Physical and Environmental Protection						
PE-1	Physical and Environmental Protection Policy and Procedures	P1	PE-1	PE-1	PE-1		
PE-2	Physical Access Authorizations	P1	PE-2	PE-2	PE-2		
PE-3	Physical Access Control	P1	PE-3	PE-3	PE-3 (1)		
PE-4	Access Control for Transmission Medium	P1	Not Selected	PE-4	PE-4		
PE-5	Access Control for Output Devices	P1	Not Selected	PE-5	PE-5		
PE-6	Monitoring Physical Access	P1	PE-6	PE-6 (1)	PE-6 (1) (2)		

CNTL	CONTROL NAME	PRIORITY	CONTROL BASELINES				
NO.			LOW	MOD	HIGH		
PE-7	Visitor Control	P1	PE-7	PE-7 (1)	PE-7 (1)		
PE-8	Access Records	P3	PE-8	PE-8	PE-8 (1) (2)		
PE-9	Power Equipment and Power Cabling	P1	Not Selected	PE-9	PE-9		
PE-10	Emergency Shutoff	P1	Not Selected	PE-10	PE-10		
PE-11	Emergency Power	P1	Not Selected	PE-11	PE-11 (1)		
PE-12	Emergency Lighting	P1	PE-12	PE-12	PE-12		
PE-13	Fire Protection	P1	PE-13	PE-13 (1) (2) (3)	PE-13 (1) (2) (3)		
PE-14	Temperature and Humidity Controls	P1	PE-14	PE-14	PE-14		
PE-15	Water Damage Protection	P1	PE-15	PE-15	PE-15 (1)		
PE-16	Delivery and Removal	P1	PE-16	PE-16	PE-16		
PE-17	Alternate Work Site	P1	Not Selected	PE-17	PE-17		
PE-18	Location of Information System Components	P2	Not Selected	PE-18	PE-18 (1)		
PE-19	Information Leakage	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected		
	PI	anning	I				
PL-1	Security Planning Policy and Procedures	P1	PL-1	PL-1	PL-1		
PL-2	System Security Plan	P1	PL-2	PL-2	PL-2		
PL-3	System Security Plan Update (Withdrawn)						
PL-4	Rules of Behavior	P1	PL-4	PL-4	PL-4		
PL-5	Privacy Impact Assessment	P1	PL-5	PL-5	PL-5		
PL-6	Security-Related Activity Planning	P3	Not Selected	PL-6	PL-6		
	Person	nel Se	curity				
PS-1	Personnel Security Policy and Procedures	P1	PS-1	PS-1	PS-1		
PS-2	Position Categorization	P1	PS-2	PS-2	PS-2		
PS-3	Personnel Screening	P1	PS-3	PS-3	PS-3		
PS-4	Personnel Termination	P2	PS-4	PS-4	PS-4		
PS-5	Personnel Transfer	P2	PS-5	PS-5	PS-5		
PS-6	Access Agreements	P3	PS-6	PS-6	PS-6		
PS-7	Third-Party Personnel Security	P1	PS-7	PS-7	PS-7		
PS-8	Personnel Sanctions	P3	PS-8	PS-8	PS-8		
	Risk A	ssess	ment				
RA-1	Risk Assessment Policy and Procedures	P1	RA-1	RA-1	RA-1		
RA-2	Security Categorization	P1	RA-2	RA-2	RA-2		
RA-3	Risk Assessment	P1	RA-3	RA-3	RA-3		
RA-4	Risk Assessment Update (Withdrawn)						
RA-5	Vulnerability Scanning	P1	RA-5	RA-5 (1)	RA-5 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (7)		
	System and Services Acquisition						
SA-1	System and Services Acquisition Policy and Procedures	P1	SA-1	SA-1	SA-1		
SA-2	Allocation of Resources	P1	SA-2	SA-2	SA-2		
SA-3	Life Cycle Support	P1	SA-3	SA-3	SA-3		
SA-4	Acquisitions	P1	SA-4	SA-4 (1) (4)	SA-4 (1) (2) (4)		
SA-5	Information System Documentation	P2	SA-5	SA-5 (1) (3)	SA-5 (1) (2) (3)		

CNTL	CONTROL NAME	PRIORITY	CONTROL BASELINES		
NO.			LOW	MOD	HIGH
SA-6	Software Usage Restrictions	P1	SA-6	SA-6	SA-6
SA-7	User-Installed Software	P1	SA-7	SA-7	SA-7
SA-8	Security Engineering Principles	P1	Not Selected	SA-8	SA-8
SA-9	External Information System Services	P1	SA-9	SA-9	SA-9
SA-10	Developer Configuration Management	P1	Not Selected	SA-10	SA-10
SA-11	Developer Security Testing	P2	Not Selected	SA-11	SA-11
SA-12	Supply Chain Protection	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	SA-12
SA-13	Trustworthiness	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	SA-13
SA-14	Critical Information System Components	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
	System and Com	munica	tions Protection		
SC-1	System and Communications Protection Policy and Procedures	P1	SC-1	SC-1	SC-1
SC-2	Application Partitioning	P1	Not Selected	SC-2	SC-2
SC-3	Security Function Isolation	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	SC-3
SC-4	Information in Shared Resources	P1	Not Selected	SC-4	SC-4
SC-5	Denial of Service Protection	P1	SC-5	SC-5	SC-5
SC-6	Resource Priority	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
SC-7	Boundary Protection	P1	SC-7	SC-7 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (7)	SC-7 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)
SC-8	Transmission Integrity	P1	Not Selected	SC-8 (1)	SC-8 (1)
SC-9	Transmission Confidentiality	P1	Not Selected	SC-9 (1)	SC-9 (1)
SC-10	Network Disconnect	P2	Not Selected	SC-10	SC-10
SC-11	Trusted Path	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
SC-12	Cryptographic Key Establishment and Management	P1	SC-12	SC-12	SC-12 (1)
SC-13	Use of Cryptography	P1	SC-13	SC-13	SC-13
SC-14	Public Access Protections	P1	SC-14	SC-14	SC-14
SC-15	Collaborative Computing Devices	P1	SC-15	SC-15	SC-15
SC-16	Transmission of Security Attributes	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
SC-17	Public Key Infrastructure Certificates	P1	Not Selected	SC-17	SC-17
SC-18	Mobile Code	P1	Not Selected	SC-18	SC-18
SC-19	Voice Over Internet Protocol	P1	Not Selected	SC-19	SC-19
SC-20	Secure Name /Address Resolution Service (Authoritative Source)	P1	SC-20 (1)	SC-20 (1)	SC-20 (1)
SC-21	Secure Name /Address Resolution Service (Recursive or Caching Resolver)	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	SC-21
SC-22	Architecture and Provisioning for Name/Address Resolution Service	P1	Not Selected	SC-22	SC-22
SC-23	Session Authenticity	P1	Not Selected	SC-23	SC-23
SC-24	Fail in Known State	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	SC-24
SC-25	Thin Nodes	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
SC-26	Honeypots	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
SC-27	Operating System-Independent Applications	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected
SC-28	Protection of Information at Rest	P1	Not Selected	SC-28	SC-28

CNTL	CONTROL NAME	PRIORITY	CONTROL BASELINES			
NO.		PRIO	LOW	MOD	HIGH	
SC-29	Heterogeneity	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
SC-30	Virtualization Techniques	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
SC-31	Covert Channel Analysis	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
SC-32	Information System Partitioning	P0	Not Selected	SC-32	SC-32	
SC-33	Transmission Preparation Integrity	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
SC-34	Non-Modifiable Executable Programs	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	
	System and Information Integrity					
SI-1	System and Information Integrity Policy and Procedures	P1	SI-1	SI-1	SI-1	
SI-2	Flaw Remediation	P1	SI-2	SI-2 (2)	SI-2 (1) (2)	
SI-3	Malicious Code Protection	P1	SI-3	SI-3 (1) (2) (3)	SI-3 (1) (2) (3)	
SI-4	Information System Monitoring	P1	Not Selected	SI-4 (2) (4) (5) (6)	SI-4 (2) (4) (5) (6)	
SI-5	Security Alerts, Advisories, and Directives	P1	SI-5	SI-5	SI-5 (1)	
SI-6	Security Functionality Verification	P1	Not Selected	Not Selected	SI-6	
SI-7	Software and Information Integrity	P1	Not Selected	SI-7 (1)	SI-7 (1) (2)	
SI-8	Spam Protection	P1	Not Selected	SI-8	SI-8 (1)	
SI-9	Information Input Restrictions	P2	Not Selected	SI-9	SI-9	
SI-10	Information Input Validation	P1	Not Selected	SI-10	SI-10	
SI-11	Error Handling	P2	Not Selected	SI-11	SI-11	
SI-12	Information Output Handling and Retention	P2	SI-12	SI-12	SI-12	
SI-13	Predictable Failure Prevention	P0	Not Selected	Not Selected	Not Selected	

Industrial Control System Supplements to the Security Control Baselines

The following table lists the recommended Industrial Control System supplements (highlighted in **bold** text) to the security controls baselines in Appendix D, NIST Special Publication 800-53 (as amended).

CNTL NO.	CONTROL NAME	CONTROL BASELINES					
		LOW	MOD	HIGH			
	Access Control						
AC-3	Access Enforcement	AC-3	AC-3 (2)	AC-3 (2)			
	Physical and Environmental Protection						
PE-9	Power Equipment and Power Cabling	Not Selected PE-9 (1) PE-9 (1)					
PE-11	Emergency Power	PE-11	PE-11 (1)	PE-11 (1) (2)			
	System and Communications Protection						
SC-24	Fail in Known State	Not Selected	SC-24	SC-24			
	System and Information Integrity						
SI-13	Predictable Failure Prevention	Not Selected	Not Selected	SI-13			

PART ONE

SECURITY CONTROLS AND ENHANCEMENTS

LOW-IMPACT INFORMATION SYSTEMS

FAMILY: ACCESS CONTROL

CLASS: TECHNICAL

AC-1 ACCESS CONTROL POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented access control policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the access control policy and associated access controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the access control family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The access control policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Access control procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the access control policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

AC-2 ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT

Control: The organization manages information system accounts, including:

- a. Identifying account types (i.e., individual, group, system, application, guest/anonymous, and temporary);
- b. Establishing conditions for group membership;
- c. Identifying authorized users of the information system and specifying access privileges;
- d. Requiring appropriate approvals for requests to establish accounts;
- e. Establishing, activating, modifying, disabling, and removing accounts;
- f. Specifically authorizing and monitoring the use of guest/anonymous and temporary accounts;
- g. Notifying account managers when temporary accounts are no longer required and when information system users are terminated, transferred, or information system usage or need-to-know/need-to-share changes;
- h. Deactivating: (i) temporary accounts that are no longer required; and (ii) accounts of terminated or transferred users;
- i. Granting access to the system based on: (i) a valid access authorization; (ii) intended system usage; and (iii) other attributes as required by the organization or associated missions/business functions; and
- j. Reviewing accounts [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The identification of authorized users of the information system and the specification of access privileges is consistent with the requirements in other security controls in the security plan. Users requiring administrative privileges on information system accounts receive additional scrutiny by organizational officials responsible for approving such accounts and privileged access. Related controls: AC-3, AC-4, AC-5, AC-6, AC-10, AC-17, AC-19, AC-20, AU-9, IA-4, IA-5, CM-5, CM-6, MA-3, MA-4, MA-5, SA-7, SC-13, SI-9.

References: None.

AC-3 ACCESS ENFORCEMENT

<u>Control</u>: The information system enforces approved authorizations for logical access to the system in accordance with applicable policy.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Access control policies (e.g., identity-based policies, role-based policies, attribute-based policies) and access enforcement mechanisms (e.g., access control lists, access control matrices, cryptography) are employed by organizations to control access between users (or processes acting on behalf of users) and objects (e.g., devices, files, records, processes, programs, domains) in the information system. In addition to enforcing authorized access at the information-system level, access enforcement mechanisms are employed at the application level, when necessary, to provide increased information security for the organization. Consideration is given to the implementation of an audited, explicit override of automated mechanisms in the event of emergencies or other serious events. If encryption of stored information is employed as an access enforcement mechanism, the cryptography used is FIPS 140-2 (as amended) compliant. For classified information, the cryptography used is largely dependent on the classification level of the information and the clearances of the individuals having access to the information. Mechanisms implemented by AC-3 are configured to enforce authorizations determined by other security controls. Related controls: AC-2, AC-4, AC-5, AC-6, AC-16, AC-17, AC-18, AC-19, AC-20, AC-21, AC-22, AU-9, CM-5, CM-6, MA-3, MA-4, MA-5, SA-7, SC-13, SI-9.

