DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

10 CFR PART 830

10 CFR PART 835

Office of the General Counsel; Ruling 1995-1; Ruling concerning 10 CFR Parts 830 (Nuclear Safety Management) and 835 (Occupational Radiation Protection)

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Ruling 1995-1.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) has issued Ruling 1995-1 which interprets certain regulatory provisions relating to DOE's nuclear safety requirements. This Ruling is intended to be a generally applicable clarification that addresses questions concerning the applicability and effect of these provisions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ben McRae, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Civilian Nuclear Programs, Room 6A 167, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Ave., SW, Washington DC 20585; telephone (202) 586-6975.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY'S RULING 1995-1:

A. INTRODUCTION

The Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health has requested that the General Counsel respond to several questions regarding nuclear safety regulations 10 CFR Parts 830 (Nuclear Safety Management) and 835 (Occupational Radiation Protection). This ruling responds to those questions and constitutes an interpretation under Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 820.

B. QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

1. Is the scope of either Part 830 or Part 835 limited to those facilities or activities involving byproduct, source, or special

Sections 820.50, .51 and .52 state:

The General Counsel shall be . . . responsible for formulating and issuing any interpretation . . . [and] may utilize any procedure which he deems appropriate to comply with his responsibilities under this sub-

part . . . Any written or oral response to any written or oral question which is not provided pursuant to this subpart does not constitute an interpretation and does not provide any basis for action inconsistent with the [Atomic Energy] Act, a Nuclear Statute, or a DOE Nuclear Safety Requirement.

¹Subpart D of Part 820 sets forth the procedural framework for issuing an interpretation, which is defined in Part 820.2(a) to mean:

a statement by the General Counsel concerning the meaning or effect of the [Atomic Energy] Act, a Nuclear Statute, or a DOE Nuclear Safety Requirement which relates to a specific factual situation but may also be a ruling of general applicability where the General Counsel determines such action to be appropriate.

nuclear materials, as defined in the Atomic Energy Act?

No, neither Part 830 nor 835 is limited to activities or facilities involving byproduct, source, or special nuclear material. The requirements in Parts 830 and 835 cover all activities under DOE's auspices with the potential to cause radiological harm. These rules are promulgated pursuant to section 161 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA). Section 161b. of the AEA authorizes the Department to promulgate rules "to govern the possession and use of special nuclear material, source material, and byproduct material" and section 161i. authorizes the Department to prescribe such regulations as it deems necessary to govern any activity authorized pursuant to the AEA, specifically including standards for the protection of health and minimization of danger to life or property.

Although most sources of ionizing radiation are encompassed by the terms "byproduct material," "source material" and "special nuclear material," some sources, such as machine-produced radioactive material, are not. Because all ionizing radiation has the potential to cause harm, the Department did not limit the application of the nuclear safety requirements in Parts 830 and 835 to situations involving byproduct, source and special nuclear

material.

Part 830 covers activities at facilities even where no nuclear material is present such as facilities that prepare the nonnuclear components of nuclear weapons, but which could cause radiological damage at a later time. 10 CFR 830.3(a)(6)

2. Do Parts 830 and 835 apply to Government employees in general and to the Department's Government-owned, Government-operated facilities specifically?

Part 830. Part 830.1 states that it governs the conduct of the Department's "management and operating contractors and other persons at DOE nuclear facilities." Section 830.4(a) provides that no person shall take or cause to be taken any action inconsistent with Part 830 or any document implementing Part 830. The definition of "person" in Part 830 excludes the Department, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), as well as their employees when these employees are acting within the scope of their

²Section 830.1 states:

This part governs the conduct of the Department of Energy (DOE) management and operating contractors and other persons at DOE nuclear facilities.

employment.³ Therefore, the requirements in Part 830 do not apply

<u>Person</u> means any individual, corporation, partnership, firm, association, trust, estate, public or private institution, group, Government agency, any State or political subdivision of, or any political entity within a State, any foreign government or nation or other entity and any legal successor, representative, agent or agency of the foregoing; provided that person does not include the Department or the United States NRC. (emphasis

³Sections 830.3(a) and 835.2(a) state:

added)

The only government agencies and employees thereof excluded from this definition are the Department and the NRC.

to DOE employees.4

⁴Section 830.4(b) states:

With respect to a particular DOE nuclear facility, the contractor responsible for the design, construction, operation, or decommissioning of that facility shall be responsible for implementation of, and compliance with, the requirements of this part.

