PLAN-OF-STUDY FOR FEASIBILITY REPORT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE SANTEE SIOUX RESERVATION MR&I WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM

(November 15, 2005)

PURPOSE AND SCOPE -

- I. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY Public Law 108-204, Sec. 125 authorizes a "...feasibility study to determine the most feasible method of developing a safe and adequate municipal, rural, and industrial water treatment and distribution system to meet the needs of the Santee Sioux Tribe of Nebraska that could serve the tribal community and adjacent communities and incorporate population growth and economic development activities for a period of 40 years."
- II. THE PLAN-OF-STUDY This Plan-of-Study (POS) describes the tasks and activities to be accomplished and the schedule of deliverables for preparing a feasibility-level Feasibility Report/Environmental Assessment (FR/EA) of a municipal, rural, and industrial (MR&I) water treatment and distribution system. The POS includes the scope of work that will be used to allocate funds, identify the deliverables, measure project performance, and manage the schedule for completion/submission of those deliverables.

To ensure that the rights of sovereign tribal governments are fully respected, all activities associated with this study between the Santee Sioux Nation and Reclamation will be conducted in accordance with the General Protocol Memorandum of Agreement, signed by the Santee Sioux Tribal Chairman and the Nebraska-Kansas Area Manager on September 25, 1998.

- **III. DEFINITION OF THE STUDY AREA** -The service area for the study will be the reservation of the Santee Sioux Nation and the Village of Niobrara.
- IV. STUDY GOALS AND OBJECTIVES The study goals and objectives are:
 - a. To identify opportunities, problems, planning objectives, and constraints related to determining the most feasible method of developing a safe and adequate water supply system that will meet the needs of the Reservation and the Village of Niobrara for 40 years into the future, taking into account projected population growth and economic development.
 - b. To evaluate alternatives as described in Needs Assessment, MR&I Water System, Santee Indian Reservation, Nebraska (Reclamation and Santee Sioux Nation 2004) and any alternatives identified during public scoping and select the most reasonable alternatives for the detailed feasibility-study outlined herein. The alternatives that are forwarded for further study (Screened Alternatives) will be those that best address the opportunities, problems, planning objectives, and constraints related to developing a safe and adequate water supply system that will meet the needs of the

- Reservation.
- c. To follow all federal, tribal, and state laws, regulations, and guidelines for the development of a safe and adequate MR&I water supply.
- d. To follow the Commissioner's Indian Trust Asset Policy insuring that all activities will be carried out in a manner which protects Indian Trust Assets and will avoid adverse impacts whenever possible.
- e. To develop and evaluate the Screened Alternatives according to (WRS 1983) Economic and Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources Implementation Studies (P&Gs), Reclamation policy, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and other applicable Federal laws and regulations.
- f. To provide feasibility-level (pre-construction) cost estimates for the construction and operation, maintenance, and repair (OM&R) of each of the Screened Alternatives.
- g. To prepare a Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment that document and evaluate the Screened Alternatives and a recommended alternative for submission to Congress for project authorization.
- V. STUDY ASSUMPTIONS / CONSTRAINTS The following assumptions and constraints will be taken into account in identifying and evaluating the Screened Alternatives:
 - a. The Study will be based on existing information as appropriate. The primary reference document for the Study will be Needs Assessment, MR&I Water System, Santee Indian Reservation, Nebraska (Reclamation and Santee Sioux Nation 2004). The level of detail will be the minimum engineering and related technical analyses needed to develop reliable cost estimates and schedules for the Screened Alternatives with reasonable contingency factors.
 - b. The FR/EA will address the same alternatives. Both reports need to be developed in concert with one another so that alternatives can be modified to minimize potential impacts to the environment.
 - c. Significant gaps in the existing data that will affect the evaluation of an alternative will be identified. Proposed methods to obtain that data will be presented for approval.
 - d. The planning horizon will be year 2050. This date reflects the authorizing legislation requirements of evaluating demands 40 years into future, and a target feasibility study completion date of 2007.
 - e. Municipal and industrial (M&I) water supply demands for the study area will be developed to accommodate the best estimates of population growth and reasonable economic development to year 2050. Demands for stock watering and lawn and garden irrigation will be included; demands for commercial, agriculture-related irrigation will not be included.
 - f. Water supply demands developed in this study, in collaboration with the Tribe, are not intended to be used in any way or form as a limit for potential future Tribal water rights negotiations with the State of Nebraska.

