

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

MEMORANDUM

TO:

THE COMMISSION

STAFF DIRECTOR

GENERAL COUNSEL FEC PRESS OFFICE

FEC PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

FROM:

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION SECRETARY

DATE:

JULY 13, 2005

SUBJECT:

Ex Parte COMMUNICATION

RE: NPRM – Internet Communications

Transmitted herewith is a communication received by Chairman Thomas regarding the above-captioned matter.

Attachment



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

A SOUTH THE STATE OF THE STATE

2005 JUL 13 A 8: 0b

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Mary W. Dove

Secretary to the Commission

FROM:

Scott E. Thomas

Commissioner &

SUBJECT:

Ex parte communication

DATE:

July 12, 2005

Attached is a letter that I received from "English First" and 12 other groups regarding the Internet regulations.



July 7, 2005

Mr. Scott Thomas Chairman Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Dear Chairman Thomas,

Ċ

Those of us signing this letter may represent different causes and constituencies, but we all agree that the Federal Election Commission would do well to put to rest any notion that it seeks to regulate what we can say about politics via our blogs, web sites or e-mails.

Democracy can only benefit when more people are discussing and, frankly, fact checking politicians and their proposals prior to any election. The First Amendment was enacted in order to protect vigorous political debate from government interference.

The staff of the FEC has sufficient work to do already without expecting them to monitor every blog and web site for illegal "electioneering." Given the relatively minimal traffic most web sites generate, for the FEC to attempt to do so would the equivalent of investigating a Silver Spring, Maryland, barbershop for an illegal corporate contribution because it displayed a "Kerry for President" sign in its front window.

Many of us can barely keep up with our own personal e-mail. Should the FEC attempt to monitor each day's e-mail across America for impermissible activity, it would soon realize that not only that this task not only should not be done but that it cannot be done.

Respect for the law is not enhanced when those who seek to obey federal agencies learn that the sheer size of their regulatory burden ensures the law will be enforced either selectively or not at all.

The Commission should announce that its fact-finding hearings have convinced it that Internet regulation is simply not possible and that its respect for the First Amendment rights of American citizens demands that it refrain from trying to do so.

Sincerely,

Joint Letter of July 7, 2005, to Chairman Thomas from English First and Twelve Other Groups Page 2 of 2

(Note: Organizations listed for purposes of personal identification only.)

Jim Boulet, Jr.

English First

Paul M. Weyrich

Coalitions for America

William J. Murray

Religious Freedom Coalition

Michael D. Bowman Concerned Women Political Action Committee

Ron Pearson

Conservative Victory Fund

Amy Ridenour

National Center for Public Policy Research

Richard Falknor

Maryland Taxpayers Association

Kay Daly

Coalition for a Fair Judiciary

Larry Pratt

Gun Owners of America

Sandra Crosnoe

Associated Conservatives of Texas

C. Preston Noell III

Tradition, Family, Property, Inc.

Mike Krempaski

RedState.Org

Deborah Weiss

GOPbloggers.com

cc: Michael Toner, Vice Chairman