References: None.

AC-7 UNSUCCESSFUL LOGIN ATTEMPTS

Control: The information system:

- a. Enforces a limit of [*Assignment: organization-defined number*] consecutive invalid access attempts by a user during a [*Assignment: organization-defined time period*]; and
- b. Automatically [Selection: locks the account/node for an [Assignment: organization-defined time period]; locks the account/node until released by an administrator; delays next login prompt according to [Assignment: organization-defined delay algorithm]] when the maximum number of unsuccessful attempts is exceeded. The control applies regardless of whether the login occurs via a local or network connection.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Due to the potential for denial of service, automatic lockouts initiated by the information system are usually temporary and automatically release after a predetermined time period established by the organization. If a delay algorithm is selected, the organization may chose to employ different algorithms for different information system components based on the capabilities of those components. Response to unsuccessful login attempts may be implemented at both the operating system and the application levels. This control applies to all accesses other than those accesses explicitly identified and documented by the organization in AC-14.

References: None.

AC-8 SYSTEM USE NOTIFICATION

<u>Control</u>: The information system:

- a. Displays an approved system use notification message or banner before granting access to the system that provides privacy and security notices consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance and states that: (i) users are accessing a U.S. Government information system; (ii) system usage may be monitored, recorded, and subject to audit; (iii) unauthorized use of the system is prohibited and subject to criminal and civil penalties; and (iv) use of the system indicates consent to monitoring and recording;
- b. Retains the notification message or banner on the screen until users take explicit actions to log on to or further access the information system; and
- c. For publicly accessible systems: (i) displays the system use information when appropriate, before granting further access; (ii) displays references, if any, to monitoring, recording, or auditing that are consistent with privacy accommodations for such systems that generally prohibit those activities; and (iii) includes in the notice given to public users of the information system, a description of the authorized uses of the system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: System use notification messages can be implemented in the form of warning banners displayed when individuals log in to the information system. System use notification is intended only for information system access that includes an interactive login interface with a human user and is not intended to require notification when an interactive interface does not exist.

References: None.

AC-14 PERMITTED ACTIONS WITHOUT IDENTIFICATION OR AUTHENTICATION

Control: The organization:

- a. Identifies specific user actions that can be performed on the information system without identification or authentication; and
- b. Documents and provides supporting rationale in the security plan for the information system, user actions not requiring identification and authentication.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended for those specific instances where an organization determines that no identification and authentication is required; it is not, however, mandating that such instances exist in given information system. The organization may allow a limited number of user actions without identification and authentication (e.g., when individuals access public websites or other publicly accessible federal information systems such as http://www.usa.gov). Organizations also identify any actions that normally require identification or authentication but may under certain circumstances (e.g., emergencies), allow identification or authentication mechanisms to be bypassed. Such bypass may be, for example, via a software-readable physical switch that commands bypass of the login functionality and is protected from accidental or unmonitored use. This control does not apply to situations where identification and authentication have already occurred and are not being repeated, but rather to situations where identification and authentication and/or authentication have not yet occurred. Related control: CP-2, IA-2.

References: None.

AC-17 REMOTE ACCESS

Control: The organization:

a. Documents allowed methods of remote access to the information system;

- b. Establishes usage restrictions and implementation guidance for each allowed remote access method;
- c. Monitors for unauthorized remote access to the information system;
- d. Authorizes remote access to the information system prior to connection; and
- e. Enforces requirements for remote connections to the information system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control requires explicit authorization prior to allowing remote access to an information system without specifying a specific format for that authorization. For example, while the organization may deem it appropriate to use a system interconnection agreement to authorize a given remote access, such agreements are not required by this control. Remote access is any access to an organizational information system by a user (or process acting on behalf of a user) communicating through an external network (e.g., the Internet). Examples of remote access methods include dial-up, broadband, and wireless (see AC-18 for wireless access). A virtual private network when adequately provisioned with appropriate security controls, is considered an internal network (i.e., the organization establishes a network connection between organization-controlled endpoints in a manner that does not require the organization to depend on external networks to protect the confidentiality or integrity of information transmitted across the network). Remote access controls are applicable to information systems other than public web servers or systems specifically designed for public access. Enforcing access restrictions associated with remote connections is accomplished by control AC-3. Related controls: AC-3, AC-18, AC-20, IA-2, IA-3, IA-8, MA-4.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-46, 800-77, 800-113, 800-114, 800-121.

AC-18 WIRELESS ACCESS

Control: The organization:

- a. Establishes usage restrictions and implementation guidance for wireless access;
- b. Monitors for unauthorized wireless access to the information system;
- c. Authorizes wireless access to the information system prior to connection; and
- d. Enforces requirements for wireless connections to the information system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Wireless technologies include, but are not limited to, microwave, satellite, packet radio (UHF/VHF), 802.11x, and Bluetooth. Wireless networks use authentication protocols (e.g., EAP/TLS, PEAP), which provide credential protection and mutual authentication. In certain situations, wireless signals may radiate beyond the confines and control of organization-controlled facilities. Related controls: AC-3, IA-2, IA-3, IA-8.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-48, 800-94, 800-97.

AC-19 ACCESS CONTROL FOR MOBILE DEVICES

Control: The organization:

- a. Establishes usage restrictions and implementation guidance for organization-controlled mobile devices;
- b. Authorizes connection of mobile devices meeting organizational usage restrictions and implementation guidance to organizational information systems;
- c. Monitors for unauthorized connections of mobile devices to organizational information systems;
- d. Enforces requirements for the connection of mobile
- e. devices to organizational information systems;

- f. Disables information system functionality that provides the capability for automatic execution of code on mobile devices without user direction;
- g. Issues specially configured mobile devices to individuals traveling to locations that the organization deems to be of significant risk in accordance with organizational policies and procedures; and
- h. Applies [Assignment: organization-defined inspection and preventative measures] to mobile devices returning from locations that the organization deems to be of significant risk in accordance with organizational policies and procedures.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Mobile devices include portable storage media (e.g., USB memory sticks, external hard disk drives) and portable computing and communications devices with information storage capability (e.g., notebook/laptop computers, personal digital assistants, cellular telephones, digital cameras, and audio recording devices). Organization-controlled mobile devices include those devices for which the organization has the authority to specify and the ability to enforce specific security requirements. Usage restrictions and implementation guidance related to mobile devices include, for example, configuration management, device identification and authentication, implementation of mandatory protective software (e.g., malicious code detection, firewall), scanning devices for malicious code, updating virus protection software, scanning for critical software updates and patches, conducting primary operating system (and possibly other resident software) integrity checks, and disabling unnecessary hardware (e.g., wireless, infrared). Examples of information system functionality that provide the capability for automatic execution of code are AutoRun and AutoPlay.

Organizational policies and procedures for mobile devices used by individuals departing on and returning from travel include, for example, determining which locations are of concern, defining required configurations for the devices, ensuring that the devices are configured as intended before travel is initiated, and applying specific measures to the device after travel is completed. Specially configured mobile devices include, for example, computers with sanitized hard drives, limited applications, and additional hardening (e.g., more stringent configuration settings). Specified measures applied to mobile devices upon return from travel include, for example, examining the device for signs of physical tampering and purging/reimaging the hard disk drive. Protecting information residing on mobile devices is covered in the media protection family. Related controls: MP-4, MP-5.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-114, 800-124.

AC-20 USE OF EXTERNAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS

<u>Control</u>: The organization establishes terms and conditions, consistent with any trust relationships established with other organizations owning, operating, and/or maintaining external information systems, allowing authorized individuals to:

- a. Access the information system from the external information systems; and
- b. Process, store, and/or transmit organization-controlled information using the external information systems.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: External information systems are information systems or components of information systems that are outside of the authorization boundary established by the organization and for which the organization typically has no direct supervision and authority over the application of required security controls or the assessment of security control effectiveness. External information systems include, but are not limited to: (i) personally owned information systems (e.g., computers, cellular telephones, or personal digital assistants); (ii) privately owned computing and communications devices resident in commercial or public facilities (e.g., hotels, convention centers, or airports); (iii) information systems owned or controlled by nonfederal governmental organizations; and (iv) federal information systems that are not owned by, operated by, or under the direct supervision and authority of the organization. For some external systems, in particular those systems operated by other federal agencies, including organizations subordinate

to those agencies, the trust relationships that have been established between those organizations and the originating organization may be such, that no explicit terms and conditions are required. In effect, the information systems of these organizations would not be considered external. These situations typically occur when, for example, there is some pre-existing sharing or trust agreement (either implicit or explicit) established between federal agencies and/or organizations subordinate to those agencies, or such trust agreements are specified by applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, or policies. Authorized individuals include organizational personnel, contractors, or any other individuals with authorized access to the organizational information system and over which the organization has the authority to impose rules of behavior with regard to system access. The restrictions that an organization imposes on authorized individuals need not be uniform, as those restrictions are likely to vary depending upon the trust relationships between organizations. Thus, an organization might impose more stringent security restrictions on a contractor than on a state, local, or tribal government.

This control does not apply to the use of external information systems to access public interfaces to organizational information systems and information (e.g., individuals accessing federal information through www.usa.gov). The organization establishes terms and conditions for the use of external information systems in accordance with organizational security policies and procedures. The terms and conditions address as a minimum; (i) the types of applications that can be accessed on the organizational information system from the external information system; and (ii) the maximum security categorization of information that can be processed, stored, and transmitted on the external information system. This control defines access authorizations enforced by AC-3, rules of behavior requirements enforced by PL-4, and session establishment rules enforced by AC-17. Related controls: AC-3, AC-17, PL-4.

References: FIPS Publication 199.

AC-22 PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE CONTENT

Control: The organization:

- a. Designates individuals authorized to post information onto an organizational information system that is publicly accessible;
- b. Trains authorized individuals to ensure that publicly accessible information does not contain nonpublic information;
- c. Reviews the proposed content of publicly accessible information for nonpublic information prior to posting onto the organizational information system;
- d. Reviews the content on the publicly accessible organizational information system for nonpublic information [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]; and
- e. Removes nonpublic information from the publicly accessible organizational information system, if discovered.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Nonpublic information is any information for which the general public is not authorized access in accordance with federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, or guidance. Information protected under the Privacy Act and vendor proprietary information are examples of nonpublic information. This control addresses posting information on an organizational information system that is accessible to the general public, typically without identification or authentication. The posting of information on non-organization information systems is covered by appropriate organizational policy. Related controls: AC-3, AU-13.

References: None.

FAMILY: AWARENESS AND TRAINING

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

AT-1 SECURITY AWARENESS AND TRAINING POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented security awareness and training policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the security awareness and training policy and associated security awareness and training controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the security awareness and training family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The security awareness and training policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Security awareness and training procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the security awareness and training policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-16, 800-50, 800-100.

AT-2 SECURITY AWARENESS

<u>Control</u>: The organization provides basic security awareness training to all information system users (including managers, senior executives, and contractors) as part of initial training for new users, when required by system changes, and [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] thereafter.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The organization determines the appropriate content of security awareness training and security awareness techniques based on the specific requirements of the organization and the information systems to which personnel have authorized access. The content includes a basic understanding of the need for information security and user actions to maintain security and to respond to suspected security incidents. The content also addresses awareness of the need for operations security as it relates to the organization's information security program. Security awareness techniques can include, for example, displaying posters, offering supplies inscribed with security reminders, generating email advisories/notices from senior organizational officials, displaying logon screen messages, and conducting information security awareness events.

References: C.F.R. Part 5 Subpart C (5 C.F.R 930.301); NIST Special Publication 800-50.

AT-3 SECURITY TRAINING

<u>Control</u>: The organization provides role-based security-related training: (i) before authorizing access to the system or performing assigned duties; (ii) when required by system changes; and (iii) [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] thereafter.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The organization determines the appropriate content of security training based on assigned roles and responsibilities and the specific requirements of the organization and the information systems to which personnel have authorized access. In addition, the organization provides information system managers, system and network administrators, personnel performing independent verification and validation activities, security control assessors, and other personnel having access to system-level software, adequate security-related technical training to perform

their assigned duties. Organizational security training addresses management, operational, and technical roles and responsibilities covering physical, personnel, and technical safeguards and countermeasures. The organization also provides the training necessary for these individuals to carry out their responsibilities related to operations security within the context of the organization's information security program. Related controls: AT-2, SA-3.

