	OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL						
1							
2							
3	:						
4	DEPOSITION OF : Tuesday, September 8, 1998 :						
5	CHARLES F. O'MALLEY : Washington, D. C. :						
6	x						
7	Deposition of						
8 9	CHARLES F. O'MALLEY						
10	before the Independent Counsel, held at the offices of the						
11	U.S. Secret Service, 1800 G Street, N. W., Eighth Floor,						
12	Washington, D. C., beginning at 10:10 a.m., when were						
13	present:						
14							
15	For the Independent Counsel:						
16							
17	EDWARD J. PAGE, ESQUIRE Associate Independent Counsel						
18	MARY ANNE WIRTH, ESQUIRE						
19	Associate Independent Counsel						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24	Court Reporter: Elizabeth A. Eastman						
25							

24

25

be 2 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 WHEREUPON, CHARLES F. O'MALLEY 3 having been called for examination by the Office of the 4 Independent Counsel, and having been first duly sworn, was 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 7 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL BY MR. PAGE: 8 Would you tell us your full name, please? 9 O My name is Charles Francis O'Malley. 10 Α Where do you work? Q 11 Currently I work at the Foreign Missions Branch of 12 Α the Uniformed Division, Secret Service. 13 How long have you worked with the Secret Service? Q 14 This past May it was 24 years; 24 years, three 15 months. 16 Before you were assigned to the Foreign Missions 17 Branch of the U. S. Secret Service, what was your assignment? 18 I was assigned as the Deputy Chief at the White 19 House, Uniformed Division. 20 Uniformed Division? Q 21 Yes. 22 Α

> How long were you the Deputy Chief of the Uniformed Division, approximately?

At the White House? I was the Deputy Chief from --Α

be 3 it was three years, almost to the day. 1 2 So, what time span would that have been? 3 January of '95 through January of '98. 4 What kinds of things are you responsible for in the position of Deputy Chief of the Uniformed Division? 5 I'm responsible for managing the Uniformed Division 6 Α personnel there, responsible for the policies and procedures 7 I'm responsible for manpower, scheduling. I'm 8 in effect. responsible for equipment. I'm responsible for the overall 9 physical security that our Uniformed Division provides at the 10 White House. 11 Basically, the entire operation? 12 Uniformed Division-related, yes. 13 Α Are there other deputies besides yourself? 14 Q Α Yes, there are. 15 What do they do? 16 Q 17 There's a Deputy Chief of Foreign Missions Branch, 18 which I am now, and they are responsible for providing security to the diplomatic community in Northwest Washington, 19 Their responsibilities are similar to mine, but just 20 relative to that mission. And we have one Deputy Chief who 21 is assigned to the Program Support Branch, who supervises and 22 manages our units, our other units, administration, that sort 23 of thing. 24

Q

25

I presume there is a Chief as well of the Uniformed

be	4
1	Division?
2	A There is a Chief of the Uniformed Division, yes.
3	Q Who is that?
4	A His name is Richard Friedman.
5	BY MS. WIRTH:
6	Q I'm sorry, F-R-I-E-D-M-A-N?
7	A Yes.
8	BY MR. PAGE:
9	Q How long has Friedman been Chief of the Uniformed
10	Division?
11	A I would say in the proximity of July of '95.
12	Q To
13	A The present.
14	Q He is currently occupying that position?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q Is he the head, as the Chief of the Uniformed
17	Division?
18	A Yes, he is.
19	Q Does he have any other people who occupy a similar
20	position near him?
21	A There's an Assistant Chief that is between the
22	Chief and the Deputy Chief.
23	Q You mentioned that in your role as Deputy Chief one
24	of your responsibilities was the policies and procedures in
25	effect within the Uniformed Division?

be

)
1	A Yes.
2	Q Can you tell us a little bit about that
3	responsibility and how you carry it out?
4	A The policies that are in effect at the White House
5	regarding security, scheduling, whatever is related, they've
6	been developed over the course of years, and many of them
7	have been in place for years. When a for some reason or
8	other, some policy would need to be changed or edited or
9	looked at or whatever, it would be my responsibility to farm
10	that out to one of my subordinates to take a look at it, have
11	it rewritten, and change the policy. Periodically they are
12	looked at.
13	Q Could you go below your position and tell us in a
14	nutshell how the hierarchy looks, below deputy chief?
15	A At the White House Branch?
16	Q Correct.
17	Q There's one deputy chief. There is two inspectors.
18	One inspector is responsible for administration and the other
19	inspector is responsible for operations. In January of '95,
20	there was only one inspector assigned. This is having two
21	responsible for those two functions has only been in the past
22	year and a half, I want to say, because the workload was such
23	that it justified breaking up those responsibilities.

Underneath those two inspectors, there are eight captains.

be BY MS. WIRTH: 1 Eight? 2 0 Yes. One of them is assigned in the Deputy Chief's 3 Α office as a -- operations, and one is assigned 4 administration. And the remaining captains, the other six 5 captains, are assigned operations. 6 BY MR. PAGE: 7 When you say "operations", what do you mean? 8 0 They would be in charge of the section. Like our 9 day is broken up into three sections: 6:30 in the morning to 10 2:30 in the afternoon; 2:30 in the afternoon to 10:30 at 11 night; 10:30 at night to 6:30 in the morning. And generally 12 there is a captain as the watch commander of each of those 13 respective sections. 14 The captain acts as the watch commander --15 Q Yes. Α 16 -- for each of those sections of shifts? 17 Generally. Sometimes, if the captain is on leave 18 or sick or whatever, it would go down to the senior 19 20 lieutenants. 21 Can you give us an idea, below captains, what exists there? 22 Below captains? To carry out the supervision are 23 24 lieutenants.