- g. System capacity for fire-flows will only be evaluated if the infrastructure already exists in a community. New fire-flow infrastructure and capacity will not be included. Fire Protection currently exists throughout the Santee Village.
- h. Without project conditions (the No Action alternative) will be determined for year 2050; i.e. what the most probable conditions will be if no action is taken and no alternative is implemented.
- i. Funding constraints Reclamation received \$269,000 of the authorized \$500,000 in FY04 and no additional funds in FY05. Reclamation will use the FY04 funds to undertake the study and prepare the FR/EA. If the remainder of the authorized funds are appropriated, they will be used to complete the study.
- VI. PLANNING AND DESIGN PROCESSES The study will be conducted in conformity with (WRC 1983) Economic and Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources Implementation Studies (P&Gs) and the Reclamation Manual and Instructions governing feasibility studies and environmental assessments and other applicable Federal laws and regulations. Feasibility level designs of all project facilities shall in principle follow TSC Technical Memorandum and Design Activities of the Reclamation Manual, as deemed appropriate.
- VII. STUDY ALTERNATIVES Six alternatives were identified in Needs
 Assessment, MR&I Water System, Santee Indian Reservation, Nebraska
 (Reclamation and the Santee Sioux Nation 2004). Additional alternatives may be considered as a result of the scoping meeting:
 - 1) Installation of a Well Field in the Southeast Corner of the Reservation
 - 2) Tribal Surface Water Treatment Plant at Bazile Creek
 - 3) Tribal Surface Water Treatment Plant at the Missouri River
 - 4) Tribal Groundwater Treatment Plant at Bazile Creek
 - 5) Connection to the Cedar-Knox Rural Water System
 - 6) Connection to the West Knox Rural Water System

These alternatives or any other appropriate alternatives will be evaluated and from them one or more alternatives will be selected for a more comprehensive evaluation in this feasibility-level study. Cost estimates, study topics, tasks, deliverables, and milestones will be adjusted after the screening process is complete. In addition, the study shall evaluate a Future Without (No Action) alternative. This alternative will address conditions if a water system is not constructed.

Evaluations will take into account Tribal concerns identified in the Needs Assessment. These concerns include:

- Sedimentation and deteriorating conditions at the Bazile Creek well field
- Poor water quality and well yields on eastern portions of the Reservation

- Pesticide contamination of potable water sources
- Treatment and storage capabilities of the current water system
- Viability of a Reservation-wide water supply and distribution system

VIII. RELATED PLANS AND STUDIES INCLUDE -

- B&E Engineering, Inc.: "Water Resource Inventory, Santee Indian Reservation" (Phase I, 1978, and Phase II, 1981)
- U.S. Department of the Interior, USGS 1995 "Physical Characteristics and Water-Resources Appraisal of the Santee Indian Reservation in Northeastern Nebraska."
- Santee Sioux Nation "Baseline Assessment of Water Quality on the Santee Sioux Reservation 1996-1997 and Framework for Tribal Water Quality Management Plan,"
- Santee Sioux Nation "Assessment of Water Quality on the Santee Sioux Reservation May 1998 March 1999 and Tribal Water Quality Management Plan," Santee Sioux Nation of Nebraska Open File Report WR070101
- Santee Sioux Nation "Hydrogeologic Assessment of the Bazile Creek Wellfield and the Potential Southeast Well Field." Santee Sioux Nation of Nebraska Open File Report WR071203
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers "Niobrara and Missouri Rivers, South Dakota and Nebraska, Sediment Strategies, Section 905(B) (WRDA 86) Analysis, Reconnaissance Report", November, 2001.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers "Final Report, Missouri River, Fort Randall Dam to Gavins Point Dam and Ponca Creek Aggradation Assessment", June, 1998.