<u>References</u>: C.F.R. Part 5 Subpart C (5 C.F.R 930.301); NIST Special Publications 800-16, 800-50.

AT-4 SECURITY TRAINING RECORDS

Control: The organization:

- a. Documents and monitors individual information system security training activities including basic security awareness training and specific information system security training; and
- b. Retains individual training records for [Assignment: organization-defined time period].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: While an organization may deem that organizationally mandated individual training programs and the development of individual training plans are necessary, this control does not mandate either. Documentation for specialized training may be maintained by individual supervisors at the option of the organization.

References: None.

FAMILY: AUDIT AND ACCOUNTABILITY

CLASS: TECHNICAL

AU-1 AUDIT AND ACCOUNTABILITY POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented audit and accountability policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the audit and accountability policy and associated audit and accountability controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the audit and accountability family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The audit and accountability policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Audit and accountability procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the audit and accountability policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

AU-2 AUDITABLE EVENTS

Control: The organization:

- a. Determines, based on a risk assessment and mission/business needs, that the information system must be capable of auditing the following events: [*Assignment: organization-defined list of auditable events*];
- b. Coordinates the security audit function with other organizational entities requiring auditrelated information to enhance mutual support and to help guide the selection of auditable events;
- c. Provides a rationale for why the list of auditable events are deemed to be adequate to support after-the-fact investigations of security incidents; and
- d. Determines, based on current threat information and ongoing assessment of risk, that the following events are to be audited within the information system: [Assignment: organization-defined subset of the auditable events defined in AU-2 a. to be audited along with the frequency of (or situation requiring) auditing for each identified event].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The purpose of this control is for the organization to identify events which need to be auditable as significant and relevant to the security of the information system; giving an overall system requirement in order to meet ongoing and specific audit needs. To balance auditing requirements with other information system needs, this control also requires identifying that subset of *auditable* events that are to be *audited* at a given point in time. For example, the organization may determine that the information system must have the capability to log every file access both successful and unsuccessful, but not activate that capability except for specific circumstances due to the extreme burden on system performance. In addition, audit records can be generated at various levels of abstraction, including at the packet level as information traverses the network. Selecting the right level of abstraction for audit record generation is a critical aspect of an audit capability and can facilitate the identification of root causes to problems. Related control: AU-3.

<u>References</u>: NIST Special Publication 800-92; Web: CSRC.NIST.GOV/PCIG/CIG.HTML.

AU-3 CONTENT OF AUDIT RECORDS

<u>Control</u>: The information system produces audit records that contain sufficient information to, at a minimum, establish what type of event occurred, when (date and time) the event occurred, where the event occurred, the source of the event, the outcome (success or failure) of the event, and the identity of any user/subject associated with the event.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Audit record content that may be necessary to satisfy the requirement of this control, includes, for example, time stamps, source and destination addresses, user/process identifiers, event descriptions, success/fail indications, filenames involved, and access control or flow control rules invoked. Related controls: AU-2, AU-8.

References: None.

AU-4 AUDIT STORAGE CAPACITY

<u>Control</u>: The organization allocates audit record storage capacity and configures auditing to reduce the likelihood of such capacity being exceeded.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The organization considers the types of auditing to be performed and the audit processing requirements when allocating audit storage capacity. Related controls: AU-2, AU-5, AU-6, AU-7, SI-4.

References: None.

AU-5 RESPONSE TO AUDIT PROCESSING FAILURES

Control: The information system:

- a. Alerts designated organizational officials in the event of an audit processing failure; and
- b. Takes the following additional actions: [*Assignment: organization-defined actions to be taken* (*e.g., shut down information system, overwrite oldest audit records, stop generating audit records*]].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Audit processing failures include, for example, software/hardware errors, failures in the audit capturing mechanisms, and audit storage capacity being reached or exceeded. Related control: AU-4.

References: None.

AU-6 AUDIT REVIEW, ANALYSIS, AND REPORTING

Control: The organization:

- a. Reviews and analyzes information system audit records [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] for indications of inappropriate or unusual activity, and reports findings to designated organizational officials; and
- b. Adjusts the level of audit review, analysis, and reporting within the information system when there is a change in risk to organizational operations, organizational assets, individuals, other organizations, or the Nation based on law enforcement information, intelligence information, or other credible sources of information.

Supplemental Guidance: Related control: AU-7.

References: None.

AU-8 TIME STAMPS

<u>Control</u>: The information system uses internal system clocks to generate time stamps for audit records.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Time stamps generated by the information system include both date and time. The time may be expressed in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC), a modern continuation of Greenwich Mean Time (GMT), or local time with an offset from UTC. Related control: AU-3.

References: None.

AU-9 PROTECTION OF AUDIT INFORMATION

<u>Control</u>: The information system protects audit information and audit tools from unauthorized access, modification, and deletion.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Audit information includes all information (e.g., audit records, audit settings, and audit reports) needed to successfully audit information system activity. Related controls: AC-3, AC-6.

References: None.

AU-11 AUDIT RECORD RETENTION

<u>Control</u>: The organization retains audit records for [*Assignment: organization-defined time period consistent with records retention policy*] to provide support for after-the-fact investigations of security incidents and to meet regulatory and organizational information retention requirements.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The organization retains audit records until it is determined that they are no longer needed for administrative, legal, audit, or other operational purposes. This includes, for example, retention and availability of audit records relative to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, subpoena, and law enforcement actions. Standard categorizations of audit records relative to such types of actions and standard response processes for each type of action are developed and disseminated. The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) General Records Schedules (GRS) provide federal policy on record retention.

References: None.

AU-12 AUDIT GENERATION

<u>Control</u>: The information system:

- a. Provides audit record generation capability for the list of auditable events defined in AU-2 at [Assignment: organization-defined information system components];
- b. Allows designated organizational personnel to select which auditable events are to be audited by specific components of the system; and
- c. Generates audit records for the list of audited events defined in AU-2 with the content as defined in AU-3.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Audits records can be generated from various components within the information system. The list of audited events is the set of events for which audits are to be generated. This set of events is typically a subset of the list of all events for which the system is capable of generating audit records (i.e., auditable events). Related controls: AU-2, AU-3.

References: None.

FAMILY: SECURITY ASSESSMENT AND AUTHORIZATION

CLASS: MANAGEMENT

CA-1 SECURITY ASSESSMENT AND AUTHORIZATION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. Formal, documented security assessment and authorization policies that address purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the security assessment and authorization policies and associated security assessment and authorization controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the security assessment and authorization family. The policies and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The security assessment/authorization policies can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Security assessment/authorization procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the security assessment and authorization policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-37, 800-53A, 800-100.

CA-2 SECURITY ASSESSMENTS

Control: The organization:

- a. Develops a security assessment plan that describes the scope of the assessment including:
 - Security controls and control enhancements under assessment;
 - Assessment procedures to be used to determine security control effectiveness; and
 - Assessment environment, assessment team, and assessment roles and responsibilities;
- b. Assesses the security controls in the information system [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the security requirements for the system;
- c. Produces a security assessment report that documents the results of the assessment; and
- d. Provides the results of the security control assessment, in writing, to the authorizing official or authorizing official designated representative.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The organization assesses the security controls in an information system as part of: (i) security authorization or reauthorization; (ii) meeting the FISMA requirement for annual assessments; (iii) continuous monitoring; and (iv) testing/evaluation of the information system as part of the system development life cycle process. The assessment report documents the assessment results in sufficient detail as deemed necessary by the organization, to determine the accuracy and completeness of the report and whether the security controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the security requirements of the information system. The FISMA requirement for (at least) annual security control assessments should *not* be interpreted by organizations as adding additional assessment requirements to those requirements already in place in the security authorization process. To satisfy the FISMA annual assessment requirement, organizations can draw upon the security control assessment results from any of the following sources, including but not limited to:

(i) assessments conducted as part of an information system authorization or reauthorization process; (ii) continuous monitoring (see CA-7); or (iii) testing and evaluation of an information system as part of the ongoing system development life cycle (provided that the testing and evaluation results are current and relevant to the determination of security control effectiveness). Existing security control assessment results are reused to the extent that they are still valid and are supplemented with additional assessments as needed.

Subsequent to the initial authorization of the information system and in accordance with OMB policy, the organization assesses a subset of the security controls annually during continuous monitoring. The organization establishes the security control selection criteria and subsequently selects a subset of the security controls within the information system and its environment of operation for assessment. Those security controls that are the most volatile (i.e., controls most affected by ongoing changes to the information system or its environment of operation) or deemed critical by the organization to protecting organizational operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation are assessed more frequently in accordance with an organizational assessment of risk. All other controls are assessed at least once during the information system's three-year authorization cycle. The organization can use the current year's assessment results from any of the above sources to meet the FISMA annual assessment requirement provided that the results are current, valid, and relevant to determining security control effectiveness. External audits (e.g., audits conducted by external entities such as regulatory agencies) are outside the scope of this control. Related controls: CA-6, CA-7, PM-9, SA-11.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publications 800-37, 800-53A, 800-115.

CA-3 INFORMATION SYSTEM CONNECTIONS

Control: The organization:

- a. Authorizes connections from the information system to other information systems outside of the authorization boundary through the use of Interconnection Security Agreements;
- b. Documents, for each connection, the interface characteristics, security requirements, and the nature of the information communicated; and
- c. Monitors the information system connections on an ongoing basis verifying enforcement of security requirements.

Supplemental Guidance: This control applies to dedicated connections between information systems and does not apply to transitory, user-controlled connections such as email and website browsing. The organization carefully considers the risks that may be introduced when information systems are connected to other systems with different security requirements and security controls, both within the organization and external to the organization. Authorizing officials determine the risk associated with each connection and the appropriate controls employed. If the interconnecting systems have the same authorizing official, an Interconnection Security Agreement is not required. Rather, the interface characteristics between the interconnecting information systems are described in the security plans for the respective systems. If the interconnecting systems have different authorizing officials but the authorizing officials are in the same organization, the organization determines whether an Interconnection Security Agreement is required, or alternatively, the interface characteristics between systems are described in the security plans of the respective systems. Instead of developing an Interconnection Security Agreement, organizations may choose to incorporate this information into a formal contract, especially if the interconnection is to be established between a federal agency and a nonfederal (private sector) organization. In every case, documenting the interface characteristics is required, yet the formality and approval process vary considerably even though all accomplish the same fundamental objective of managing the risk being incurred by the interconnection of the information systems. Risk considerations also include information systems sharing the same networks. Information systems may be identified and authenticated as devices in accordance with IA-3. Related controls: AC-4, IA-3, SC-7, SA-9.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publication 800-47.

CA-5 PLAN OF ACTION AND MILESTONES

<u>Control</u>: The organization:

- a. Develops a plan of action and milestones for the information system to document the organization's planned remedial actions to correct weaknesses or deficiencies noted during the assessment of the security controls and to reduce or eliminate known vulnerabilities in the system; and
- b. Updates existing plan of action and milestones [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] based on the findings from security controls assessments, security impact analyses, and continuous monitoring activities.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The plan of action and milestones is a key document in the security authorization package and is subject to federal reporting requirements established by OMB. Related control: PM-4.

References: OMB Memorandum 02-01; NIST Special Publication 800-37.

CA-6 SECURITY AUTHORIZATION

Control: The organization:

- a. Assigns a senior-level executive or manager to the role of authorizing official for the information system;
- b. Ensures that the authorizing official authorizes the information system for processing before commencing operations; and
- c. Updates the security authorization [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

Supplemental Guidance: Security authorization is the official management decision given by a senior organizational official or executive (i.e., authorizing official) to authorize operation of an information system and to explicitly accept the risk to organizational operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation based on the implementation of an agreed-upon set of security controls. Authorizing officials typically have budgetary oversight for information systems or are responsible for the mission or business operations supported by the systems. Security authorization is an inherently federal responsibility and therefore, authorizing officials must be federal employees. Through the security authorization process, authorizing officials are accountable for the security risks associated with information system operations. Accordingly, authorizing officials are in management positions with a level of authority commensurate with understanding and accepting such information system-related security risks. Through the employment of a comprehensive continuous monitoring process, the critical information contained in the authorization package (i.e., the security plan (including risk assessment), the security assessment report, and the plan of action and milestones) is updated on an ongoing basis, providing the authorizing official and the information system owner with an up-to-date status of the security state of the information system. To reduce the administrative cost of security reauthorization, the authorizing official uses the results of the continuous monitoring process to the maximum extent possible as the basis for rendering a reauthorization decision. OMB policy requires that federal information systems are reauthorized at least every three years or when there is a significant change to the system. The organization defines what constitutes a significant change to the information system. Related controls: CA-2, CA-7, PM-9, PM-10.