Approximately how many lieutenants are there?

be 1 Approximately, I'd say 30 lieutenants. A Thirty? 2 0 Yeah. 3 Α BY MS. WIRTH: 4 And this is just at the White House? 5 Q Yes. 6 Α BY MR. PAGE: 7 And below lieutenants? 8 0 9 Α You would have sergeants. And below sergeants, 10 officers. Approximately how many sergeants? 11 0 I want to say approximately 50 sergeants. 12 Α been away for going on seven months now. 13 I understand. 14 0 Eight months. 15 Α I'm just getting an idea. That's why I'm asking 16 Q you approximations. 17 Uh-huh. 18 And the number approximately of officers? 19 I could give you an approximation, but I'm hesitant 20 to without checking with legal counsel regarding the security 21 of giving out those numbers. 22 Okay. What kind of incidents, if you will, would 23 0 you learn about that the officers are involved in, in a day-24 to-day basis, as they are guarding the White House, say at 25

be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different places around the White House? I would be -- if I'm on duty and working, I would Α be notified of probably any type of an incident that happened. And it could range from an arrest out on the street, or someone trying to jump the fence, conflicts between our employees and either a passholder or a guest of the White House, breaches of security, that sort of thing. Off duty, things that could kind of be handled when I come in, they wouldn't be -- I'd be notified of them when I came in. Things of a higher priority, like an arrest, or maybe a confrontation between a passholder or a Congressman, that sort of thing, I'd be called at home and notified immediately. So, is it fair to characterize the list that you've Q just given us as relatively the run-of-the mill kinds of things that generate your review or your involvement, your assessment --Right. Α -- of an event? 0 Α Yes. So, arrests, jumping the fence, conflicts with quests or passholders, breach of security. Is there any other category of incident or situation that might fit in the, if there is, top five, six or seven?

Right off the top of my head, no. And they would

notify me on any kind of an incident in which we are going to

be

2	have to look into it, we are going to have to answer for,
3	that sort of thing.
4	Q Does the Secret Service Uniformed Division
5	routinely make arrests around the White House complex?
6	A It would be routine if necessary. When we
7	stretched the perimeter and closed off Pennsylvania Avenue,
8	it brought us into closer proximity to the general public.
9	The general public out in front of the White House could
10	range from a Congressman on down to a street person. And we
11	have gotten more involved since that.
12	So, arrests, I would venture to say and, really,
13	I'm stabbing in the dark here there's probably two or
14	three a week.
15	I'm really kind of guessing, because at the Foreign
16	Missions Branch, we have the facilities to move prisoners.
17	At the White House, they have vehicles, but we usually do
18	their transports to the station house, and I see that. We
19	assist at the White House in providing transport to the
20	district stations.
21	So, maybe two. I'd say two a week is a safe
22	number.
23	Q These items or situations that we've talked about,
24	what kind of policies are there, or rules or guidelines, to
25	generate something that memorializes, for example, in a

be 11 via memorandum. 1 Does the Secret Service Uniformed Division, or you 2 0 3 in your experience as one of the deputy chiefs, what would be in the memorandum? 4 5 They would spell out where they were. Α individual involved in it would spell out where they were, 6 what they were doing, their assignment at the time, the 7 persons involved in it, the people that -- the complainants, 8 9 if there was a complaint, our version of the story, witnesses. 10 0 So, a summary of the events basically? 11 12 Α Yes. And is it policy to do that near in time to the 13 event so that it is as accurate as possible? 14 Yes. 15 Α How is that report used, if at all, within the 16 Uniformed Division? 17 That memorandum would be held -- if it was -- we 18 19 would follow up on it. We would try to ascertain, if it was an incident with a passholder or a Congressman, ascertain if 20 our people were responsible for it, try to get as many 21 details as possible. 22 And is that for a number of purposes? 23 Q Uh-huh. 24 Α For example, to memorialize what happened --25 Q

be	12
1	A Yes.
2	Q so that you don't have to confront failing
3	memories later?
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q Is it fair to say that that is one?
6	A Right.
7	Q Is another one to permit an intelligent review of
8	the matter?
9	A Right.
10	Q To see, do we need to fix a policy?
11	A Exactly.
12	Q Discipline somebody or
13	A Uh-huh.
14	Q et cetera?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Are there any other purposes that you can think of
17	for generating the memo?
18	A That's essentially it. To continue to operate as
19	efficiently as we can, to limit the number of similar
20	incidents, and take whatever action is necessary to do that.
21	Q Is this kind of report-making process, the memo as
22	well as the incident report, required by a rule or
23	regulation, or is it a routine practice?
24	A It's a routine practice. However, like arrests,
25	I'm sure it's documented to the 2032, which is the incident