Section 830.4(a) states:

No person shall take or cause to be taken any action inconsistent with the requirements of this part or any program, plan, schedule, or other process established by this part.

The preamble to the final Part 830 rule explained that the Department rejected comments that Part 830 be expanded to include DOE employees. The Department found that equivalent requirements were imposed on its employees through DOE directives.⁵

The requirements in Part 830 do not apply to the Department's Government-owned, Government-operated (GOGOs) facilities. While the definition of nuclear facility in Part 830 does not contain an explicit exclusion for facilities operated by the Department, Part 830 only covers nuclear facilities operated and managed by a

⁵59 FR 15845 (1994).

⁶DOE is considering expanding the scope of 830 to cover GOGOs and has requested comments on this issue in its Notice of limited reopening of comment periods published on August 31, 1995, 60 FR 45381.

contractor. GOGOs are governed by the nuclear safety provisions contained in DOE directives.

Part 835. The requirements in Part 835 apply to DOE employees. The scope provision, section 835.1(a), does not limit its applicability to contractors. Moreover, the general rule provision of section 835.3(a) explicitly provides that DOE personnel shall act consistently with the requirements of Part 835.

The requirements in Part 835 also apply to activities at the Department's Government-owned, Government-operated facilities.

Unlike Part 830, the general rule provision of Part 835 explicitly provides that, where there is no contractor responsible for a DOE

The rules in this part establish radiation protection standards, limits, and program requirements for protecting individuals from ionizing radiation resulting from the conduct of DOE activities.

No person or <u>DOE personnel</u> shall take or cause to be taken any action inconsistent with the requirements of:

⁷Section 835.1(a) states:

Section 835.3(a) states:

⁽¹⁾ This part; or

⁽²⁾ Any program, plan, schedule, or other process established by this part. (emphasis added)

activity, the Department shall ensure the implementation of and compliance with the requirements of Part 835.9

3. Is the scope of either Part 830 or Part 835 limited to those facilities or activities subject to civil penalties?

⁹Section 835.3(c) states:

Where there is no contractor for a DOE activity, DOE shall ensure implementation of and compliance with the requirements of this part.

No, neither Part 830 nor 835 is not limited to those facilities or activities subject to civil penalties. The Department's authority to regulate its activities and those of its contractors derives from section 161 of the AEA. Section 161i. extends this authority to all activities undertaken by or for the Department pursuant to The Price-Anderson Amendments Act of 1988 added section 234A to the Atomic Energy Act to provide the Department with authority to assess civil penalties for violations of rules, regulations or orders relating to nuclear safety by contractors and subcontractors who are indemnified by the Department pursuant to the Price-Anderson Act. 10 Section 234A did not limit the Department's regulatory authority under the Atomic Energy Act to those situations where the Department can assess civil penalties (that is, situations where there is a Price-Anderson indemnity agreement). Nor does Part 820, 830, or 835 contain any provision that would limit the exercise of this authority to only those facilities or activities subject to civil penalties.

¹⁰Section 234A.a. states:

Any person who has entered into an agreement of indemnification under subsection 170d. (or any subcontractor or supplier thereto) who violates (or whose employee violates) any applicable rule, regulation, or order related to nuclear safety prescribed or issued by the Secretary of Energy pursuant to this Act . . . shall be subject to a civil penalty . . .

4. To what extent do Parts 830 and 835 apply to subcontractors and suppliers, and is applicability dependent upon indemnification under the Price-Anderson provisions of the Atomic Energy Act?