References: OMB Circular A-130; NIST Special Publication 800-37.

CA-7 CONTINUOUS MONITORING

<u>Control</u>: The organization establishes a continuous monitoring strategy and implements a continuous monitoring program that includes:

- a. A configuration management process for the information system and its constituent components;
- b. A determination of the security impact of changes to the information system and environment of operation;
- c. Ongoing security control assessments in accordance with the organizational continuous monitoring strategy; and
- d. Reporting the security state of the information system to appropriate organizational officials [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: A continuous monitoring program allows an organization to maintain the security authorization of an information system over time in a highly dynamic environment of operation with changing threats, vulnerabilities, technologies, and missions/business processes. Continuous monitoring of security controls using automated support tools facilitates near real-time risk management and promotes organizational situational awareness with regard to the security state of the information system. The implementation of a continuous monitoring program results in ongoing updates to the security plan, the security assessment report, and the plan of action and milestones, the three principal documents in the security authorization package. A rigorous and well executed continuous monitoring program significantly reduces the level of effort required for the reauthorization of the information system. Continuous monitoring activities are scaled in accordance with the impact level of the information system. Related controls: CA-2, CA-5, CA-6, CM-3, CM-4.

<u>References</u>: NIST Special Publications 800-37, 800-53A; US-CERT Technical Cyber Security Alerts; DOD Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts.

FAMILY: CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

CM-1 CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented configuration management policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the configuration management policy and associated configuration management controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the configuration management family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The configuration management policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Configuration management procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the configuration management policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

CM-2 BASELINE CONFIGURATION

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, documents, and maintains under configuration control, a current baseline configuration of the information system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control establishes a baseline configuration for the information system and its constituent components including communications and connectivity-related aspects of the system. The baseline configuration provides information about the components of an information system (e.g., the standard software load for a workstation, server, network component, or mobile device including operating system/installed applications with current version numbers and patch information), network topology, and the logical placement of the component within the system architecture. The baseline configuration is a documented, up-to-date specification to which the information system is built. Maintaining the baseline configuration involves creating new baselines as the information system changes over time. The baseline configuration of the information system is consistent with the organization's enterprise architecture. Related controls: CM-3, CM-6, CM-8, CM-9.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-128.

CM-4 SECURITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

<u>Control</u>: The organization analyzes changes to the information system to determine potential security impacts prior to change implementation.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Security impact analyses are conducted by organizational personnel with information security responsibilities, including for example, Information System Administrators, Information System Security Officers, Information System Security Managers, and Information System Security Engineers. Individuals conducting security impact analyses have the appropriate skills and technical expertise to analyze the changes to information systems and the associated security ramifications. Security impact analysis may include, for example, reviewing information system documentation such as the security plan to understand how specific security controls are implemented within the system and how the changes might affect the controls. Security impact

analysis may also include an assessment of risk to understand the impact of the changes and to determine if additional security controls are required. Security impact analysis is scaled in accordance with the impact level of the information system. Related controls: CA-2, CA-7, CM-3, CM-9, SI-2.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-128.

CM-6 CONFIGURATION SETTINGS

Control: The organization:

- a. Establishes and documents mandatory configuration settings for information technology products employed within the information system using [*Assignment: organization-defined security configuration checklists*] that reflect the most restrictive mode consistent with operational requirements;
- b. Implements the configuration settings;
- c. Identifies, documents, and approves exceptions from the mandatory configuration settings for individual components within the information system based on explicit operational requirements; and
- d. Monitors and controls changes to the configuration settings in accordance with organizational policies and procedures.

Supplemental Guidance: Configuration settings are the configurable security-related parameters of information technology products that are part of the information system. Security-related parameters are those parameters impacting the security state of the system including parameters related to meeting other security control requirements. Security-related parameters include, for example, registry settings; account, file, and directory settings (i.e., permissions); and settings for services, ports, protocols, and remote connections. Organizations establish organization-wide mandatory configuration settings from which the settings for a given information system are derived. A security configuration checklist (sometimes referred to as a lockdown guide, hardening guide, security guide, security technical implementation guide [STIG], or benchmark) is a series of instructions or procedures for configuring an information system component to meet operational requirements. Checklists can be developed by information technology developers and vendors, consortia, academia, industry, federal agencies (and other government organizations), and others in the public and private sectors. An example of a security configuration checklist is the Federal Desktop Core Configuration (FDCC) which potentially affects the implementation of CM-6 and other controls such as AC-19 and CM-7. The Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP) and defined standards within the protocol (e.g., Common Configuration Enumeration) provide an effective method to uniquely identify, track, and control configuration settings. OMB establishes federal policy on configuration requirements for federal information systems. Related controls: CM-2, CM-3, SI-4.

<u>References</u>: OMB Memoranda 07-11, 07-18, 08-22; NIST Special Publications 800-70, 800-128; Web: NVD.NIST.GOV; WWW.NSA.GOV.

CM-7 LEAST FUNCTIONALITY

<u>Control</u>: The organization configures the information system to provide only essential capabilities and specifically prohibits or restricts the use of the following functions, ports, protocols, and/or services: [Assignment: organization-defined list of prohibited or restricted functions, ports, protocols, and/or services].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Information systems are capable of providing a wide variety of functions and services. Some of the functions and services, provided by default, may not be necessary to support essential organizational operations (e.g., key missions, functions). Additionally, it is sometimes convenient to provide multiple services from a single component of an information

system, but doing so increases risk over limiting the services provided by any one component. Where feasible, organizations limit component functionality to a single function per device (e.g., email server or web server, not both). The functions and services provided by organizational information systems, or individual components of information systems, are carefully reviewed to determine which functions and services are candidates for elimination (e.g., Voice Over Internet Protocol, Instant Messaging, auto-execute, file sharing). Organizations consider disabling unused or unnecessary physical and logical ports and protocols (e.g., Universal Serial Bus [USB], File Transfer Protocol [FTP], Internet Protocol Version 6 [IPv6], Hyper Text Transfer Protocol [HTTP]) on information system components to prevent unauthorized connection of devices, unauthorized transfer of information, or unauthorized tunneling. Organizations can utilize network scanning tools, intrusion detection and prevention systems, and end-point protections such as firewalls and host-based intrusion detection systems to identify and prevent the use of prohibited functions, ports, protocols, and services. Related control: RA-5.

References: None.

CM-8 INFORMATION SYSTEM COMPONENT INVENTORY

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, documents, and maintains an inventory of information system components that:

- a. Accurately reflects the current information system;
- b. Is consistent with the authorization boundary of the information system;
- c. Is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting;
- d. Includes [Assignment: organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability]; and
- e. Is available for review and audit by designated organizational officials.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Information deemed to be necessary by the organization to achieve effective property accountability can include, for example, hardware inventory specifications (manufacturer, type, model, serial number, physical location), software license information, information system/component owner, and for a networked component/device, the machine name and network address. Related controls: CM-2, CM-6.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-128.

FAMILY: CONTINGENCY PLANNING

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

CP-1 CONTINGENCY PLANNING POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented contingency planning policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the contingency planning policy and associated contingency planning controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the contingency planning family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The contingency planning policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Contingency planning procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the contingency planning policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: Federal Continuity Directive 1; NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-34, 800-100.

CP-2 CONTINGENCY PLAN

Control: The organization:

- a. Develops a contingency plan for the information system that:
 - Identifies essential missions and business functions and associated contingency requirements;
 - Provides recovery objectives, restoration priorities, and metrics;
 - Addresses contingency roles, responsibilities, assigned individuals with contact information;
 - Addresses maintaining essential missions and business functions despite an information system disruption, compromise, or failure;
 - Addresses eventual, full information system restoration without deterioration of the security measures originally planned and implemented; and
 - Is reviewed and approved by designated officials within the organization;
- b. Distributes copies of the contingency plan to [Assignment: organization-defined list of key contingency personnel (identified by name and/or by role) and organizational elements];
- c. Coordinates contingency planning activities with incident handling activities;
- d. Reviews the contingency plan for the information system [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*];
- e. Revises the contingency plan to address changes to the organization, information system, or environment of operation and problems encountered during contingency plan implementation, execution, or testing; and
- f. Communicates contingency plan changes to [Assignment: organization-defined list of key contingency personnel (identified by name and/or by role) and organizational elements].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Contingency planning for information systems is part of an overall organizational program for achieving continuity of operations for mission/business operations. Contingency planning addresses both information system restoration and implementation of alternative mission/business processes when systems are compromised. Information system recovery objectives are consistent with applicable laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, standards, or regulations. In addition to information system availability, contingency plans also address other security-related events resulting in a reduction in mission/business effectiveness, such as malicious attacks compromising the confidentiality or integrity of the information system. Examples of actions to call out in contingency plans include, for example, graceful degradation, information system shutdown, fall back to a manual mode, alternate information flows, or operating in a mode that is reserved solely for when the system is under attack. Related controls: AC-14, CP-6, CP-7, CP-8, IR-4, PM-8, PM-11.

References: Federal Continuity Directive 1; NIST Special Publication 800-34.

CP-3 CONTINGENCY TRAINING

<u>Control</u>: The organization trains personnel in their contingency roles and responsibilities with respect to the information system and provides refresher training [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*].

Supplemental Guidance: None.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-16, 800-50.

CP-4 CONTINGENCY PLAN TESTING AND EXERCISES

Control: The organization:

- a. Tests and/or exercises the contingency plan for the information system [Assignment: organization-defined frequency] using [Assignment: organization-defined tests and/or exercises] to determine the plan's effectiveness and the organization's readiness to execute the plan; and
- b. Reviews the contingency plan test/exercise results and initiates corrective actions.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: There are several methods for testing and/or exercising contingency plans to identify potential weaknesses (e.g., checklist, walk-through/tabletop, simulation: parallel, full interrupt). Contingency plan testing and/or exercises include a determination of the effects on organizational operations and assets (e.g., reduction in mission capability) and individuals arising due to contingency operations in accordance with the plan.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publications 800-34, 800-84.

CP-9 INFORMATION SYSTEM BACKUP

Control: The organization:

- a. Conducts backups of user-level information contained in the information system [Assignment: organization-defined frequency consistent with recovery time and recovery point objectives];
- b. Conducts backups of system-level information contained in the information system [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency consistent with recovery time and recovery point objectives*];
- c. Conducts backups of information system documentation including security-related documentation [Assignment: organization-defined frequency consistent with recovery time and recovery point objectives]; and
- d. Protects the confidentiality and integrity of backup information at the storage location.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: System-level information includes, for example, system-state information, operating system and application software, and licenses. Digital signatures and cryptographic hashes are examples of mechanisms that can be employed by organizations to protect the integrity of information system backups. An organizational assessment of risk guides the use of encryption for protecting backup information. The protection of system backup information while in transit is beyond the scope of this control. Related controls: CP-6, MP-4.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-34.

CP-10 INFORMATION SYSTEM RECOVERY AND RECONSTITUTION

<u>Control</u>: The organization provides for the recovery and reconstitution of the information system to a known state after a disruption, compromise, or failure.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Recovery is executing information system contingency plan activities to restore essential missions and business functions. Reconstitution takes place following recovery and includes activities for returning the information system to its original functional state before contingency plan activation. Recovery and reconstitution procedures are based on organizational priorities, established recovery point/time and reconstitution objectives, and appropriate metrics. Reconstitution includes the deactivation of any interim information system capability that may have been needed during recovery operations. Reconstitution also includes an assessment of the fully restored information system capability, a potential system reauthorization and the necessary activities to prepare the system against another disruption, compromise, or failure. Recovery and reconstitution capabilities employed by the organization can be a combination of automated mechanisms and manual procedures. Related controls: CA-2, CA-6, CA-7, SC-24.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-34.

FAMILY: IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION

CLASS: TECHNICAL

IA-1 IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented identification and authentication policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the identification and authentication policy and associated identification and authentication controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the identification and authentication family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The identification and authentication policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Identification and authentication procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the identification and authentication policy. Related control: PM-9.

<u>References</u>: FIPS Publication 201; NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-63, 800-73, 800-76, 800-78, 800-100.

IA-2 IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION (ORGANIZATIONAL USERS)

<u>Control</u>: The information system uniquely identifies and authenticates organizational users (or processes acting on behalf of organizational users).

