

## FINAL REPORT

**Cooperative Agreement Number** R 82806101-0

**Date of report:** May 1, 2005

**Title:** The Pittsburgh PM Supersite Program: A Multidisciplinary Consortium for Atmospheric Aerosol Research

**Principal Investigators:** Spyros Pandis, Cliff Davidson, and Allen Robinson

**Institution:** Carnegie Mellon University

**Project Period:** March 16, 2002 - June 15, 2003

**Principal Investigators:** Spyros Pandis, Cliff Davidson, Allen Robinson

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Pittsburgh Air Quality Study (PAQS) (or Pittsburgh PM Supersite) had two components: an ambient monitoring program funded by the EPA PM Supersites Program and a second component focusing on PM sources (emissions, source-receptor relationship analysis, chemical transport modeling and additional field measurements) funded by DOE/NETL. This report summarizes the major findings of both of these components.

#### 1.1 Objectives

The main objectives of the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study were:

- Characterization of the PM in the Pittsburgh region. These characteristics include the PM size, surface, and volume distribution; chemical composition as a function of size and on a single particle basis; temporal and spatial variability.
- Development and evaluation of current and next generation atmospheric aerosol monitoring techniques (single particle measurements, continuous measurements, ultrafine aerosol measurements, improved organic component characterization, and others).
- Quantification of the impact of the various sources (transportation, power plants, natural, etc.) to the PM concentrations in the area.

- Quantification of the responses of the PM characteristics to changes in these emissions in support of the emission control decision making in the area.
- Elucidation of the links between PM characteristics and their health impacts in support of health studies.

## **1.2 Hypotheses**

The Pittsburgh Supersite Program was designed to test a wide range of complementary hypotheses. *The findings of the program provided strong support for some of these hypotheses and showed that some of them were false.* A brief summary of the original hypotheses is presented below:

### **1.2.1 Ambient Aerosol Characterization**

Hypothesis 1.1 The measured aerosol mass can be fully explained if one accounts for the water retained by organics and inorganics, the full organic aerosol contribution, and the full crustal contribution.

Hypothesis 1.2 The ambient aerosol surface area can be calculated with an error less than 20% using aerodynamic size measurements and assuming that all particles are spherical.

### **1.2.2 Measurement Methods**

Hypothesis 2.1 Single Particle Mass Spectrometers can be used to obtain the full number and mass composition distributions of ambient aerosols.

Hypothesis 2.2 Semi-continuous nitrate, sulfate, carbon and elements measurement techniques can quantify their concentrations under conditions prevalent at the site.

Hypothesis 2.3 There is a negative artifact from sampling nitrate over several hours and it can be avoided by employing semi-continuous techniques.

### **1.2.3 Atmospheric Processes**

Hypothesis 3.1 Aerosol nucleation (biogenic precursors or SO<sub>2</sub>) can be a major source of aerosol number in both urban and rural areas in the study region.

Hypothesis 3.2 Biogenic primary and secondary aerosols are a major component of the organic aerosol in the Pittsburgh region.

Hypothesis 3.3 Fogs and low clouds are responsible for extreme acid sulfate conditions in the Pittsburgh region since (a) there is substantial SO<sub>2</sub> imported from the west, and (b) aqueous phase oxidizers, such as hydrogen peroxide, are present in significant concentrations.

Hypothesis 3.4 The response of PM<sub>2.5</sub> to changes in sulfate is highly non-linear during the winter and linear during the summer and is controlled by the ammonia availability.

Hypothesis 3.5 The secondary aerosol contribution to OC exceeds 50% during the peak PM days, but is around 20% on a yearly average basis (based on similar contributions estimated for the Western US).

Hypothesis 3.6 The regional contributions to the PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels in the Pittsburgh region for some compounds exceed the local contribution, whereas for others the local exceeds the regional.

#### **1.2.4 Source-Receptor Relationships**

Hypothesis 4.1 A complementary suite of instruments (single particle instruments, continuous composition monitors) and techniques (enhanced organic tracers, inorganic tracers) can directly determine the local air quality contributions from a broad range of sources including a) primary emissions from power plants fired by coal, oil, or gas, diesel- or gasoline-powered transportation, meat cooking, coke plants, biogenics, biomass burning, incineration, and crustal sources and b) secondary compounds emitted from power plants, and transportation systems.

Hypothesis 4.2 An increase in temporal resolution of elemental constituents of atmospheric aerosol coupled with sulfate and carbon analyses of comparable frequencies will permit (a) unprecedented resolution of sources by receptor modeling techniques, e.g., Factor Analysis (FA), Multi-Linear Regression (MLA), and Chemical Mass Balance (CMB) analyses, (b) resolution of plumes from individual stationary sources impacting the site, and (c) resolution of local and regional sources.

Hypothesis 4.3 Specific aerosol signatures are associated with transport from specific source regions and along different altitudes.

### **1.2.5 Aerosol Properties**

Hypothesis 5.1 Visibility in the area can be predicted from RH and size/composition information obtained from aerosol sizing instruments and size-resolved bulk chemistry instruments (MOUDI).

Hypothesis 5.2 Most particles in the area are liquid throughout the day in both winter and summer.

Hypothesis 5.3 Aerosol in the area consists of two groups of particles based on the hygroscopic properties: those consisting mainly of sulfates that grow rapidly with relative humidity and those consisting of mainly carbonaceous material that grow slowly.

## **2. SCIENTIFIC KEY FINDINGS**

The key findings of the program are presented below. They are organized by objective and also are placed in priority of scientific importance. The findings are related to the corresponding hypotheses (shown in brackets). Additional information about these findings can be found in the cited publications (Appendix of this report).

### **2.1 Ambient Aerosol Characterization**

- Seasonal variations in PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass and composition in Western Pennsylvania are driven by differences in the seasonal variations of organic carbon and sulfate, with sulfate being dominant in the summer and organic matter and sulfate showing similar concentrations in the cooler months. In the cooler months, nitrate also is important and approximately equal to about half the sulfate concentration on average (Wittig *et al.*, 2004a; Rees *et al.*, 2004).
- The concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, sulfate, and OC were surprisingly uniform in Western Pennsylvania (three sites inside Pittsburgh, one upwind and one downwind of the city) during both the summer and winter (Tang *et al.*, 2004). The large regional contribution is supported by the weak daily patterns of the PM<sub>2.5</sub>,

sulfate, and organic matter concentrations (Wittig et al., 2004a) and the average age (several days) of the particles estimated from natural radionuclide measurements (Gaffney et al., 2004). [HYPOTHESIS 3.6]

- The daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard was never exceeded during the approximately 400 days of measurements during PAQS. However, on 9 days the daily average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration was greater than 50 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. All 9 days occurred during the summer months of 2001 and 2002. Roughly half of the episodes were due to high sulfate concentrations while the other half were mainly due to high organic matter concentrations (Wittig *et al.*, 2004a).
- PM<sub>2.5</sub> represented on average 2/3 of the PM<sub>10</sub> in Western Pennsylvania (Wittig et al., 2004). The aerosol mass distribution was bimodal with most of the aerosol mass in the fine mode. The two modes were centered approximately at 0.3 and 3 µm.
- In the summer, most of the total nitrate in Pittsburgh is in the gas phase during the day and in the particulate phase during the night with maximum concentrations in the early morning before sunrise. Almost the entire available total nitrate is in the particulate phase in the winter (Wittig *et al.*, 2004b). [HYPOTHESIS 2.3]
- Sulfate concentrations, show little consistent diurnal variation throughout the year, except in the summer when sulfate peaks slightly during the afternoon due to photochemical production (Wittig *et al.*, 2004b).
- The daily average sulfate concentration is well correlated with the hourly maximum sulfate concentration ( $R^2=0.89$ ) (Wittig *et al.*, 2004b).
- The average Pittsburgh number concentration is 22,000 cm<sup>-3</sup> with an average mode size of 40 nm. Rural number concentrations in Western Pennsylvania are a factor of 2-3 lower than the urban values. The highest number concentrations were observed during relatively clean days because of frequent nucleation events in the area. (Stanier et al., 2004c).
- The correlation between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>1</sub> in Pittsburgh was very high ( $R^2=0.98$ ). The correlation between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>x</sub> decreased with decreasing size cut  $x$  but

$R^2 > 0.75$  for  $x > 0.56 \mu\text{m}$ . The correlations between  $\text{PM}_{0.1}$ ,  $\text{PM}_{0.2}$ , and  $\text{PM}_{0.3}$  with  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  were weak (Cabada et al., 2004b).

