

project summary may be published by the U.S. Department of Education.

## VII. Agency Contact

*For Further Information Contact:* Joe Teresa, U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW., room 608f, Washington, DC 20208. Telephone: (202) 219-2046 or by e-mail: [joe.teresa@ed.gov](mailto:joe.teresa@ed.gov).

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the program contact person listed in this section.

*Electronic Access to This Document:* You may view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: <http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister>.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

**Note:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html>.

Dated: December 17, 2003.

**Grover J. Whitehurst,**

*Director, Institute of Education Sciences.*

[FR Doc. 03-31446 Filed 12-19-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of arbitration panel decision under the Randolph-Sheppard Act.

**SUMMARY:** The Department gives notice that on April 11, 2003, an arbitration panel rendered a decision in the matter of *Joseph Urbanek v. South Carolina Commission for the Blind (Docket No. R-S/01-7)*. This panel was convened by the U.S. Department of Education, under 20 U.S.C. 107d-1(a), after the

Department received a complaint filed by the petitioner, Joseph Urbanek.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under section 6(c) of the Randolph-Sheppard Act (the Act), 20 U.S.C. 107d-2(c), the Secretary publishes in the **Federal Register** a synopsis of each arbitration panel decision affecting the administration of vending facilities on Federal and other property.

### Background

This dispute concerns the alleged failure of the South Carolina Commission for the Blind, the State licensing agency (SLA), to properly administer the vendor selection process regarding a military mess hall dining facility at Fort Jackson, South Carolina, in violation of the Act (20 U.S.C. 107 *et seq.*) and the implementing regulations in 34 CFR part 395.

A summary of the facts is as follows: Complainant, Joseph Urbanek, is a licensed Randolph-Sheppard vendor with the South Carolina Commission for the Blind. In June 2000, the SLA posted a bid notice with a closing date of June 9 for the dining facility at Fort Jackson, South Carolina.

Complainant and four other vendors applied for the Fort Jackson opening. The SLA's selection committee interviewed all candidates, including Mr. Urbanek, on June 26, 2000. After the interviews, one of the other vendors was awarded the Fort Jackson dining facility.

Complainant alleged that the SLA misapplied its selection criteria by refusing to select him to receive the Fort Jackson dining facility and instead awarded the location to another experienced blind vendor in violation of State rules and regulations. Complainant also alleged that the SLA was biased against him in pre-selecting the vendor who was awarded the Fort Jackson facility resulting in a denial of his right to due process.

The complainant requested a State fair hearing, which was held on November 27, 2000. On February 5, 2001, the hearing officer affirmed the SLA's denial of complainant's bid for the Fort Jackson dining facility.

### Arbitration Panel Decision

The issue heard by the panel was whether the South Carolina Commission for the Blind violated the Act, 20 U.S.C. 107 *et seq.*, the implementing regulations in 34 CFR part 395, and the State regulations by allegedly improperly denying complainant's bid on the military mess hall dining facility at Fort Jackson, South Carolina.

After reviewing all of the records and hearing testimony of witnesses, the panel ruled that the SLA's selection

committee was not biased against the complainant's bid for the Fort Jackson dining facility.

Specifically, the complainant alleged that the SLA selection committee was biased against him by adding to the five-factor selection criteria to include information on each applicant's creditworthiness, financial status, prior experience outside the blind vending program, and personal references. Also, the complainant objected to Mr. Johnson, the successful applicant, serving on a study committee that considered and recommended changes in the selection criteria for the Fort Jackson dining facility.

The panel concluded that, based on the evidence and testimony presented, the additional information requested by the study committee did not have the effect of favoring either applicant.

Furthermore, the panel determined that Mr. Johnson's decision to serve on the study committee at the same time he was submitting a bid for the Fort Jackson dining facility did not advance or hinder Mr. Urbanek's bid for the same facility. In addition, the panel found that the SLA had a legitimate basis to review and improve the selection criteria given that the Fort Jackson facility was an important breakthrough for the SLA.

Finally, the panel determined that the selection committee had justification for the final ratings for the bidders. According to the panel only three of the rating factors involved judgment. All five members rated the complainant lower overall than Mr. Johnson.

The panel found that there was nothing in the unanimous judgment of the selection committee in selecting the blind vendor that required a remedy. Both bidders were qualified, but the committee found Mr. Johnson to be more qualified. According to the panel, the committee's selection of Mr. Johnson was a matter committed to its sound discretion. On this basis, the panel denied plaintiff's claim.

The views and opinions expressed by the panel do not necessarily represent the views and opinions of the U.S. Department of Education.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** You may obtain a copy of the full text of the arbitration panel decision from Suzette E. Haynes, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 3232, Mary E. Switzer Building, Washington, DC 20202-2738. Telephone: (202) 205-8536. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the TDD number at (202) 205-8298.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative

format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

#### Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site:

<http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister>.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

**Note:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO

Access at: <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html>.

Dated: December 16, 2003.

**Robert H. Pasternack,**

*Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.*

[FR Doc. 03-31395 Filed 12-19-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Office of Fossil Energy; Orders Granting, Amending, and Vacating Authority To Import and Export Natural Gas, Including Liquefied Natural Gas

**AGENCY:** Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of orders.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy gives notice that during October 2003, it issued Orders granting, amending, and

vacating authority to import and export natural gas, including liquefied natural gas. These Orders are summarized in the attached appendix and may be found on the FE Web site at <http://www.fe.doe.gov> (select gas regulation). They are also available for inspection and copying in the Office of Natural Gas & Petroleum Import & Export Activities, Docket Room 3E-033, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-9478. The Docket Room is open between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 20, 2003.

**Clifford P. Tomaszewski,**

*Manager, Natural Gas Regulation, Office of Natural Gas & Petroleum Import & Export Activities, Office of Fossil Energy.*

#### Appendix—Orders Granting Import/Export Authorizations

[DOE/FE Authority]

Order No.	Date issued	Importer/Exporter FE docket No.	Import volume	Export volume	Comments
1854-A .....	10-7-03	Total Gas & Power North America, Inc. (Formerly TotalFinaElf Gas & Power North America, Inc.) 03-07-NG.			Name Change.
1899 .....	10-7-03	Pacific Gas and Electric Company; 03-52-NG.	600 Bcf		Import natural gas from Canada, beginning on November 1, 2003, and extending through October 31, 2005.
1900 .....	10-7-03	Northeast Gas Markets LLC; 03-55-NG.	400 Bcf		Import and export a combined total of natural gas from and to Canada, beginning on November 1, 2003, and extending through October 31, 2005.
1901 .....	10-7-03	Avista Energy, Inc.; 03-54-NG	269 Bcf		Import natural gas from Canada, beginning on November 1, 2003, and extending through October 31, 2005.
1902 .....	10-8-03	MASSPOWER; 03-56-NG .....	20 Bcf		Import and export a combined total of natural gas from and to Canada, beginning on October 22, 2003, and extending through October 21, 2005.
1903 .....	10-8-03	FUSI LLC; 03-59-NG .....	75 Bcf		Import and export a combined total of natural gas from and to Canada, beginning on October 8, 2003, and extending through October 7, 2005.
1828-A .....	10-8-03	Fortuna (U.S.) Inc. 02-80-NG			Vacate blanket import and export authority.
1904 .....	10-9-03	Energy Trust Marketing Ltd.; 03-58-NG.	3 Bcf		Import and export a combined total of natural gas from and to Canada, beginning on October 1, 2003, and extending through September 30, 2005.
1905 .....	10-9-03	Energetix, Inc.; 03-61-NG .....	15 Bcf		Import natural gas from Canada, beginning on October 1, 2003, and extending through September 30, 2005.
1906 .....	10-9-03	Reef Ventures, L.P.; 03-62-NG.	400 Bcf		Import and export a combined total of natural gas from and to Mexico, beginning on November 1, 2003, and extending through October 31, 2005.
1907 .....	10-23-03	Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; 03-57-NG.	36.5 Bcf		Import natural gas from Canada, beginning on October 1, 2003, and extending through September 30, 2005.
1908 .....	10-23-03	Middleton Energy Management Ltd.; 03-63-NG.	100 Bcf		Import and export a combined total of natural gas from and to Canada, beginning on November 1, 2003, and extending through October 31, 2005.