Supplemental Guidance: Organizational users include organizational employees or individuals the organization deems to have equivalent status of employees (e.g., contractors, guest researchers, individuals from allied nations). Users are uniquely identified and authenticated for all accesses other than those accesses explicitly identified and documented by the organization in AC-14. Unique identification of individuals in group accounts (e.g., shared privilege accounts) may need to be considered for detailed accountability of activity. Authentication of user identities is accomplished through the use of passwords, tokens, biometrics, or in the case of multifactor authentication, some combination thereof. Access to organizational information systems is defined as either local or network. Local access is any access to an organizational information system by a user (or process acting on behalf of a user) where such access is obtained by direct connection without the use of a network. Network access is any access to an organizational information system by a user (or process acting on behalf of a user) where such access is obtained through a network connection. Remote access is a type of network access which involves communication through an external network (e.g., the Internet). Internal networks include local area networks, wide area networks, and virtual private networks that are under the control of the organization. For a virtual private network (VPN), the VPN is considered an internal network if the organization establishes the VPN connection between organization-controlled endpoints in a manner that does not require the organization to depend on any external networks across which the VPN transits to protect the confidentiality and integrity of information transmitted. Identification and authentication requirements for information system access by other than organizational users are described in IA-8.

The identification and authentication requirements in this control are satisfied by complying with Homeland Security Presidential Directive 12 consistent with organization-specific implementation plans provided to OMB. In addition to identifying and authenticating users at the informationsystem level (i.e., at logon), identification and authentication mechanisms are employed at the application level, when necessary, to provide increased information security for the organization. Related controls: AC-14, AC-17, AC-18, IA-4, IA-5.

Control Enhancements:

(1) The information system uses multifactor authentication for network access to privileged accounts.

<u>References</u>: HSPD 12; OMB Memorandum 04-04; FIPS Publication 201; NIST Special Publications 800-63, 800-73, 800-76, 800-78.

IA-4 IDENTIFIER MANAGEMENT

Control: The organization manages information system identifiers for users and devices by:

- a. Receiving authorization from a designated organizational official to assign a user or device identifier;
- b. Selecting an identifier that uniquely identifies an individual or device;
- c. Assigning the user identifier to the intended party or the device identifier to the intended device;
- d. Preventing reuse of user or device identifiers for [*Assignment: organization-defined time period*]; and
- e. Disabling the user identifier after [*Assignment: organization-defined time period of inactivity*].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Common device identifiers include media access control (MAC) or Internet protocol (IP) addresses, or device-unique token identifiers. Management of user identifiers is not applicable to shared information system accounts (e.g., guest and anonymous accounts). It is commonly the case that a user identifier is the name of an information system account associated with an individual. In such instances, identifier management is largely addressed by the account management activities of AC-2. IA-4 also covers user identifiers not necessarily associated with an information system account (e.g., the identifier used in a physical security control database accessed by a badge reader system for access to the information system). Related control: AC-2, IA-2.

References: FIPS Publication 201; NIST Special Publications 800-73, 800-76, 800-78.

IA-5 AUTHENTICATOR MANAGEMENT

Control: The organization manages information system authenticators for users and devices by:

- a. Verifying, as part of the initial authenticator distribution, the identity of the individual and/or device receiving the authenticator;
- b. Establishing initial authenticator content for authenticators defined by the organization;
- c. Ensuring that authenticators have sufficient strength of mechanism for their intended use;
- d. Establishing and implementing administrative procedures for initial authenticator distribution, for lost/compromised or damaged authenticators, and for revoking authenticators;
- e. Changing default content of authenticators upon information system installation;
- f. Establishing minimum and maximum lifetime restrictions and reuse conditions for authenticators (if appropriate);
- g. Changing/refreshing authenticators [*Assignment: organization-defined time period by authenticator type*];
- h. Protecting authenticator content from unauthorized disclosure and modification; and

i. Requiring users to take, and having devices implement, specific measures to safeguard authenticators.

Supplemental Guidance: User authenticators include, for example, passwords, tokens, biometrics, PKI certificates, and key cards. Initial authenticator content is the actual content (e.g., the initial password) as opposed to requirements about authenticator content (e.g., minimum password length). Many information system components are shipped with factory default authentication credentials to allow for initial installation and configuration. Default authentication credentials are often well known, easily discoverable, present a significant security risk, and therefore, are changed upon installation. The requirement to protect user authenticators may be implemented via control PL-4 or PS-6 for authenticators in the possession of users and by controls AC-3, AC-6, and SC-28 for authenticators stored within the information system (e.g., passwords stored in a hashed or encrypted format, files containing encrypted or hashed passwords accessible only with super user privileges). The information system supports user authenticator management by organization-defined settings and restrictions for various authenticator characteristics including, for example, minimum password length, password composition, validation time window for time synchronous one time tokens, and number of allowed rejections during verification stage of biometric authentication. Measures to safeguard user authenticators include, for example, maintaining possession of individual authenticators, not loaning or sharing authenticators with others, and reporting lost or compromised authenticators immediately. Authenticator management includes issuing and revoking, when no longer needed, authenticators for temporary access such as that required for remote maintenance. Device authenticators include, for example, certificates and passwords. Related controls: AC-2, IA-2, PL-4, PS-6.

Control Enhancements:

- (1) The information system, for password-based authentication:
 - (a) Enforces minimum password complexity of [Assignment: organization-defined requirements for case sensitivity, number of characters, mix of upper-case letters, lower-case letters, numbers, and special characters, including minimum requirements for each type];
 - (b) Enforces at least a [Assignment: organization-defined number of changed characters] when new passwords are created;
 - (c) Encrypts passwords in storage and in transmission;
 - (d) Enforces password minimum and maximum lifetime restrictions of [Assignment: organizationdefined numbers for lifetime minimum, lifetime maximum]; and
 - (e) Prohibits password reuse for [Assignment: organization-defined number] generations.

Enhancement Supplemental Guidance: This control enhancement is intended primarily for environments where passwords are used as a single factor to authenticate users, or in a similar manner along with one or more additional authenticators. The enhancement generally does *not* apply to situations where passwords are used to unlock hardware authenticators. The implementation of such password mechanisms may not meet all of the requirements in the enhancement.

<u>References</u>: OMB Memorandum 04-04; FIPS Publication 201; NIST Special Publications 800-73, 800-63, 800-76, 800-78.

IA-6 AUTHENTICATOR FEEDBACK

<u>Control</u>: The information system obscures feedback of authentication information during the authentication process to protect the information from possible exploitation/use by unauthorized individuals.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The feedback from the information system does not provide information that would allow an unauthorized user to compromise the authentication mechanism. Displaying asterisks when a user types in a password, is an example of obscuring feedback of authentication information.

References: None.

IA-7 CRYPTOGRAPHIC MODULE AUTHENTICATION

<u>Control</u>: The information system uses mechanisms for authentication to a cryptographic module that meet the requirements of applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance for such authentication.

References: FIPS Publication 140-2; Web: CSRC.NIST.GOV/CRYPTVAL.

IA-8 IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION (NON-ORGANIZATIONAL USERS)

<u>Control</u>: The information system uniquely identifies and authenticates non-organizational users (or processes acting on behalf of non-organizational users).

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Non-organizational users include all information system users other than organizational users explicitly covered by IA-2. Users are uniquely identified and authenticated for all accesses other than those accesses explicitly identified and documented by the organization in accordance with AC-14. In accordance with the E-Authentication E-Government initiative, authentication of non-organizational users accessing federal information systems may be required to protect federal, proprietary, or privacy-related information (with exceptions noted for national security systems). Accordingly, a risk assessment is used in determining the authentication needs of the organization. Scalability, practicality, and security are simultaneously considered in balancing the need to ensure ease of use for access to federal information and information systems with the need to protect and adequately mitigate risk to organizational operations, organizational assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation. Identification and authentication requirements for information system access by organizational users are described in IA-2. Related controls: AC-14, AC-17, AC-18, MA-4.

<u>References</u>: OMB Memorandum 04-04; Web: WWW.CIO.GOV/EAUTHENTICATION; NIST Special Publication 800-63.

FAMILY: INCIDENT RESPONSE

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

IR-1 INCIDENT RESPONSE POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented incident response policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the incident response policy and associated incident response controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the incident response family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The incident response policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Incident response procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the incident response policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-61, 800-83, 800-100.

IR-2 INCIDENT RESPONSE TRAINING

Control: The organization:

- a. Trains personnel in their incident response roles and responsibilities with respect to the information system; and
- b. Provides refresher training [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Incident response training includes user training in the identification and reporting of suspicious activities, both from external and internal sources. Related control: AT-3.

<u>References</u>: NIST Special Publications 800-16, 800-50.

IR-4 INCIDENT HANDLING

<u>Control</u>: The organization:

- a. Implements an incident handling capability for security incidents that includes preparation, detection and analysis, containment, eradication, and recovery;
- b. Coordinates incident handling activities with contingency planning activities; and
- c. Incorporates lessons learned from ongoing incident handling activities into incident response procedures, training, and testing/exercises, and implements the resulting changes accordingly.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Incident-related information can be obtained from a variety of sources including, but not limited to, audit monitoring, network monitoring, physical access monitoring, and user/administrator reports. Related controls: AU-6, CP-2, IR-2, IR-3, PE-6, SC-5, SC-7, SI-3, SI-4, SI-7.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-61.

IR-5 INCIDENT MONITORING

Control: The organization tracks and documents information system security incidents.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Documenting information system security incidents includes, for example, maintaining records about each incident, the status of the incident, and other pertinent information necessary for forensics, evaluating incident details, trends, and handling. Incident information can be obtained from a variety of sources including, for example, incident reports, incident response teams, audit monitoring, network monitoring, physical access monitoring, and user/administrator reports.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-61.

IR-6 INCIDENT REPORTING

Control: The organization:

- a. Requires personnel to report suspected security incidents to the organizational incident response capability within [Assignment: organization-defined time-period]; and
- b. Reports security incident information to designated authorities.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The intent of this control is to address both specific incident reporting requirements within an organization and the formal incident reporting requirements for federal agencies and their subordinate organizations. The types of security incidents reported, the content and timeliness of the reports, and the list of designated reporting authorities are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Current federal policy requires that all federal agencies (unless specifically exempted from such requirements) report security incidents to the United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team (US-CERT) within specified time frames designated in the US-CERT Concept of Operations for Federal Cyber Security Incident Handling. Related controls: IR-4, IR-5.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-61: Web: www.us-CERT.GOV.

IR-7 INCIDENT RESPONSE ASSISTANCE

<u>Control</u>: The organization provides an incident response support resource, integral to the organizational incident response capability, that offers advice and assistance to users of the information system for the handling and reporting of security incidents.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Possible implementations of incident response support resources in an organization include a help desk or an assistance group and access to forensics services, when required. Related controls: IR-4, IR-6.

References: None.

IR-8 INCIDENT RESPONSE PLAN

Control: The organization:

- a. Develops an incident response plan that:
 - Provides the organization with a roadmap for implementing its incident response capability;
 - Describes the structure and organization of the incident response capability;
 - Provides a high-level approach for how the incident response capability fits into the overall organization;
 - Meets the unique requirements of the organization, which relate to mission, size, structure, and functions;

- Defines reportable incidents;
- Provides metrics for measuring the incident response capability within the organization.
- Defines the resources and management support needed to effectively maintain and mature an incident response capability; and
- Is reviewed and approved by designated officials within the organization;
- b. Distributes copies of the incident response plan to [Assignment: organization-defined list of incident response personnel (identified by name and/or by role) and organizational elements];
- c. Reviews the incident response plan [Assignment: organization-defined frequency];
- d. Revises the incident response plan to address system/organizational changes or problems encountered during plan implementation, execution, or testing; and
- e. Communicates incident response plan changes to [Assignment: organization-defined list of incident response personnel (identified by name and/or by role) and organizational elements].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: It is important that organizations have a formal, focused, and coordinated approach to responding to incidents. The organization's mission, strategies, and goals for incident response help determine the structure of its incident response capability.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-61.

FAMILY: MAINTENANCE

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

MA-1 SYSTEM MAINTENANCE POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented information system maintenance policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the information system maintenance policy and associated system maintenance controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the system maintenance family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The information system maintenance policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. System maintenance procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the system maintenance policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

MA-2 CONTROLLED MAINTENANCE

Control: The organization:

- Schedules, performs, documents, and reviews records of maintenance and repairs on information system components in accordance with manufacturer or vendor specifications and/or organizational requirements;
- b. Controls all maintenance activities, whether performed on site or remotely and whether the equipment is serviced on site or removed to another location;
- c. Requires that a designated official explicitly approve the removal of the information system or system components from organizational facilities for off-site maintenance or repairs;
- d. Sanitizes equipment to remove all information from associated media prior to removal from organizational facilities for off-site maintenance or repairs; and
- e. Checks all potentially impacted security controls to verify that the controls are still functioning properly following maintenance or repair actions.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The control is intended to address the information security aspects of the organization's information system maintenance program. Related controls: MP-6, SI-2.