	0111
be	13
1	report number.
2	Q 2032
3	A Yes.
4	Q is the form?
5	A That's right.
6	Q The incident report number?
7	A It will be completed.
8	Q Is there a memo form?
9	A Yes, there's a standard memo form, U. S. Government
10	memo form. I don't know the number of it.
11	Q All right.
12	BY MS. WIRTH:
13	Q The memo form is just memo to, from, and the date?
14	Is there any other
15	A Subject.
16	Q And subject. Okay.
17	BY MR. PAGE:
18	Q Did you when you were Deputy Chief, or were you
19	aware of efforts to educate the staff about their obligations
20	concerning these memos or incident reports?
21	A No.
22	Q Is that done at the basic training level or is that
23	a
24	A My employees?
25	Q Correct.

be

That's done at the basic training level. When they 1 Α come to the White House or the Foreign Missions Branch, new 2 3 employees receive three weeks of -- generally three weeks of on-the-job training. And that sort of information is 4 5 covered. So, that's when I would learn -- take me, 6 Q 7 hypothetically. If I was a new Uniformed Division Officer going through that three-week training session, that's where 8 I would learn that, hey, when somebody jumps the fence, 9 that's a big deal and I write up a memo or an incident 10 11 report? Right. Α 12 Correct? 13 Yes. Α 14 And I assume that there's some foundation 15 underlying that? In other words, some internal Secret 16 Service rule or --17 Uh-huh. 18 Α -- procedure or guideline that suggests to document Q 19 20 it? 21 Α Exactly. Yes, sir. Is that accurate? 22 0 Uh-huh. Α 23 Going back to the hierarchy that you mentioned or 24 25 testified about earlier, officers, sergeants, lieutenants,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

7/98D

captains, two inspectors and then the deputy chief, and I presume above that the Chief, where do these incident reports, if they are generated at the officer level, go? other words, through whose hands in the review process? The officer who is responsible is the reporting Α officer. There could be a sergeant, you know, depending on if he was directly involved in it. I understand. 0 That person would do that. They would be responsible for all of the reports involved in it, whether it be Metropolitan arrests. And it would go to the captain. The captain of the section would review it and sign off on it. So, it bypasses the lieutenant theoretically, if it 0 is --Well, theoretically. But the lieutenants, whoever is working, the lieutenants that are working that day, they would probably get a look at it and say, hey, you spelled this person's name wrong. They'd look through it for errors also. But it would be ultimately signed off by the captain and then it would be forwarded to the deputy chief's office. So, there is some review by the person immediately 0

22

23

24 25

Q -- witnesses the event?

above the person who --

Α

Uh-huh.

be	15
1	A Uh-huh.
2	Q Is that accurate?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	BY MS. WIRTH:
5	Q The incident report is filled out normally by the
6	officer involved?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that's also true about the memo?
9	A Yes.
10	Q If there's an officer involved?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And I may have missed what was just said here, and
13	I apologize for that, if that is so. But, the sergeant's
14	role, does the sergeant have a role at all in reviewing those
15	reports?
16	A If it happened at an officer's post, the sergeant
17	would probably respond, if it went over the radio. And he
18	would be there providing any assistance that the officer may
19	need, or solving a problem if there's a problem involved in
20	it. And he would be knowledgeable of the incident, the
21	sergeant that is assigned to that particular area.
22	Q Does he sign the incident report, the sergeant?
23	A Only no. The only person who signs it is the
24	person making the report, and it could be a sergeant making
25	the report.

Q If that's the --

be

i i	
2	A And then reviewing official, which is usually a
3	captain, unless it's a lieutenant watch commander because
4	there's not a captain working.
5	Q But if it's an officer making the report, that
6	person is the only person who signs it?
7	A Him or her, and the reviewing official.
8	Q Which would be a captain?
9	A In most instances.
10	Q Okay.
11	A It could be a lieutenant if the lieutenant was
12	acting as a captain.
13	Q Okay.
14	A If there was no captain working.
15	BY MR. PAGE:
16	Q Can you give us an idea about the kinds of
17	conflicts, generally speaking, that arise between Uniformed
18	Division officers and guests or passholders? Remember that
19	subcategory of the overview of things that generated an
20	incident report or memo? What's the normal flavor, so to
21	speak, of these things?
22	A I could give you one or two examples, and they are
23	based on truth. It's like, you know, there's all the
2.4	important poople who work at the White House And you have

people that work at this level [gesturing], the President's

staff, Chief of Staff, everyone on his staff, and the workers. And there's a lot of people over there that are very important, as you know. And sometimes -- everyone is required to wear one of these [indicating]. This is a White House pass.

And when our officers don't know a person, they are tasked with asking to see their identification. They should have it on at all times. But, if I knew you and you were on the President's staff, I wouldn't -- and if I knew you -- I wouldn't challenge you for walking up and down West Executive Avenue. However, if I didn't know you, we are tasked with doing that. And sometimes people get upset about it.

And if they perceived that the same officer or sergeant asked me a half a dozen times, he knows who I am.

That sort of incident. And sometimes you may get a little conflict between our members and them.

Similarly, Congressmen coming in, they pull up to the gate and the officer may not know -- if it's a Congressman who isn't big in the news, who doesn't have his picture out there all the time, they feel that we should have instant recognition. That has caused problems in the past.

Q So, we have your category of very important people, Congressmen. Any other kind of things that routinely give rise to conflict or tension between the Uniformed Division and somebody, some kind of person?

A Well, it could happen with an appointment who -- a person shows up at a certain amount of time between when he's expected. And if he shows up a little early, he identifies himself at our entrance gates and we don't have any information on the individual, and we direct them to -- there's a house phone outside and maybe you should call the person who made the appointment for you and get the ball rolling to get you into our system.

Sometimes, depending on the person, the level of the person, or just the personalities, we're like kind of between a rock and a hard spot out there. We're the ones that's holding him up, her up.

Q You are really --

A And really, the appointment may have never been made, or the name was spelled wrong and it's not coming up when we call it up, that sort of thing. So, we're out there facing the public daily. And I'd say that is another area where we come into conflict.

Q Have we just about exhausted the kinds of conflicts that might fit within this subcategory?

A Yes.

Q Do members of the Uniformed Division, regardless of rank, normally have contact with the Deputy Chief of Staff on up, say, to the Vice President and President?

A Hmm.

-	Q And when I say that, I don't mean in letting the
-	Chief of Staff have access, or in through the Northwest Gate.
	But I mean on a daily I'll change that. At any time, do
	they routinely have contact with those people, those quote
	very important people?
	A I'm not sure in what situation. Coming in the
	gate, parking on the Avenue?
	Q Excluding
	A Excluding that?
	Q Excluding that kind of thing, where you are doing
	your protective function.
	A I'm assuming you know all the posts where our
	officers are in the West Wing. I think, just by coming into
	contact with our people every day, the Chief of Staff or the
	Deputy Chief of Staff would probably come to know my face
	very well, maybe even my first name. And the same thing with
	the staff as it goes down.
	Our people are generally there, it's the same
	people every day. We rotate shifts and all, but, I mean,
	they would see our people very frequently, our officers that
	are assigned to the West Wing. So, I would say, yes. Yes,
	for Chief of Staff and everyone on down.
ĺ	

Q What about the President and the Vice President?

I would say the President and the Vice President,

only to the extent -- and it's been my experience, because I

be 21 worked as an officer at the White House, too -- depending on 1 2 the personality of the individual, a nod of the head or a 3 good morning. That was the extent of it. Does the President have the ability to tell an 4 5 officer of the Uniformed Division what to do or what not to 6 do, in his official capacity? 7 That would really be so far out of -- I can't imagine that happening. But I -- if the President said, I 8 want this door kept shut, it would be done. 9 It would be done? 0 10 Yes. We are there to provide the security. 11 12 not there to say, well, I've been told by sergeant so-and-so 13 to leave it open. He would do what the President said in that sort of a scenario. 14 15 Can you think of some things that the Uniformed 16 Division officers would not do? 17 Α (No response.) For example, can the President say at different 18 19 Echo posts, I don't want somebody there at this Echo post, I 20 want to create a new Echo post and put you down 15 feet, here or there? 21 That, that hasn't been my experience nor have we 22 Α 23 been asked to do anything like that. But I guess I'm asking hypothetically, the 24 interaction between the President and the Uniformed Division, 25

be

												22
1	can	he	direct	or	give	commands	to	officers	or	above	that	are

- A I guess he could. Could.
- Q Has that been your experience during your tenure at the White House or within the Secret Service?
 - A Never.

in the Uniformed Division?

Q Do you know of any instance of that, by any President?

A I know of one instance where someone -- and this is the only instance -- like I said, I've probably worked at the White House on and off in my various ranks through my career maybe 14 years or so -- where a President, and I'm being as forthright as I can here, as is possible for me to be.

Q Yes.

A An incident happened out on the street where an officer divulged to someone where the President was in the White House, and I think to the extent of who the President was with. And somehow or other the person called into the White House, and somehow, however the scenario went, the watch commander was in the vicinity of the Oval Office and the President addressed him and said -- I don't know if he questioned, do our officers do this sort of thing; if they do, they shouldn't tell people who I'm meeting with.

That's to the best of my recollection. That did transpire.

23

24

25

1	Q What President was that?
2	A It was President Clinton.
3	Q When was this incident?
4	A To the best of my recollection, it was in maybe the
5	spring or the summer of '97. I think that's when it was.
6	Q Spring or summer of '97?
7	A Yeah. It happened on a Saturday. It happened
8	while I was at home and I was notified of it by the on-duty
9	watch commander.
10	Q Can you give us some of the names of people
11	involved?
12	A Captain Jeff Purdie was the watch commander, and he
13	called me at home and says, I just want to make you notice of
14	something that just happened. He said, I spoke to the
15	President, or the President spoke to me. I think he was
16	outside of the Oval Office. And he indicated that one of our
17	officers out on the perimeter was addressed by a female as
18	to, is the President in I'll tell you, I'm going back on a
19	recollection here is the President in, who is in the West
20	Wing with him, that sort of a thing.
21	And the President became aware of that, Jeff told
22	me. Captain Purdie told me. And he said that the President

eff told resident asked him a question like, do your officers tell people that; if they do, stop it, I don't want that happening, words to that effect. That's the best of my recollection.

be	24
1	Q This is a telephone conversation between you and
2	Jeff Purdie?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you understand it to be on the day that the
5	incident occurs?
6	A Yes.
7	Q I will represent to you that this incident appears
8	to have taken place on Saturday, December 6th, 1997. In view
9	of that representation, can you do any better with your
10	estimate about
11	A Timeframe?
12	Q when it occurred?
13	A I couldn't, because the thing that's fresh in my
14	mind is I have two children. Both of them are involved in
15	soccer and that sort of thing. And it was a sunny day. I
16	was in my family room. There's a lot of windows and
17	skylights, and it was bright and I was warm. And that's what
18	I'm using as a recollection. And that's what I based spring
19	or summer on.
20	BY MS. WIRTH:
21	Q What does it have to do with soccer? Were your
22	kids playing that day?
23	A Like I say, I cannot remember. But I remember just
24	being involved in a lot of activities.

Would they be playing soccer in early December?

be

	26
1	Q You have that conversation with him, correct?
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q And he's calling for the purpose of putting you on
4	notice that he's had a conversation with the President that
5	you've described?
6	A Uh-huh.
7	Q Correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Well, can you tell us the remaining substance of
10	the conversation?
11	A He indicated his last time with the President
12	there, the President just pulled the door shut. I says,
13	well, is the President angry, I mean, what was his attitude,
14	you know? Because in an instance where the principal like
15	this would have some sort of complaint or problem with our
16	people, I would be hearing about it soon.

Q The principal, you mean --

A I meant the President. Somebody that's, you know, like the President, or somebody that high up, or the Chief of Staff, or even some of the other people. When they have conflicts with our people, frequently, or sometimes -- I'm not the first one who finds out about it. Usually if he would go to somebody in the Secret Service, then it would come downhill.

So, what Jeff was doing was giving me a heads-up.

be

1	A Yes. Just if it, like I said, did come downhill, a
2	complaint from the President would be pretty significant. I
3	mean, there would be a lot of attention given it.
4	Q So, what do you recall is the next event as a
5	result of this phone call that you got from Purdie?
6	A Well, I told Purdie, make sure, find out, you know,
7	who may have said something out on the Avenue, because that
8	was my main concern. I mean, the process for getting people
9	in is pretty standard. But our officers shouldn't be out
10	there giving any kind of information out, and that was my
11	main concern.
12	Q And that's a request that you made of Purdie
13	A Yes.
14	Q during this conversation?
15	A Yes.
16	Q This phone conversation we've been speaking about?
17	A Uh-huh.
18	Q What goes on next, to the best of your memory?
19	A I think I talked to Purdie sometime after that and
20	he says he spoke to the officers that were on the post and
21	just, you know, to reinforce what our policies and procedures
22	are as far as, you know, they shouldn't be giving out any
23	information, if they are. They shouldn't be saying where the
24	President is or, you know, who's in the West Wing, or what
25	appointments are coming up. We just don't give that out.

Is this an in-person conversation or another phone

29

be

1

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

This was, I think, the following week. 3 4 remember if it was in person or on the phone. It could have been either, but I would see the watch commanders every day 5 00 0×02m that I'm working, on duty. 6 Does Purdie tell you during his first phone 7 8 conversation with you that the President told him words to the effect, I trust you'll use your discretion in this 9 matter? 10 Α I don't remember that. 11 12 Did Purdie indicate to you that the President wanted to sort of, so to speak, put a lid on this event, or 13 14 contain it in any regard? I, I can't, I can't answer that positively. 15 Α So, it could have happened? Is that what you're 16 Q 17 saying, or it --

conversation during the weekend?

It could have happened.

Do you recall from your first conversation with Purdie over the phone whether or not this event involved Monica Lewinsky?

I cannot remember if he said that name. I honestly can't remember if he said that name or referred to it. that time, I wouldn't have recognized it anyway because I, I didn't know what was going on. If he said it, I don't

be

7/98D

be	3
1	Q That's your recollection?
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q Do you know what he said about Eleanor Mondale
4	being involved in the transaction or incident?
5	A No. Only that she was the guest.
6	Q Did he mention Betty Currie or any other
7	participant, witness, or player, so to speak?
8	A I can't remember that. But, you know, I think he
9	did say he was outside the Oval Office, but he was in Betty
10	Currie's office.
11	Q When?
12	A When him and the President had the discussion.
13	Q Did Purdie say whether or not he was with anybody
14	besides Currie and the President?
15	A Like I said, I don't even know if he said Betty
16	Currie was there. I don't
17	Q Did he say
18	A No, I don't remember any other witnesses to what he
19	told me.
20	Q Beyond your conversation, I believe you said
21	sometime after that with Purdie, perhaps the following week
22	or thereabouts
23	A Uh-huh.
24	Q did you have any other conversations with Purdie
25	or anybody else about this incident?

Uh-huh.

Α

	A I think I only had that one conversation with Je	32 eff
	after that, and it was to the effect, was this the lady th	
	was at the Northwest Gate or on the perimeter.	
	Q So that you are saying after the public revelati	.on
	of	-
	A Yes.	
	Q the Monica Lewinsky matter on	
	A Uh-huh.	
	Q January 21st, 1998?	
	A Yes.	
	Q Besides that conversation and the conversation t	hat
	you had with Purdie on the day the incident occurred, you	
	don't recall any other conversations with him?	
	A No. No. Conversations, yes, but not relative to	0
	this.	
	Q From Purdie's perspective, was this a big deal, l	his
	interaction with the President on this day, as a result of	
	this incident?	
	A Yean, uh-huh.	
	Q And why was that?	
	A Because of the nature of our officer divulging	
	someone in the West Wing, or divulging information that the	3
	President's in the West Wing.	
1	O While Purdie's on duty as the captain and	

24

25

about a personal thing?

be 33 -- quote, the watch commander, right? 1 2 Purdie, like I said, I can't remember him saying Monica Lewinsky was out there, she was the one querying who's 3 4 in the West Wing, where's the President. But he did indicate it's a woman who used to work here and it was kind of --5 6 You are motioning your hand back and forth. 7 I can't -- I'm trying to characterize it. He did indicate that it could be a touchy sort of a thing. ġ. 9 Q During this initial --Yeah. 10 Α 11 0 -- phone conversation? Uh-huh. 12 13 What did you take from that, that it could be a 0 14 touchy kind of thing? I took it that it could be a 15 I don't know. personal thing with the President. I took it -- that's what 16 17 I took from it. And, like I said, my main concern was the security angle of it. His personal, I wasn't concerned with. 18 I was just concerned with getting the appropriate people into 19 the White House who belonged, and keeping out those who 20 don't. And that was my main issue. Anything personal to the 21 First Family, it was personal to the First Family. 22

What did Purdie say that allowed you to infer that

this was something about a personal thing, or maybe something

be

told you.

Uh-huh.

ŀ)
	A You know, I don't, I don't know if Monica Lewinsky
Ì	was in the press before this at all. But apparently Jeff, I
	guess as you know, used to be on Tours at the White House,
	and he knew a lot of people in the staff.
	Now, if there were if there were things that
	were inappropriate up until then, if there was like rumors
	going around, if anybody knew about this beforehand, before
	this incident, I could understand him knowing about it. I
	don't know that to be the case. But I can't exactly tell you
	what he said to me that made it seem as though this could be
	a big thing.
	Q Or a personal matter?
	A Or a personal matter, yes.
	Q Is that what you are saying?
	A Yes.
	Q Well, it wasn't in the press as of that date. You
	have this recollection that he did say something
	A Uh-huh.
	Q that I would assume and you correct me if I'm
	wrong made you think that this was about a personal matter
	involving the President, correct?
	A Uh-huh.
	Q So, therefore, it had to come from something Purdie
-11	2

PERGAD
ç
BAYONNE
ÿ
0 7 0 0 2
FORM
F

25

	35
1	Q Is that accurate?
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q Do you recall any other details of the substance of
4	your conversation with Purdie over the telephone?
5	A No.
6	Q So, tell us what your understanding when you
7	hang up the phone from Purdie, what is your understanding of
8	what has happened?
9	A That someone on the perimeter answered a question
10	that the President's in the Oval Office or with someone, or
11	guests have arrived and gave out the name. The President is
12	upset not upset about it, but the President questions my
13	watch commander on it: how did this information get out.
14	And, to me, that was the significant thing. Our officers
15	aren't doing exactly what we want them to do, if that gets
16	out.
17	Q And isn't it also part of this story that it may
18	involve a personal matter and that
19	involved as well?
20	A Well, as far as that was concerned, with
21	and the other people, if they belonged in there, I
22	didn't we did what we're supposed to do to get these
23	people in. That was fine. My main concern, like I said, was

that our officers aren't giving out information.

As far as the personal matter, I really didn't have

in terms of low significance one; 10 high significance?

memorialization of what had occurred, in view of the fact

that Purdie had had personal dealings with the President

37

be

1

I would say probably right in the middle. It would 2 Α be a four, if you wanted to put a number on it. 3 BY MS. WIRTH: 4 5 Would you characterize it fairly as a breach of Q security? 6 No, I wouldn't, because the President wasn't 7 endangered by it. 8 9 0 Does the President have to be endangered by an 10 activity for it to be a breach of security? Not necessarily, no. 11 Α Well, why then do you say that this is not a breach 12 of security because the President wasn't endangered? 13 It wasn't like there was a physical intrusion. Α 14 wasn't a breakdown of our technical security systems. 15 just someone probably saying something that they shouldn't 16 17 have said. That's, I guess, subjective on my part. I didn't take it as a serious -- or a breach of security. 18 BY MR. PAGE: 19 In your opinion, would this event warrant either a 20 Q memorandum or an incident report, or some other kind of 21

> regarding what had happened? Α No.

22

23

24

25

be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Can you help us understand that? 0

I didn't think at the time it was something that we Α had to -- clearly it wouldn't have been on an incident report. And as long as Purdie handled the officer down at the post, that's what I wanted. That's why I was concerned with it.

38

In your view, this wouldn't be an incident that Q would warrant a memo so that you could take a look at -first of all, create a memo of the facts surrounding what had happened, as well as to address what you put as a four on a one-to-ten, in terms of a potential breach of security?

Would you rephrase that, or ask that question again, please?

0 I'm just wondering why, if this was a big deal for Purdie, who calls you at home -- I assume he doesn't do that routinely -- that the President has contact. You said in your 14 years that doesn't happen routinely; in fact, never happened except on this occasion. And in view of all the other facts and circumstances you've described, why a memorandum of the event is not generated by someone?

Α If this happened with the officer on the post, maybe we would have asked him to put it exactly in writing the way it transpired.

What do you mean, if it happened with the officer at the post?

> 21 22

16

17

18

19

20

23

25

24

22

23

24

25

be 39 I'm trying to go back and think, you know, why 1 Α 2 didn't I do that at the time. Why didn't you do what? 3 Q 4 Α Why didn't I memorialize it in a memo, like you 5 said. 6 Well, but you're not a fact witness, are you? 7 Wouldn't you tell Purdie, write something down, or tell the 8 gate person who was involved in this to write it down? I may have asked Jeff the extent, is this it, is 9 10 this all this is? And he indicated, yeah. And I said, well, you got to talk to the guy out on the post, you know, we 11 12 cannot be discussing blah-blah-blah, which we discussed here, 13 you and I. 14 Q So, your --But being the watch commander, I didn't direct him 15 Α because I thought we had the facts right here. I didn't 16 think it was any more than what was at face value. 17 Should he have taken it upon himself even absent 0 18 your direction, for whatever reason, in view of your request 19 to go talk to the person at the gate and find out what 20 happened, should Purdie have taken it upon himself to 21 generate a report or incident memo?

> Incident? No. He could have put it in a memo. mean, he could have.

0 Are you aware of whether or not he did it? be 41 1 Α At any level. 2 Any level? 0 3 Α Uh-huh. 4 O Including someone such as yourself? 5 Uh-huh. Okay. So, are you aware of any circumstances 6 0 7 where, at any time in the 14 years that you've worked at the White House, where the President had an issue involving some 8 9 procedure involving the Uniformed Division and did raise it 10 with someone at a very high level? Α Yes. 11 Or someone did that on his behalf? 12 Someone did it on the President's, or the First 13 Α Lady's behalf. 14 And at what level would that be raised? 15 Okay. It would probably be leveled at the detail level, 16 at the Special Agent in charge of the detail level. I can 17 think of a few instances where they didn't -- the First 18 Family didn't like what we were doing, like posting a certain 19 way when they would be out on the south grounds. 20 Is that the Clinton Administration? 21 0 I think one incident was the Clinton 22 Administration. 23 Okay. And you are talking about the Uniformed 24 O 25 Division now?

be	42
1	A Yeah.
2	Q Questions about the Uniformed Division?
3	A Yeah. And said they didn't like these people too
4	close, or too visible, or something like that.
5	Q Okay. And who raised what with whom in that
6	particular case? From their end and your end?
7	A To the best of my memory, it was raised with the
8	First Lady's detail and
9	Q The PBD?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And the PBD brought it to the attention of the
12	Uniformed Division?
13	A Yeah.
14	Q Okay. And at what level of the Uniformed Division
15	was this discussed?
16	A At my level.
17	Q At your level?
18	A Yeah.
19	Q So, someone from the PBD got in touch with somebody
20	at your level?
21	A Uh-huh.
22	Q And that's how it was dealt with?
23	A Right.
24	Q But this incident at the Northwest Gate that we're
25	talking about today, this is the first one and the only one

be 4: 1 that you're aware of in your tenure at the White House where 2 the President himself had direct contact with someone in the Uniformed Division with respect to a complaint? 3 Α 4 To the best of my recollection, yes. Okay. Do you know whether in your conversation 5 0 with Captain Purdie, or in any discussions that you've had 6 7 with anybody about this incident, did you ever learn that one of the sergeants had been addressed by the President 8 9 directly? 10 No. Α 11 Q Have you ever heard that? I think this is the first I'm hearing it. 12 Α 13 Have you had any conversations with anybody about this incident besides Captain Purdie? 14 15 Α No. 16 Okay. In any of your discussions with Captain Purdie, did Captain Purdie ever tell you that the President 17 wanted somebody fired over this? Was the word "fired" ever 18 19 used? I don't remember that. 20 21 That the President wanted somebody's job? 0 like that? 22 23 I don't remember that. You said that you have some memory of Captain 24 0 Purdie telling you that when he was spoken to by the 25

be	44
1	President he was in Betty Currie's office, correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Did Captain Purdie ever tell you whether he had had
4	any discussions with Betty Currie about this incident?
5	A I don't remember him saying that.
6	Q Did Captain Purdie ever say to you, it's my
7	judgment that we shouldn't write a report on this, do you
8	agree? Anything of that nature, where Captain Purdie raised
9	with you the prospect of not doing a report?
10	A No. I don't remember that.
11	Q And he never said to you, I'm not going to do a
12	report, and it's my judgment that this situation doesn't
13	require one?
14	A I can't remember that.
15	Q Okay.
16	A I'm sorry.
17	Q If indeed someone at the gate had said to a guest
18	out at the gate, you know, the President is in the Oval
19	Office and he is presently with X person, in this case
20	Eleanor Mondale
21	A Uh-huh.
22	Q you would view that as a breach of procedure,
23	correct?
24	A Uh-huh.
25	Q Would that be something that would go on that

officer's disciplinary record or personnel record, or is that

be

2	some record that should be kept of that person's performance?
3	A Performance probably. I mean, depending on how
4	many times this person did this, if they'd been counseled on
5	it prior.
6	Q Did you have any discussions with Captain Purdie as
7	to whether the person who had said these things had ever done
8	anything like that before?
9	A I left it to Jeff. I said, check with see who
10	did what out there. And I'd assume that if it was something
11	that should be pursued discipline-wise, or whatever, Jeff
12	would have done it.
13	Q Well, you said that you viewed this in seriousness
14	as somewhere in the middle on a range from one to 10, in
15	terms of a breach of procedure, correct?
16	A Uh-huh.
17	Q Is there any kind of procedure for recording
18	breaches of procedure by individual officers for the purpose
19	of keeping personnel records?
20	A I would say no, unless a supervisor wanted to
21	document this officer's been counseled on numerous occasions,
22	or he's been tardy, or for whatever it is. After several
23	breaches of them, I'm sure it would appear in his performance
24	file.
25	Q But how are you supposed to know if there are

25

prepared?

be 45 several breaches if there isn't a record of it every time it 1 2 happens? 3 Well, we kind of rely on the captains to stay 4 abreast of the people that they're responsible for, and the other officials. 5 Are there forms dealings with performance issues on 6 0 7 the part of the employees? 8 A Yes. 9 Q What are those forms called? I think it's Noteworthy Performance, and it can be 10 Α used negatively or positively. 11 So, it's like an incident report regarding a 12 person's performance? 13 I wouldn't use the term incident report, because it 14 could get confused with the other incident report. 15 But it's a -- I think it's called a 3292. 16 17 Q Okay. And it records performance issues with respect to particular individuals who are employed in the 18 Secret Service? 19 Uh-huh. Α 20 Does it report specific incidents that happen when 21 they happen? 22 Sometimes. 23 24 And under what conditions are those reports

7/98D	4
	5
P E N G A	5 6
Š	7
PENGAD CO BAYONNE NJ 07002 FORM FED	8
Ŋ	9
0 7 0 0 2	10
F O R M	11
F E D	12
	13
	14
	15

be

<u>*</u>		
A They're and the system is only as good as the		
people that are doing it. Maybe they should be done more		
frequently than they are. I think it depends on, like I		
said, the individual. Sometimes people are too anxious to		
complete them. And on other times, maybe people want to be a		
nice guy and not complete them.		
Q Did you have any discussions with Captain Purdie as		
to whether one would be filled out in this case?		
A No.		
Q Do you think one should have been filled out in		
this case?		
A Yeah. It probably would have been appropriate.		
Q Did Captain Purdie ever tell you that Betty Currie		
was satisfied with the way that this incident had been		
handled?		
A Like I said, I can't say under oath that he		
indicated that Betty Currie was there.		
Q So, you have no memory of that happening, of him		
saying Betty Currie is satisfied with the way that this has		

been taken care of or handled?

No, I don't. Α

And just so the record is clear, do you ever remember Captain Purdie saying to you, I'm not going to do any paperwork on this because Betty Currie is satisfied with the way it's been handled?

be 48 1 No, I don't remember that. Α 2 Q Okay. 3 BY MR. PAGE: 4 Did anybody ever suggest to you, either below the 5 chain of command or above your chain of command, anybody, that a report shouldn't be written about this incident? 6 7 Α No. 8 And you --9 Α Not to my recollection. I don't remember anybody giving me any advice on it. 10 Can you exclude that event happening, that somebody 11 above you, in your chain of command or otherwise, might have 12 13 said, don't do a report about this? I'm not sure if anybody above my chain of command 14 Α even knew it. And I wasn't getting any advice from below. 15 16 Did you ever come to understand that anybody, or somebody I should say, did not want a memorandum or incident 17 report or other memorialization --18 19 Α No. 20 -- of this incident? 21 No. Α 22 Q You never had that understanding from anybody? 23 Are you saying -- is your question, did someone 24 advise you not to memorialize this? 25 Either advise or --Q

	II.
be	49
1	A Suggest?
2	Q did you ever come to understand that, from
3	whatever source, that a report should not be generated or a
4	memorandum should not be generated about this incident?
5	A No.
6	BY MS. WIRTH:
7	Q In your discussions with Captain Purdie, did he
8	ever tell you that he had told the officers at the gate,
9	after his discussion with the President, anything to the
10	effect of, this never happened, today never happened, no one
11	is to talk about this, as long as no one talks about this,
12	nothing will happen? Anything to that effect? Did he ever
13	report
14	A Did anybody tell me that?
15	Q Did Captain Purdie ever tell you that, that he had
16	done that?
17	A I can't remember anything like that.
18	Q Have you ever heard that from any source
19	whatsoever, that the officers at the gate were told, this
20	never happened, today never happened, anything like that?
21	A I don't recall it.
22	Q Have you ever heard of anything like that happening
23	before, where officers were told to forget about an incident,
24	not to report it, not to talk about it?
25	A Not in my I can't remember no

be

1

2

3

4

MS. WIRTH: I don't have anything else.

MR. PAGE: I think that will do it for today.

(Whereupon, at 11:16 a.m., the proceedings were

concluded.)

* * * * *

50

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Elizabeth A. Eastman, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me electronically and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

NOTARY PUBLIC FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

My Commission Expires: July 31, 2000