The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. 249 Fifth Avenue One PNC Plaza, 21st Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2707 412 768-4251 Tel 412-705-2679 Fax james.keller@pnc.com James S. Keller Chief Regulatory Counsel

November 27, 2007

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency 250 E Street, SW Public Information Room, Mailstop 1-5 Washington, DC 20219 regs.comments@occ.treas.gov Attn.: Docket ID OCC-2007-0015

Mr. Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20429
Comments@FDIC.gov

Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary
Board of Governors of the
Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20551
regs.comments@federalreserve.gov
Attn.: Docket No. OP-1294

Regulation Comments Chief Counsel's Office Office of Thrift Supervision 1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552 regs.comments@ots.treas.gov Attn.: ID-OTS-2007-0018

Re: Proposed Guidance on Garnishment of Exempt Federal Benefit Funds

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. ("PNC"), and its principal subsidiary bank, PNC Bank, National Association ("PNC Bank"), both of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, are pleased to respond to the request for comments regarding the proposed guidance entitled "Garnishment of Exempt Federal Benefit Funds" ("Proposal") (72 Fed. Reg. 55273 (Sept. 28, 2007)).

PNC is one of the largest diversified financial services companies in the United States, with \$131.4 billion in assets as of September 30, 2007. PNC engages in retail banking, institutional banking, asset management and global fund processing services. PNC Bank has branches in the District of Columbia, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Virginia. PNC also has two other subsidiary banks, PNC Bank, Delaware, Wilmington, Delaware, which has branches in Delaware; and The Yardville National Bank, Yardville, New Jersey, which has branches in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

PNC appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Proposal, and generally supports the intention of the federal bank regulatory agencies ("Agencies") to encourage financial institutions to minimize the hardships encountered by federal benefit funds recipients and to so while remaining in compliance with applicable law. PNC Bank agrees that measures should be taken to benefit a customer whose bank account receives recurring electronic deposits of exempt federal benefits after the account is made subject

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Office of Thrift Supervision November 27, 2007 Page 2

to the attachment process, and is limiting its comment to one of the four issues presented by the Agencies:

"Are there practices that would enable an institution to avoid freezing funds altogether by determining at the time of receipt of a garnishment order that the funds are federally protected and not subject to an exception?"

Two practices are proposed: (1) the Department of the Treasury, Financial Management Service, add a transaction code designed to identify exempt funds, and (2) the establishment of a rule to be followed to identify those funds that are exempt from attachment in an account containing both exempt and non-exempt funds.

1. Add a Transaction Code

The delivery of funds from the Department of the Treasury to financial institutions occurs by means of ACH payments. The process is set forth in great detail in "The Green Book," which can be found at http://www.fms.treas.gov/greenbook/index.html. Part of the mechanics of the delivery of funds includes a textual description of the type of funds. A portion of the Green Book, including the section entitled "Identifying the Paying Agency/Payment Type for Treasury Disbursed Payments" at page 2-9, is attached to this letter.

We would recommend that a transaction code and/or text be added by means of which the Department of Treasury could unmistakably identify the ACH payment as exempt funds. Such a code might, for example, be placed in the "Field 7 Contents," which is shown in the attached document. While the description of Social Security benefits does not provide an obstacle to allowing a financial institution to identify those payments as exempt funds, other payments do not present the same clarity. In the flow of payments through the ACH system, it is the originator of the payment, The Department of the Treasury, and not the recipient of the payment, a financial institution, that has the most information available to be able to identify funds as exempt.

2. Create a Rule Applicable to Commingled Funds

In testimony before the Senate Finance Committee, Margot Saunders of the National Consumer Law Center acknowledged that how a financial institution should handle an account that has both exempt and non-exempt funds is "[t]he more complex

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Office of Thrift Supervision November 27, 2007 Page 3

issue." Unfortunately, the issue cannot be resolved by a simple "press of a button," which Ms. Saunders speculates should exist.¹

For the purpose at hand, state laws on garnishments can be divided into two categories. One category is state law that requires restraint of funds in an account at the time of service of the attachment up to the amount of the judgment. The second is state law that requires restraint of funds in an account at the time of service of the attachment plus any funds deposited after the date of service up to the amount of the judgment. The first category requires a freeze of funds to be made and a one-time assessment of exempt versus non-exempt funds. The second category requires a more difficult operational challenge to financial institutions, because it requires a hold to be placed not simply on funds in an account but on the account itself in order to meet the requirement to attach deposits that come in after service of the attachment.

Both categories of state law would require a need to trace both deposits and withdrawals from an account to determine at the time of an attachment the amount of the commingled funds that is exempt. A simple illustration of the problem is:

On Day One, an account is opened with \$5,000.00 consisting of two deposits of \$2,500 each. One deposit is of exempt funds and the other is of non-exempt funds.