References: None.

MA-4 NON-LOCAL MAINTENANCE

<u>Control</u>: The organization:

- a. Authorizes, monitors, and controls non-local maintenance and diagnostic activities;
- b. Allows the use of non-local maintenance and diagnostic tools only as consistent with organizational policy and documented in the security plan for the information system;

- c. Employs strong identification and authentication techniques in the establishment of non-local maintenance and diagnostic sessions;
- d. Maintains records for non-local maintenance and diagnostic activities; and
- e. Terminates all sessions and network connections when non-local maintenance is completed.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Non-local maintenance and diagnostic activities are those activities conducted by individuals communicating through a network; either an external network (e.g., the Internet) or an internal network. Local maintenance and diagnostic activities are those activities carried out by individuals physically present at the information system or information system component and not communicating across a network connection. Identification and authentication techniques used in the establishment of non-local maintenance and diagnostic sessions are consistent with the network access requirements in IA-2. Strong authenticators include, for example, PKI where certificates are stored on a token protected by a password, passphrase, or biometric. Enforcing requirements in MA-4 is accomplished in part, by other controls. Related controls: AC-2, AC-3, AC-6, AC-17, AU-2, AU-3, IA-2, IA-8, MA-5, MP-6, SC-7.

<u>References</u>: FIPS Publications 140-2, 197, 201; NIST Special Publications 800-63, 800-88; CNSS Policy 15.

MA-5 MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL

Control: The organization:

- a. Establishes a process for maintenance personnel authorization and maintains a current list of authorized maintenance organizations or personnel; and
- b. Ensures that personnel performing maintenance on the information system have required access authorizations or designates organizational personnel with required access authorizations and technical competence deemed necessary to supervise information system maintenance when maintenance personnel do not possess the required access authorizations.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Individuals not previously identified in the information system, such as vendor personnel and consultants, may legitimately require privileged access to the system, for example, when required to conduct maintenance or diagnostic activities with little or no notice. Based on a prior assessment of risk, the organization may issue temporary credentials to these individuals. Temporary credentials may be for one-time use or for a very limited time period. Related controls: IA-8, MA-5.

FAMILY: MEDIA PROTECTION

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

MP-1 MEDIA PROTECTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented media protection policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the media protection policy and associated media protection controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the media protection family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The media protection policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Media protection procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the media protection policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

MP-2 MEDIA ACCESS

<u>Control</u>: The organization restricts access to [*Assignment: organization-defined types of digital and non-digital media*] to [*Assignment: organization-defined list of authorized individuals*] using [*Assignment: organization-defined security measures*].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Information system media includes both digital media (e.g., diskettes, magnetic tapes, external/removable hard drives, flash/thumb drives, compact disks, digital video disks) and non-digital media (e.g., paper, microfilm). This control also applies to mobile computing and communications devices with information storage capability (e.g., notebook/laptop computers, personal digital assistants, cellular telephones, digital cameras, and audio recording devices). An organizational assessment of risk guides the selection of media and associated information contained on that media requiring restricted access. Organizations document in policy and procedures, the media requiring restricted access, individuals authorized to access the media, and the specific measures taken to restrict access. Fewer protection measures are needed for media containing information determined by the organization to be in the public domain, to be publicly releasable, or to have limited or no adverse impact if access by other than authorized personnel. In these situations, it is assumed that the physical access controls where the media resides provide adequate protection. Related controls: MP-4, PE-3.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publication 800-111.

MP-6 MEDIA SANITIZATION

<u>Control</u>: The organization sanitizes information system media, both digital and non-digital, prior to disposal, release out of organizational control, or release for reuse.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control applies to all media subject to disposal or reuse, whether or not considered removable. Sanitization is the process used to remove information from information system media such that there is reasonable assurance that the information cannot be retrieved or reconstructed. Sanitization techniques, including clearing, purging, and destroying media information, prevent the disclosure of organizational information to unauthorized individuals

when such media is reused or released for disposal. The organization employs sanitization mechanisms with strength and integrity commensurate with the classification or sensitivity of the information. The organization uses its discretion on the employment of sanitization techniques and procedures for media containing information deemed to be in the public domain or publicly releasable, or deemed to have no adverse impact on the organization or individuals if released for reuse or disposal.

<u>References</u>: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publications 800-60, 800-88; Web: WWW.NSA.GOV/IA/GUIDANCE/MEDIA_DESTRUCTION_GUIDANCE/INDEX.SHTML.

FAMILY: PHYSICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

PE-1 PHYSICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented physical and environmental protection policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the physical and environmental protection policy and associated physical and environmental protection controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the physical and environmental protection family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The physical and environmental protection policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Physical and environmental protection procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the physical and environmental protection policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

PE-2 PHYSICAL ACCESS AUTHORIZATIONS

Control: The organization:

- a. Develops and keeps current a list of personnel with authorized access to the facility where the information system resides (except for those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible);
- b. Issues authorization credentials;
- c. Reviews and approves the access list and authorization credentials [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*], removing from the access list personnel no longer requiring access.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Authorization credentials include, for example, badges, identification cards, and smart cards. Related control: PE-3, PE-4.

References: None.

PE-3 PHYSICAL ACCESS CONTROL

Control: The organization:

- a. Enforces physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entry/exit points) to the facility where the information system resides (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible);
- b. Verifies individual access authorizations before granting access to the facility;
- c. Controls entry to the facility containing the information system using physical access devices and/or guards;
- d. Controls access to areas officially designated as publicly accessible in accordance with the organization's assessment of risk;

- e. Secures keys, combinations, and other physical access devices;
- f. Inventories physical access devices [Assignment: organization-defined frequency]; and
- g. Changes combinations and keys [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] and when keys are lost, combinations are compromised, or individuals are transferred or terminated.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The organization determines the types of guards needed, for example, professional physical security staff or other personnel such as administrative staff or information system users, as deemed appropriate. Physical access devices include, for example, keys, locks, combinations, and card readers. Workstations and associated peripherals connected to (and part of) an organizational information system may be located in areas designated as publicly accessible with access to such devices being safeguarded. Related controls: MP-2, MP-4, PE-2.

<u>References</u>: FIPS Publication 201; NIST Special Publications 800-73, 800-76, 800-78; ICD 704; DCID 6/9.

PE-6 MONITORING PHYSICAL ACCESS

Control: The organization:

- a. Monitors physical access to the information system to detect and respond to physical security incidents;
- b. Reviews physical access logs [Assignment: organization-defined frequency]; and
- c. Coordinates results of reviews and investigations with the organization's incident response capability.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Investigation of and response to detected physical security incidents, including apparent security violations or suspicious physical access activities, are part of the organization's incident response capability.

References: None.

PE-7 VISITOR CONTROL

<u>Control</u>: The organization controls physical access to the information system by authenticating visitors before authorizing access to the facility where the information system resides other than areas designated as publicly accessible.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Individuals (to include organizational employees, contract personnel, and others) with permanent authorization credentials for the facility are not considered visitors.

References: None.

PE-8 ACCESS RECORDS

Control: The organization:

- a. Maintains visitor access records to the facility where the information system resides (except for those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible); and
- b. Reviews visitor access records [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Visitor access records include, for example, name/organization of the person visiting, signature of the visitor, form(s) of identification, date of access, time of entry and departure, purpose of visit, and name/organization of person visited.

PE-12 EMERGENCY LIGHTING

<u>Control</u>: The organization employs and maintains automatic emergency lighting for the information system that activates in the event of a power outage or disruption and that covers emergency exits and evacuation routes within the facility.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control, to include any enhancements specified, may be satisfied by similar requirements fulfilled by another organizational entity other than the information security program. Organizations avoid duplicating actions already covered.

References: None.

PE-13 FIRE PROTECTION

<u>Control</u>: The organization employs and maintains fire suppression and detection devices/systems for the information system that are supported by an independent energy source.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Fire suppression and detection devices/systems include, for example, sprinkler systems, handheld fire extinguishers, fixed fire hoses, and smoke detectors. This control, to include any enhancements specified, may be satisfied by similar requirements fulfilled by another organizational entity other than the information security program. Organizations avoid duplicating actions already covered.

References: None.

PE-14 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY CONTROLS

Control: The organization:

- a. Maintains temperature and humidity levels within the facility where the information system resides at [*Assignment: organization-defined acceptable levels*]; and
- b. Monitors temperature and humidity levels [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control, to include any enhancements specified, may be satisfied by similar requirements fulfilled by another organizational entity other than the information security program. Organizations avoid duplicating actions already covered.

References: None.

PE-15 WATER DAMAGE PROTECTION

<u>Control</u>: The organization protects the information system from damage resulting from water leakage by providing master shutoff valves that are accessible, working properly, and known to key personnel.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control, to include any enhancements specified, may be satisfied by similar requirements fulfilled by another organizational entity other than the information security program. Organizations avoid duplicating actions already covered.

References: None.

PE-16 DELIVERY AND REMOVAL

<u>Control</u>: The organization authorizes, monitors, and controls [*Assignment: organization-defined types of information system components*] entering and exiting the facility and maintains records of those items.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Effectively enforcing authorizations for entry and exit of information system components may require restricting access to delivery areas and possibly isolating the areas from the information system and media libraries.

FAMILY: PLANNING

CLASS: MANAGEMENT

PL-1 SECURITY PLANNING POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented security planning policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the security planning policy and associated security planning controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the security planning family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The security planning policy addresses the overall policy requirements for confidentiality, integrity, and availability and can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Security planning procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the security planning policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-18, 800-100.

PL-2 SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN

Control: The organization:

- a. Develops a security plan for the information system that:
 - Is consistent with the organization's enterprise architecture;
 - Explicitly defines the authorization boundary for the system;
 - Describes the operational context of the information system in terms of missions and business processes;
 - Provides the security category and impact level of the information system including supporting rationale;
 - Describes the operational environment for the information system;
 - Describes relationships with or connections to other information systems;
 - Provides an overview of the security requirements for the system;
 - Describes the security controls in place or planned for meeting those requirements including a rationale for the tailoring and supplementation decisions; and
 - Is reviewed and approved by the authorizing official or designated representative prior to plan implementation;
- b. Reviews the security plan for the information system [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]; and
- c. Updates the plan to address changes to the information system/environment of operation or problems identified during plan implementation or security control assessments.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The security plan contains sufficient information (including specification of parameters for assignment and selection statements in security controls either explicitly or by

reference) to enable an implementation that is unambiguously compliant with the intent of the plan and a subsequent determination of risk to organizational operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation if the plan is implemented as intended. Related controls: PM-1, PM-7, PM-8, PM-9, PM-11.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-18.

PL-4 RULES OF BEHAVIOR

Control: The organization:

- a. Establishes and makes readily available to all information system users, the rules that describe their responsibilities and expected behavior with regard to information and information system usage; and
- b. Receives signed acknowledgment from users indicating that they have read, understand, and agree to abide by the rules of behavior, before authorizing access to information and the information system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The organization considers different sets of rules based on user roles and responsibilities, for example, differentiating between the rules that apply to privileged users and rules that apply to general users. Electronic signatures are acceptable for use in acknowledging rules of behavior. Related control: PS-6.

References: NIST Publication 800-18.

PL-5 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

<u>Control</u>: The organization conducts a privacy impact assessment on the information system in accordance with OMB policy.

Supplemental Guidance: None.

References: OMB Memorandum 03-22.

FAMILY: PERSONNEL SECURITY

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

PS-1 PERSONNEL SECURITY POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented personnel security policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the personnel security policy and associated personnel security controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the personnel security family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The personnel security policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Personnel security procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the personnel security policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

PS-2 POSITION CATEGORIZATION

Control: The organization:

- a. Assigns a risk designation to all positions;
- b. Establishes screening criteria for individuals filling those positions; and
- c. Reviews and revises position risk designations [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Position risk designations are consistent with Office of Personnel Management policy and guidance. The screening criteria include explicit information security role appointment requirements (e.g., training, security clearance).

References: 5 CFR 731.106(a).