- Ultrafine particles (below 100 nm) account on average for less than 5% of the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ . During the summer the ultrafine mass is 50% carbonaceous material and 50% inorganic (mainly sulfate and ammonium); during the winter these percentages are 70% and 30% respectively (Cabada et al., 2004b).
- PM mass distributions for all seasons are dominated by the accumulation mode with its sub-modes at  $0.2 \mu\text{m}$  (condensation mode) and  $0.7 \mu\text{m}$  (droplet mode). The droplet mode dominates in the summer (strong photochemical production of sulfate and cloud processing) while the condensation mode becomes as important in the winter and fall (significant influence of primary emissions) (Cabada et al., 2004b; Zhang et al., 2005).
- The organic PM (both OC and EC) has a practically unimodal mass distribution during the whole year consistent with its regional aged character (Cabada et al., 2004b).
- Almost the entire nitrate in the winter exists in submicrometer particles with a size distribution similar to that of sulfate and ammonium suggesting that it is mostly in the form of ammonium nitrate (Cabada et al., 2004b).
- High PM concentrations in Western Pennsylvania are associated with a transition from a high pressure to a low pressure regime in advance of an approaching frontal system indicating long-range transport of pollutants (Modey et al., 2004).

## 2.2 Measurement Methods

- The average FRM-measured  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration in Pittsburgh is on average 10% greater than the sum of the mass of the aerosol chemical components. The most significant discrepancies were observed during the summer. This discrepancy is mainly due to water retention on the FRM filters and can be corrected with the use of thermodynamic models. Accounting for the effects of water and volatilization losses closes the mass balance between the FRM and the sum of the  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  chemical components (Rees *et al.*, 2004). [HYPOTHESIS 1.1]

- A semi-continuous OC/EC analyzer (Sunset) was operated successfully for 13 months during PAQS by both the Turpin group and CMU personnel (Polidori *et al.*, 2005). [HYPOTHESIS 2.2]
- The nitrate and sulfate concentrations measured by the R&P nitrate (model 8400 N) and sulfate (model 8400S) after standard instrument calibration had reasonably high correlations ( $R^2$  about 0.85) with the filter-based methods. However, the sulfate and nitrate concentrations measured by the continuous instruments were low by 17% and 29% respectively. A final calibration step using filter-based measurements was recommended for these instruments (Wittig *et al.*, 2004b). [HYPOTHESIS 2.2]
- An automatic method for the semi-continuous measurement of the aerosol water content, the Dry Ambient Aerosol Size Spectrometer (DAASS) was developed and used continuously for a year (Stanier *et al.*, 2004b). [HYPOTHESIS 1.1]
- The organic aerosol in Western Pennsylvania is aged and as a result the negative artifact in quartz filters was found to be small (typically less than 10% of the OC). The use of a backup quartz filter behind the front quartz filter provided a better estimate of daily average OC positive artifact than the use of a quartz backup filter behind a Teflon filter (Subramanian *et al.*, 2004).
- A simple algorithm was developed to combine aerosol size distribution data measured with commercially available scanning mobility particle sizers and an aerodynamic particle sizer to produce the complete aerosol size distribution from 3 nanometers to 10 micrometers (Khlystov *et al.*, 2004).
- The Versatile Aerosol Concentration Enrichment System (VACES) was tested during measurements of ambient aerosol in Pittsburgh. It was found that the shape of the sulfate distribution was preserved during passage through the concentrator with a mass enhancement factor of 10-20. The size distributions of organics, ammonium and nitrate were preserved in relatively clean days while artifacts smaller than 10% of the concentrated mass were introduced for dirty days (Khlystov *et al.*, 2005b; Zhao *et al.*, 2005).

## 2.3 Atmospheric Processes

- The SOA contribution to the total organic PM concentration in Pittsburgh varies from around 10% during the winter months to around 50% of the total OC concentration in the summer months (Cabada *et al.*, 2002; Cabada *et al.*, 2004a; Millet *et al.*, 2005). The SOA was estimated to be  $35 \pm 15\%$  of the OC during July 2001 (Cabada *et al.*, 2004a; Millet *et al.*, 2005; Polidori *et al.*, 2005). On a daily basis the SOA contribution is quite variable from almost zero during the low OC days to more than 50% during the high PM<sub>2.5</sub> episodes (Cabada *et al.*, 2004a). [HYPOTHESIS 3.5]
- The annual average SOA contribution to the organic PM was estimated to be around 30% (Polidori *et al.*, 2005). [HYPOTHESIS 3.5]
- The ammonium nitrate concentrations in Western Pennsylvania are quite sensitive to the ammonia levels and reductions in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations may be assisted by reductions in ammonia emissions during both the summer and winter (Takahama *et al.*, 2004). [HYPOTHESIS 3.4]
- Regional scale formation of ultrafine particles (nucleation) takes place in Western Pennsylvania on 30% of the days of the year, during all seasons but it is most frequent in fall and spring and least frequent in winter. Regional nucleation is most common on sunny days with below average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. Twenty-four-hour average number concentrations were approximately 40% higher on days with nucleation compared to those without. (Stanier *et al.*, 2004a). [HYPOTHESIS 3.1]
- The available aerosol thermodynamic models can reproduce the observed nitrate partitioning within experimental error (Takahama *et al.*, 2004).
- The frequent nucleation events can be explained by a ternary sulfuric acid-ammonia-water nucleation model. The results are consistent with the composition of the fresh ultrafine particles of Zhang *et al.*, (2004). Reductions of ammonia emissions are predicted to decrease the frequency of nucleation events during both summer and winter, with a more dramatic effect during the summer.

Reductions of sulfur dioxide and the resulting sulfate by up to 40% are expected to increase the frequency of nucleation during the summer and decrease it during the winter (Gaydos et al., 2005a). [HYPOTHESIS 3.1]

#### 2.4 Source-Receptor Relationships

- During the study roughly 90% of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration came from areas outside Pittsburgh. Local transportation contributed only 7% to the average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration (Zhou et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2005b). [HYPOTHESIS 3.6]
- While most of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration had regional sources roughly half of the particle number concentration was due to local transportation sources (Zhou et al., 2004; Stanier et al., 2004c; Zhou et al., 2005b). [HYPOTHESIS 3.6]
- During the winter sulfate reductions will lead to increases in the nitrate concentrations. It is predicted that a 50% sulfate reduction will lead to a 10% decrease of inorganic PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentrations. For a 50% reduction in ammonia availability, inorganic PM<sub>2.5</sub> was reduced by approximately 30%, while for a 50% reduction in total nitric acid a 15-20% reduction in inorganic PM<sub>2.5</sub> is predicted (Vayenas et al., 2005). [HYPOTHESIS 3.4]
- No major enhancement of the organic concentration is observed during periods when the aerosol is acidic, which suggests that acid-catalyzed SOA formation was not an important process during this study (Zhang et al., 2005).
- Two systematic approaches (extensions of the EC tracer method) for the estimation of the SOA contribution to the OC levels were proposed by Cabada *et al.* (2004a) and Millet et al. (2005). The first method requires semi-continuous measurements of OC and EC and is applicable to areas where most of the OC is not emitted by local sources. The use of daily average OC and EC measurements results in an under-prediction of the SOA concentration. The second approach requires also continuous VOC measurements.
- Use of continuous size distribution, particle composition (sulfate, nitrate, and metals), and gas-phase concentrations in source-receptor analysis increased dramatically our ability to resolve source contributions to ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub>

concentrations. Eleven sources were identified for two summer episodes: remote traffic, local traffic, diesel traffic, secondary sulfate, secondary nitrate 1 and 2, a lead source, coal-fired power plants, steel mills, coke plants, and nucleation (Zhou et al., 2005a). [HYPOTHESIS 4.1]

- An observation-based model, the thermodynamic model with removal (TMR) was developed to estimate responses of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations to changes in precursor concentrations. Use of the model requires semi-continuous measurements of sulfate, total nitrate, total ammonia,  $PM_{2.5}$  nitrate, and  $PM_{2.5}$  ammonium (Vayenas et al., 2005). [HYPOTHESIS 3.4]
- For diesel engines operating at low load and wood combustion, the  $PM_{2.5}$  mass emission rate decreases significantly when the aerosol is diluted because of changes in the partitioning of semivolatile organics. For example, the  $PM_{2.5}$  mass emission rate from a diesel engine operating at low load decreases by 50% when the dilution ratio increases from 20:1 to 350:1 (Lipsky and Robinson, 2005a).
- A positive matrix factorization (PMF) technique was developed for the analysis of the semi-continuous size distributions measured by scanning mobility particle spectrometers (SMPS) and aerodynamic particle sizers (APS) (Zhou *et al.*, 2004). The sources were identified by using the number and volume distributions associated with these factors, the time frequency properties of the contribution of each source and the correlations of the contribution values with the gas-phase and  $PM_{2.5}$  composition data. [HYPOTHESIS 4.2]
- Use of continuous size distribution measurements and positive matrix factorization can provide valuable information about the contributions of local traffic and nucleation to the aerosol number and mass concentrations. However, additional information is needed to separate the rest of the sources (Zhou et al., 2005b). [HYPOTHESIS 4.2]
- Semi-continuous measurements of PM metal concentrations together with continuous  $PM_{2.5}$  and major gas-phase pollutant concentrations can be used together with multivariate pseudo-deterministic receptor model (PDRM) can be used to predict the contributions to ambient levels of individual coal-fired boilers

and other point sources (Park *et al.*, 2005). The method also allows the calculation of the emission rates of each source. [HYPOTHESIS 4.2]

- The fine particle emissions profile of a large coke production facility is dominated by organic (40%  $\pm$  9% of PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass emissions) and elemental carbon (25%  $\pm$  5% of PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass emissions). Significant contributions of inorganic ions and select trace metals were also observed. The particle emissions are dominated by the fine fraction, with PM<sub>2.5</sub> estimated to contribute 84%  $\pm$  14% of the PM<sub>10</sub> mass. The profile can be used for source-receptor analysis of similar facilities (Weitkamp *et al.*, 2005).
- A new portable dilution sampler has been designed and tested for measurements of semivolatile aerosol emissions (Lipsky and Robinson, 2005b).
- A new source-receptor analysis method (Advanced Factor Analysis) has been developed for datasets that have multiple resolution aerosol composition data (Zhou *et al.*, 2004c). [HYPOTHESIS 4.2]

## 2.5 Aerosol Properties

- The density of aerosol in the Pittsburgh area was found to be 1.5 $\pm$ 0.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Khlystov *et al.*, 2004).
- Agreement within experimental error was obtained between the measured scattering coefficient and the calculations using the high temporal-resolution PM<sub>2.5</sub> composition measurements and aerosol water content. Approaches relying on estimation of the aerosol water content tended to underpredict the scattering coefficient by around 20% (Cabada *et al.*, 2004c).
- Aerosol sulfate and the associated water contribute around 70% to the scattering coefficient in the area during the summer with organics being responsible for most the remaining scattering. During the winter, sulfate accounts for approximately 40%, nitrate roughly 25%, and organics for 35% of the aerosol scattering (Cabada *et al.*, 2004c).
- During the summer months the ambient aerosol practically always contained water even when the relative humidity was as low as 30%. In contrast, during the

winter the aerosol was dry below 60% RH. The spring months were characterized by a transitional behavior between these two states. The observed seasonal behavior can be explained by the aerosol acidity. The summer aerosol was acidic and retained water at low RH. The winter aerosol was neutral and became wet when the relative humidity reached the deliquescence point of ammonium nitrate (Khlystov et al., 2005a).

### 3. PUBLICATIONS

#### A. JOURNAL PAPERS (peer-reviewed)

1. Bein K. J., Y. Zhao, A. S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston (2005) Speciation of size-resolved individual ultrafine particles in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S05, doi:10.1029/2004JD004708.
2. Cabada J. C., S. N. Pandis, and A. L. Robinson (2002) Sources of atmospheric particulate matter in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, *JAWMA*, **52**, 732-741.
3. Cabada J. C., S. N. Pandis, R. Subramanian, A.L. Robinson, A. Polidori, and B. Turpin (2004a) Estimating the secondary organic aerosol contribution to PM<sub>2.5</sub> using the EC tracer method, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, **38(S1)**, 140-155.
4. Cabada J. C., S. Rees, S. Takahama, A. Khlystov, S.N. Pandis, C.I. Davidson, and A.L. Robinson (2004b) Mass size distributions and size resolved chemical composition of fine particulate matter at the Pittsburgh Supersite, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3127-3141.
5. Cabada J. C., A. Khlystov, A.E. Wittig, C. Pilinis, and S. N. Pandis (2004c) Light scattering by fine particles during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study: Measurements and modeling, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **109**, D16S03, doi:10.1029/2003JD004155.
6. Gaffney J. S., N. A. Marley, and M. M. Cunningham (2004) Natural radionuclides in fine aerosols in the Pittsburgh area, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3191-3200.
7. Gaydos T. M., C.O. Stanier, and S. N. Pandis (2005a) Modeling of in situ ultrafine atmospheric particle formation in the eastern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S12, doi:10.1029/2004JD004683.

8. Hybl J., G. Lithgow, and S. G. Buckley (2003) Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy detection of biological material, *Applied Spectroscopy*, **57**, 1207-1215
9. Kane D. B., J. J. Wang, K. Frost, M.V. Johnston (2002) Detection of negative ions from individual ultrafine particles, *Analytical Chemistry*, **74**, 2092-2096.
10. Khlystov A., C. O. Stanier, and S.N. Pandis (2004) An algorithm for combining electrical mobility and aerodynamic size distributions data when measuring ambient aerosol, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, **38(S1)**, 229-238.
11. Khlystov A., C. O. Stanier, S. Takahama, and S. N. Pandis (2005a) Water content of ambient aerosol during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S10, doi:10.1029/2004JD004651.
12. Khlystov A., Q. Zhang, J. L. Jimenez, C. Stanier, S. N. Pandis, M. R. Canagaratna, P. Fine, C. Misra, and C. Sioutas (2005b) In-situ concentration of semi-volatile aerosol using water-condensation technology, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, in press.
13. Lipsky E. M. and A. L. Robinson (2005a) Effects of dilution of fine particle mass and partitioning of semi-volatile organics in diesel exhaust and wood smoke, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, submitted.
14. Lipsky E. M. and A. L. Robinson (2005b) Design and evaluation of a portable dilution sampling system for measuring fine particle emissions from combustion systems, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, in press.
15. Lithgow G. A., A. L. Robinson, and S.G. Buckley (2004) Ambient measurements of metal-containing PM<sub>2.5</sub> in an urban environment using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3319-3328.
16. Millet D. B., N. M. Donahue, S. N. Pandis, A. Polidori, C. O. Stanier, B. J. Turpin, and A. H. Goldstein (2005) Partitioning VOCs and organic aerosols into primary and secondary sources: Results from the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S07, doi:10.1029/2004JD004601.
17. Modey W. K., D. J. Eatough, R. R. Anderson, D. V. Martello, S. Takahama, L. L. Lucas, and C. I. Davidson (2004) Ambient fine particulate concentrations and chemical composition at two sampling sites in metropolitan Pittsburgh: a 2001 intensive summer study, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3165-3178.

18. Park S. S., J. P. Pancras, J. M. Ondov, and A. Robinson (2005) Application of the pseudo-deterministic receptor model to resolve power plant influences on air quality in Pittsburgh, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, submitted.
19. Pekney N. J., K. J. Bein, C.I. Davidson, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston (2005a) Identification of sources of atmospheric PM at the Pittsburgh Supersite Part I: Single Particle Analysis and Filter-based Positive Matrix Factorization, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, submitted.
20. Pekney N. J., C. I. Davidson, A. L. Robinson, L. Zhou, P. Hopke, and D. Eatough (2005) Identification of major sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh using PMF and Unmix, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, submitted.
21. Polidori A., B. J. Turpin, H. J. Lim, J. C. Cabada, R. Subramanian, A. L. Robinson, and S. N. Pandis (2005) Secondary organic aerosol formation in the Pittsburgh area using semi-continuous OC and EC measurements, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, submitted.
22. Rees S. L., A. L. Robinson, A. Khlystov, C.O. Stanier, and S. N. Pandis (2004) Mass balance closure and the Federal Reference Method for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3305-3318.
23. Stanier C. O., A.Y. Khlystov, and S.N. Pandis (2004a) "Nucleation events during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study: Description and relation to key meteorological, gas phase, and aerosol parameters, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, **38(S1)**, 253-264.
24. Stanier C. O., A. Khlystov, W.R. Chan, M. Mandiro, and S. N. Pandis (2004b) A method for the in situ measurement of fine aerosol water content of ambient aerosols: The Dry-Ambient Aerosol Size Spectrometer (DAASS), *Aerosol Science and Technology*, **38(S1)**, 215-228.
25. Stanier C. O., A.Y. Khlystov, and S. N. Pandis (2004c) Ambient aerosol size distributions and number concentrations measured during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study (PAQS), *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3275-3284.
26. Subramanian R., A.Y. Khlystov, J. C. Cabada, and A.L. Robinson (2004) Positive and negative artifacts in particulate organic carbon measurements with denuded and undenuded sampler configurations, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, **38(S1)**, 27-48.

27. Takahama S., A.E. Wittig, D.V. Vayenas, C.I. Davidson, and S.N. Pandis (2004) Modeling the diurnal variation of nitrate during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **109**, D16S06, doi:10.1029/2003JD004149.
28. Tang W., T. Raymond, B. Wittig, C. Davidson, S. N. Pandis, A. Robinson, and K. Crist, (2004) Spatial variations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, **38(S2)**, 80-90.
29. Vayenas D., S. Takahama, C. Davidson, and S. N. Pandis (2005) Simulation of the thermodynamics and removal processes in the sulfate-ammonia-nitric acid system: Implications for PM<sub>2.5</sub> control strategies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S14, doi:10.1029/2005JD005983.
30. Weitkamp E. A., E.M. Lipsky, P. Pancreas, J. Ondov, A. Polidori, B.J. Turpin, A.L. Robinson (2005) Fine particle emission profile for a large coke production facility based on highly time resolved fence line measurements, *Atmospheric Environment*, submitted.
31. Wittig A. E., N. Anderson, A.Y. Khlystov, S. N. Pandis, C. Davidson, and A.L. Robinson (2004) Pittsburgh Air Quality Study overview, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3107-3125.
32. Wittig A. E., S. Takahama, A.Y. Khlystov, S. N. Pandis, S. Hering, B. Kirby, and C. Davidson (2004) Semi-continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> inorganic composition measurements during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 3201-3213.
33. Zhao Y., K. J. Bein, A. S. Wexler, C. Misra, P. M. Fine, and C. Sioutas (2005) Field evaluation of the VACES particle concentrator coupled to the RSMS-3 single particle mass spectrometer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S02, doi:10.1029/2004JD004644.
34. Zhang Q., C. O. Stanier, M. R. Canagaratna, J. T Jayne, D. R. Worsnop, S. N. Pandis, and J. L. Jimenez (2004) Insights into the chemistry of new particle formation and growth events in Pittsburgh based on aerosol mass spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, **38**, 4797-4809.
35. Zhang Q., M. R. Canagaratna, J. T. Jayne, D. R. Worsnop, and J. L. Jimenez (2005) Time and size-resolved chemical composition of submicron particles in Pittsburgh: Implications for aerosol sources and processes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S09, doi:10.1029/2004JD004649.

36. Zhou L., E. Kim, P.K. Hopke, C.O. Stanier, and S. Pandis (2004a) The advanced factor analysis on Pittsburgh particle size-distribution data, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, **38(S1)**, 118-132.
37. Zhou L., W. Liu, and P. K. Hopke (2004b) Comparison of two trajectory based models for locating particle sources for two rural New York sites, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 1955-1963.
38. Zhou L. M., P. K. Hopke, P. Paatero, J. M. Ondov, J. P. Pancras, N. J. Pekney, and C.I. Davidson (2004c) Advanced factor analysis for multiple time resolution aerosol composition data, *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 4909-4920.
39. Zhou L., P. K. Hopke, C. O. Stanier, S. N. Pandis, J. M. Ondov, and J. P. Pancras (2005a) Investigation of the relationship between chemical composition and size distribution of airborne particles by Partial Least Square (PLS) and Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF), *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S18, doi:10.1029/2004JD005050.
40. Zhou L., E. Kim, P. K. Hopke, C. Stanier, and S. N. Pandis (2005b) Mining airborne particulate size distribution data by positive matrix factorization, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S19, doi:10.1029/2004JD004707.

*B. PAPERS IN PREPARATION (to be submitted during the next few months)*

41. Bein K. J., Y. Zhao, A. S. Wexler, E. Lipsky and A.L. Robinson (2005) A hypothesis for the missing source of ultrafine calcium particles in the atmosphere, *Atm. Environ.*, in preparation.
42. Bein K. J., Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky, A.L. Robinson and M.V. Johnston (2005) Source sampling experiments using a single particle mass spectrometer during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study: source characterization and quantitative estimates of source contribution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in preparation.
43. Bein K. J., Y. Zhao, A. S. Wexler, N. J. Pekney, C. I. Davidson, M. P. Tolocka, M. V. Johnston and G. Evans (2005) Characterization of smoke plumes from Canadian forest fires detected at three separate locations, Pittsburgh, Baltimore and Toronto, using single particle mass spectrometry, *Atm. Environ.*, in preparation.

44. Grieshop A. P., E. M. Lipsky, and A. L. Robinson (2005) Measurements of in use vehicle fine particle emissions made in a highway tunnel, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, in preparation.
45. Pancras J. P., J. M. Ondov, S. Gazula, J. Moore, J. Turner, and A. Robinson (2005) Features of 30-min Airborne metals measurements at 3 U.S. Supersites derived from the University of Maryland Semi-continuous Elements in Aerosol Sampler, *Aerosol Sci. Technology*, in preparation.
46. Pekney N. J., C. I. Davidson, A. L. Robinson, L. Zhou, P. K. Hopke, D. J. Eatough (2005) Identification of major sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh using PMF and Unmix, *Aerosol Science & Technology*, in preparation.
47. Polidori A., B. Turpin, H. J. Lim, F. Maimone, L. Totten, and C. Davidson (2005) Characterization of the organic fraction of the atmospheric aerosol, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, in preparation.
48. Ondov J. M., Y. C. Chan, T. Tuch, J. P. Pancras (2005) Sub-hourly metals measurements with the University of Maryland Semi-continuous Elements in Aerosol Sampler, SEAS II, in preparation.
49. Robinson A. L., N. M. Donahue, and W. F. Rogge (2005) Photo-chemical oxidation and changes in molecular composition of organic aerosol in the regional context, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, in preparation.
50. Subramanian R., N. M. Donahue, A. Bernardo-Bricker, W. F. Rogge and A. L. Robinson, (2005) Source apportionment of gasoline and diesel vehicles using the Chemical Mass Balance model and molecular markers, *Environmental Science & Technology*, in preparation.
51. Subramanian R., N. M. Donahue, A. Bernardo-Bricker, W. F. Rogge, and A. L. Robinson (2005). Source apportionment of primary organic aerosol in Pittsburgh, PA using organic molecular markers, *Environmental Science & Technology*, in preparation.
52. Subramanian R., A. Y. Khlystov, and A. L. Robinson (2005) Measurement of elemental carbon using the Thermal-Optical Transmittance Technique: Fundamental assumptions and effect of peak inert-mode temperature, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, in preparation.

53. Subramanian R., N. M. Donahue, A. Bernardo-Bricker, W. F. Rogge, and A. L. Robinson (2005) Selection of source profiles for Chemical Mass Balance modeling using organic molecular markers, *Environmental Science & Technology*, in preparation.

### C. OTHER PUBLICATIONS

1. Stanier C. O., A. Khlystov, and S. N. Pandis (2002) Chemical processes and long-range transport of aerosols: Insights from the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, in *Long Range Transport of Air Pollution*, Kluwer.
2. Pandis S. N. (2003) Estimates of diesel and other emissions: Overview of the Supersite program, in *Improving Estimates of Diesel and Other Emissions for Epidemiological Studies*, HEI Communication 10, Health Effects Institute, Boston, MA.
3. Pandis S. N., A. Solomon, and R. Scheffe (2005) Preface to special section to Particulate Matter Supersites, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D07S01, doi:10.1029/2005JD005983.

### D. PRESENTATIONS

1. “Investigation of nucleation bursts in the Pittsburgh air quality study”, 6th International Aerosol Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, September 2002 (C. O. Stanier, A. Y. Khlystov, and S. N. Pandis).
2. “Monitoring of water content of ambient aerosol during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study” 6th International Aerosol Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, September 2002 (A. Y. Khlystov, C. O. Stanier, D. Vayenas, and S. N. Pandis).
3. Performance of the Aerodynamic Particle Sizer 3320 during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study (PAQS)” 6th International Aerosol Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, September 2002 (A. Khlystov, C. Stanier, and S. N. Pandis).
4. “Sulfate-ammonia-nitric acid interactions in an urban area” 6th International Aerosol Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, September 2002 (S. Takahama, A. Khlystov, B. Wittig, S. V. Hering, C. Davidson, A. Robinson, and S. N. Pandis).
5. “Sampling artifacts during measurement of ambient carbonaceous aerosol” 6th International Aerosol Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, September 2002 (R. Subramanian, A. Y. Khlystov, J. C. Cabada, S. N. Pandis, and A. L. Robinson).

6. "Formation and properties of regional aerosol: Some insights from the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study", NASA-GSFC, Greenbelt MD, May 2002,(C. Stanier, A. Khlystov, S. Rees, J. Cabada, A. Robinson, C. Davidson, and S. N. Pandis)
7. "Seasonal composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and performance of the Federal Reference Method in Pittsburgh", PM<sub>2.5</sub> and Electric Power Generation, Pittsburgh, April 2002 (S. L. Rees, S. Takahama, A. L. Robinson, A. Khlystov, and S. N. Pandis).
8. "Continuous measurements of ammonia, sulfate, and nitrate in Pittsburgh: Implications for PM<sub>2.5</sub> control strategies", PM<sub>2.5</sub> and Electric Power Generation, Pittsburgh, April 2002 (B. Wittig, A. Khlystov, S. Takahama, C. Davidson, A. Robinson, S. Hering, and S. N. Pandis).
9. "The contribution of long-range transport and secondary organic aerosol to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh", PM<sub>2.5</sub> and Electric Power Generation, Pittsburgh, April 2002 (J. C. Cabada, R. Subramanian, S. N. Pandis, A. L. Robinson, W. Tang, N. J. Anderson, T. Raymond, and C. I. Davidson).
10. "The Dry-Ambient Size Spectrometer: A new technique for the automatic on-line measurement of the atmospheric aerosol water size distribution", Annual Meeting of American Geophysical Union, San Francisco, December 2001 (A. Khlystov, C. O. Stanier, S. N. Pandis).
11. "The July 2001 intensive of the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study", Annual Meeting of AAAR, Portland, Oregon, October 2001 (C. I. Davidson, A. L. Robinson, and A. Khlystov, S. N. Pandis).
12. "Sources of atmospheric carbonaceous particulate matter in Pittsburgh", Annual Meeting of AAAR, Portland, Oregon, October 2001 (J. Cabada, S. N. Pandis and A. L. Robinson).
13. "Automated measurements of dry and wet ambient aerosol distributions", Annual Meeting of AAAR, Portland, Oregon, October 2001 (A. Y. Khlystov, W. R. Chan, C. O. Stanier, M. Mandiro, and S. N. Pandis)
14. "Continuous measurements of ammonia and ammonium in ambient air", Annual Meeting of AAAR, Portland, Oregon, October 2001 (A. Khlystov, J. Sauser, R. Otjes, and S. N. Pandis).
15. The contribution of secondary organic aerosol to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in Pittsburgh, AGU Fall Meeting 2002, San Francisco CA Dec. 2002 (J. C. Cabada, S. N. Pandis, A. L. Robinson, R. Subramanian, A. Polidori, and B. Turpin).
16. Preliminary results from the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, AGU Fall Meeting 2002, San Francisco CA Dec. 2002 (S. N. Pandis, C. I. Davidson, A. L. Robinson, and A. Y. Khlystov)

17. Monitoring of water content of ambient aerosol during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, AGU Fall Meeting 2002, San Francisco CA Dec. 2002 (A. Y. Khlystov, C. O. Stanier, D. Vayenas, and S. N. Pandis)
18. Investigation of nucleation bursts during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, AGU Fall Meeting 2002, San Francisco CA Dec. 2002 (C. O. Stanier, A. Y. Khlystov, B. Wittig, S. N. Pandis, Y. Zhou, K. Bein, A. S. Wexler, C. Misra, and C. Sioutas)
19. Atmospheric particulate matter: Physics, chemistry, and Chemical Transport Models, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (B. Koo, K. Fahey, T. Gaydos, and S. N. Pandis)
20. Secondary organic aerosol contribution to carbonaceous PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in Pittsburgh, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (J. C. Cabada, S. N. Pandis, B. Wittig, A. Robinson, R. Subramanian, A. Polidori, and B. J. Turpin)
21. Using ultrafine concentrators to increase the hit rates of single particle mass spectrometers, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (Y. Zhao, K. J. Bein, A. S. Wexler, C. Misra, P. M. Fine, and C. Sioutas)
22. PM<sub>2.5</sub> Federal Reference Method performance relative to mass balance closure, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (S. L. Rees, A. L. Robinson, A. Khlystov, C. O. Stanier, and S. N. Pandis)
23. Examining the assumptions behind elemental carbon measurements using the thermal-optical transmittance technique, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (R. Subramanian, A. Y. Khlystov, and A. L. Robinson)
24. Spatial variations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> during intensive sampling of PAQS, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (W. Tang, C. I. Davidson, T. R. Raymond, S. N. Pandis, B. Wittig, A. Khlystov, and A. L. Robinson)
25. Fenceline sampling adjacent to a large coke production facility in Pittsburgh, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (E. A. Weitkamp, E. Lipsky, A. Robinson, N. Anderson, H. Leifeste, R. Subramanian, J. Cabada, A. Khlystov, C. Stanier, L. Lucas, S. Takahama, B. Wittig, C. Davidson, S. Pandis, A. Polidori, H. J. Lim, B. Turpin, P. Pancras, and J. Ondov)
26. In-use vehicle emissions source characterization study: Squirrel Hill tunnel Pittsburgh, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (E. M. Lipsky, A. Robinson, N. Anderson, H. Leifeste, R. Subramanian, J. Cabada, S. Rees, A. Khlystov, C. Stanier, L. Lucas, S. Takahama, B. Wittig, C. Davidson, S. N. Pandis, A. Polidori, H. J. Lim, and B. Turpin)
27. Water content of ambient aerosol during PAQS, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (A. Khlystov, C. Stanier, and S. N. Pandis)

28. Diurnal and seasonal trends in outdoor particle size distributions measured at urban and rural locations during PAQS (C. Stanier, A. Khlystov, and S. N. Pandis)
29. Mass and chemically resolved size compositions of fine particulate matter at the Pittsburgh Supersite, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003, (J. C. Cabada, S. N. Pandis, S. Rees, S. Takahama, A. Khlystov, A. L. Robinson, and C. I. Davidson)
30. Simulation of the atmospheric aerosol size/composition distribution in a three-dimensional chemical transport model, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (T. M. Gaydos, K. M. Fahey, B. Koo, and S. N. Pandis)
31. Application of PMCAMx to the South Coast Air Basin and the Eastern United States, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (B. Koo, K. M. Fahey, T. M. Gaydos, and S. N. Pandis)
32. Principal component analysis of trace elements in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (N. J. Anderson, C. I. Davidson, S. N. Pandis, A. Robinson, and A. Khlystov)
33. Source apportionment using particle size distribution data from PAQS, PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (L. Zhou, E. Kim, P. K. Hopke, C. Stanier, and S. N. Pandis)
34. Highly time-resolved measurements of elemental composition at the Baltimore, St. Louis, and Pittsburgh Supersites using the UM High Frequency Aerosol Slurry Sampler: Unprecedented resolution of the sources of primary atmospheric aerosol PM AAAR 2003, Pittsburgh PA March 2003 (J. M. Ondov, J. Pancras, S. Gazula, M. Yu, J. Turner, A. Robinson, S. N. Pandis, N. D. Poor, and R. K. Stevens)
35. “Size Resolved Chemical Classification of Dual Polarity Single-Ultrafine-Particle Mass Spectrometry Data Collected During Pittsburgh Supersite Experiment”, K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 2003.
36. “Dynamic Data Classification Using a Component-Weighted Similarity Algorithm”, \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 2003.
37. “Diurnal Variations of Ultra-fine Particles in Pittsburgh Measured by a Rapid Single Particle Mass Spectrometer”, \*Y. Zhao, K.J. Bein, A.S. Wexler, and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 2003.

38. "Rapid Single Particle Mass Spectrometry and EPA Supersite Experiments", \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston, NYU Institute of Environmental Medicine, Tuxedo, NY, December 2002.
39. "Speciation of Size-Resolved Individual Ultrafine Particles in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania", \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, D.A. Lake, D.B. Kane, M.P. Tolocka, K.P. Rhoads, M.V. Johnston and D.J. Phares, Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 2002.
40. L. Zhou, E. Kim, and P.K. Hopke Analysis of the Particle Size Distribution Data Collected During Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, Presented to 21st Annual Conference of the American Association for Aerosol Research, Charlotte, NC, October 7-11, 2002.
41. L. Zhou, E. Kim, P.K. Hopke, C. Stanier, and S. Pandis Source Apportionment Using Particle Size Distribution Data from the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study (PAQS), presented to 2003 AAAR PM Meeting on Particulate Matter: Atmospheric Sciences, Exposure and the Fourth Colloquium on PM and Human Health, Pittsburgh, PA, March 30 to April 4, 2003.
42. L. Zhou, P.K. Hopke, and W. Liu, Comparison of three back trajectory based models--PSCF, SQTBA, residence-time weighted concentration model for identifying particle sources for Potsdam and Stockton, New York, presented to 21st Annual Conference of the American Association for Aerosol Research, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
43. L. Zhou, P.K. Hopke, and P. Paatero, Advanced Factor Analysis for Aerosol Composition Data with Various Temporal Resolutions, presented to 21st Annual Conference of the American Association for Aerosol Research, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
44. S.G. Buckley, F. Ferioli, G.A. Lithgow, "Combustion System Analysis Using Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy," Paper 403, 22nd International Congress on Applications of Lasers and Electro-Optics, Jacksonville, FL, October 13-16, 2003.
45. G.A. Lithgow, A.L. Robinson, and S.G. Buckley, "Ambient Measurements of Inorganic Species in an Urban Environment Using LIBS," Second International Conference on Laser-Induced Plasma Spectroscopy, Orlando, FL, September 24 – 27, 2002.
46. G.A. Lithgow, A.L. Robinson, S.G. Buckley, "Ambient Particle Measurements in an Urban Environment Using Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS)," Paper 11E4, American Association of Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.

47. Ondov, J. M. (2001). Sources of Metals Influencing Air Quality at the Pittsburgh Supersite: Data collected with the UM High-Frequency Aerosol Slurry Sampler.
48. Ondov, J. M. (2002). Unprecedented Source Apportionment with the University of Maryland Semi-Continuous Elements in Aerosol System Presented at the Regional AQ Modeling & Data Analysis Meeting, sponsored by MARAMA, OTC, NESCAUM and MANE-VU, January 23-24, 2002, Baltimore, MD.
49. Ondov, J. M., (2003) Short-Term Concentrations of Metals SEAS via SEAS and Selected Variables. Presented at the Mid-Atlantic Region Air Monitoring Association Conference in Baltimore, Jan 21-22, at the Harbor Court Hotel.
50. Ondov, J. M., Pancras, J. P., Gazula, S., Moore, J. A., Park, S. S., Chang, Y. C., Squib, K., Powel, J., Mitkus, R., Turner, J., Yu, M.N.S., Robinson, A., Pandis, S., Davidson, C. (2003). Identification of Sources From High-Frequency Elements Measurements at 4 Supersites. Semi-annual EPA Supersites meeting in Atlanta, Jan 22-23.
51. Ondov, J. M. (2003). High-frequency metals measurements at the Pittsburgh Supersite and Coke Plant site with the University of Maryland SEAS. Seminar presented at the Pittsburgh Supersite Project workshop, March 5, Carnegie Mellon University.
52. Ondov, J. M. (2003) Highlights of SEAS Metals Data for the Pittsburgh Supersite. Presented at the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study Meeting, Pittsburgh, PA, April 4,5, 2003.
53. Ondov, J. M. (2003) New Paradigm for Air Pollution Control: Pseudo Deterministic Receptor Modeling of Highly Time Resolved Ambient Aerosol Composition Data Derived from the UMCP SEAS. Seminar presented to the Source Apportionment Group, US EPA, Research Triangle Park, November 12.
54. Ondov, J. M., Emission Rates of Pollutants from Stationary Sources Using Highly Time Resolved Ambient Measurements and a New Pseudo Deterministic Hybrid Receptor Model. Presented at the EPA Center for Hazardous Substances in Urban Environments, Research Program Internal Workshop, January 5, 2004.
55. Ondov, J. M., New Pseudo Deterministic Model for Individual Source Apportionment Using Highly Time Resolved Data. Presented at the MARAMA MANE-VU Science Meeting, Baltimore, MD, January 27-29, 2004.
56. Park, S. S., Pancras, J. P., Gazula, S., Ondov, J. M. (2002) Sources of Elemental Aerosol Constituents in Pittsburgh Using Positive Matrix Factorization of Highly Time-resolved Data. Presented at the American Association of Aerosol Research meeting, 21st Annual AAAR Conference October 7-11, Charlotte.

57. Ondov, J. M., Pancras, J. P., Gazula, S., Yu, M. N. S., Turner, J., Robinson, A., Pandis, S., Stevens, R. K., Poor (2003). Highly Time-Resolved Measurements of Elemental Composition at the Baltimore, St. Louis, Pittsburgh, and Tampa Supersites Using the UM High-Frequency Aerosol Slurry Sampler: Unprecedented Resolution of the Sources of Primary Atmospheric Aerosol. To be presented at the Association of Aerosol Research meeting, Particulate Matter: Atmospheric Sciences, Exposure, and the Fourth Colloquium on PM and Human Health, March, Pittsburgh.
58. Ondov, J. M., Pancras, J. P., Park, S. S., Poor, N., Turner, J. R., Yu, M., Lipsky, E., Weitkamp, E., Robinson, A. (2003) PM emission rates from highly time-resolved ambient concentration measurements. Presented at the October meeting of the American Society for Aerosol Research, San Diego.
59. Ondov, J. M., Poor, N. (2003) Emission Inventory Development through highly-time-resolved ambient sampling. NARSTO Workshop on Innovative Methods for Emission-Inventory Development and Evaluation, University of Texas, Austin; October 14-17, 2003.
60. G.A. Lithgow and S.G. Buckley, "Detection and Classification of Biological Aerosols Using Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy," Paper 10B4, American Association of Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
61. S.G. Buckley "Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy for Detection of Biological Aerosols - Potential and Perspective," PITTCON 2005, Orlando FL, Feb. 27-Mar. 4, 2005. (invited)
62. S.G. Buckley "LIBS as a Combustion and Aerosol Diagnostic," PacificChem 2005, Honolulu, HI, Dec 15-20, 2005. (invited)
63. Cabada, J.C., Pandis, S.N., Robinson, A.L., Davidson, C.I., Polidori, A., Turpin, B.J., and Subramanian, R., "The contribution of Secondary Organic Aerosol to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh," Presented at the American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 2002.
64. Offenberg, J.H., Polidori, A., Porcja, R., Turpin, B.J., "Functional group composition by size and polarity in Pittsburgh, PA and insights into aerosol processing," Presented at the American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 2002.
65. Polidori, A., Turpin, B.J., Lim, H.J., Robinson, A., Subramanian, R., Cabada, J.C., "Semi-continuous organic particulate matter measurements during Pittsburgh Air Quality Study (PAQS)," Poster presentation at the American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 2002.

66. Subramanian, R., Robinson, A.L., Cabada, J.C., Pandis, S.N., Wittig, B., Polidori, A., Turpin, B.J., Hering, S.V., Modey, W.K., Eatough, D.J., "Intercomparision of ambient carbonaceous aerosol samplers used during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study," Poster presentation at the American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 2002.
67. Cabada, J.C., Pandis, S.N., Robinson, A.L., Davidson, C.I., Polidori, A., Turpin, B.J., and Subramanian, R., "The contribution of Secondary Organic Aerosol to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh," Platform Presentation at the Annual Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, Indianapolis, IN, November 2002.
68. Millet, D.B., Donahue, N.M., Polidori, A., Stanier, C.O., Turpin, B.J., Goldstein, A.H., "VOC-Aerosol Relationships at the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study", Presented at the Berkeley Atmospheric Science Center symposium, Berkeley, CA, September 2003.
69. Polidori, A., Turpin, B., Lim, H.J., Cabada, J.C., Subramanian, R., Robinson, A., "Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation During the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study (PAQS)", Presented at the Environmental Sciences Graduate Student Association (ESGSA), New Brunswick, NJ, June 2004.
70. Polidori, A., Turpin, B.J., Lim, H.J., Totten L., Davidson, C, "Characterization of the Organic Fraction of Atmospheric Aerosols" Platform Presentation at the American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Atlanta, GA, October 2004.
71. Robinson, A.L., Subramanian, R., Gaydos, T., Pandis, S.N., Bernardo-Bricker, A., Rogge, W.F., Polidori, A., Turpin, B.J., Clarke, L., Hernandez, M., "Synthesis of Source Apportionment Estimates of Organic Aerosol in the Pittsburgh Region" Platform Presentation at the American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Atlanta, GA, October 2004.
72. Polidori, A., Turpin, B., Lim, H.J., Subramanian, R., Robinson, A., Pandis, S., Cabada, J.C., "Local and Regional Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation: Insights from a Year at Pittsburgh and Comparisons with Los Angeles and Atlanta," Platform Presentation at the American Association for Aerosol Research "Supersite" Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 2005.
73. Weitkamp, E., Lipsky, E., Robinson, A., Polidori, A., Turpin, B., Pancras, P., Ondov, J., Bernardo-Bricker, A., Vasquez, O., Rogge, W., "Fine Particle Emission Profile for a Large Coke Production," Poster presentation at the American Association for Aerosol Research "Supersite" Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 2005.
74. "Source Sampling and Characterization Using a Single Particle Mass Spectrometer during the Pittsburgh Supersite Experiment", \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky, A.L. Robinson and M.V. Johnston, American

- Association for Aerosol Research International Specialty Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 7-11, 2005.
75. “Size-Resolved Chemical Classification of Single Particle Mass Spectrometry Data Collected during the Pittsburgh Supersite Experiment: Source Attribution”, \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research International Specialty Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 7-11, 2005.
  76. “Laboratory Experiments Examining Ultrafine Particle Production by Re-breathing of Road Dust through a Diesel Engine”, \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky and A.L. Robinson, American Association for Aerosol Research International Specialty Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 7-11, 2005.
  77. “Detection of a Contaminating Plume during a Roadway Tunnel Source Sampling Experiment Using a Single Particle Mass Spectrometer”, \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky, A.L. Robinson and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research International Specialty Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 7-11, 2005.
  78. “Detection and Characterization of a Smoke Plume from Canadian Forest Fires during the Pittsburgh Supersite Experiment”, \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, N.J. Pekney, C.I. Davidson and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research International Specialty Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 7-11, 2005.
  79. “Field Evaluation of the VACES Particle Concentrator Coupled to the RSMS-3 Single Particle Mass Spectrometer”, \*Y. Zhao, K.J. Bein, A.S. Wexler, C. Misra, P.M. Fine and C. Sioutas, American Association for Aerosol Research International Specialty Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 7-11, 2005.
  80. “Identification of Sources of Atmospheric PM at the Pittsburgh Supersite: RSMS-III and Filter-based Positive Matrix Factorization”, \*N.J. Pekney, K.J. Bein, C.I. Davidson, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research International Specialty Conference, Atlanta, GA, February 7-11, 2005.
  81. “Laboratory Experiments Examining Ultrafine Particle Production by Re-breathing of Road Dust through a Diesel Engine”, \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky and A.L. Robinson, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Atlanta, GA, October 4-8, 2004.
  82. “Measurements of Nitrate Particles in Pittsburgh, PA, Using Rapid Single Particle Mass Spectrometry”, \*Y. Zhao, K.J. Bein, A.S. Wexler, M.P. Tolocka and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Atlanta, GA, October 4-8, 2004.

83. "Size Resolved Chemical Classification of Dual Polarity Single-Ultrafine-Particle Mass Spectrometry Data Collected During the Pittsburgh Supersite Experiment", \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
84. "Dynamic Data Classification Using a Component-Weighted Similarity Algorithm", \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
85. "Diurnal Variations of Ultra-fine Particles in Pittsburgh Measured by a Rapid Single Particle Mass Spectrometer", \*Y. Zhao, K.J. Bein, A.S. Wexler, and M.V. Johnston, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
86. "Experimental Validation of a High Transmission Rate Inlet for Ultra-fine Single Particle Mass Spectrometers", \*Y. Zhao, K.J. Bein, P. Middha and A.S. Wexler, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
87. "Synthesis of Research on In-situ Particle Nucleation in Western Pennsylvania: Which Hypotheses for Nuclei Formation and Growth are Consistent with Field Observations, Mass Spectrometry, and Modeling?.", \*C. Stanier, T. Gaydos, A.Y. Khlystov, Q. Zhang, M. Caragaratna, J. Jayne, D. Worsnop, J. Jimenez, D. Millet, A. Goldstein, K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler and S.N. Pandis, American Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Anaheim, CA, October 20-24, 2003.
88. "Speciation of Size-Resolved Individual Ultrafine Particles in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania", \*K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, D.A. Lake, D.B. Kane, M.P. Tolocka, K.P. Rhoads, M.V. Johnston and D.J. Phares, Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 7-11, 2002.
89. "Using Ultrafine Concentrators to Increase the Hit Rate of Single Particle Mass Spectrometers", \*Y. Zhao, K.J. Bein, A.S. Wexler, C. Misra, P.M. Fine and C. Sioutas, Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 7-11, 2002.
90. "Investigation of Urban Aerosols at the Baltimore Particulate Matter Supersite by Single Particle Mass Spectrometry", \*D.A. Lake, M.P. Tolocka, M.V. Johnston, K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, K.P. Rhoads, and D.J. Phares, Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 7-11, 2002.
91. "Investigation of Nucleation Bursts during the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study, \*C. Stanier, A.Y. Khlystov, B. Wittig, S.N. Pandis, K.J. Bein, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, C. Misra and C. Sioutas, Association for Aerosol Research Annual Conference, Charlotte, NC, October 7-11, 2002.

92. G. Casuccio\*, T. Lersch, R.J. Lee, A. Robinson, E. Weitkamp, and D. Martello, "Estimating of the Impact of Primary Coal Fired Boiler Emissions to PM<sub>2.5</sub> And PM<sub>10</sub> Using Computer Controlled Scanning Electron Microscopy Data," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
93. K.J. Bein\*, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky, A.L. Robinson, and M.V. Johnston, "Source Sampling and Characterization Using a Single Particle Mass Spectrometer During the Pittsburgh Supersite Experiment," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
94. M. Hernandez\*, L. Clarke, L. Angenent, and A. Robinson, "Primary Biopolymer Associations with Fine Particulate Matter," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
95. S.S. Park\*, J.P. Pancras, J.M. Ondov, A. Robinson, and C. Davidson, "Application of the UM Multivariate Pseudo-Deterministic Receptor Model to Resolve Power Plant Influences on Air Quality at the CMU Supersite," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
96. R. Subramanian\*, A. Robinson, and A. Khlystov, "OC/EC Analysis with Thermal-Optical Methods: Effects of Temperature Protocol and Non-Carbonaceous Compounds," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
97. A. Wittig\*, A. Robinson, and S. Pandis, "Continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> Mass Measurements at the Pittsburgh Air Quality Study Supersite," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
98. W. Tang, T. Raymond, B. Wittig, C. Davidson\*, S. Pandis, A. Robinson, and K. Crist, "Spatial Variations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the Pittsburgh Region," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
99. A. Polidori\*, B. Turpin, H.J. Lim, R. Subramanian, A. Robinson, S. Pandis, and J.C. Cabada, "Local and Regional Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation: Insights from a Year at Pittsburgh and Comparison with Los Angeles and Atlanta," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty

- Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
100. T. Lane, R. Pinder, M. Shrivastava, S.N. Pandis, and A.L. Robinson\*, “Evaluation of the Primary Organic Carbon Emission Inventory for the Eastern United States,” American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
  101. O. Sevimoglu\*, W.F. Rogge, A. Bernardo, A. Robinson and R. Subramanian, “Fine Particulate Abrasion Products from Leaf Surfaces of Urban Plants: Comparison Between Los Angeles and Pittsburgh,” American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005. (poster)
  102. A. Bernardo-Bricker\*, W.F. Rogge, O. Sevimoglu, A. Robinson, E. Lipsky, and A. Grieshop, “Source Profiles for Organic PM<sub>2.5</sub> for Diesel Trucks and Gasoline Vehicles Determined for the Squirrel Hill Tunnel in Pittsburgh, PA,” American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005. (poster)
  103. K.J. Bein\*, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky, and A.L. Robinson, “Laboratory Experiments Examining Ultrafine Particle Production by Re-Breathing of Road Dust Through a Diesel Engine,” American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005. (poster)
  104. K.J. Bein\*, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E. Lipsky, A.L. Robinson, and M.V. Johnston, “Detection of a Contaminating Plume During a Roadway Tunnel Source Sampling Experiment Using a Single Particle Mass Spectrometer,” American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005. (poster)
  105. A.L. Robinson,\* S.L. Rees, A. Khlystov, C.O. Stanier, and S.N. Pandis, “Mass Balance Closure and the Federal Reference Method for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania,” American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
  106. R. Subramanian\*, A. Robinson, A. Bernardo-Bricker, and W.F. Rogge, “Organic Carbon Mass Balance and Source Apportionment of Primary Organic Carbon in the Pittsburgh Region Using Molecular Markers,” American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.

107. A.L. Robinson\*, E.M. Lipsky, N. Pekney, W.F. Rogge, A. Bernardo-Bricker, and O. Sevimglu, "Fine Particle Emission Profile for Road Dust in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005. (poster)
108. E. Weitkamp\*, E. Lipsky, A. Robinson, A. Polidori, B. Turpin, P. Pancras, J. Ondov, A. Bernardo-Bricker, O.R. Vasquez, and W.F. Rogge, "Fine Particle Emission Profile for a Large Coke Production, American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005. (poster)
109. A. Grieshop\*, E. Lipsky, and A. Robinson, "Fuel-Based Particulate Matter and Gaseous Emission Factors Determined from Vehicles in Pittsburgh, PA's Squirrel Hill Tunnel," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005. (poster)
110. A.L. Robinson\*, N.M. Donahue, K. Huff Hartz, A. Sage and E. Weitkamp, "Field and Laboratory Experiments Examining the Stability of Organic Molecular Markers Used for Source Apportionment," American Association of Aerosol Research (AAAR) Specialty Conference: Particulate Matter, Supersites Program & Related Studies, Atlanta, GA, February 7–11, 2005.
111. A.L. Robinson\*, N.M. Donahue, A.M. Sage, K.E. Huff Hartz, and E.A. Weitkamp, "Field and Laboratory Experiments Examining the Stability of Organic Molecular Markers Used for Source Apportionment," 2004 AGU Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA, December 13–17, 2004.
112. A.L. Robinson\*, R. Subramanian, A. Bernardo-Bricker, and W.F. Rogge, "Source Apportionment of Primary Organic Carbon in the Pittsburgh Region using Molecular Markers and Different Receptor Models," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004.
113. K.E. Huff Hartz\*, E.A. Weitkamp, A.M. Sage, A.A. Presto, A.L. Robinson, and N.M. Donahue, "Kinetics of Atmospheric Processing of Organic Particulate Matter: A Relative Rates Approach," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004. (poster)
114. E.A. Weitkamp\*, K.E. Huff Hartz, A.M. Sage, A.L. Robinson, N.M. Donahue, W.F. Rogge, and A. Bernardo-Bricker, "Evaluation of the Oxidation Kinetics of Molecular Markers Used for Source Apportionment of Primary Organic Aerosol," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004. (poster)

115. A.L. Robinson\*, R. Subramanian, T. Gaydos, S.N. Pandis, A. Bernardo-Bricker, W.F. Rogge, A. Polidori, B.J. Turpin; L. Clarke, and M. Hernandez, "Synthesis of Source Apportionment Estimates of Organic Aerosol in the Pittsburgh Region," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004.
116. E. M. Lipsky\* and A.L. Robinson, "Effects of Dilution Ratio and Residence Time on the Partitioning of Semi-Volatile Organic Carbon in Emissions from a Wood Stove And Diesel Engine," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004.
117. K.J. Bein\*, Y. Zhao, A.S. Wexler, E.M. Lipsky, and A.L. Robinson, "Laboratory Experiments Examining Ultrafine Particle Production by Rebreathing of Road Dust Through a Diesel Engine," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004. (poster)
118. A.M. Sage\*, K.E. Huff Hartz, E.A. Weitkamp, A.L. Robinson, and N.M. Donahue "Laboratory Measurement of Heterogeneous Oxidation Kinetics of Organic Aerosols," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004. (poster)
119. A.P. Grieshop\*, E.M. Lipsky, and A.L. Robinson, "Fuel-Based Particulate Matter and Gaseous Emission Factors determined from Vehicles in Pittsburgh, PA's Squirrel Hill Tunnel," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004. (poster)
120. N.M. Donahue\* and A.L. Robinson, "Theoretical, In Situ, and Laboratory Constraints on Organic Aerosol Oxidation," American Association of Aerosol Research 23rd Annual Conference (AAAR 2004), Atlanta, GA, October 4–8, 2004.
121. C. P. Rose\*, C. Torrey, V. Alevin, A. Robinson, C. Wu, and K. Forbus, "CycleTalk: Towards a Dialogue Agent that Guides Design with an Articulate Simulator," ITS 2004 7th International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Maceió, Alagoas, Brazil August, 30–September 03, 2004.
122. A.L. Robinson\*, "Are Organic Molecular Markers Used for Source Apportionment Stable?," presented at Telluride Workshop on Atmospheric Aerosol Aging, Telluride, CO, August 9–13, 2004. (invited)
123. A.L. Robinson\*, "Comparison of Emission- and Receptor-based Model Predictions of Primary Organic Aerosol in Pittsburgh, PA," presented at LADCO Academic Community Meeting, Chicago, IL, June 11, 2004. (invited)
124. A.L. Robinson\*, "Sources of Organic Particulate Matter in Pittsburgh, PA," presented at Mid-Atlantic Regional Air Management Association Science

Meeting (MANE-VU/MARAMA 2004), Baltimore, MD, January 27–29, 2004  
(invited).