The Honorable Dick Cheney President of the Senate United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. President:

This letter is in response to the annual Competitive Sourcing reporting requirement contained in section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, for FY 2004, P.L. 108-199. The enclosed report on the Department of Energy's (DOE) Competitive Sourcing program complies with the agency reporting elements outlined in P.L. 108-199 for submitting the annual Congressional Competitive Sourcing Activity Report. In summary, DOE's Fiscal Year (FY) 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activity Report includes cost, savings, Federal full-time equivalent employees (FTEs), and other information on the Department's completed, ongoing, and planned competitive sourcing studies.

The information on DOE's FY 2004 completed, ongoing, and planned studies is provided in the following enclosures:

Enclosure 1 – DOE FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary: Completed Competitions

Enclosure 2 – DOE FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activity Summary: Announced Competitions

Enclosure 3 – DOE FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activity Summary: Savings and Performance Update

Enclosure 4 – Projected Number of DOE FTEs To Be Announced in FY 2005 for Study During FY 2006

Enclosure 5 – Alignment of Human Capital and Competitive Sourcing Initiatives

If you or your staff need any additional information on DOE's Competitive
Sourcing Program, please contact Jill L. Sigal, Principal Deputy Assistant
Secretary for Congressional and Intergovernmental Affairs, at (202) 586-5450.

Sincerely,

Spencer Abraham

Enclosures

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 2004 COMPETITIVE SOURCING ACTIVITIES SUMMARY

COMPLETED COMPETITIONS (Dollars in Millions)

_	(Dollars in Millions)																							
							Com	petition Descrip	tion															
Bureau		rimary ivity Cod			Additional Activity Code	Description of Activity Competed	Type of Competition	Location (State)	# of FTE in study	# of Bids Received	Start Date (Mo/Yr)	End Date (Mo/Yr)	Expected Phase-In Completion Date (Mo/Yr)		Source Selection Strategy Used		FY 2004 Costs	Total Cost - All Years	Estimated Savings	Period of estimated savings (in years)	Annualized Savings		Saving Methodology: Calculation/Proxy **	Quantifiable Description of Improvements in Service or Performance (if appropriate)
STI	EAMI	INED CO	OMPETITI	ONS																				
	Plan		al T600 Re Property Managen				Streamlined competition with MEO	CA, NM, NV	76	0	06/03 *	05/04	09/04	09/0		in-house government personnel (I/H)	0.274	0.618	6.000	5	1.200			
SUI	TOTA	L, STRE	AMLINED	COMP	ETITIONS				76								0.274	0.618	6.000		1.200	0.000		
STA	NDAD	D COMP	PETITIONS	,																				
SIF	U30		g U302 Tra	nining		Human Resources Training	Standard competition	CA,CO,DC,ID, L,LA,MD,NM, NV,OH,PA,SC, TN,WA		0	07/03 *	09/04	05/05		cost-technical trade-off	in-house government personnel (I/H)	0.153	0.598	33.840	5	6.768			
SUI	TOTA	L, STAN	DARD CO	MPETI	TIONS				146								0.153	0.598	33.840		6.768	0.000		
DIF	ECT C	ONVERS	SIONS																					
	-			-																				
SUI	TOTA	L, DIREC	CT CONVE	RSION	S				0								0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000		
		-			•											·	·	·				•		
TO	AL, A	LL COM	PETITION	S					222								0.427	1.216	39.840		7,968	0.000)	
<u> </u>	-,,			-			1				* EV02/02 C+- 1:	·	J.M 2002		-f.NCil A.									

FY 2004 FIXED COSTS*

*Note: These costs are not competition-specific. The fixed costs reflects labor and benefits of 4 FTEs that work in the Headquarters and are fully dedicated to providing central direction and oversight for DOE's Competitive Sourcing/A-76 Progrqam.

^{*} FY02/03 Studies originally announced March 2002, reannounced under direction of New Circular A-76 in June and July 2003
** DOE policy is to conduct Independent Validation/Verification on completed competitions afte the completion of the first year of performance

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 2004 COMPETITIVE SOURCING ACTIVITIES SUMMARY

Announced Competitions*

(Dollars in Millions)

	Competition Description													
Bureau	Primary Activity Code	Secondary Activity Code	Additional Activity Code	Description of Activity Competed	Type of Competition	Location (State)	# of FTE in study	Source Selection Strategy Used (If Known)	Start Date (Mo/Yr)	Incremental Costs of Conducting Studies	Anticipated Savings or Quantifiable Description of Improvements in Service or Performance (if available)			
STRE	EAMLINED CO	MPETITIONS												
CTID	COTAL STDEA	MI INED COMPE	TITIONE				0			0.000	0.000			
SUBI	UTAL, STREA	MLINED COMPE	11110NS				U)		0.000	0.000			
STAN	DARD COMPI	ETITIONS												
~														
	E120 Environmental and Natural Resource Services			Environmental Engineering Services		MD,DC,NM,IL, CO,ID,PA,WV, CA,WY,NV,TN ,WA,LA,SC	684	cost-technical	09/04	0.000				
		D704 Program				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			7,7,7					
	Collection and Analysis		D707 Program Evaluation	New Brunswick Laboratory	Standard competition	IL	40	cost-technical trade-off	09/04	0.000				
									92,70	******				
SUB T	TOTAL, STAND	OARD COMPETIT	IONS				724			0.000	0.000			
TOT	AL, ALL COME	PETITIONS					724	l		0.000	0.000			