PS-3 PERSONNEL SCREENING

Control: The organization:

- a. Screens individuals prior to authorizing access to the information system; and
- b. Rescreens individuals according to [Assignment: organization-defined list of conditions requiring rescreening and, where re-screening is so indicated, the frequency of such rescreening].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Screening and rescreening are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, guidance, and the criteria established for the risk designation of the assigned position. The organization may define different rescreening conditions and frequencies for personnel accessing the information system based on the type of information processed, stored, or transmitted by the system.

<u>References</u>: 5 CFR 731.106; FIPS Publications 199, 201; NIST Special Publications 800-73, 800-76, 800-78; ICD 704.

PS-4 PERSONNEL TERMINATION

Control: The organization, upon termination of individual employment:

- a. Terminates information system access;
- b. Conducts exit interviews;
- c. Retrieves all security-related organizational information system-related property; and
- d. Retains access to organizational information and information systems formerly controlled by terminated individual.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Information system-related property includes, for example, hardware authentication tokens, system administration technical manuals, keys, identification cards, and building passes. Exit interviews ensure that individuals understand any security constraints imposed by being former employees and that proper accountability is achieved for all information system-related property. Exit interviews may not be possible for some employees (e.g., in the case of job abandonment, some illnesses, and nonavailability of supervisors). Exit interviews are important for individuals with security clearances. Timely execution of this control is particularly essential for employees or contractors terminated for cause.

References: None.

PS-5 PERSONNEL TRANSFER

<u>Control</u>: The organization reviews logical and physical access authorizations to information systems/facilities when personnel are reassigned or transferred to other positions within the organization and initiates [*Assignment: organization-defined transfer or reassignment actions*] within [*Assignment: organization-defined time period following the formal transfer action*].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control applies when the reassignment or transfer of an employee is permanent or of such an extended duration as to make the actions warranted. In addition the organization defines the actions appropriate for the type of reassignment or transfer; whether permanent or temporary. Actions that may be required when personnel are transferred or reassigned to other positions within the organization include, for example: (i) returning old and issuing new keys, identification cards, and building passes; (ii) closing previous information system accounts and establishing new accounts; (iii) changing information system access authorizations; and (iv) providing for access to official records to which the employee had access at the previous work location and in the previous information system accounts.

References: None.

PS-6 ACCESS AGREEMENTS

Control: The organization:

- a. Ensures that individuals requiring access to organizational information and information systems sign appropriate access agreements prior to being granted access; and
- b. Reviews/updates the access agreements [Assignment: organization-defined frequency].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Access agreements include, for example, nondisclosure agreements, acceptable use agreements, rules of behavior, and conflict-of-interest agreements. Signed access agreements include an acknowledgement that individuals have read, understand, and agree to abide by the constraints associated with the information system to which access is authorized. Electronic signatures are acceptable for use in acknowledging access agreements unless specifically prohibited by organizational policy. Related control: PL-4.

PS-7 THIRD-PARTY PERSONNEL SECURITY

Control: The organization:

- a. Establishes personnel security requirements including security roles and responsibilities for third-party providers;
- b. Documents personnel security requirements; and
- c. Monitors provider compliance.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Third-party providers include, for example, service bureaus, contractors, and other organizations providing information system development, information technology services, outsourced applications, and network and security management. The organization explicitly includes personnel security requirements in acquisition-related documents.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-35.

PS-8 PERSONNEL SANCTIONS

<u>Control</u>: The organization employs a formal sanctions process for personnel failing to comply with established information security policies and procedures.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The sanctions process is consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. The process is described in access agreements and can be included as part of the general personnel policies and procedures for the organization. Related controls: PL-4, PS-6.

FAMILY: RISK ASSESSMENT

CLASS: MANAGEMENT

RA-1 RISK ASSESSMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented risk assessment policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the risk assessment policy and associated risk assessment controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the risk assessment family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The risk assessment policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Risk assessment procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the risk assessment policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-30,800-100.

RA-2 SECURITY CATEGORIZATION

Control: The organization:

- a. Categorizes information and the information system in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance;
- b. Documents the security categorization results (including supporting rationale) in the security plan for the information system; and
- c. Ensures the security categorization decision is reviewed and approved by the authorizing official or authorizing official designated representative.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: A clearly defined authorization boundary is a prerequisite for an effective security categorization. Security categorization describes the potential adverse impacts to organizational operations, organizational assets, and individuals should the information and information system be comprised through a loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability. The organization conducts the security categorization process as an organization-wide activity with the involvement of the chief information officer, senior information security officer, information system owner, mission owners, and information owners/stewards. The organization also considers potential adverse impacts to other organizations and, in accordance with the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and Homeland Security Presidential Directives, potential national-level adverse impacts in categorizing the information system. The security categorization process facilitates the creation of an *inventory* of information assets, and in conjunction with CM-8, a mapping to the information system components where the information is processed, stored, and transmitted. Related controls: CM-8, MP-4, SC-7.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publications 800-30, 800-39, 800-60.

RA-3 RISK ASSESSMENT

<u>Control</u>: The organization:

- a. Conducts an assessment of risk, including the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from the unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of the information system and the information it processes, stores, or transmits;
- b. Documents risk assessment results in [Selection: security plan; risk assessment report; [Assignment: organization-defined document]];
- c. Reviews risk assessment results [Assignment: organization-defined frequency]; and
- d. Updates the risk assessment [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] or whenever there are significant changes to the information system or environment of operation (including the identification of new threats and vulnerabilities), or other conditions that may impact the security state of the system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: A clearly defined authorization boundary is a prerequisite for an effective risk assessment. Risk assessments take into account vulnerabilities, threat sources, and security controls planned or in place to determine the level of residual risk posed to organizational operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation based on the operation of the information system. Risk assessments also take into account risk posed to organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals from external parties (e.g., service providers, contractors operating information systems on behalf of the organization, individuals accessing organizational information systems, outsourcing entities). In accordance with OMB policy and related E-authentication initiatives, authentication of public users accessing federal information systems may also be required to protect nonpublic or privacy-related information. As such, organizational assessments of risk also address public access to federal information systems. The General Services Administration provides tools supporting that portion of the risk assessment dealing with public access to federal information systems.

Risk assessments (either formal or informal) can be conducted by organizations at various steps in the Risk Management Framework including: information system categorization; security control selection; security control implementation; security control assessment; information system authorization; and security control monitoring. RA-3 is a noteworthy security control in that the control must be partially *implemented* prior to the implementation of other controls in order to complete the first two steps in the Risk Management Framework. Risk assessments can play an important role in the security control selection process during the application of tailoring guidance for security control baselines and when considering supplementing the tailored baselines with additional security controls or control enhancements.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-30.

RA-5 VULNERABILITY SCANNING

Control: The organization:

- a. Scans for vulnerabilities in the information system and hosted applications [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency and/or randomly in accordance with organization-defined process*] and when new vulnerabilities potentially affecting the system/applications are identified and reported;
- b. Employs vulnerability scanning tools and techniques that promote interoperability among tools and automate parts of the vulnerability management process by using standards for:
 - Enumerating platforms, software flaws, and improper configurations;
 - Formatting and making transparent, checklists and test procedures; and
 - Measuring vulnerability impact;
- c. Analyzes vulnerability scan reports and results from security control assessments;

- d. Remediates legitimate vulnerabilities [*Assignment: organization-defined response times*] in accordance with an organizational assessment of risk; and
- e. Shares information obtained from the vulnerability scanning process and security control assessments with designated personnel throughout the organization to help eliminate similar vulnerabilities in other information systems (i.e., systemic weaknesses or deficiencies).

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The security categorization of the information system guides the frequency and comprehensiveness of the vulnerability scans. Vulnerability analysis for custom software and applications may require additional, more specialized techniques and approaches (e.g., web-based application scanners, source code reviews, source code analyzers). Vulnerability scanning includes scanning for specific functions, ports, protocols, and services that should not be accessible to users or devices and for improperly configured or incorrectly operating information flow mechanisms. The organization considers using tools that express vulnerabilities in the Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) naming convention and that use the Open Vulnerability Assessment Language (OVAL) to test for the presence of vulnerabilities. The Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) and the National Vulnerability Database (NVD) are also excellent sources for vulnerability information. In addition, security control assessments such as red team exercises are another source of potential vulnerabilities for which to scan. Related controls: CA-2, CM-6, RA-3, SI-2.

<u>References</u>: NIST Special Publications 800-40, 800-70, 800-115; Web: CWE.MITRE.ORG; NVD.NIST.GOV.

FAMILY: SYSTEM AND SERVICES ACQUISITION

CLASS: MANAGEMENT

SA-1 SYSTEM AND SERVICES ACQUISITION POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented system and services acquisition policy that includes information security considerations and that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the system and services acquisition policy and associated system and services acquisition controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the system and services acquisition family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The system and services acquisition policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. System and services acquisition procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the system and services acquisition policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

SA-2 ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES

Control: The organization:

- a. Includes a determination of information security requirements for the information system in mission/business process planning;
- b. Determines, documents, and allocates the resources required to protect the information system as part of its capital planning and investment control process; and
- c. Establishes a discrete line item for information security in organizational programming and budgeting documentation.

Supplemental Guidance: Related controls: PM-3, PM-11.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-65.

SA-3 LIFE CYCLE SUPPORT

Control: The organization:

- a. Manages the information system using a system development life cycle methodology that includes information security considerations;
- b. Defines and documents information system security roles and responsibilities throughout the system development life cycle; and
- c. Identifies individuals having information system security roles and responsibilities.

Supplemental Guidance: Related control: PM-7.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-64.

SA-4 ACQUISITIONS

<u>Control</u>: The organization includes the following requirements and/or specifications, explicitly or by reference, in information system acquisition contracts based on an assessment of risk and in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, and standards:

- a. Security functional requirements/specifications;
- b. Security-related documentation requirements; and
- c. Developmental and evaluation-related assurance requirements.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The acquisition documents for information systems, information system components, and information system services include, either explicitly or by reference, security requirements that describe: (i) required security capabilities (i.e., security needs and, as necessary, specific security controls and other specific FISMA requirements); (ii) required design and development processes; (iii) required test and evaluation procedures; and (iv) required documentation. The requirements in the acquisition documents permit updating security controls as new threats/vulnerabilities are identified and as new technologies are implemented. Acquisition documents also include requirements for appropriate information system documentation. The documentation addresses user and system administrator guidance and information regarding the implementation of the security controls in the information system. The level of detail required in the documentation includes security configuration settings and security implementation guidance. FISMA reporting instructions provide guidance on configuration requirements for federal information systems.

<u>References</u>: ISO/IEC 15408; FIPS 140-2; NIST Special Publications 800-23, 800-35, 800-36, 800-64, 800-70; Web: WWW.NIAP-CCEVS.ORG.

SA-5 INFORMATION SYSTEM DOCUMENTATION

Control: The organization:

- a. Obtains, protects as required, and makes available to authorized personnel, administrator documentation for the information system that describes:
 - Secure configuration, installation, and operation of the information system;
 - Effective use and maintenance of security features/functions; and
 - Known vulnerabilities regarding configuration and use of administrative (i.e., privileged) functions; and
- b. Obtains, protects as required, and makes available to authorized personnel, user documentation for the information system that describes:
 - User-accessible security features/functions and how to effectively use those security features/functions;
 - Methods for user interaction with the information system, which enables individuals to use the system in a more secure manner; and
 - User responsibilities in maintaining the security of the information and information system; and
- c. Documents attempts to obtain information system documentation when such documentation is either unavailable or nonexistent.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The inability of the organization to obtain necessary information system documentation may occur, for example, due to the age of the system and/or lack of support from the vendor/contractor. In those situations, organizations may need to recreate selected information

system documentation if such documentation is essential to the effective implementation and/or operation of security controls.

References: None.

SA-6 SOFTWARE USAGE RESTRICTIONS

Control: The organization:

- a. Uses software and associated documentation in accordance with contract agreements and copyright laws;
- b. Employs tracking systems for software and associated documentation protected by quantity licenses to control copying and distribution; and
- c. Controls and documents the use of peer-to-peer file sharing technology to ensure that this capability is not used for the unauthorized distribution, display, performance, or reproduction of copyrighted work.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Tracking systems can include, for example, simple spreadsheets or fully automated, specialized applications depending on the needs of the organization.

References: None.

SA-7 USER-INSTALLED SOFTWARE

Control: The organization enforces explicit rules governing the installation of software by users.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: If provided the necessary privileges, users have the ability to install software. The organization identifies what types of software installations are permitted (e.g., updates and security patches to existing software) and what types of installations are prohibited (e.g., software whose pedigree with regard to being potentially malicious is unknown or suspect). Related control: CM-2.

