

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN (QAPjP) and QA Report for Pacific 2001

Prepared by: Robert McLaren

Date: June 22, 2001

Table of Contents

1.	Principal Investigator	3
2.	Team Members	3
3.	Measurement Program.....	3
4.	Measurement Species and Units	3
5.	Representative Size Range (if PM)	3
6.	Measurement Platform (surface, airborne).....	3
7.	Measurement Sites (surface only).....	3
8.	Measurement Objective(s)	3
9.	Measurement Details.....	3
9.1.	Field Measurements	3
9.1.1.	Measurement Principle	3
9.1.2.	Instrumentation (Manufacturer/Model).....	3
9.1.3.	Flow System	3
9.1.4.	Inlet Height Above Ground (if surface)	3
9.1.5.	Nominal Flow Rate.....	4
9.1.6.	Flow Measurement/Control.....	4
9.1.7.	Flow Temperature and Pressure	4
9.1.8.	Sampling Times/Period/Frequency.....	4
9.1.9.	Sampling Methods	4
9.1.10.	Filter Type/Coating Type/Reagent Type.....	4
9.1.11.	Planned Changes to Instruments or Methods During Study	4
9.2.	Laboratory Measurements (If Applicable)	4
9.2.1.	Laboratory Name and Address	4
9.2.2.	Analytical Method(s)	4
9.2.3.	Sample Extraction or Work-up.....	4
9.2.4.	Analytical Detection Limits.....	4
10.	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	4
10.1.	Field Quality Assurance/Quality Control	4
10.1.1.	Traceability.....	4
10.1.2.	Calibration	4
10.1.3.	Zeros and spans	5
10.1.4.	Blanks	5
	1 blank per day will be evaluated, static DNPH load without air sampling. Although zero air could be sampled for a blank, this requires extreme cleanup of the air using liquid Argon, and will not be performed under field conditions.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
10.1.5.	Field Quality Control procedures	5
10.1.6.	Precision determination	5
10.1.7.	Comparison with other measurements	5
10.1.8.	Inspections and Audits.....	5
10.2.	Laboratory Quality Assurance/Quality Control.....	5
10.2.1.	Traceability.....	5
10.2.2.	Calibration procedures.....	5
10.2.3.	Blanks	5
10.2.4.	Other lab QC.....	5
10.2.5.	Precision determination	5
10.2.6.	Comparison with other methods	5
10.2.7.	Audits	5

11.	Data Management and Quality Control	5
11.1.	Raw Data Recording.....	5
11.2.	Final Data Reporting.....	5
11.3.	Data Quality Control and Validation.....	5
11.4.	Validity Flags.....	6
11.5.	Below Method Detection Limit Values	6
11.6.	Derived Parameters	6
11.7.	Explanation of Zero or Negative Data.....	6
12.	Data Quality Objectives (Pre-Study)	6
12.1.	Accuracy	6
12.2.	Precision	6
12.3.	Comparability	6
12.4.	Representativeness	6
12.5.	Completeness	6
12.6.	Other Quality Information.....	6
13.	Significant Changes to Site, Instruments or Methods During Study	8
14.	Post-study Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	8
14.1.1.	Accuracy	8
14.1.2.	Precision	8
14.1.3.	Comparability	8
14.1.4.	Representativeness	8
14.1.5.	Completeness	8
14.2.	Blank correction (describe whether done and method used):	8
14.3.	Other Quality Information.....	8
15.	References:	8

1. Principal Investigator

Robert McLaren (Associate Prof)
Center for Atmospheric Chemistry
York University
4700 Keele St,
Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3

2. Team Members

Heng Yao

3. Measurement Program

SPME/GC-MS measurements of gaseous monoterpenes and oxygenated hydrocarbons.

4. Measurement Species and Units

Gas: VOC's in ppbv

5. Representative Size Range (if PM)

N/A

6. Measurement Platform (surface, airborne)

Teflon Line – sampled from manifold on site.

7. Measurement Sites (surface only)

Sumas Mountain

8. Measurement Objective(s)

9. Measurement Details

9.1. Field Measurements

9.1.1. Measurement Principle

Sampling by non-equilibrium solid phase microextraction (SPME) in constant temperature air stream. Fibers analyzed by GC/MS in SIM.

9.1.2. Instrumentation (Manufacturer/Model)

Carboxen/PDMS fibers (Supelco)
HP5890 II/ 5972 MSD

9.1.3. Flow System

Flow through on site manifold will be sampled through short length teflon tubing at ca. 1 L/min through constant temperature bath. Air passes through custom flow cell that maintains face velocity > 30cm/sec at point where fiber sampling is done.

9.1.4. Inlet Height Above Ground (if surface)

Height of on site manifold, to be determined.