Both Parts 830 and 835 apply to subcontractors and suppliers. discussed in the response to question 3, there is no provision in the AEA or in 10 CFR Part 820, 830, or 835 that would limit the applicability of the requirements in Parts 830 and 835 to persons indemnified under the Price-Anderson provisions of the Atomic Energy Act. 11 Both parts provide that "no person shall take or cause to be taken any action inconsistent with the requirements of the[ese] Part[s] or any program, plan, schedule, or other process established by the [ese] Part[s]." As discussed in the response to question 2, the definition of "person" in Parts 830 and 835 covers all individuals and entities other than the Department, the Commission and their employees. Thus, Parts 830 and 835 and implementation plans adopted thereunder apply to all contractors, subcontractors, suppliers and their employees. Even a visitor to a facility is obligated to comply with applicable requirements in

[&]quot;Section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act defines "person indemnified" as "the person with whom an indemnity agreement is executed . . . and <u>any other person</u> who may be liable for public liability " (emphasis added)

 $^{^{^{12}}\}mathrm{Sections}$ 830.4(a) and 835.3(a) are set forth in footnotes 4 and 8, $\underline{\mathrm{supra}}$.

these rules.

5. To what extent are activities performed on a DOE site subject to Parts 830 and 835 if they are regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (including activities certified by the NRC under section 1701 of the Atomic Energy Act) or by a State under an agreement with the NRC?

Both Parts 830 and 835 contain an explicit exclusion for activities regulated through a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission or a State under an Agreement with the NRC¹³ (or certified by the NRC under section 1701 of the Atomic Energy Act). This exclusion is

This part does not apply to:

(a) Activities that are regulated through a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or a State under an Agreement with the NRC, including activities certified by the NRC under section 1701 of the Atomic Energy Act.

Section 835.1(b) states:

The requirements in this part do not apply to:

(1) Activities that are regulated through a license by

¹³Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act provides that the NRC can enter into an agreement with a State to permit the State to regulate byproduct, special nuclear, and source material in certain specified situations. To the extent the NRC exercises this provision to transfer authority to a State, the State is considered an "Agreement State."

¹⁴Section 830.2 states:

intended to prevent an activity from being subject to dual regulation under the Atomic Energy Act. The exclusion is not intended to permit activities to escape regulation and thus applies only to the portion of a facility or activity conducted pursuant to a NRC license or certification or state authorization derived from an agreement with the NRC.

6. To what extent are DOE activities performed off a DOE site subject to Parts 830 and 835, and what is the effect if these activities are performed on a site regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission or by an Agreement State?

the Nuclear Regulatory Commission or a State under an Agreement with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, including activities certified by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission under section 1701 of the Atomic Energy Act.

Part 830/Offsite Activities. Part 830 provides that it "governs the conduct of the Department of Energy (DOE) management and operating contractors and other persons at DOE nuclear facilities."

10 CFR 830.1 (emphasis added) Section 830.3 provides that a "nuclear facility" may be either a "reactor" or a "nonreactor nuclear facility."

10 The state of the Department of Energy (DOE) management and operating contractors and other persons at DOE nuclear facilities."

<u>Nuclear facility</u> means reactor and nonreactor nuclear facilities.

* * * * * * * * *

Non-reactor nuclear facility means those activities or operations that involve radioactive and/or fissionable materials in such form and quantity that a nuclear hazard potentially exists to the employees or the general Incidental use and generating of radioactive materials in a facility operation (e.g., check and calibration sources, use of radioactive sources in research and experimental and analytical laboratory activities, electron microscopes, and X-ray machines) would not ordinarily require the facility to be included in this definition. Transportation of radioactive materials, accelerators and reactors and their operations are not included. The application of any rule to a nonreactor nuclear facility shall be applied using a graded approach. Included are activities or operations that:

- (1) Produce, process, or store radioactive liquid or solid waste, fissionable materials, or tritium;
 - (2) Conduct separations operations;

¹⁵Section 830.3(a) states:

activities or operations that involve radioactive or fissionable material in such form and quantity that a nuclear hazard potentially exists to the employees or the general public." 16

- (3) Conduct irradiated materials inspections, fuel fabrication, decontamination, or recovery operations;
 - (4) Conduct fuel enrichment operations;
- (5) Perform environmental remediation or waste management activities involving radioactive materials; or
- (6) Design, manufacture, or assemble items for use with radioactive materials and/or fissionable materials in such form or quantity that a nuclear hazard potentially exists.