STUDY TOPICS, TASKS, DELIVERABLES, AND MILESTONES

The study will address the following topics and associated tasks. In addressing these topics, future conditions with and without alternatives will be considered. The list of tasks associated with each topic is not exhaustive. The information and data contained in the Needs Assessment, MR&I Water System, Santee Indian Reservation, Nebraska (Reclamation and Santee Sioux Nation, 2004) will be utilized when accomplishing the study tasks when appropriate.

I. MR&I Water Demands – TSC (D-8270, D-8520)

- 1. Update population projections for service areas to the 2050 planning horizon.
- 2. Perform economics development analysis for the 2050 planning horizon to obtain reasonable future demands.
- 3. Determine present and future domestic and commercial requirements.
- 4. Establish per capita consumption for average daily and peak daily needs.
- 5. Evaluate the regional impacts from increased wastewater production.

II. Surface Water Resources – TSC (D-8520)

- 1. Participate in screening of alternatives.
- 2. Describe the current surface water resources.
- 3. Develop and describe future without conditions (no action alternative) for surface water resources.
- 4. Develop present and future available water supply at alternative sites, including Lewis and Clark Reservoir and Bazile Creek.
 - Compile gauged historic stream flow data.
 - Extrapolate discharge at desired diversion locations if no measuring stations are located nearby.
 - Develop flow frequency curves.
- 5. Evaluate river hydraulics and sedimentation rates in Lewis & Clark Reservoir with respect to impacts on potential surface water intake structure designs and sites.
- 6. Compile water quality data at diversion sites, and define potential sources that may impact the water supply at diversion sites.
- 7. Evaluate possible flood hazards for treatment plant and intake.
- 8. Evaluate impacts of the Screened Alternatives on the surface water resources.

III. Ground Water Resources – TSC (D-8520)

- 1. Describe the ground water resources.
- 2. Develop and describe future without conditions (no action alternative) for ground water resources.
- 3. Review and summarize ground-water quantity and quality of Missouri River and Bazile Creek alluvial aquifers.
- 4. Review and summarize ground-water quantity and quality of aquifer in southeast portion of Reservation.
- 5. Review and summarize existing geo-hydrologic reports and well logs.
- 6. Compile historic well records to evaluate water-level trends to the planning horizon.
- 7. Evaluate potential sources of contaminants that may impact the ground water supply.
- 8. Tabulate and review existing ground-water diversions that may be impacted by any ground-water supply alternatives.
- 9. Evaluate impacts of the Screened Alternatives on groundwater.

IV. Water Treatment Engineering and Design – TSC (D-8230)

- 1. Field Trip/On-Site meeting
- 2. Participate in screening of alternatives
- 3. Feasibility Level Design (assumes 1 treatment alternative)
- 4. Prepare descriptions of treatment for Feasibility Report with Impacts to the Environment
- 5. Develop request for and assist in obtaining Design Data for water treatment

V. Distribution System Engineering and Design – TSC (D-8140)

1. Describe and evaluate current, existing water delivery systems.

- 2. Review geologic reports/maps and, if necessary, perform cursory field survey to determine system rights-of-way for the Screened Alternatives.
- 3. Prepare maps/drawings showing locations of potential hookups and rights-of-way for the distribution systems of the Screened Alternatives
- 4. Perform hydraulic analyses of distribution systems for the Screened Alternatives.
- 5. Prepare feasibility level plans of system layout and components for the Screened Alternatives, including quantity and cost estimates.
- 6. Develop OM&R cost estimates for the Screened Alternatives.
- 7. Evaluate impacts of the Screened Alternatives on the affected environment.

VI. Socioeconomics Studies – TSC (D-8270)

- 1. Describe affected environment (including agriculture and recreation)
- 2. Evaluate the economic feasibility of the Screened Alternatives, including a National Economic Development (NED) evaluation using P&G guidelines
- 3. Perform a regional economic impacts analysis using P&Gs as general guidance.
- 4. Evaluate the financial resources available locally to pay for construction of the project and O&M.
- 5. Identify potential sources of funding and cost sharing arrangements.

