RECLAMATION Managing Water in the West

Biological Assessment for Bureau of Reclamation Operations and Maintenance in the Snake River Basin Above Brownlee Reservoir

> Baker Project Boise Project Burnt River Project Little Wood River Project Lucky Peak Project Mann Creek Project Michaud Flats Project Minidoka Project Owyhee Project Palisades Project Ririe Project



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation Pacific Northwest Region Snake River Area

August 2007

Acronyms and Abbreviations

APA	Administrative Procedures Act	IWRB	Idaho Water Resource Board
BA	Biological Assessment	IWRRI	Idaho Water Resources Research Institute
BiOp	Biological Opinion	kg/day	Kilograms per day
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration	mg/L	Milligrams per liter
BRT	Biological Review Team	MPG	Major Population Group
cfs	Cubic feet per second	NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
CIG	Climate Impact Group	NWF	National Wildlife Federation
CREP	Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program	ODEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
CWA	Clean Water Act	ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
DCMI	Domestic, commercial municipal, and	O&M	Operations and maintenance
	industrial	PCE	Primary Constituent Element
DPS	Distinct Population Segment	PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
EFH	Essential fish habitat	PIT	Passive Integrated Transponder
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
ESA	Endangered Species Act	RM	River mile
ESPA	Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer	RPA	Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives
ESU	Evolutionarily Significant Unit	RSW	Removable Spillway Weir
FCRPS	Federal Columbia River Power System	Settlement	2004 Nez Perce Water Rights Settlement
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	SRBA	Snake River Basin Adjudication
FPC	Fish Passage Center	State	State of Idaho
FR	Federal Register	TDG	Total dissolved gas
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code	TFCC	Twin Falls Canal Company
ICBTRT	Interior Columbia Basin Technical Recovery Team	TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
IDEO	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality	TMT	Technical Management Team
IDEQ		USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
IDWR	Idaho Department of Water Resources	USBR	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
ISAB	Independent Scientific Advisory Board	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
ISG	Independent Study Group	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
		VSP	Viable Salmonid Population
		WDOE	Washington Department of Ecology
		WLCTRT	Willamette Lower Columbia Technical

Review Team

RECLANATION Managing Water in the West

Biological Assessment for Bureau of Reclamation Operations and Maintenance in the Snake River Basin Above Brownlee Reservoir

> Baker Project Boise Project Burnt River Project Little Wood River Project Lucky Peak Project Mann Creek Project Michaud Flats Project Minidoka Project Owyhee Project Palisades Project Ririe Project



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation Pacific Northwest Region Snake River Area

August 2007

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and provide access to our Nation's natural and cultural heritage and honor our trust responsibilities to tribes.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

Table of Contents

Р	a	g	e
1	u	ĸ	e

Chapter 1	Overview1
1.1	Purpose of the Biological Assessment1
1.2	Proposed Actions
1.3	Action Area
1.4	Nez Perce Water Rights Settlement
1.5	Integration with Federal Columbia River Power System Remand5
1.6	Comprehensive Analysis7
1.7	Duration of Proposed Actions9
1.8	Summary of Determinations of Effects for Species and Designated Critical Habitat10
Chapter 2	Description of the Proposed Actions13
2.1	Introduction
2.2	Proposed Actions Description13
2.3	Refinements to Upper Snake Flow Augmentation17
	2.3.1 Overview
	2.3.2 Proposed Flow Augmentation Operational Refinements21
Chapter 3	Hydrologic Conditions25
3.1	Historical and Current Hydrologic Conditions
	3.1.1 Depletions in the Upper Snake River Basin
	3.1.2 Flow Conditions in the Snake and Columbia Rivers
3.2	Modeled Hydrologic Analysis of Proposed Actions
	3.2.1 Modeled Analysis of Salmon Flow Augmentation35
	3.2.2 Modeled Lower Snake and Columbia River Flows40
3.3	Future Hydrologic Conditions43
	3.3.1 The Lagged Effects of Past Groundwater Development43
	3.3.2 Possible Effects of Future Climate Change
	3.3.3 Summary

Chapter 4	Salmon and Steelhead Analysis	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Background	50
	4.2.1 Listed Salmon and Steelhead, Action Area, and Designated Critical Habitat	50
	4.2.2 Current Hydrologic Conditions	52
	4.2.3 Current Water Quality Conditions in the Action Area	53
4.3	Effects Analysis	62
	4.3.1 Streamflows and Fish Survival	63
	4.3.2 Effects on Water Quality	67
	4.3.3 Proposed Actions Effects on Listed ESUs and DPSs in the Snake River	72
	4.3.4 Proposed Actions Effects on Listed ESUs and DPSs in the Columbia River	86
	4.3.5 Effects Conclusion Summary	
4.4	Cumulative Effects	
Chaptor 5	Essential Fish Habitat	101
Chapter 5 5.1		
5.1 5.2	Background Proposed Actions	
5.2 5.3	Action Area	
5.3 5.4	Status, Life History, Habitat Requirements, and Effects Analysis	
	5.4.1 Snake River Fall Chinook Salmon	
	5.4.2 Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook Salmon	
	5.4.3 Upper Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon	
	5.4.4 Middle Columbia River Spring Chinook Salmon	
	5.4.5 Upper Columbia River Summer/Fall Chinook Salmon	
	5.4.6 Deschutes River Summer/Fall Chinook Salmon	
	5.4.7 Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon	
	5.4.8 Upper Willamette River Chinook Salmon	
5.5	5.4.9 Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon Summary of Effects Analysis	121

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Figure 2-1.	Features and facilities for Bureau of Reclamation projects in the Snake River basin above Brownlee Reservoir
Figure 3-1.	Average monthly flows for select locations on the Snake and Columbia Rivers
Figure 3-2.	Average monthly flows for Snake River at Brownlee Reservoir 33
Figure 3-3.	Average annual flow for the Snake River at Weiser for the 1911 to 2006 period
Figure 3-4.	Average annual spring discharge to Snake River, Milner to King Hill reach, for 1902 to 2003 period
Figure 3-5.	Comparison of average monthly flow augmentation volumes for the 2004 Proposed Action and the 2007 Proposed Action (water years 1928 to 2000)
Figure 4-1.	Average monthly water temperature at locations along the Snake River - 2005
Figure 4-2.	Average monthly water temperature at locations along the Snake River - 2006
Figure 4-3.	Migration timing of wild PIT tagged juvenile fall Chinook salmon tagged in the Snake River and detected at Lower Granite Dam
Figure 4-4.	Adult passage of fall Chinook at Lower Granite Dam
Figure 5-1.	Map showing the nine 4th field HUCs in the action area 103
Figure D-1.	Upper Snake River basin water temperature monitoring stations D-4

List of Tables

Table	Page
Table 1-1.	Summary of determinations of effects for species and designated critical habitat
Table 2-1.	Federal storage facilities included in the proposed actions 16
Table 2-2.	Federal diversion facilities included in the proposed actions
Table 2-3.	Federal powerplants included in the proposed actions

Table	Page
Table 3-1.	Modeled changes in flow into Brownlee Reservoir comparing Reclamation's Proposed Action and Without Reclamation scenarios for dry, average, and wet water year types
Table 3-2.	Modeled changes in flow into Brownlee Reservoir comparing Reclamation's Proposed Action and Naturalized Flow scenarios for dry, average, and wet water year types
Table 3-3.	Modeled changes in average monthly volumes into Brownlee Reservoir comparing Reclamation's Proposed Action to the Naturalized Flow and Without Reclamation scenarios
Table 3-4.	Matrix of modeled flow augmentation volume by water year type and reservoir carryover
Table 3-5.	Modeled total Brownlee Reservoir inflows and flow augmentation component for the Proposed Actions using a 1928 to 2000 period of record
Table 3-6.	Modeled Lower Granite Dam discharge comparing Reclamation's Proposed Action and Without Reclamation scenarios for dry, average and wet water year types
Table 3-7.	Modeled McNary Dam discharge comparing Reclamation's Proposed Action and Without Reclamation scenarios for dry, average and wet water year types
Table 4-1.	Listed anadromous salmonid species ESUs and DPSs and designated critical habitat in the upper Snake action area
Table 4-2.	Site types, essential physical and biological features designated as PCEs, and species life stage each PCE supports, for the lower Snake River (Hells Canyon Dam to the confluence with the Columbia River)
Table 4-3.	Modeled changes in flow into Brownlee Reservoir comparing Reclamation's Proposed Action and Without Reclamation scenarios for dry, average, and wet water year types
Table 4-4.	Site types, essential physical and biological features designated as PCEs, and species life stage each PCE supports for the Columbia River downstream of the Snake River
Table 5-1.	Approximate HUC starting and ending points in the EFH action area
Table 5-2.	Snake River and Columbia River basin HUCs with designated Chinook and coho salmon EFH, ESU, and life history use

Table	Page
Table 5-3.	Fall Chinook salmon counts at Ice Harbor and Lower GraniteDams from 1977 to 2006109
Table 5-4.	Number of fall Chinook salmon redds counted in the Snake River and tributaries between Lower Granite and Hells Canyon Dam from 1986 to 2005
Table 5-5.	Fall Chinook salmon escapement and stock composition at Lower Granite Dam from 1975 to 2006
Table 5-6.	Estimated adult wild spring/summer Chinook salmon escapement to Lower Granite Dam (includes total counts at Bonneville and Lower Granite Dams for comparison)
Table B-1.	MODSIM Model Output of monthly flows into Brownlee Reservoir
Table C-1.	Sources of salmon flow augmentation water from the upper Snake . C-6
Table D-1.	Upper Snake temperature monitoring locations D-2

1.1 Purpose of the Biological Assessment

In November 2004, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) initiated formal consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) by submitting a biological assessment (BA) to the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The Biological Assessment for Bureau of Reclamation Operations and Maintenance in the Snake River Basin above Brownlee Reservoir (2004 Upper Snake BA) (USBR 2004a) described 12 separate actions involving operations and routine maintenance at 12 Federal projects located upstream of Brownlee Reservoir and evaluated the potential effects of those actions on ESA-listed endangered or threatened species and their designated critical habitat. The projects, collectively referred to as the upper Snake projects, were the Minidoka, Palisades, Michaud Flats, Ririe, Little Wood River, Boise, Lucky Peak, Mann Creek, Owyhee, Vale, Burnt River, and Baker Projects. Reclamation initiated consultation because the existing biological opinion (BiOp) expired before the start of the 2005 irrigation season, and some components of the proposed actions differed from the actions consulted upon in the previous consultation. Most notable was the development of the Nez Perce Water Rights Settlement that described the conditions for continued provision of salmon flow augmentation from the upper Snake.

Reclamation received a BiOp from NMFS in March 2005 (2005 Upper Snake BiOp) (NMFS 2005a). The 2005 Upper Snake BiOp concluded that Reclamation's proposed actions were not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of 13 Columbia River basin salmon Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) and steelhead Distinct Population Segments (DPSs) listed or proposed for listing under the ESA or to adversely modify or destroy designated critical habitat for three ESUs.

In 2005, American Rivers and others filed a suit alleging Administrative Procedures Act (APA) and ESA violations (*American Rivers v. NOAA Fisheries*). On May 23, 2006, Oregon U.S. District Judge James Redden held that NMFS' March 2005 Upper Snake BiOp contained flawed analysis and did not comply with the ESA or APA. On September 26, 2006, Judge Redden issued an Opinion and Order of Remand providing details on how Federal defendants must revise the consultation to correct these deficiencies.