* * * * *

<u>Reactor</u> means . . . the entire nuclear reactor facility, including the housing, equipment, and associated areas devoted to the operation and maintenance of one or more reactor cores . . .

¹⁶ 10 CFR Part 830.3(a). Neither the AEA nor Part 830 limits the meaning of radioactive or fissionable material. In the preamble to the final rule that adopted Part 830, the Department rejected comments that requested a threshold to exclude coverage of low hazard facilities and reaffirmed its intent to cover all facilities that involve radioactive material in such form and quantity that a nuclear hazard potentially exists. See comment 9 and the response thereto, 59 FR 15844 (1994). In the same preamble, the Department stated that the definition of hazard in Part 830 is intended to cover "all situations with any potential to cause harm to people, facilities, or the environment." See comment 7 and the respose thereto, 59 FR 15488 (1994). We are considering limiting the scope of Part 830 to those nuclear facilities classified as category 3 or higher in DOE Standard 1027. See Notice of Limited Reopening of Comment Periods, 60 FR 45381, August 31, 1995.

Thus, nonreactor facility includes not just facilities but activities and operations. However, because Part 830 applies only at a DOE nuclear facility, Part 830 applies only at DOE operations and activities and would not apply, for example, at a supplier's

The only activities involving radioactive or fissionable materials not covered are those explicitly excluded by the definition of "nonreactor nuclear facility," that is, activities that involve (1) transportation of radioactive material, (2) accelerators, or (3) the incidental use or generation of radioactive material associated with devices such as check and calibration sources, electron microscopes, and X-ray machines. While some activities at nuclear weapons facilities are excluded from coverage pursuant to section 830.2, these facilities are nonetheless nuclear facilities for purposes of section 830.3 and most activities at these facilities are covered by Part 830.

facility. 17

¹⁷DOE is considering expanding the scope of 830 to include those off-site activities that may affect the safe management of DOE sites and has requested comments on this issue in its Notice of Limited Reopening of Comment Periods published on August 31, 1995 in the Federal Register, 60 FR 45381.

Part 835/Offsite Activities. Part 835 is not limited to DOE activities at a DOE facility. Part 835 applies to the "conduct of DOE activities." "DOE activities" include "an activity taken for or by the DOE that has the potential to result in . . . exposure . . . to radiation or radioactive material." Thus, Part 835 covers activities performed off a DOE site and would include, for example, an action taken for DOE by a supplier at the supplier's facility.²⁰

Effect of NRC or State Licensing on Applicability of Parts 830 and 835. DOE activities that are subject to Nuclear Regulatory

<u>DOE activities</u> means an activity [sic] taken for or by the DOE that has the potential to result in the occupational exposure of an individual to radiation or radioactive material. The activity may be, but is not limited to, design, construction, operation, or decommissioning. To the extent appropriate, the activity may involve a single DOE facility or operation or a combination of facilities and operations, possibly including an entire site.

¹⁸See footnote 7.

¹⁹Section 835.2(a) states:

²⁰The scope of Part 835 is also broader than 830 in that it does not exclude accelerators, transportation activities or incidental use of radioactive materials that are excluded from the definition of nonreactor nuclear facility in 830. See comment 11 and response thereto in the preamble to the final Part 835 rule, 59 FR 15845 (1994).

Commission licensing or certification or to Agreement State regulation are excluded from regulation under Parts 830 and 835. See answer to Question 5 above. With respect to activities regulated by a State, this exclusion only applies to the extent the State is regulating pursuant to AEA authority derived through an Agreement with the NRC.

7. To what extent do Parts 830 and 835 apply to activities performed under cooperative agreements, grants, and work-for-others?

Parts 830 and 835 apply to activities undertaken pursuant to the Department's authority under the Atomic Energy Act, including arrangements involving activities under cooperative agreements, grants, and work-for-others pursuant to its authority under section 31 (Research Assistance) and section 33 (Research For Others) of the AEA. Because neither Part 830 nor Part 835 contain any explicit exclusion of activities performed under work-for-others arrangements, cooperative agreements, or grants, the requirements in Parts 830 and 835 apply to such activities to the same extent the requirements apply to other activities undertaken pursuant to the Department's authority under the AEA.

Section 31d. of the Atomic Energy Act provides that arrangements under that section (cooperative agreements and grants) "shall contain such provisions (1) to protect health [and] (2) to minimize danger to life and property . . . as the [Department] may determine." Thus, the Department has discretion to exclude from a particular arrangement some or all of the requirements in Parts 830 and 835.

Although the requirements of Parts 830 and 835 apply to arrangements other than contracts, civil penalty assessments are authorized only for a "person who may conduct activities under a contract with the Department of Energy . . ." and any subcontractor or supplier thereto. Civil penalties are not authorized for activities conducted under a cooperative agreement, grant, or workfor-others arrangement, as distinguished from a contract. See Sections 234Aa. and 170d.(1)(A) of the AEA and the answer to question 8 below.

8. May DOE assess civil penalties against persons other than contractors indemnified under the Price-Anderson provisions of the Atomic Energy Act?

Civil penalties apply only to contractors who are indemnified under the Price-Anderson Act and any subcontractors and suppliers thereto.

Section 234A of the AEA authorizes civil penalties assessment for contractors of the Department (or any subcontractor or supplier thereto) that have entered into a Price-Anderson indemnity agreement with the Department. Section 170d.(1)(A) of the AEA mandates a Price-Anderson indemnity agreement between the Department and a contractor if activities by the contractor for the Department involve the risk of public liability. Section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act defines public liability as "any legal liability arising out of or resulting from a nuclear incident" and

²¹Section 170d.(1)(A) states:

[[]T]he Secretary shall . . . enter into agreements of indemnification . . . with any person who may conduct activities under a contract with the Department of Energy that involve the risk of public liability

defines nuclear incident as "any occurrence . . . causing [damage or injury] . . . arising out of or resulting from . . . source, special nuclear, or byproduct material."

Section 234A further limits civil penalties to situations where a contractor (or any subcontractor or supplier thereto) violates any applicable rule, regulation, or order of the Secretary of Energy relating to nuclear safety. 10 CFR Part 820 sets forth the procedural rules for DOE nuclear activities, including the procedures for assessing civil penalties. Part 820 defines nuclear safety requirement broadly to include all "enforceable rules, regulations, or orders relating to nuclear safety adopted by DOE..." Section 820.20(b) limits the basis for assessment of

²²Part 820.2(a) states:

DOE Nuclear Safety Requirements means the set of enforceable rules, regulations, or orders relating to nuclear safety adopted by DOE (or by another agency if DOE specifically identifies the rule, regulation, or order) to govern the conduct of persons in connection with any DOE activity and includes any programs, plans, or other provisions intended to implement these rules, regulations, orders, a Nuclear Statute [that is, any statute or provision of a statute that relates to a DOE nuclear activity and for which DOE is responsible], the [Atomic Energy] Act, including technical specifications and operational safety requirements for DOE nuclear facilities. For purposes of the assessment of civil

civil penalties to violations of a DOE Nuclear Safety Requirement, i.e., one set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations, a Compliance Order under part 820, or a plan or program implementing those provisions. Thus, the requirements in Parts 830 and 835 form part of the set of nuclear safety requirements which, if violated, provide a basis for the assessment of civil penalties.

Therefore, only a Price-Anderson indemnified DOE contractor, and any subcontractor or supplier thereto, who violates a nuclear safety requirement of the type listed in section 820.20(b), may be assessed a civil penalty by the Department.

9. Are there any indemnification provisions other than the Price-

penalties, the definition of DOE Nuclear Safety Requirements is limited to those set forth in 10 CFR section 820.20(b). (emphasis added)

- (1) Any DOE Nuclear Safety Requirement set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations;
- (2) Any Compliance Order issued pursuant to subpart C of this part; or
- (3) Any program, plan, or other provision required to implement any requirement or order identified in paragraphs (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section.

²³Section 820.20(b) provides that the basis for the assessment of civil penalties is a violation of:

Anderson provisions that apply to DOE facilities and activities and, if so, could such indemnification be used to invoke civil penalties for violations of Parts 830 and 835 or the applicability of the requirements in Parts 830 and 835?

Although there are other indemnification provisions that could be applied to DOE facilities and activities, there are no other indemnification provisions that could be used to invoke civil penalties under section 234A of the AEA. Section 170d.(1)(B)(i)(I) provides of the Atomic Energy Act that agreements indemnification under the Price-Anderson provisions of that Act shall be the "exclusive means of indemnification for public liability arising from activities" conducted under a contract with the Department. This restriction on the Secretary's use of indemnity authority is directed to indemnification for public liability. With respect to situations involving liability other than public liability as defined in section 11 of the AEA, 24 other indemnification provisions (such as Public Law 85-804) may be available.

²⁴See discussion in the answer to Question 8 above, regarding the definition of public liability.

As discussed in the response to question 8, civil penalties under section 234A may be assessed only with respect to contractors indemnified under the Price-Anderson provisions of the AEA. The requirements of Parts 830 and 835, however, may be applied to DOE facilities or activities whether or not such facilities or activities are covered by DOE indemnification. As discussed in the response to question 3, section 161 of the AEA is the authority for the requirements in Parts 830 and 835 and the exercise of this authority is not dependent on whether the Department provides an indemnification for liability resulting from the activities to which the requirements apply.

10. What is the purpose of the exclusion in Parts 830 and 835 for activities conducted under the Nuclear Explosives and Weapons Safety Program relating to the prevention of accidental or

unauthorized nuclear detonations and what activities are intended to be included within the scope of this exclusion?

Parts 830 and 835 contain identical exclusions for "[a]ctivities conducted under the Nuclear Explosives and Weapons Safety Program relating to the prevention of accidental or unauthorized nuclear detonations." This exclusion is drafted narrowly to cover only those activities necessary to prevent an accidental or unauthorized nuclear detonations (that is, where the component parts of a nuclear weapon have been assembled in a manner such that a nuclear detonation could take place). The basis for this exclusion is the paramount importance of preventing accidental or unauthorized nuclear detonations and ensuring that the requirements in Parts 830 and 835 do not come into conflict with activities necessary to prevent any such detonation.

However, these exclusions are not intended to relieve the person responsible for a DOE nuclear facility or a DOE activity from complying with the requirements in Parts 830 and 835 to the extent they do not interfere with the conduct of activities undertaken to prevent an accidental or unauthorized nuclear detonation. example, under Part 830, a contractor must develop and implement a Quality Assurance Program for a nuclear facility where nuclear weapons are or may be present. A provision within the Quality Assurance Program may be disregarded, however, to the extent it limits the conduct of an activity to prevent the detonation of a Under Part 835, for example, a contractor must nuclear weapon. implement and comply with the radiological posting requirements with respect to a DOE activity that involves or may involve nuclear weapons. These posting requirements may be disregarded, however, to the extent they limit the conduct of a particular activity to prevent the detonation of a nuclear weapon, such as moving the weapon to an area that is not posted correctly for the presence of a nuclear weapon.

The Department, recognizes that the exclusion could be interpreted more broadly than intended and therefore may adopt a clarifying amendment to the exclusions stated in 10 CFR 830.2(c) and $835.1(b)(3).^{26}$

 $^{^{25}}$ Sections 830.2(c) and 835.1(b)(3).

²⁶See Notice of Limited Reopening of Comment Periods, 60 FR 45381, 45384 (1995) for a discussion of the weapons exclusion.

Robert R. Nordhaus General Counsel