VII. Environmental Studies – NKAO (NK-100)

1. <u>NE</u>PA

- a. Determine if there is a need for additional data, i.e. water quality, wildlife, aquatic, etc. If additional data is required, proposals to obtain the data will be presented for approval.
- b. Conduct a literature search for information and data to be used in the preparation of the environmental assessment portion of the report.
- c. Obtain a list of threatened and/or endangered species that may occur in the study area from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service).
- d. Prepare a draft biological assessment (BA) for Reclamation to transmit to the Service for review and comment.
- e. Develop project purpose and need statement for incorporation into the EA.
- f. Refine and screen alternatives with respect to environmental impacts.
- g. Transmit description of purpose and need statement, no action alternative, and recommended alternative to the Service for their use in development of the coordination act report.
- h. Incorporate Service's comments and mitigation recommendations into the EA.

2. Fish and Wildlife - FWS

- a. Use description of the proposed study area and project to conduct a literature search for existing wildlife and aquatic data.
- b. Describe fish and wildlife resources and impacts the no action and recommended alternative will have on those resources.
- c. Identify and describe mitigation and/or enhancement to offset environmental impacts.

- d. Prepare a draft Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) report and transmit to Reclamation for review and comment.
- e. Prepare final FWCA report.
- f. Review Reclamation's BA and either concur with findings in BA or issue a draft and final biological opinion (BO).
- 3. Cultural Resources NKAO (NK-310)
 - a. Describe affected environment
 - b. Conduct Class I cultural resources survey of the proposed study area (a literature and archival search that includes appropriate Federal, Tribal, state, and local agencies, interested persons, and record repositories)
 - c. Evaluate all identified cultural resources with respect to their eligibility for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places
 - d. Analyze the impacts of the alternatives to cultural resources

VIII. Public Involvement – Tribe, NKAO (NK-100)

- 1. Conduct public scoping meetings to identify issues for formulation of the alternatives; these will be incorporated into the FR/EA as appropriate.
 - A. Conduct a survey in the study area to determine interest in connecting to a MR&I system and quantify their anticipated usage by categories (domestic, stock watering, landscape watering, etc.).

IX. Technical Reports Writing – TSC (D-8011)

- 1. Develop all team drafts and finals of the PR/EA. Several stages of the report include a team draft PR/EA, an administrative draft PR/EA, a public review draft PR/EA, and a final draft PR/EA. The drafts will be provided to the Tribe and Niobrara for their review. A final PR/EA will be provided to the Tribe and Niobrara.
- 2. Technical specialists will prepare draft reports for their respective subject areas and submit to the technical reports writer for consolidation.
- 3. Tribe and Niobrara will review and provide comments to Reclamation's technical reports writer on all drafts of the PR/EA.
- 4. Tasks for technical reports writer:
 - o Prepares team draft and administrative draft of PR/EA report.
 - o Receives comments from reviewers and incorporates into report.
 - o Prepares draft PR/EA for public review.
 - o Categorizes public comments and distributes to respective team members.
 - o Revises draft PR/EA to final for administrative review.
 - o Receives administrative comments and revises report.

X. Quality Review – GPRO

1. Identify specialists independent of the study team to review all draft PR/EA reports to assess their adequacy in meeting the study objectives, goals, and

constraints.

XI. Design Data Collection – NKAO

1. Study specialists will identify data needs to perform study tasks. Data needs will be presented to NKAO for collection and compilation, and transmittal back to study specialists.

DELIVERABLES

The deliverables for this study will be a Feasibility Report/Environmental Assessment that conforms with the format of the <u>Integrated Planning Report/NEPA Document</u>, a copy of which is attached. The following is the outline/table of contents for that document:

Outline for the Feasibility Report/Environmental Assessment

Summary*

- 1.0 Summary Introduction
- 2.0 Map
- 3.0 Major Conclusions and Findings
- 4.0 Areas of Controversy
- 5.0 Unresolved Issues
- 6.0 Summary of Public Involvement And Agency Consultation Program

1.0 Introduction *

- 1.1 Study Authority
- 1.2 Purpose and Scope
- 1.3 Location of the Study Area
- 1.4 Prior Reports and Existing Projects
- 1.5 History, Planning Process and Report Organization

2.0 Need For and Objectives of Action *

- 2.1 Problems and Opportunities
- 2.2 Public Concerns
- 2.3 National Objectives
- 2.4 Planning Objectives
- 2.5 Planning Constraints

3.0 Alternatives*

- 3.1 Plan Formulation Summary
- 3.2 Alternatives
- 3.3 Comparison of Alternatives
- 3.4 Recommended Plan
- 3.5 Implementation

4.0 Affected Environment*

5.0 Environmental Consequences*

- 5.1 Environmental Evaluation Methodology
- 5.2 Significant Effects on Resources

6.0 Public Involvement, Review and Consultation*

- 6.1 Public Involvement Program
- 6.2 Institutional Involvement
- 6.3 Additional Required Coordination
- 6.4 Report Recipients
- 6.5 Public Views and Responses

7.0 List of Preparers*

8.0 Index*

9.0 List of Appendices*

10.0 List of Attachments*

* Required for NEPA compliance

MILESTONES AND SCHEDULE OF DELIVERABLES

<u>Date</u>
Aug 1, 2005

Hold public scoping meetings Aug 25, 2005

Begin data collection needed for screening
- TSC to work with NKAO on data needs

Begin economic analysis Oct 3, 2005

- TSC – D-8270 (Steve Piper)

Develop criteria for Tribal survey document Oct 3, 2005

- TSC (Piper), Tribe, and NKAO

Begin screening process: Oct 11, 2005

- Define all alternatives for screening
- Define screening criteria and screening process
 - Joint: TSC, Tribe, NKAO, Niobrara

Develop "Future Without" Condition - TSC – D-8270 (Piper)	Dec 2, 2005
Prepare map and population estimates - TSC – D-8270 (Piper)	Dec 30, 2005
Quarters 2-4, FY 2006	
Begin water demand analysis - D-8520 (Joe Lyons)	Jan 2, 2006
 Complete screening of alternatives for feasibility-level analyses Joint: TSC, Tribe, NKAO, Niobrara 	January 13, 2006
Begin Preparing screening report - TSC – D-8011 (Patty Gillespie)	January 17, 2006
Begin water source determination analysis - D-8520 (Joe Lyons – surface water)	Jan 27, 2006
 D-8520 (Bob Talbot – ground water) Begin preliminary layout of the distribution system D-8140 (Chou Cha) 	March 31, 2006
Begin water distribution system design and layout - D-8140 (Chou Cha)	March 31, 2006
Begin water treatment assessment - D-8230 (Bob Jurenka)	Jun 1, 2006
Study Phase 2: Development of FR/EA (dependent on available	e funding)
Continue engineering design - D-8140 (Chou Cha and others)	Oct 1, 2006
Continue water treatment design -D-8230 (Bob Jurenka and others)	Oct 1, 2006
Initiate environmental analyses for EA Prepare draft FR/EA for team review Prepare draft FR/EA for public review Release draft to public (90-day review)	Oct 1, 2006 Feb 1, 2007 Mar 1, 2007 Mar 15, 2007
Team response to public comments Prepare draft FR for independent quality review Begin Administrative review of draft FR/EA/FONSI Publish final FR/EA/FONSI	June 30, 2007 July 17, 2007 Aug 1, 2007 Sept 18, 2007

FEASIBILITY TEAM ROSTER

Organization/Function	Name/Title	Address	Phone/e-mail
D-8140 / Engineering Lead /	Chou Cha / Civil	Bldg 67, Denver Federal	303-445-3129 /
Water Conveyance	Engineer *	Center, Denver, CO	CCHA@do.usbr.gov
D-8230 / Water Treatment	Bob	Bldg 67, Denver Federal	303-445-2254 /
and Engineering	Jurenka/Engineer *	Center, Denver, CO	BJURENKA@do.usbr.gov
D-8520 / Water Supply, Use, and Conservation	Joseph	Bldg 67, Denver Federal	303-445-2531 /
	Lyons/Engineer *	Center, Denver, CO	JLYONS@do.usbr.gov
D-8520 / Ground Water	Robert Talbot /	Bldg 67, Denver Federal	303-445-2518 /
	Geologist	Center, Denver, CO	RTALBOT@do.usbr.gov
D-8270 / Economics	Steven Piper /	Bldg 67, Denver Federal	303-445-2736 /
	Economist *	Center, Denver, CO	SPIPER@do.usbr.gov
D-8580 / TSC Team Lead	Del Holz	Bldg 67, Denver Federal	303-445-2703 /
	/ResourceManager	Center, Denver, CO	DHOLZ@do.usbr.gov
NK-300 / Tribal Liaison – Coordination, Service Agreements, Funding	Mike Kube / ResourceManager *	Nebraska-Kansas Area Office, Grand Island, NE	308-389-5321 MKUBE@gp.usbr.gov
NK-100 / Biology - Environment	Jill Manring / Natural Resources Specialist *	Nebraska-Kansas Area Office, Grand Island, NE	308-389-5328/ JMANRING@gp.usbr.gov
NK-310 / Cultural Resources	Bill Chada /	Nebraska-Kansas Area	308-389-5320 /
	Archaeologist	Office, Grand Island, NE	bchada@gp.usbr.gov
NK-100 / Public Involvement	Judy O'Sullivan	Nebraska-Kansas Area Office, Grand Island, NE	308-389-5327 / JOSULLIVAN@gp.usbr.gov
D-8011/ Technical Reports	Patty Gillespie	Bldg 67, Denver Federal	303-445-2580 /
Writing		Center, Denver, CO	PGILLESPIE@do.usbr.gov
GP-4600 / Study Team Lead	Mark Phillips /	Great Plains Regional	406-247-7743 /
	Geologist *	Office, Billings, MT	mphillips@gp.usbr.gov
Santee Sioux / Tribal Environmental Head	Felix Kitto *	Santee Sioux Nation	402-857-3338 santeewetlands@yahoo.com
Santee Sioux / Tribal Steering	Lee Ickes *	Santee Sioux Nation,	402-857-2772
Committee Lead		Santee, NE	leeickes2003@yahoo.com
Santee Sioux Consultant / Water Quality	Dr. Ralph Davis*	University of Arkansas, Fayetteville	479-575-4515
Village of Niobrara / Study Representative	Robert Olsen	Village Clerk	402-857-3404

^{*}Screening Team Member

PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM ROSTER

- 1. Mark Phillips, GP-4600
- 2. Mike Kube, NK-300
- 3. Lee Ickes, Santee Sioux
- 4. Del Holz, D-8580 Administrative Lead (Marlene Johnson, Assistant)

DECISION MAKING MANAGEMENT TEAM

- 1. GP Regional Director
- 2. NKAO Area Manager
- 3. Santee Sioux Tribal Chairperson

Attachment A – Estimated Study Costs by Phases

Note: Feasibility study is split into two phases to accomodate the present funding situation. Phase 1 of study will target producing the planning report component.

Phase 2 of study will target preparation of Environmental Assessment study component and final review.

Demands										
Damands Collection of por-reservation water demands for residences and ecape impaction, livestock, etc. Tribe	Study Primary Task	Task Component	Participant	Staff	Staff	Staff Day	Basis per	Task	Task	
Demands Collection of on-reservation water demands for residences judical, metalock, etc. Tribe	A MR&I Water	Economics (Demands)	D8270 Lvl - 3	10	0	10	\$888	\$8,880	\$0	\$8,880
Sedimentation and River Hydraulics			Triha			_		\$n	¢∩	t∩
Sedimentation and River Hydraulics	Tack Sub Totals	pesidences, landscape imgation, livestock, etc.	TIIDE			10	_		· ·	
Surface and Ground Water Availability / Characterization Aquifer Tests and Evaluation Aquifer Tests and Evaluation Aquifer Tests and Evaluation Aquifer Tests and Evaluation Time	Task Sub-Totals	Codimentation and Diver Hudraulian	D0E40 L.J. 2	1.4	0		1000			
Surface and Ground Water Availability / Characterization Aquifer Tests and Evaluation A		Sedimentation and River Hydraulics			_					
Aquirer Tests and Evaluation		Surface and Ground Water Availability / Characterization								
B. Surface and Coround Water (Coround Water (Coro				30	,					
B. Surface and Ground Water Resources		7 / Addies 10010 and Evaluation				\vdash				\$0,000
Design 3 sampling events of SW and GW sources @ \$1000 per analyses = 73*\$1000 Task dependent on study results At one site, drill, install and develop test wells (test pumping well, monitoring wells, and well well well well well well well wel		may be impacted by alternatives. Prepare section for PR/EA				-	-			\$0
Task dependent on study results At one site, drill, install and develop test wells (test pumps) [may use local contract driller] D8520, Contract Driller D8520, Contract driller] D8520, Contract Driller D8520, Contract driller] D8520, Contract Driller D8520, C	Resources		TSC - Local							
Install and develop test wells (test pumping well, monitoring wells, test pumps) [may use local contract driller] Security Sec		\$1000 per analyses = 2*3*\$1000	Laboratory			-	-	\$6,000	\$0	\$6,000
C. Design Data Collection of various design data needed by TSC for analyses S. Dougle		install and develop test wells (test pumping well, monitoring wells, test pumps) [may use local contract				-	_	\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000
Collection Analyses NKAO	Task Sub-Totals					87		\$92,888	\$8,200	\$101,088
Collection Analyses	C. Design Data	Collection of various design data needed by TSC for								
Structural and Architectural D8120 LM - 2 23 23 \$752 \$0 \$17,296 \$17,296 \$17,296 \$9,768 \$9	Collection	analyses	NKAO			-	-	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
Date	Task Sub-Totals					0		\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000
Date		Others to and the state of	D8120 Lvl - 2		23	23	\$752	\$0	\$17,296	\$17,296
Water Conveyance		Structural and Architectural					\$888		\$9,768	\$9,768
D8140 Non-Labor										\$61,664
Cost Estimating		Water Conveyance		13	4	17	\$888			
D&E. Distribution System and Water Treatment and Research (assuming two alternatives taken to Feasibility) D&E. Distribution System and Water Treatment and Research (assuming two alternatives taken to Feasibility) D&E. Distribution System and Water Treatment and Research (assuming two alternatives taken to Feasibility) D&E. Distribution System and Water Treatment and Research (assuming two alternatives taken to Feasibility) D&E. Distribution System and Water Treatment and Research (assuming two alternatives taken to Feasibility) DESCRIPTION OF THE STATE										
Date		Cost Estimating								
D&E. Distribution System and Water Treatment Engineering and Design										
Daza										
Task under review Under Tribal contract, obtain water regularity samples and analyze for two alternative sites (Dr. Ralph Davis). Administration of contract. Tribe - Data Collect \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	D&E. Distribution			10	5					
Tribe - Data Collect - \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	System and Water	Tools and a serious Head of Title I are tools to be in serious to								
Ralph Davis Administration of contract Tribe - Cont. Adm. - - - \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Treatement									
Engineering Geology may require drilling at structure locations for subsurface stability. (drilling cost estimated by mphillips 7/15/05) D8320 Lwl - 3 2 2 \$888 \$0 \$1,776 \$1,										
Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility in ec	Design				10		¢750			
by mphillips 7/15/05)						$\overline{}$	_			
Mechanical Equipment D8410 LM - 2 2 2 \$752 \$0 \$1,504		, , ,			-	 	φυσο		Φ1,770	
Mechanical Equipment D8410 LM - 3 3 3 \$888 \$0 \$2,664		, , , ,			7	7	\$752		\$1.504	
Hydraulic Equipment		Mechanical Equipment								
Hydraulic Equipment D8420 Lwl - 3 4 4 \$888 \$0 \$3,552										\$3,760
Electrical Design D8430 Lwl - 2 6 6 \$752 \$0 \$4,512		Hydraulic Equipment								\$3,552
Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay, etc. Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay Describe affected environment impacts, and ability to pay Describe affected environment impacts, and ability impacts Describe affected environment Describe		Electrical Design								\$4,512
F. Socioeconomics Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc.		Electrical Design	D8430 Lvl - 3		3	3				\$2,664
F. Socioeconomics Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc.	Task Sub-Totals					225		\$97,328	\$98,624	\$195,952
F. Socioeconomics Describe affected environment, evaluate economic feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc.		Describe offerted environment of the contract	D8270 Lvl - 3	25	0	25	\$888	\$22,200	\$0	\$22,200
	F. Socioeconomics	feasibility, economic impacts, and ability to pay, etc.				-	-	\$2,000	\$0	\$2,000
Task Sub-Totals 25 \$24,200 \$0 \$24,200	Task Sub-Totals					25		\$24,200	\$0	\$24,200