References: None.

SA-9 EXTERNAL INFORMATION SYSTEM SERVICES

Control: The organization:

- Requires that providers of external information system services comply with organizational information security requirements and employ appropriate security controls in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance;
- b. Defines and documents government oversight and user roles and responsibilities with regard to external information system services; and
- c. Monitors security control compliance by external service providers.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: An external information system service is a service that is implemented outside of the authorization boundary of the organizational information system (i.e., a service that is used by, but not a part of, the organizational information system). Relationships with external service providers are established in a variety of ways, for example, through joint ventures, business partnerships, outsourcing arrangements (i.e., contracts, interagency agreements, lines of business arrangements), licensing agreements, and/or supply chain exchanges. The responsibility for adequately mitigating risks arising from the use of external information system services remains with the authorizing official. Authorizing officials require that an appropriate chain of trust be established with external service providers when dealing with the many issues associated with information security. For services external to the organization, a chain of trust requires that the organization establish and retain a level of confidence that each participating provider in the

potentially complex consumer-provider relationship provides adequate protection for the services rendered to the organization. The extent and nature of this chain of trust varies based on the relationship between the organization and the external provider. Where a sufficient level of trust cannot be established in the external services and/or service providers, the organization employs compensating security controls or accepts the greater degree of risk. The external information system services documentation includes government, service provider, and end user security roles and responsibilities, and any service-level agreements. Service-level agreements define the expectations of performance for each required security control, describe measurable outcomes, and identify remedies and response requirements for any identified instance of noncompliance.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-35.

FAMILY: SYSTEM AND COMMUNICATIONS PROTECTION

CLASS: TECHNICAL

SC-1 SYSTEM AND COMMUNICATIONS PROTECTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented system and communications protection policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the system and communications protection policy and associated system and communications protection controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the system and communications protection family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The system and communications protection policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. System and communications protection procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the system and communications protection policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

SC-5 DENIAL OF SERVICE PROTECTION

<u>Control</u>: The information system protects against or limits the effects of the following types of denial of service attacks: [Assignment: organization-defined list of types of denial of service attacks or reference to source for current list].

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: A variety of technologies exist to limit, or in some cases, eliminate the effects of denial of service attacks. For example, boundary protection devices can filter certain types of packets to protect devices on an organization's internal network from being directly affected by denial of service attacks. Employing increased capacity and bandwidth combined with service redundancy may reduce the susceptibility to some denial of service attacks. Related control: SC-7.

References: None.

SC-7 BOUNDARY PROTECTION

<u>Control</u>: The information system:

- a. Monitors and controls communications at the external boundary of the system and at key internal boundaries within the system; and
- b. Connects to external networks or information systems only through managed interfaces consisting of boundary protection devices arranged in accordance with an organizational security architecture.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Restricting external web traffic only to organizational web servers within managed interfaces and prohibiting external traffic that appears to be spoofing an internal address as the source are examples of restricting and prohibiting communications. Managed interfaces employing boundary protection devices include, for example, proxies, gateways, routers, firewalls, guards, or encrypted tunnels arranged in an effective security architecture (e.g., routers protecting

firewalls and application gateways residing on a protected subnetwork commonly referred to as a demilitarized zone or DMZ).

The organization considers the intrinsically shared nature of commercial telecommunications services in the implementation of security controls associated with the use of such services. Commercial telecommunications services are commonly based on network components and consolidated management systems shared by all attached commercial customers, and may include third-party provided access lines and other service elements. Consequently, such interconnecting transmission services may represent sources of increased risk despite contract security provisions. Therefore, when this situation occurs, the organization either implements appropriate compensating security controls or explicitly accepts the additional risk. Related controls: AC-4, IR-4, SC-5.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publications 800-41, 800-77.

SC-12 CRYPTOGRAPHIC KEY ESTABLISHMENT AND MANAGEMENT

<u>Control</u>: The organization establishes and manages cryptographic keys for required cryptography employed within the information system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Cryptographic key management and establishment can be performed using manual procedures or automated mechanisms with supporting manual procedures. In addition to being required for the effective operation of a cryptographic mechanism, effective cryptographic key management provides protections to maintain the availability of the information in the event of the loss of cryptographic keys by users.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-56, 800-57.

SC-13 USE OF CRYPTOGRAPHY

<u>Control</u>: The information system implements required cryptographic protections using cryptographic modules that comply with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance.

Supplemental Guidance: None.

References: FIPS Publication 140-2; Web: CSRC.NIST.GOV/CRYPTVAL, WWW.CNSS.GOV.

SC-14 PUBLIC ACCESS PROTECTIONS

<u>Control</u>: The information system protects the integrity and availability of publicly available information and applications.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The purpose of this control is to ensure that organizations explicitly address the protection needs for public information and applications with such protection likely being implemented as part of other security controls.

References: None.

SC-15 COLLABORATIVE COMPUTING DEVICES

Control: The information system:

- a. Prohibits remote activation of collaborative computing devices with the following exceptions: [Assignment: organization-defined exceptions where remote activation is to be allowed]; and
- b. Provides an explicit indication of use to users physically present at the devices.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Collaborative computing devices include, for example, networked white boards, cameras, and microphones. Explicit indication of use includes, for example, signals to users when collaborative computing devices are activated.

References: None.

SC-20 SECURE NAME / ADDRESS RESOLUTION SERVICE (AUTHORITATIVE SOURCE)

<u>Control</u>: The information system provides additional data origin and integrity artifacts along with the authoritative data the system returns in response to name/address resolution queries.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control enables remote clients to obtain origin authentication and integrity verification assurances for the host/service name to network address resolution information obtained through the service. A domain name system (DNS) server is an example of an information system that provides name/address resolution service. Digital signatures and cryptographic keys are examples of additional artifacts. DNS resource records are examples of authoritative data. Information systems that use technologies other than the DNS to map between host/service names and network addresses provide other means to assure the authenticity and integrity of response data. The DNS security controls are consistent with, and referenced from, OMB Memorandum 08-23.

Control Enhancements:

(1) The information system, when operating as part of a distributed, hierarchical namespace, provides the means to indicate the security status of child subspaces and (if the child supports secure resolution services) enable verification of a chain of trust among parent and child domains.

Enhancement Supplemental Guidance: An example means to indicate the security status of child subspaces is through the use of delegation signer (DS) resource records in the DNS.

References: OMB Memorandum 08-23; NIST Special Publication 800-81.

FAMILY: SYSTEM AND INFORMATION INTEGRITY

CLASS: OPERATIONAL

SI-1 SYSTEM AND INFORMATION INTEGRITY POLICY AND PROCEDURES

<u>Control</u>: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*]:

- a. A formal, documented system and information integrity policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the system and information integrity policy and associated system and information integrity controls.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the system and information integrity family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The system and information integrity policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. System and information integrity procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the system and information integrity policy. Related control: PM-9.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-100.

SI-2 FLAW REMEDIATION

Control: The organization:

- a. Identifies, reports, and corrects information system flaws;
- b. Tests software updates related to flaw remediation for effectiveness and potential side effects on organizational information systems before installation; and
- c. Incorporates flaw remediation into the organizational configuration management process.

Supplemental Guidance: The organization identifies information systems containing software affected by recently announced software flaws (and potential vulnerabilities resulting from those flaws) and reports this information to designated organizational officials with information security responsibilities (e.g., senior information security officers, information system security managers, information systems security officers). The organization (including any contractor to the organization) promptly installs security-relevant software updates (e.g., patches, service packs, and hot fixes). Flaws discovered during security assessments, continuous monitoring, incident response activities, or information system error handling, are also addressed expeditiously. Organizations are encouraged to use resources such as the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) or Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) databases in remediating flaws discovered in organizational information systems. By requiring that flaw remediation be incorporated into the organizational configuration management process, it is the intent of this control that required/anticipated remediation actions are tracked and verified. An example of expected flaw remediation that would be so verified is whether the procedures contained in US-CERT guidance and Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts have been accomplished. Related controls: CA-2, CA-7, CM-3, MA-2, IR-4, RA-5, SA-11, SI-11.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-40.

SI-3 MALICIOUS CODE PROTECTION

Control: The organization:

- a. Employs malicious code protection mechanisms at information system entry and exit points and at workstations, servers, or mobile computing devices on the network to detect and eradicate malicious code:
 - Transported by electronic mail, electronic mail attachments, web accesses, removable media, or other common means; or
 - Inserted through the exploitation of information system vulnerabilities;
- b. Updates malicious code protection mechanisms (including signature definitions) whenever new releases are available in accordance with organizational configuration management policy and procedures;
- c. Configures malicious code protection mechanisms to:
 - Perform periodic scans of the information system [*Assignment: organization-defined frequency*] and real-time scans of files from external sources as the files are downloaded, opened, or executed in accordance with organizational security policy; and
 - [Selection (one or more): block malicious code; quarantine malicious code; send alert to administrator; [Assignment: organization-defined action]] in response to malicious code detection; and
- d. Addresses the receipt of false positives during malicious code detection and eradication and the resulting potential impact on the availability of the information system.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Information system entry and exit points include, for example, firewalls, electronic mail servers, web servers, proxy servers, and remote-access servers. Malicious code includes, for example, viruses, worms, Trojan horses, and spyware. Malicious code can also be encoded in various formats (e.g., UUENCODE, Unicode) or contained within a compressed file. Removable media includes, for example, USB devices, diskettes, or compact disks. A variety of technologies and methods exist to limit or eliminate the effects of malicious code attacks. Pervasive configuration management and strong software integrity controls may be effective in preventing execution of unauthorized code. In addition to commercial off-the-shelf software, malicious code may also be present in custom-built software. This could include, for example, logic bombs, back doors, and other types of cyber attacks that could affect organizational missions and business functions. Traditional malicious code protection mechanisms are not built to detect such code. In these situations, organizations must rely instead on other risk mitigation measures to include, for example, secure coding practices, trusted procurement processes, configuration management and control, and monitoring practices to help ensure that software does not perform functions other than those intended. Related controls: SA-4, SA-8, SA-12, SA-13, SI-4, SI-7.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-83.

SI-5 SECURITY ALERTS, ADVISORIES, AND DIRECTIVES

Control: The organization:

- a. Receives information system security alerts, advisories, and directives from designated external organizations on an ongoing basis;
- b. Generates internal security alerts, advisories, and directives as deemed necessary;
- c. Disseminates security alerts, advisories, and directives to [*Assignment: organization-defined list of personnel (identified by name and/or by role)*]; and
- d. Implements security directives in accordance with established time frames, or notifies the issuing organization of the degree of noncompliance.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: Security alerts and advisories are generated by the United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team (US-CERT) to maintain situational awareness across the federal government. Security directives are issued by OMB or other designated organizations with the responsibility and authority to issue such directives. Compliance to security directives is *essential* due to the critical nature of many of these directives and the potential immediate adverse affects on organizational operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation should the directives not be implemented in a timely manner.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-40.

SI-12 INFORMATION OUTPUT HANDLING AND RETENTION

<u>Control</u>: The organization handles and retains both information within and output from the information system in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and operational requirements.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: The output handling and retention requirements cover the full life cycle of the information, in some cases extending beyond the disposal of the information system. The National Archives and Records Administration provides guidance on records retention. Related controls: MP-2, MP-4.

PART TWO

MINIMUM ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

LOW-IMPACT INFORMATION SYSTEMS

The minimum assurance requirements for security controls described in the security control catalog are listed below. The assurance requirements are directed at the activities and actions that security control developers and implementers² define and apply to increase the level of confidence that the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the security requirements for the information system. The assurance requirements are applied on a control-by-control basis. The requirements are grouped by information system impact level (i.e., low, moderate, and high) since the requirements apply to each control within the respective impact level. Using a format similar to security controls, assurance requirements are followed by supplemental guidance that provides additional detail and explanation of how the requirements are to be applied. Bolded text indicates requirements that appear for the first time at a particular impact level.

<u>Assurance Requirement</u>: The security control is in effect and meets explicitly identified functional requirements in the control statement.

<u>Supplemental Guidance</u>: For security controls in low-impact information systems, the focus is on the controls being in place with the expectation that no obvious errors exist and that, as flaws are discovered, they are addressed in a timely manner.

² In this context, a developer/implementer is an individual or group of individuals responsible for the development or implementation of security controls. This may include in addition to organizational personnel, for example, hardware and software vendors providing the controls and contractors implementing the controls.