

## QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN (QAPjP) and QA Report for Pacific 2001

Prepared by: Katherine Hayden

Date:

### Table of Contents

1.	Principal Investigator .....	3
2.	Team Members .....	3
3.	Measurement Program .....	3
4.	Measurement Species and Units .....	3
5.	Representative Size Range (if PM) .....	3
6.	Measurement Platform (surface, airborne).....	3
7.	Measurement Sites (surface only).....	3
8.	Measurement Objective(s) .....	3
9.	Measurement Details.....	3
9.1.	Field Measurements .....	3
9.1.1.	Measurement Principle .....	3
9.1.2.	Instrumentation (Manufacturer/Model).....	3
9.1.3.	Flow System .....	3
9.1.4.	Inlet Height Above Ground (if surface) .....	3
9.1.5.	Nominal Flow Rate.....	3
9.1.6.	Flow Measurement/Control.....	3
9.1.7.	Flow Temperature and Pressure .....	4
9.1.8.	Sampling Times/Period/Frequency.....	4
9.1.9.	Sampling Methods .....	4
9.1.10.	Filter Type/Coating Type/Reagent Type.....	4
9.1.11.	Planned Changes to Instruments or Methods During Study .....	4
9.2.	Laboratory Measurements (If Applicable) .....	4
9.2.1.	Laboratory Name and Address .....	4
9.2.2.	Analytical Method(s) .....	4
9.2.3.	Sample Extraction or Work-up.....	4
9.2.4.	Analytical Detection Limits.....	4
10.	Quality Assurance/Quality Control .....	4
10.1.	Field Quality Assurance/Quality Control .....	4
10.1.1.	Traceability.....	4
10.1.2.	Calibration .....	4
10.1.3.	Zeros and spans .....	4
10.1.4.	Blanks .....	4
10.1.5.	Field Quality Control procedures .....	5
10.1.6.	Precision determination .....	5
10.1.7.	Comparison with other measurements .....	5
10.1.8.	Inspections and Audits.....	5
10.2.	Laboratory Quality Assurance/Quality Control.....	5
10.2.1.	Traceability.....	5
10.2.2.	Calibration procedures.....	5
10.2.3.	Blanks .....	5
10.2.4.	Other lab QC.....	5
10.2.5.	Precision determination .....	5
10.2.6.	Comparison with other methods .....	5
10.2.7.	Audits .....	5
11.	Data Management and Quality Control .....	5
11.1.	Raw Data Recording.....	5
11.2.	Final Data Reporting.....	5

## QAPjP / QA Report

11.3.	Data Quality Control and Validation.....	6
11.4.	Validity Flags.....	6
11.5.	Below Method Detection Limit Values .....	6
11.6.	Derived Parameters .....	6
11.7.	Explanation of Zero or Negative Data.....	6
12.	Data Quality Objectives (Pre-Study) .....	6
12.1.	Accuracy .....	6
12.2.	Precision .....	6
12.3.	Comparability .....	6
12.4.	Representativeness .....	6
12.5.	Completeness .....	6
12.6.	Other Quality Information.....	6

**1. Principal Investigator**

Kurt Anlauf, Meteorological Service of Canada, 4905 Dufferin Street, Toronto, Ontario M3H 5T4

**2. Team Members**

Kathy Hayden, MSC; Maurice Watt, MSC

**3. Measurement Program**

NOy

**4. Measurement Species and Units**

NOy, ppbv

**5. Representative Size Range (if PM)**

N/A

**6. Measurement Platform (surface, airborne)**

Surface, estimated 5 m above ground by trailer on tower

**7. Measurement Sites (surface only)**

Langley, Slocan Park

**8. Measurement Objective(s)**

**9. Measurement Details**

**9.1. Field Measurements**

**9.1.1. Measurement Principle**

chemiluminescence with nitrogen oxide conversion to NO through either a Au/CO system or on molybdenum.

**9.1.2. Instrumentation (Manufacturer/Model)**

TECO 42S

**9.1.3. Flow System**

Main sample flow produced by an external pump.

**9.1.4. Inlet Height Above Ground (if surface)**

5 m above the ground on tower near trailer.

**9.1.5. Nominal Flow Rate**

Total ambient inlet flow: 1 LPM

**9.1.6. Flow Measurement/Control**

The ambient flow is controlled by a capillary.

**9.1.7. Flow Temperature and Pressure**

**9.1.8. Sampling Times/Period/Frequency**

1 minute averaging period

**9.1.9. Sampling Methods**

N/A

**9.1.10. Filter Type/Coating Type/Reagent Type**

N/A

**9.1.11. Planned Changes to Instruments or Methods During Study**

none

**9.2. Laboratory Measurements (If Applicable)**

**9.2.1. Laboratory Name and Address**

N/A

**9.2.2. Analytical Method(s)**

N/A

**9.2.3. Sample Extraction or Work-up**

N/A

**9.2.4. Analytical Detection Limits**

N/A

**10. Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

**10.1. Field Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

**10.1.1. Traceability**

NOy will be calibrated for NO using a NIST-referenced NO standard.

**10.1.2. Calibration**

Daily calibrations will be performed for about 1 hour using the NO transfer standard (referenced to NIST). The daily calibration factors will be applied to the data set probably using an interpolation method.

**10.1.3. Zeros and spans**

Instrument zeros are automatically processed internally. Zero air will be run through the system daily as part of the calibration routine.

**10.1.4. Blanks**

N/A

**10.1.5. Field Quality Control procedures**

The display panel will be checked daily to ensure that the instrument is reading correctly. The calibration data will be checked periodically in the field.

**10.1.6. Precision determination**

N/A

**10.1.7. Comparison with other measurements**

N/A

**10.1.8. Inspections and Audits**

N/A

**10.2. Laboratory Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

**10.2.1. Traceability**

N/A

**10.2.2. Calibration procedures**

N/A

**10.2.3. Blanks**

N/A

**10.2.4. Other lab QC**

N/A

**10.2.5. Precision determination**

N/A

**10.2.6. Comparison with other methods**

N/A

**10.2.7. Audits**

N/A

**11. Data Management and Quality Control**

**11.1. Raw Data Recording**

Voltages will be collected on a computerized data acquisition system averaged over 1 minute.

**11.2. Final Data Reporting**

1 minute averaged data will be reported.

**11.3. Data Quality Control and Validation**

Data values will be flagged as either Valid (V) or Invalid (I). Raw data will be inspected and all instrument and power failures, zero, span and calibration period will be flagged as invalid. Calibration factors will be applied, probably interpolated from the daily calibrations.

**11.4. Validity Flags**

V0 valid value

V1 valid value, but qualified because of possible contamination (eg. pollution source, laboratory contamination source)

M1 missing value because no value available

M2 missing value because invalidated by data originator

**11.5. Below Method Detection Limit Values**

N/A

**11.6. Derived Parameters**

N/A

**11.7. Explanation of Zero or Negative Data**

N/A

**12. Data Quality Objectives (Pre-Study)**

**12.1. Accuracy**

<10%

**12.2. Precision**

N/A

**12.3. Comparability**

N/A

**12.4. Representativeness**

The measurements at the Slocan Park site will be representative of the typical urban/suburban pollution mix that is photochemically processed only minimally. The measurements at the Langley site will be representative of processed air pollution in which secondary pollutants will have formed.

**12.5. Completeness**

Objective = 100%. Excluding periods of instrument failure, power failure, zero and span readings, calibrations and on-site motor vehicle interference.

**12.6. Other Quality Information**

End of Pre-Study QAPjP

---