Attachment A – Estimated Study Costs by Phases (continued)

		I							
	NEPA and bulk preparation of EA	NK100, GPRO		25	25	\$650	\$0	\$16,250	\$16,250
	The France Salik proparation of 2.1	NK100 Non-Labor			-	-	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000
	Fish & Wildlife Coordination Report: Phase 1- Initiate	NK100	2	3	5	\$550	\$1,100	\$1,650	\$2,750
G. Environmental	discussions with Service, Phase 2 - Service prepares	F&W Service			-	-	\$1,500	\$13,500	\$15,000
Studies	coordination report.								
Studies	Coordination report.	NK100 Non-Labor			-	_	\$0	\$0	\$0
		NK500	5		5	\$550	\$2,750	\$0	\$2,750
	Cultural Resources	Tribe			- 1	-	\$0	\$0	\$0
		NK500 Non-Labor			-	-	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000
Task Sub-Totals					35		\$6,350	\$32,400	\$38,750
		Tribe - Scoping						. ,	. ,
		Meeting			_	_	\$0	\$0	\$0
	5 to	Tribe - Reservation					1		*-
	Public Scoping Meeting, Survey of Reservation population	Survey				_	l sol	\$0	\$0
H. Public Involvement	to determine interest in system connection and demand	NK100	3		3	\$550	\$1,650	\$0	\$1,650
	estimates.	GP4500	3		3	\$650	\$1,950	\$0	\$1,950
		GP4500 Non-Labor			-	-	\$1,500	\$0	\$1,500
		NK100 Non-Labor				-	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000
Task Sub-Totals					6		\$6,100	\$0	\$6,100
		D8011 - Lvl 1		20	20	\$520	\$0	\$10,400	\$10,400
		D8011 - Lvl 2	15	35	50	\$752	\$11,280	\$26,320	\$37,600
I. Technical Writing	Study Tasks and Report Review	Tribe	- 10			Ψ. O.Z.	\$0	\$0	\$0
		D8011 Non-Labor			- -	-	Y-1		
		D8011 Non-Labor			- 70	-	\$750	\$750	\$1,500
Task Sub-Totals					70		\$12,030	\$37,470	\$49,500
		GP4500	20	18	38	\$650	\$13,000	\$11,700	\$24,700
	Study Tasks and Report Review	GP4500 Non-Labor			-	-	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$3,000
		NK100	15	15	30	\$550	\$8,250	\$8,250	\$16,500
J. Project			13	13	30	φυσο			
Management		NK100 Non-Labor				-	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$2,000
		D8580 Lvl - 2	15	5	20	\$752	\$11,280	\$3,760	\$15,040
		D8580 Non-Labor			-	_	\$750	\$750	\$1,500
		Tribe				_	\$0	\$0	\$0
Task Sub-Totals					88		\$35,780	\$26,960	\$62,740
TWOK OUD TOTALS	Review PR/EA reports to assess adequacy in meeting				30		400,.00	420,000	402,140
K. Quality Review	study objectives, goals, and constraints. Regional Office								
Team	processing to Commissioner, Division chiefs review and	GP4000,GP2000,							
	approval.	NK100	0	10	10	\$750	\$0	\$7,500	\$7,500
	11			ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		4, 50			
Task Sub-Totals					10		\$0	\$7,500	\$7,500
Decises Teacle					EEC		\$200 EEC	\$244.454	\$400.740
Project Totals					556		\$288,556	\$211,154	\$499,710
						CDDO	£17.050	മാല വടവി	EAA 000
						GPRO NKAO	\$17,950 \$26,750	\$26,950 \$21,900	\$44,900 \$48,650
			To	tals by Of	ffice	TSC	\$242,356	\$21,900 \$148,804	\$391,160
						F&WS	\$242,356	\$146,604	\$15,000
						FQVV3	[UUC,114]	\$13,50U	Φ12,UUU