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 2003 COMPETITIVE SOURCING ACTIVITIES SUMMARY

SAVINGS & PERFORMANCE UPDATE

(Dollars in Millions)

			Total Estimated Savings				Pd Over Which		Quantifiable Description of
			(As	Total	Actual Phase-		Actual	Savings	Imporvements
			`	Performance	In	Actual	Savings	Methodology:	in Service or
			Congress in	Period (in	Completion	Accrued	Accrued	Calculation/	Performance (if
Bureau	Function Competed	Type of Competition	FY03)	years)	Date (Mo/Yr)	Savings	(In Years)	Proxy	appropriate)
STREAM	LINED COMPETITION								
		Streamlined							
	Civil Rights	competition	\$1.500	5	09/03	0.920	1	Calculation	
CLIDEOE	A L. CIEDE A M. INIED	COMPERITIONS	Φ1. 5 00			0.020			
SUBTOTA	AL, STREAMLINED	COMPETITIONS	\$1.500			0.920			
STANDA	L RD COMPETITIONS								
	Graphics*	Cost Comparison**	\$4.300	5	03/04	0.763	0.750	Calculation	
	Financial								
	Services****	Standard competition	\$31.000	5	04/05***				
SUBTOTA	AL, STANDARD CON	MPETITIONS	\$35.300			0.763			

TOTAL, ALL COMPETITIONS	\$36.800		1.683		

^{*} Independent Verification and Validation is in progress.

** Competition conducted under old Circular A-76

*** Estimated phase-in date

^{****}Competition completed in first quarter FY04

Projected Number of DOE FTEs To Be Announced in FY 2005 for Study During FY 2006

The Department of Energy anticipates announcing by the end of FY 2005 an estimated <u>200-400</u> <u>FTEs</u> to be subjected to public-private competition in DOE's FY 2006 Competitive Sourcing program.

The Department is employing a sound methodology for identifying potential competitions, nominating potential competition candidates, analyzing nominated candidates through feasibility reviews, executing competitions, and implementing the results. The Federal Activities Inventory Reform Act of 1998 (FAIR Act) commercial activities inventory forms the primary basis for identifying potential candidates for nomination to undergo a feasibility review. A feasibility review, which is not a formal competitive sourcing study, is a preliminary assessment to determine if a "candidate" function meets the criteria for being considered for a standard (12 month) or streamlined (90-day) competitive sourcing study.

Throughout our review processes the Department is ensuring a careful and thorough analysis of all competitive sourcing candidates. The potential scope of the study, mission impacts, risks, costs, estimated return on investment, and timeframe will be considered during the feasibility review process. The feasibility review team(s) will make a recommendation to the Department's Senior leadership on the number, functions, and timeframes for conducting the next round of studies. The Department anticipates announcing its FY 2006 studies by September 30, 2005.

DOE Competitive Sourcing decision making process alignment with the Strategic Work plan and Human Capital Management

The Department of Energy (DOE) continues to align and link all initiatives associated with the President's Management Agenda. Specifically, the Human Capital Management (HCM) principles continue to be an integral part of the Competitive Sourcing/A-76 initiative. The Chief Human Capital Officer (CHCO) serves as an advisor to the Department's Competitive Sourcing Executive Steering Group. Each competitive sourcing study is assigned a Human Resource Advisor who reports directly to the CHCO and works closely with the Agency Tender Official and the Most Efficient Organization (MEO) team in developing staffing and organizational designs. Their efforts help to ensure that the existing HCM plans of the organizations currently performing the functions that are under competition are considered in the MEO. The HCM performance measures on restructuring improvements helps ensure that the Department provides optimal service at the lowest cost, with the proper skills, experience and career progression. This is consistent with the intent of the competitive sourcing process. The Department's HCM and Competitive Sourcing Programs both aim to reduce management layers and improve spans of control.

As part of the A-76 continuous improvement effort, the Office of HCM was brought in as an advisor during the Fiscal Year 2004 A-76 study Preliminary Planning phase, known in DOE as the Feasibility Review Process (FRP). Although the focus of the FRP is to identify potential candidates for the next round of competition, the HCM plan and principles play an integral role in determining the progression of candidates toward a competition. Specifically the FRP takes into consideration the Human Capital Plans with regards to demographics, skill gaps, succession planning, knowledge management and the Department's core values (safety, security, environmental stewardship).

Each FRP team presents a full set of recommendations on the scope of the study, the estimated savings, competition type, proposed competition timeline and mission and personnel impacts to include critical skills shortages, organizational imbalances, pending reorganization plans, and potential retirements.

The DOE Labor Management Council is also briefed on the findings of the FRP and their recommendations and comments are provided to DOE Executive Steering Group, chaired by the Deputy Secretary, prior to a final decision to commit a candidate to a competitive sourcing competition.

The Department also implements a communications plan which stresses frequent interaction with employees and customers throughout the competitive sourcing process lifecycle. Such routine communications include a DOE A-76 website (www.ma.mbe.doe.gov/a-76/), an employee hotline, town hall briefings, Department-wide e-mails, periodic newsletters, as well as the use of other communications forums.