Habitat Suitability and Restoration Potential in Estuaries: Integrating Monitoring and Land Cover Data Using EMAP Probabilistic Survey Methods

Lisa M. Smith 8th Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP) Symposium Washington, DC April 11, 2007



What's the problem?

Many ecologically and economically important fish and shellfish species are dependent upon estuarine habitats during some life stage, BUT...

Very few numeric water quality criteria are in place to protect aquatic life use in estuaries, SO...

How do we avoid "death by a thousand cuts" when habitat loss and alterations are concerned?



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

We can assess estuarine habitats at multiple scales..

to estimate habitat suitability for estuarine populations;

to evaluate "death by a thousand cuts; "

and to target restoration for specific habitat types.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Estuarine Habitat Assessment Recipe...

You will need (tools):

- 1 Habitat Suitablity Index (HSI) Model
- 1 State-level probabilistic survey design for estuaries

Ingredients (Data):



Grain Size

Sediment TOC

WQ and sediment characteristic data from a probablistic survey (EMAP-NCA)

Salinity

pН

DO Temperature

Land Cover Data (NWI)

Open water SAV grassy wetlands sandy shoreline

Stir (calculate)

Use WQ, sediment, and LC data to calculate HSI values.

Bake (Analyze)


Use the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) to estimate the areal extent of habitat condition

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

What's the Assessment Unit?


Using a grid design for a state-level probabilistic survey, the smallest assessment unit within an estuary is the "polygon."

NCA State-levelSurvey Design for Alabama Estuaries




RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) Models: Northern Gulf of Mexico Brown Shrimp




RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Land cover Data Used to Calculate the Area of Each Habitat Category Within Each Polygon



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Habitat Suitability Graphs



Turner, R. E. and M. S. Brody. 1983. Habitat suitability index models: Northern Gulf of Mexico brown shrimp and white shrimp. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-82/10.54: 24p.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Suitability Index (SI) values for each variable (V_x) were determined from the graphs and used to calculate the food and cover (*FC*) and water quality (*WQ*) components of the HSI for each polygon:


$$FC^* = (SI_{V1}^2 \times SI_{V2})^{1/3}$$
$$WQ = (SI_{V3} \times SI_{V4})^{1/2}$$



*At stations where SAV was not expected to occur naturally, the FC variable was calculated as $(SIV2)^{1/3}$

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

The HSI value is equal to the FC or WQ component value; whichever is lowest



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Assigning a Habitat Score


The HSI were given a condition description according to the following threshold values:

Calculated	Habitat
HSI	Assessment
< 0.1	Not suitable
0.1-0.3	Marginally suitable
>0.3-0.5	Minimally suitable
0.5-0.7	Suitable
>0.7-0.9	Highly suitable
>0.9	Near Optimal


RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Since the survey data were collected using a random probabilistic survey design-

The cumulative distribution function (CDF) can be used to estimate the areal extent of habitat suitability based on these ranges.




RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT




RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

The estimated areal extent of habitat suitability for brown shrimp in Mobile Bay, AL








RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

You wanna put that dock where?

- Knowing the areal extent of habitat suitability for a given species helps support decisions to protect habitat
- Reducing vegetation coverage in a polygon will decrease the food cover value of the area and could decrease the quality of habitat
- On the other hand, where habitat is not suitable, can it get any worse?


RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Habitat Suitability scores less than 'suitable' (minimally, marginally or not suitable) were broken down into the components contributing to the condition



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Where habitat condition is less than suitable...



Candidate hexagons were targeted for vegetation restoration, based on the following:

Habitat Condition:

not suitable suitable

marginally suitable minimally suitable highly suitable

near optimal

Specific physical parameters:

salinity depth


temperature grain size vegetation coverage

Areal extent of restored vegetation needed to improve the polygon's HSI score to the next category.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

The two hexagons outlined in red were identified as having both existing SAV and requiring < 500 ha of restored vegetation to improve the HSI score.

Areas with existing vegetation are more likely to support SAV restoration efforts; therefore the areas within these two hexagons are candidates for targeted SAV restoration to improve brown shrimp habitat suitability.




RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Why use this approach?

Data Availability

Data for this approach is available for all the nation's estuarine resources (thanks NCA and NWI)

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT



RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

This approach can be applied using generic HSI models like the FWS or more refined models developed for individual systems. Either way, the result is an estimated proportion of habitat in a given condition.

Versatility

The approach could be used as a screening tool to answer management questions such as:

- What areas could be restored to improve habitat quality
- How does this restoration contribute to the areal percentage of suitable habitat within an estuary? Region?
- and maybe later... Has restoration improved habitat condition?

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT