

The Public Health and Air Pollution in Asia (PAPA) Project: Estimating the Mortality Effects of Particulate Matter in Bangkok, Thailand

Nuntavarn Vichit-Vadakan,¹ Nitaya Vajanapoom,¹ and Bart Ostro²

¹Faculty of Public Health, Thammasat University, Rangsit Campus, Klongluang, Pathumthani, Thailand; ²Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency, Oakland, California, USA

BACKGROUND: Air pollution data in Bangkok, Thailand, indicate that levels of particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$ (PM_{10}) are significantly higher than in most cities in North America and Western Europe, where the health effects of PM_{10} are well documented. However, the pollution mix, seasonality, and demographics are different from those in developed Western countries. It is important, therefore, to determine whether the large metropolitan area of Bangkok is subject to similar effects of PM_{10} .

OBJECTIVES: This study was designed to investigate the mortality risk from air pollution in Bangkok, Thailand.

METHODS: The study period extended from 1999 to 2003, for which the Ministry of Public Health provided the mortality data. Measures of air pollution were derived from air monitoring stations, and information on temperature and relative humidity was obtained from the weather station in central Bangkok. The statistical analysis followed the common protocol for the multicity PAPA (Public Health and Air Pollution Project in Asia) project in using a natural cubic spline model with smooths of time and weather.

RESULTS: The excess risk for non-accidental mortality was 1.3% [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.8–1.7] per $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ of PM_{10} , with higher excess risks for cardiovascular and above age 65 mortality of 1.9% (95% CI, 0.8–3.0) and 1.5% (95% CI, 0.9–2.1), respectively. In addition, the effects from PM_{10} appear to be consistent in multipollutant models.

CONCLUSIONS: The results suggest strong associations between several different mortality outcomes and PM_{10} . In many cases, the effect estimates were higher than those typically reported in Western industrialized nations.

KEY WORDS: air pollution, Bangkok, mortality, PM_{10} , time series. *Environ Health Perspect* 116:1179–1182 (2008). doi:10.1289/ehp.10849 available via <http://dx.doi.org/> [Online 9 July 2008]

Compelling epidemiologic evidence indicates that current ambient levels of airborne particulate matter (PM) in North American and Western European (NAWE) cities are associated with premature mortality and a wide range of morbidity outcomes [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2004; World Health Organization (WHO) 2000]. Existing air pollution monitoring information and recent exposure assessments suggest that 6 to 10 million residents of Bangkok, Thailand, are exposed to levels of particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$ (PM_{10}) that are as high as or higher than those in NAWE cities. A recent review of Asian cities, mostly in more developed countries, suggests that PM may also be associated with both mortality and morbidity [Health Effects Institute (HEI) 2004]. However, PM chemical composition and relevant population characteristics, such as activity patterns, background health status, and other factors related to socioeconomic status, may all contribute to differential risks in developing countries such as Thailand. In addition, studies of mortality and air pollution in cities like Bangkok, which have seasonal patterns dramatically different from those of NAWE, provide an opportunity to assess the potentially confounding aspects of seasonality. Bangkok's climate is hot and

humid throughout the year, with 24-hr average temperatures almost always above 80°F . Therefore, with the lack of a cold season, the seasonal weather patterns are very different from those observed in most previous studies.

The question remains whether residents of cities in developing countries are adversely affected by the existing levels of PM_{10} and whether the impacts per unit are similar to those experienced in developed Western countries. Improvements in the mortality data collection system and air monitoring program in Bangkok provide an excellent opportunity to examine the effects of PM_{10} and several gaseous pollutants on daily mortality for the years 1997 through 2003.

Methods

Data. Our study period extended from 1999 through 2003. We obtained daily mortality data from the Ministry of Public Health, which currently uses the *International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision* (ICD-10) to categorize cause of death (WHO 1992). For all ages, we abstracted those with “non-accidental” mortality (i.e., total mortality minus accidents and homicides), respiratory-specific mortality, cardiovascular-specific mortality, and mortality for some additional subcategories including ischemic heart disease, stroke, conduction disorders, respiratory

mortality for those < 1 year of age, lower respiratory infection (LRI) for those < 5 years of age, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, and senility. The latter was included as an end point because our preliminary analysis showed a relatively low number of daily deaths from cardiovascular diseases and a high number from senility. We speculated that the high apparent mortality from senility might have been the result of mislabeling the cause of death from cardiovascular diseases to senility, especially among the elderly dying outside the hospitals. We also classified nonaccidental mortality by various age groups and by sex.

In Bangkok, five ambient and seven roadside monitoring stations have been measuring hourly ambient levels of PM_{10} since 1996; ten stations measure hourly ambient nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and nitric oxide; and eight stations measure hourly ambient ozone. Because of road traffic congestion, we used PM_{10} data from the five ambient monitoring stations to represent general population exposure. Based on the common protocol, days with < 18 hourly readings were considered missing. We calculated 24-hr averages for NO_2 , NO (using the difference between NO_x and NO_2), SO_2 , and PM_{10} , with the requirement that at least 75% of 1-hr values be available on that particular day. For the 8-hr average value of O_3 , at least six hourly values from 0100 to 1800 hours had to be available, because the maximum O_3 levels always occur during daylight. We calculated the daily concentrations for each pollutant in the analysis

Address correspondence to N. Vajanapoom, Faculty of Public Health, Thammasat University, Rangsit Campus, Klongluang, Pathumthani 12121, Thailand. Telephone: 662-516-7614. Fax: 662-516-7614. E-mail: nvajanapoom@yahoo.com

We especially thank S. Wangwongwatana, Director of the Pollution Control Department, for his support of this project. We also thank W. Huang and S. Mehta of the Health Effects Institute (HEI) for their assistance on this project. We acknowledge the HEI for helpful comments from their International Scientific Oversight Committee. We also acknowledge the cooperation of the Thai Ministry of Public Health, the Pollution Control Department, and the Meteorological Department.

This study was supported by grant 4714-RFIQ03-3/04-10 from the HEI.

The authors declare they have no competing financial interests.

Received 5 September 2007; accepted 26 June 2008.

by taking the mean of all available monitoring stations. We used only the stations that provided at least 75% completeness of the measurements over the study period.

Daily weather data are available at two locations (the airport and city center) and are highly correlated (Ostro et al. 1999). Therefore, we used data from the metropolitan weather station in the center of Bangkok, because there were no missing values. The data obtained included average daily temperature and average daily relative humidity.

Statistical approach. To assess the short-term effects of PM₁₀ on daily mortality, we followed a common protocol developed by participants in the Public Health and Air Pollution Project in Asia (PAPA project), which included research teams representing Bangkok and Hong Kong, Shanghai, and Wuhan, China. We used Poisson regression, conditional on several independent variables, to control for temporal trends and meteorologic conditions. For the basic model, we used natural cubic spline models with smoothing for time and weather, using R software (version 2.5 with mgcv 1.3–24; R Development Core Team 2007). The natural spline model is a parametric approach that fits cubic functions joined at knots, which are typically placed evenly throughout the distribution of the variable of concern, such as time. The number of knots determines the overall smoothness of the fit. We determined the “best” core model for all nonaccidental cause mortality, controlling for time trend, seasonality, temperature, relative humidity, day of week, and public holidays, before entering an air pollutant into the model. In developing the core model, all PAPA cities examined 4–6 degrees of freedom (df) per year for the

smoothing of time trend and 3 df for the smoothing of same-day lag of daily mean temperature and daily mean relative humidity. Preliminary analysis indicated that models with 4 or 5 df for time had mild autocorrelation, which would bias the standard errors. In contrast, a model with 6 df for the smoothing of time and first- and second-order autocorrelation terms resulted in no remaining serial correlation. Therefore, all subsequent models used this specification, although the results were very similar to those derived from the model unadjusted for autocorrelation. Based on the agreed-upon PAPA protocol, our core model used a lag of zero and 1 day (lag01) (i.e., the average of current day's and previous day's values), but single-day lags up to 5 days and moving averages of up to 5 days were also examined.

We conducted several sensitivity analyses to assess the impacts of different model specifications in our results. This included models with *a*) different lags of PM₁₀, *b*) various sets of degrees of freedom for time and weather, *c*) different lags of temperature and relative humidity, and *d*) penalized splines for time and weather in place of natural splines. We also fitted co-pollutant models assessing the effects of PM₁₀ with adjustment for gaseous pollutants. An influenza epidemic could be a potential confounder of the associations, a possibility we assessed in the sensitivity analysis. Unfortunately, daily death counts for influenza in Bangkok were likely to be underreported, so we defined influenza epidemic according to whether the weekly respiratory mortality count was greater than the 90th percentile of each year.

All results are presented in terms of the excess risk (ER) per 10 µg/m³ of PM₁₀, which was calculated from the relative risk (RR) as ER = (RR – 1) × 100.

Results

Descriptive analysis. Table 1 summarizes the daily mortality data in Bangkok from 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2003. There was an average of 95 deaths per day from nonaccidental mortality. About 8% and 14% of the total consisted of mortality from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, respectively,

and about half of the total deaths were among those ≥ 65 years of age. Males make up about 64% of the total mortality in Bangkok. This may be attributable simply to the higher numbers of males in the city, possibly because of employment opportunities. We observed slightly increasing trends without apparent seasonal patterns in mortality data for Bangkok, suggesting that trend and seasonality may not be the strong confounding factors for the acute effects of PM₁₀ on mortality.

Table 2 provides the statistical distributions of the air pollutants and weather data used in this analysis, which were 100% complete over the study period except for PM₁₀, which had 4 missing days. Mean PM₁₀ was 52 µg/m³, with a maximum value of 169.2 µg/m³, higher than in most cities in NAWA. We observed a high correlation between PM₁₀ and both NO₂ (*r* = 0.78) and O₃ (*r* = 0.59). The weather in Bangkok was generally hot and humid. The median 24-hr temperature was 29.9°C and the median daily average humidity was 73.1%.

Analytical results. Table 3 summarizes the results of pollutant models per 10-µg/m³ increase in PM₁₀ for various disease-specific causes of mortality as well as age- and sex-specific mortality using lag01. We observed statistically significant associations with most of the outcomes including nonaccidental and cardiovascular mortality, and we observed a positive but nonsignificant association for this lag for respiratory mortality. The ER for nonaccidental mortality was 1.3% [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.8–1.7] for a 10-µg/m³ increase in PM₁₀, with ER for cardiovascular and respiratory mortality of 1.9% (95% CI, 0.8–3.0) and 1.0% (95% CI, –0.4 to 2.4), respectively. With respect to subclassifications of cardiovascular disease, many were associated with PM₁₀, with mortality from stroke demonstrating a particularly elevated risk. Among the subgroups of respiratory mortality, we observed elevated excess risks for young children, especially among infants with respiratory causes, and asthma. Some of these estimates had very wide CIs, likely due to the small number of mortality counts for these outcomes. As indicated above, we also examined death from senility and found an excess

Table 1. Average daily mortality in Bangkok, 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2003.

Mortality	ICD-10 codes	Deaths/day ± SD
Nonaccidental	A00–R99	95.0 ± 12.1
(age, years)		
< 5		3.0 ± 1.8
4–44		29.0 ± 5.9
18–50		34.0 ± 6.4
45–64		27.0 ± 5.4
> 50		66.0 ± 9.9
≥ 65		45.0 ± 7.9
≥ 75		21.0 ± 5.2
Male		61.0 ± 8.9
Female		43.0 ± 7.6
Cardiovascular	I00–I99	13.0 ± 4.3
Ischemic heart diseases	I20–I25	4.0 ± 2.3
Stroke	I60–I69	5.0 ± 2.5
Conduction disorder	I44–I49	1.0 ± 0.5
Cardiovascular ≥ age 65	I00–I99	6.7 ± 3.0
Respiratory	J00–J98	8.0 ± 3.1
Respiratory < age 1	J00–J98	0.1 ± 0.4
LRI < 5 years	J10–J22	1.0 ± 0.4
COPD	J40–J47	2.0 ± 1.0
Asthma	J45–J46	1.2 ± 0.4
Respiratory > age 65	J00–J98	3.5 ± 2.0
Senility	R54	14.0 ± 4.2

Table 2. Distribution of air pollutants and meteorology data in Bangkok, 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2003.

Pollutants and meteorology	Mean	Min	Max	SD	Percentiles					No. of days
					5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	52.1	21.3	169.2	20.1	29.6	38.9	46.8	59.9	93.2	1,822
SO ₂ (µg/m ³)	13.2	1.5	61.2	4.8	7.1	10.1	12.5	15.6	21.0	1,826
NO ₂ (µg/m ³)	44.7	15.8	139.6	17.3	24.4	31.7	39.7	54.8	79.3	1,826
O ₃ (µg/m ³)	59.4	8.2	180.6	26.4	25.3	39.1	59.4	75.3	109.8	1,826
NO (µg/m ³)	28.0	3.7	126.9	14.2	11.4	18.1	28.0	34.9	56.0	1,826
Temperature (°C)	28.9	18.7	33.6	1.7	25.8	28.1	29.1	29.9	31.3	1,826
Relative humidity (%)	72.8	41.0	95.0	8.3	58.0	67.8	73.1	78.3	86.0	1,826

Max, maximum; Min, minimum.

risk of 1.8% (95% CI, 0.7–2.8) which was similar to that of cardiovascular at ≥ 65 years of age.

Analysis of nonaccidental mortality by age group indicated that the effects of PM₁₀ increased with age, with the strongest effects for ages ≥ 75 years. However, associations were observed for all of the other age groups and, as indicated above, for respiratory mortality for children < 1 year of age. Our analysis by sex demonstrated relatively similar effects for males and females.

Table 4 summarizes the effects of different lags of PM₁₀ on several mortality outcomes. For nonaccidental and ≥ 65 mortality, of the single-day lags, unlagged PM₁₀ provided the highest ER. For cardiovascular and respiratory mortality, the highest ER was observed for single-day lags of 1 and 3 days, respectively. However, for all end points, cumulative averages of 5 days of pollution generated the highest risk estimates.

Table 5 summarizes the results of the sensitivity analysis, with a focus on all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. The table indicates the effects on the ER for different df in the smoothing of time, and for multipollutant models. We examined models with 3 to 15 df per year for time, and the results were generally insensitive to the number of df specified. In addition, the inclusion of SO₂, NO₂, or O₃ in the model had either no effect or slightly attenuated the estimated effect of PM₁₀. Finally, the results were generally insensitive to different lags and df for smoothing for temperature and humidity (however, overall, a lag0 temperature and humidity smooth term provided the best model fit, based on the percent of the explained deviation), use of penalized spline models, and inclusion of a term for influenza epidemics. In addition, the results for senility and for cardiovascular together with senility were similar and generally insensitive to the model specifications indicated above.

Discussion

The results of our analysis of 5 years of data from Bangkok, Thailand, indicate a statistically significant association between daily mortality and daily concentrations of PM₁₀. For PM₁₀, the effect estimates for nonaccidental, cardiovascular, respiratory, and age ≥ 65 (nonaccidental) mortality are generally similar to (but in the high range) of those found elsewhere (U.S. EPA 2004). A 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in lag01 PM₁₀ was associated with an excess risk in nonaccidental, cardiovascular, respiratory, and age ≥ 65 mortality of 1.3, 1.9, 1.0, and 1.5%, respectively. These estimates are generally similar to those reported by Ostro et al. (1998, 1999) and Vajanapoom et al. (2002) in studies of Bangkok covering earlier years. However,

these studies largely used PM₁₀ data estimated from airport visibility rather than the direct measurements of PM₁₀ used here.

Excess risks from PM₁₀ were observed for many of the cardiovascular- and respiratory-disease specific subclasses of mortality, with particularly high risks related to respiratory diseases for those < 1 year of age, asthma, LRI, stroke, and senility. The similar magnitudes of the excess risks on cardiovascular age ≥ 65 years and senility suggested that the latter probably includes cardiovascular mortality that has been incorrectly classified, especially for the elderly dying outside of hospitals, where the cause of death is often diagnosed as senility by a nonphysician coroner. Analysis by age indicated associations with PM₁₀ for all of the subgroups, and our examination of lags indicated that multiday averages of 5 days generated the largest effect estimates. In addition, many of the PM₁₀ associations were retained in multipollutant models. The results of the sensitivity analyses indicate that our core model was generally robust to choices of model specifications, spline model used,

degrees of freedom of time smoothers, lags for temperature, adjustment for autocorrelation, and adjustment for influenza epidemics.

Generally our analysis of PM₁₀ per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in Bangkok generated effect estimates that are higher than most previously reported. For example, our estimate for nonaccidental mortality is 1.3% (95% CI, 0.8–1.7%). In comparison, an analysis of 75 single-city time-series analyses from around the world generated an estimate of 0.6% (95% CI, 0.5–0.7%) (Anderson et al. 2005). A study of the 90 largest cities in the United States gave an estimate of 0.2% (95% CI, 0.1–0.4%) (Dominici et al. 2003), whereas a study of 29 European cities yielded an estimate of 0.6% (95% CI, 0.4–0.7%) (Katsouyanni et al. 2003). A study of 14 cities in the United States using a case–crossover approach generated an estimate of 0.35% (95% CI, 0.2–0.5%) (Schwartz 2004). A meta-analysis of Asian studies using a random-effects estimate gave an estimate of 0.49% (95% CI, 0.23–0.76%) based on four cities: Bangkok; Seoul and Incheon, South Korea; and Hong Kong (HEI 2004). Thus, it is clear that the results for Bangkok are at the upper end of the range of estimates. It is also significant that some high estimates have been reported in other less-developed countries. For example, a study in Mexico City reported an

Table 3. Percent ER in mortality (95% CI) for a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in lag01 PM₁₀.^a

Mortality	%ER (95% CI)
Cause-specific	
Nonaccidental	1.3 (0.8 to 1.7)
Cardiovascular	1.9 (0.8 to 3.0)
Ischemic heart disease	1.5 (–0.4 to 3.5)
Stroke	2.3 (0.6 to 4.0)
Conduction disorders	–0.3 (–5.9 to 5.6)
Cardiovascular \geq age 65	1.8 (0.2 to 3.3)
Respiratory	1.0 (–0.4 to 2.4)
Respiratory \leq age 1	14.6 (2.9 to 27.6)
LRI $<$ age 5	7.7 (–3.6 to 20.3)
COPD	1.3 (–1.8 to 4.4)
Asthma	7.4 (1.1 to 14.1)
Respiratory \geq age 65	1.3 (–0.8 to 3.3)
Senility	1.8 (0.7 to 2.8)
Age-specific for nonaccidental (years)	
0–4	0.2 (–2.0 to 2.4)
5–44	0.9 (0.2 to 1.7)
18–50	1.2 (0.5 to 1.9)
45–64	1.1 (0.4 to 1.9)
≥ 50	1.4 (0.9 to 1.9)
≥ 65	1.5 (0.9 to 2.1)
≥ 75	2.2 (1.3 to 3.0)
Sex-specific for nonaccidental	
Male	1.2 (0.7 to 1.7)
Female	1.3 (0.7 to 1.9)

^aModel covariates include smooth of time with 6 df, smooth of unlagged temperature and humidity with 3 df, and day of week.

Table 4. Lag effects of PM₁₀ for major causes of mortality [percent ER (95% CI)].

Lag days	Nonaccidental	Cardiovascular	Respiratory	Age ≥ 65
Lag0	1.2 (0.8 to 1.6)	1.5 (0.5 to 2.6)	1.0 (–0.3 to 2.3)	1.5 (0.9 to 2.0)
Lag1	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)	1.7 (0.7 to 2.7)	0.8 (–0.5 to 2.0)	1.1 (0.6 to 1.7)
Lag2	0.9 (0.5 to 1.3)	1.6 (0.6 to 2.6)	1.1 (–0.1 to 2.3)	1.1 (0.6 to 1.6)
Lag3	0.8 (0.4 to 1.2)	0.8 (–0.1 to 1.8)	1.3 (0.1 to 2.6)	1.2 (0.6 to 1.7)
Lag4	0.3 (–0.1 to 0.7)	–0.1 (–1.1 to 0.9)	0.7 (–0.6 to 1.9)	0.7 (0.2 to 1.2)
0–1 mean	1.3 (0.8 to 1.7)	1.9 (0.8 to 3.0)	1.0 (–0.4 to 2.4)	1.5 (0.9 to 2.1)
0–4 mean	1.4 (0.9 to 1.9)	1.9 (0.6 to 3.2)	1.9 (1.2 to 2.6)	1.9 (1.2 to 2.6)

Table 5. Percent ER (95% CI) in mortality for a 10- $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM₁₀ with alternative degrees of freedom for smoothing of time and with adjustment for gaseous pollutants.

Model specification	%ER (95% CI)
Nonaccidental (df)	
3	1.3 (0.9 to 1.8)
4	1.2 (0.8 to 1.7)
6	1.3 (0.8 to 1.7)
6, with SO ₂	1.2 (0.8 to 1.7)
6, with NO ₂	1.0 (0.2 to 1.8)
6, with O ₃	1.1 (0.6 to 1.7)
9	1.1 (0.7 to 1.6)
12	1.1 (0.6 to 1.5)
15	1.2 (0.7 to 1.6)
Cardiovascular (df)	
3	1.8 (0.8 to 2.7)
4	1.6 (0.7 to 2.6)
6	1.7 (0.7 to 2.7)
6, with SO ₂	2.0 (0.9 to 3.3)
6, with NO ₂	2.3 (0.2 to 4.3)
6, with O ₃	1.8 (0.5 to 3.2)
9	1.7 (0.6 to 2.8)
12	1.8 (0.7 to 3.0)
15	2.2 (0.9 to 3.4)

excess risk of 1.8% (95% CI, 0.9–2.7%), whereas analysis of Santiago, Chile, found an excess risk of 1.1% (95% CI, 0.9–1.4%) (Castillejos et al. 2000; Ostro et al. 1996).

We can speculate on several possible reasons for our findings, including *a*) differences in particle chemistry in Bangkok; *b*) the proximity of a large proportion of the population to roads and traffic congestion; *c*) the likely high penetration rates due to low prevalence of home air conditioning in favor of open ventilation between indoors and outdoors (Tsai et al. 2000); *d*) the greater duration of exposure due to the amount of time spent outdoors, because many Thais work and eat outdoors; *e*) factors related to lower economic development and socioeconomic status, such as lower background health status and use of health care, and higher smoking rates and co-morbidity; *f*) greater exposure to indoor sources such as incense and cooking; and *g*) stochastic variability. Because of several of these factors (although only anecdotal in nature), it is likely that the effective inhaled dose of any given concentration measured from a fixed site outdoor monitor is greater in Bangkok than in Western industrialized countries.

To date, few studies that relate mortality to air pollution have been conducted in Asia. Studies of daily mortality have been conducted in Incheon (Hong et al. 1999), Seoul, and Ulsan, South Korea (Kwon et al. 2001; Lee and Schwartz 1999; Lee et al. 1999); Shenyang, China (Xu et al. 2000); seven cities in South Korea (Lee et al. 2000); and New Delhi, India (Cropper et al. 1997). For the most part, policy makers in Asia have had to draw from studies conducted in North America and Western Europe. Although it may be reasonable to extrapolate the findings

from the NAWE region to other parts of the world, our study also suggests that the per-unit effects may be higher in certain developing countries. Additional studies undertaken in developing countries in Asia and other parts of the world can validate our findings and help determine the factors that might modify the effect estimate.

Finally, our analysis demonstrated an association between air pollution and mortality in a region that would not be confounded by cold weather and associated respiratory infections. As such, it supports the likelihood of a causal association in studies in NAWE, which experience greater seasonality and colder temperatures.

REFERENCES

- Anderson HR, Atkinson RW, Peacock JL, Sweeting MJ, Marston L. 2005. Ambient particulate matter and health effects: publication bias in studies of short-term associations. *Epidemiology* 16:155–163.
- Castillejos M, Borja-Aburto VH, Dockery DW, Gold DR, Loomis D. 2000. Airborne coarse particles and mortality. *Inhal Toxicol* 12(S1):61–72.
- Cropper ML, Simon NB, Alberini A, Arora S, Sharma PK. 1997. The health benefits of air pollution control in Delhi. *Am J Agric Econ* 79:1625–1629.
- Dominici F, McDermott A, Daniels M, Zeger SL, Samet JM. 2003. Mortality among residents of 90 cities. In: *Revised Analyses of Time-Series Studies of Air Pollution and Health*. Special report. Boston, MA:Health Effects Institute. Available: <http://pubs.healtheffects.org/view.php?id=4> [Accessed 5 December 2004].
- HEI (Health Effects Institute). 2004. *Health Effects of Outdoor Air Pollution in Developing Countries of Asia: A Literature Review*. Special Report 15. Boston, MA:Health Effects Institute.
- Hong YC, Leem JH, Ha EH, Christiani DC. 1999. PM10 exposure, gaseous pollutants, and daily mortality in Incheon, South Korea. *Environ Health Perspect* 107:873–878.
- Katsouyanni K, Touloumi G, Samolu E, Petasakis Y, Analitis A, Le Tertre A, et al. 2003. Sensitivity analysis of various models of short-term effects of ambient particles on total mortality in 29 cities in APHEA2. In: *Revised Analyses of Time-Series of Air Pollution and Health*. Special Report. Boston, MA:Health Effects Institute.
- Kwon HJ, Cho SH, Nyberg F, Pershagen G. 2001. Effects of ambient air pollution on daily mortality in a cohort of patients with congestive heart failure. *Epidemiology* 12:413–419.
- Lee JT, Kim H, Hong YC, Kwon HJ, Schwartz J, Christiani DC. 2000. Air pollution and daily mortality in seven major cities of Korea, 1991–1997. *Environ Res* 84:247–254.
- Lee JT, Schwartz J. 1999. Reanalysis of the effects of air pollution on daily mortality in Seoul, Korea: a case–crossover design. *Environ Health Perspect* 107:633–636.
- Lee JT, Shin D, Chung Y. 1999. Air pollution and daily mortality in Seoul and Ulsan, Korea. *Environ Health Perspect* 107:149–154.
- Ostro B, Chestnut L, Vichit-Vadakan N, Laixuthai A. 1998. The impact of fine particulate matter on mortality in Bangkok, Thailand. In: *PM_{2.5}: A Fine Particle Standard*, Volume II (Chow J and Koutrakis P, eds). Pittsburgh, PA:Air and Waste Management Association.
- Ostro BD, Chestnut L, Vichit-Vadakan N, Laixuthai A. 1999. The impact of particulate matter on daily mortality in Bangkok, Thailand. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 49:100–107.
- Ostro B, Sanchez JM, Aranda C, Eskeland GS. 1996. Air pollution and mortality: results from a study of Santiago, Chile. *J Expo Anal Env Epidemiol* 6:97–114.
- R Development Core Team. 2007. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria:R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available: <http://www.R-project.org> [accessed 16 May 2007].
- Schwartz J. 2004. The effects of particulate air pollution on daily deaths: a multi-city case-crossover analysis. *Occup Environ Med* 61:956–961.
- Tsai FC, Smith KR, Vichit-Vadakan N, Ostro BD, Chestnut LG, Kungskulniti N. 2000. Indoor/outdoor PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in Bangkok, Thailand. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 10:15–26.
- U.S. EPA. 2004. *Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter*. EPA-452/R-96-013. Research Triangle Park NC:U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.
- Vajanapoom N, Shy C, Neas L, Loomis D. 2002. Associations of particulate matter and daily mortality in Bangkok, Thailand. *Southeast Asia J Trop Med Public Health* 33:389–399.
- WHO. 1992. *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision, Volume 1A*. Geneva:World Health Organization.
- WHO. 2000. *WHO Air Quality Guidelines for Europe, 2nd edition*, European Series, No. 91. Copenhagen, Denmark:World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe.
- Xu Z, Yu D, Jing L, Xu X. 2000. Air pollution and daily mortality in Shenyang, China. *Arch Environ Health* 55:115–120.