

1 **Draft ICCVAM Test Method Recommendations**
2 **Non-Radioactive LLNA: BrdU-ELISA**
3

4 **March 2009**
5

6 **This document provides draft ICCVAM recommendations on the non-radioactive**
7 **LLNA: BrdU-ELISA, a test method for assessing the allergic contact dermatitis**
8 **potential of chemicals and products for regulatory testing. These draft**
9 **recommendations are based on information and data provided in a draft background**
10 **review document available at**

11 **http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PeerPanel.htm, and will be**
12 **considered by an independent scientific peer review panel that will meet in public**
13 **session on April 28-29, 2009. Public comments are welcome. More information is**
14 **available in the *Federal Register* notice of the meeting (74 FR 8974) available at**
15 **<http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/SuppDocs/FedDocs/FR/FR-E9-4280.pdf>. ICCVAM will**
16 **finalize these recommendations after consideration of comments from the peer review**
17 **panel, the public, and its scientific advisory committee.**

18 **These draft recommendations do not represent the official position of any Federal**
19 **agency.**

20

20 **1.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Uses and Limitations**

21 **Background**

22 The Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods
23 (ICCVAM) is currently evaluating the validation status of the murine local lymph node assay
24 with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay detection of bromodeoxyuridine (LLNA: BrdU-
25 ELISA) as a non-radioactive modification of the traditional murine local lymph node assay
26 (LLNA; i.e., ICCVAM 1999; Dean et al. 2001) to identify substances that may cause allergic
27 contact dermatitis (ACD) for regulatory hazard classification and labeling purposes. While
28 the traditional LLNA assesses cellular proliferation by measuring the incorporation of
29 radioactive tritiated thymidine into the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) of dividing lymph node
30 cells, the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA assesses the same endpoint by measuring the incorporation of
31 the thymidine analog bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent
32 assay (ELISA). A comprehensive evaluation of this test method, including its accuracy and
33 reliability compared to the traditional LLNA, is provided in the revised draft ICCVAM
34 LLNA: BrdU-ELISA Background Review Document (BRD, ICCVAM 2009).

35 ICCVAM has developed recommended test method performance standards for the LLNA
36 (ICCVAM 2009)¹, which are proposed to evaluate the performance of modified LLNA test
37 methods that are mechanistically and functionally similar to the traditional LLNA. However,
38 because the validation studies for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA test method were completed prior
39 to the development of LLNA performance standards and because data for all of the
40 performance standards reference substances were not available, the ICCVAM LLNA
41 performance standards were not used as the basis for evaluating the validity of the LLNA:
42 BrdU-ELISA.

43 **Draft Recommendations**

44 Based on the available validation database of 31 substances (22 sensitizers and
45 9 nonsensitizers), ICCVAM proposes that the accuracy and reliability of the LLNA: BrdU-
46 ELISA supports the use of the test method to identify substances as potential skin sensitizers
47 and nonsensitizers, with specific defined limitations. ICCVAM proposes that a decision
48 criterion of a stimulation index (SI) ≥ 2.0 be used to identify potential sensitizers, based on
49 the fact that no false positives relative to the traditional LLNA resulted when an SI ≥ 2.0 was

¹ Available at http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PerfStds.htm.

50 obtained (when an $SI \geq 2.0$ was obtained in the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA, the false positive rate
51 compared to the traditional LLNA is 0% [0/9])². Likewise, ICCVAM proposes that a
52 decision criterion of $SI < 1.3$ be used to identify nonsensitizers, based on the fact that no false
53 negatives relative to the traditional LLNA resulted when an $SI < 1.3$ was obtained (when an
54 $SI < 1.3$ was obtained in the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA, the false negative rate compared to the
55 traditional LLNA is 0% [0/22]).

56 However, six traditional LLNA positives and five traditional LLNA negatives produced an
57 SI within the range of 1.3 to <2.0 in the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA³. Therefore, when results are
58 obtained in this range, users should carefully consider the interpretation of LLNA: BrdU-
59 ELISA results in an integrated decision strategy in conjunction with all other available
60 information (e.g., dose response information, statistical analyses of treated vs. control
61 animals, peptide binding activity, molecular weight, results from related chemicals, other
62 testing data) to determine if there is sufficient information on which to determine
63 sensitization potential, or if additional testing is necessary.

64 As an example, consider an LLNA: BrdU-ELISA result of $SI = 1.8$, coupled with: 1) a low
65 molecular weight (e.g., < 300) such that the substance could easily traverse the stratum
66 corneum, 2) evidence that the substance is moderately peptide reactive; 3) a statistically
67 significant difference between treated and vehicle control animals; and 4) a clear dose
68 response. While any of this information alone would not necessarily be considered adequate
69 to reach a conclusion, all of the information together might be considered sufficient to
70 consider this substance a potential sensitizer. Such an integrated decision would need to be
71 conducted on a case-by-case basis.

72 **Limitations**

73 As discussed above, when an SI greater than or equal to 1.3 but less than 2.0 is obtained,
74 there is increased uncertainty as to whether the substance is a sensitizer or a non-sensitizer,
75 and additional information or testing must be considered and used to reach a hazard
76 classification decision.

² For the accuracy analyses, results for multiply tested substances were combined so that each substance was represented by one result. In this case, the single result used for each substance represented the outcome that was most prevalent. Multiple tests were available for 14 substances tested with the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA.

³ Within the validation database for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA, 11 substances produced an SI of 1.3 to < 2.0 . Among these 11 substances, 6/11 are sensitizers and 5/11 are nonsensitizers based on traditional LLNA results.

77 **2.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Protocol for the LLNA:** 78 **BrdU-ELISA**

79 The draft ICCVAM-recommended LLNA: BrdU-ELISA is based on the protocol developed
80 by Takeyoshi et al. (2004, see Appendix A of the draft ICCVAM LLNA: BrdU-ELISA
81 BRD). The draft ICCVAM-recommended LLNA: BrdU-ELISA protocol incorporates all
82 aspects of the recently updated ICCVAM recommended LLNA test method protocol
83 (Appendix A of ICCVAM 2009), except for those procedures unique to the conduct of the
84 LLNA: BrdU-ELISA (see Appendix A of the draft BRD). Key aspects that are included in
85 the ICCVAM-recommended protocol include the following:

- 86 • The high dose group should be the maximum soluble concentration that does not
87 produce systemic toxicity and/or excessive local irritation
- 88 • A minimum of four animals per dose group is recommended
- 89 • Collection of individual animal data is recommended
- 90 • Inclusion of a concurrent vehicle control and positive control in each study is
91 recommended

92 Additionally, ICCVAM recommends there should be a measure of variability of the positive
93 control response over time. Laboratories should maintain a historical database of positive
94 control SI values such that results can be compared to the mean historical SI. There could be
95 cause for concern when a negative test substance result is accompanied by a concurrent
96 positive control SI value that is significantly lower than the mean historical SI.

97 **3.0 Draft Recommendations: Future Studies**

- 98 • Efforts should be made to further characterize the sensitization potential of
99 substances that produce an SI of 1.3 to less than 2.0 in the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA.
100 This could include evaluations of peptide binding activity, determination of
101 molecular weight, identifying results from related chemicals, human studies
102 where ethical, review of occupational exposures and postmarketing experience or
103 monitoring, or other testing data (e.g., *in vitro* results).
- 104 • Consistent with recommendations for the traditional LLNA, to more
105 comprehensively evaluate the ability of the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA to be used for
106 testing metal compounds, additional data from LLNA: BrdU-ELISA studies on
107 such compounds with comparative human and/or guinea pig data are needed.

- 108 • Additional skin irritants should be tested to determine the impact of such
109 substances on the false positive rate of the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA.
- 110 • Efforts should be made to identify additional human data and human experience
111 for test substances that can be used to further assess the usefulness and limitations
112 of this and other versions of the LLNA for identifying human sensitizing
113 substances (e.g., formulations).

114 **4.0 Draft Performance Standards**

115 Unique performance standards for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA are not proposed at this time.
116 Because the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA is mechanistically and functionally similar to the
117 traditional LLNA, ICCVAM proposes that the ICCVAM LLNA performance standards
118 (ICCVAM 2009) can be used to evaluate future modifications of the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA.

119 **5.0 References**

- 120 Dean JH, Twerdok LE, Tice RR, Sailstad DM, Hattan DG, Stokes WS. 2001. ICCVAM
121 evaluation of the murine local lymph node assay. Conclusions and recommendations of an
122 independent scientific peer review panel. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 34:258-273.
- 123 ICCVAM. 1999. The murine local lymph node assay: a test method for assessing the allergic
124 contact dermatitis potential of chemical/compounds. NIH Publication No. 99-4494. Research
125 Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.
- 126 ICCVAM. 2009. Recommended Performance Standards: Murine Local Lymph Node Assay.
127 NIH Publication Number 09-7357. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of
128 Environmental Health Sciences.
- 129 ICCVAM. 2009. Revised Draft Background Review Document - Non-radioactive Murine
130 Local Lymph Node Assay: BrdU-ELISA Test Method Protocol (LLNA: BrdU-ELISA).
131 Available: http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PeerPanel.htm.
- 132 Takeyoshi M, Noda S, Yamazaki S, Kakishima H, Yamasaki K, Kimber I. 2004. Assessment
133 of the skin sensitization potency of eugenol and its dimers using a non-radioisotopic
134 modification of the local lymph node assay. J Appl Toxicol 24:77-81.