9.1.5. Nominal Flow Rate

1 L/min.

9.1.6. Flow Measurement/Control

Flow is not critical since this is not a complete capture method.

9.1.7. Flow Temperature and Pressure

25 degrees C and 1 atmosphere

9.1.8. Sampling Times/Period/Frequency

~ Either 1 or 2, ½ hour integrated samples, will be taken per day under routine conditions. Times to be determined, likely a day and evening sample.

9.1.9. Sampling Methods

Solid Phase Microextraction

9.1.10. Filter Type/Coating Type/Reagent Type

Carboxen/PDMS fiber (85 µm thick film)

9.1.11. Planned Changes to Instruments or Methods During Study

9.2. Laboratory Measurements (If Applicable)

9.2.1. Laboratory Name and Address

Robert McLaren's laboratory, Dept of Chemistry, York University.

9.2.2. Analytical Method(s)

9.2.3. Sample Extraction or Work-up

9.2.4. Analytical Detection Limits

~10 ppt detection limits for α-pinene and β-pinene.

10. Quality Assurance/Quality Control

10.1. Field Quality Assurance/Quality Control

10.1.1. Traceability

Calibrations for VOC's are traced to standard pure liquid samples of the VOC's that are used to generate dynamic gas samples in situ, sampled in identical manner on site to the real samples.

10.1.2. Calibration

Calibration system uses Harvard Syringe pump to deliver small volumes of pure liquid mixtures into an air stream. The air stream is diluted with zero air flowing through mass flow controllers at fixed rates (double dilution). Air stream is also humidified to humidity of air sampled on that day +/- 10%. In the field, 2 point calibration per day is performed.

10.1.3. Zeros and spans

10.1.4. Blanks

Fiber blanks are a routine part of the analysis to assess the fiber cleanliness.

10.1.5. Field Quality Control procedures

Custom QC procedures for the method are used.

10.1.6. Precision determination

Precision to be determined using replicate analyses of dynamically generated standard gas mixture of the monoterpenes, ~ 2ppbv.

10.1.7. Comparison with other measurements

no comparison will be possible to our knowledge.

10.1.8. Inspections and Audits

Not planned

10.2. Laboratory Quality Assurance/Quality Control

10.2.1. Traceability

10.2.2. Calibration procedures

10.2.3. Blanks

10.2.4. Other lab QC

10.2.5. Precision determination

10.2.6. Comparison with other methods

10.2.7. Audits

11. Data Management and Quality Control

11.1. Raw Data Recording

flow control is monitored manually by. Flow is not critical for this type of sampling since method is diffusion controlled.

11.2. Final Data Reporting

½ hour integrated sample.

11.3. Data Quality Control and Validation

All reported data will be flagged as valid or invalid. Flows will be checked, all instrumental parameters must be functioning normally, data

will be blank corrected if necessary using blank determined that day, species will be identified by retention time and multiple selected ions.

11.4. Validity Flags
VO, V1

11.5. Below Method Detection Limit Values
MDL will be identified using standard analytical definition, 3 sigma of blank/slope. Standard deviation of blank is determined by integrating appropriate sections of chromatogram baseline for blank samples multiple times and for multiple samples. Values below detection limits will be reported as less than the detection limit, e.g., < 10 ppt.

11.6. Derived Parameters

11.7. Explanation of Zero or Negative Data

12. Data Quality Objectives (Pre-Study)

12.1. Accuracy
The accuracy objective is +/- 30% for each individual monoterpene when it is above the limit of quantification (3.3 x detection limit.)

12.2. Precision
The precision objective is +/- 10% for each individual carbonyl species when it is above the limit of quantification. This will be determined in advance using dynamic gas standards.

12.3. Comparability

12.4. Representativeness
Depending on conditions, the measurements at this Sumas site can be representative of processed urban air masses with influence from primary and secondary biogenic sources. The measurements can also be representative of direct biogenic emissions as the site is in the heart of a mixed forest on Sumas forest at 300m elevation. Daytime measurements will likely be representative of the boundary layer, while nighttime measurements will likely be decoupled from the valley floor due to the elevation of this site (300m asl).

12.5. Completeness
Carbonyl completeness objective = 80%, where 100% = 2 valid samples per day.

12.6. Other Quality Information

End of Pre-Study QAPjP

Start of Post-Study QA Report

13. Significant Changes to Site, Instruments or Methods During Study

14. Post-study Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)

14.1.1. Accuracy

14.1.2. Precision

14.1.3. Comparability

14.1.4. Representativeness

14.1.5. Completeness

14.2. Blank correction (describe whether done and method used):

14.3. Other Quality Information

15. References: