

April 19, 2004

Federal Trade Commission CAN-SPAM Act Post Office Box 1030 Merrifield, VA 22116-1030

Re: CAN-SPAM Act Rulemaking, Project No. R411008

To the Commissioners,

The "good intention" of reducing the problem of unsolicited bulk email, may end up destroying many innocent and legitimate businesses, if those responsible are not very careful! That is why I am concerned about the proposed requirement for merchants to maintain suppression lists.

There are so many problems and costs associated with this idea, and so much damage done to consumers and businesses alike, that I feel I must urge you to consider this matter most carefully.

Requirement of the use of suppression lists will seriously damage many of the legitimate publications available on the net. My specific concern is for harm to publishers who require permission from the consumer prior to adding them to any list.

They're not who CAN-SPAM was designed to put out of business, but this requirement will very likely put out of business many companies who do not spam, but cannot comply with these difficult to impossible requirements.

There's also the potential for significant harm to consumers, because of the problem of properly knowing their intent when they unsubscribe from a list. On top of that, these suppression lists could easily fall into the hands of spammers, leading to more spam instead of less.

I was quite surprised at the potential problems this ruling could involve, and urge you in the strongest possible terms to reconsider its implementation in light of these problems,

Respectfully,

Robert McKee

Florida USA

P.S. Please do not let This art hurt small huameas owners like me!