On Day Two, \$1,500.00 is paid from the account to the customer.

On Day Three, a Writ of Execution is served requiring the financial institution to restrain up to \$3,500.00.

At the time of service of the attachment, what is the amount of exempt funds in the account: \$2,500, \$1,000, or some other amount?

The identification of exempt funds deposited after the date service would be made simple by an additional text in Field 7. The operational challenge to place a hold on an account, not just funds in an account, would affect the ability of a financial institution to make the exempt funds instantaneously available to the customer. Instead the exempt funds that were deposited after an attachment is served, although not to be turned over to a creditor, would be restrained but would also be made available to the customer on demand (except through presentation of a payment request through ACH or paper clearinghouse systems).

¹ Margot Saunders, Counsel, National Consumer Law Center, "Frozen Out: A Review of Bank Treatment of Social Security Benefits," Testimony before the Committee on Finance, U.S. Senate (Sept. 20, 2007) at 6.

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Office of Thrift Supervision November 27, 2007 Page 4

PNC Bank believes that any tracing process, having a limited application to garnished accounts holding both funds exempt under federal law and non-exempt funds, would require a manual, as opposed to an automated, process. PNC Bank believes the better approach for providing access by customers to funds that are commingled would be to impose on financial institutions an obligation to make available a certain minimum dollar amount of funds, regardless of origin.

If, for example, the minimum amount were \$3,000.00, in the example set forth above, where there would be a potential restraint on \$3,500.00 of commingled funds, \$3000.00 would be made available to the customer and \$500.00 would be subject to the attachment. The State of California uses a similar approach. Due process under state law that provides for other types of exemptions to be claimed by a state's residents would operate to allow the customer the opportunity to petition a court for a declaration that additional funds are exempt.

Conclusion

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the Proposal. If you would like to discuss any aspect of this letter, please do not hesitate to call Joel B. Gold, Senior Counsel (412-762-2801) or me.

Sincerely,

James S. Kellei

Attachment

cc:

Michael F. Carroll

Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland

Gary TeKolste

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Kathleen A. Flannery

Joel B. Gold

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.

² See CCP § 704.080.

Green BOOK On-Line PDFs

A Guide to Federal Government ACH Payments and Collections



2 ACH Payment Processing

Overview

This chapter provides information about how Federal government ACH payments are processed. It also contains valuable information on payment dates and information to assist in identifying Treasury disbursed payments.

In this Chapter...

A.	Financial Organization Master File (FOMF)	2-3
	Purpose	2-3
	Financial Institution Responsibility	2-3
	Changes to Financial Institution Name and Mailing Address	2-3
	Changes to Routing Numbers	2-3
	New Depository Institutions	2-4
B.	Federal Government ACH Processing	2-4
	General Flow	2-4
	Prenotification	2-4
	Payment Formats	2-4
	Account Requirements	2-4
	Payment Posting	2-5
	Availability of Funds	2-5
	Electronic Transfer Account (ETA)	2-5
C.	Federal ACH Payment Schedule	2-6
D.	Identifying Treasury Disbursed Payments	2-6
	Regional Financial Center/Routing Number Table	2-7
	Consumer Payment Formats	2-8
	Standard Entry Class Code	2-8
	Payment Types	2-9
	Identifying the Paying Agency/Payment Type for Treasury Disbursed Payments	2-9
	Identifying the Payee for Treasury Disbursed Payments	2-10

E.	Identifying Non-Treasury Disbursed Payments	2-11
	Identifying the Paying Agency/Payment Type for Non-Treasury Disbursed Payments	2-11
	Identifying the Payee for Non-Treasury Disbursed Payments	2-12

Payment Types

Types of payments that utilize fall into the PPD standard entry class category include the following:

- benefit
- · annuity
- travel
- salary
- allotment
- · IRS tax refund
- · Public Debt payments.

Identifying the Paying Agency/Payment Type for Treasury Disbursed Payments

Field 7 "Company Entry Description" (Company/Batch Header Record)

Paying Agency/Payment Type	Field 7 Contents	
Bureau of the Public Debt/ <i>TreasuryDirect</i> Federal Housing Administration Debenture Payments FHA/HUD Marketable Securities (Bills, Notes, and Bonds) PAR_AMOUNT PAR_&_INT_		
Savings Bond Agent's Fee Payments	H/HH_INTST State and Local	
Central Intelligence Agency CIA Annuity	CIARDSANNU	
Department of Veterans Affairs Compensation and Pension	VA_BENEFIT	
Federal Salary	FED_SALARY	
Federal Travel Payments	FED_TRAVEL	
Office of Personnel Management Civil Service Retirement (Annuity)	CIVIL_SERV	
Railroad Retirement Board Railroad Retirement/Annuity		
Social Security Administration Social Security		