

2009 PHARMACY CATEGORY BENCHMARKS

PY 2009 Guidance Regarding Promotion Precepts and Benchmarks for Commissioned Corps Officers

Officers preparing for promotion need to be familiar with the 5 promotion precepts described in the electronic Commissioned Corps Issuance System (eCCIS) Instructions 331.01 and 331.02 (old CCPM CC23.4.1 Permanent Grade Promotions and CC23.4.2 Temporary Grade Promotions), and noted below. To assist officers in better understanding the promotion precepts, the precepts are described in terms of factors that may be considered in scoring that precept. Each factor has a benchmark, which is a level of achievement for the officer given the category and grade. The purpose of this guidance is to inform officers and promotion boards of the levels of achievement per promotion precept generally considered to describe the “best qualified” officer for a specific category at a specific grade. This document can also benefit the officer in setting some personal long term goals for his or her career advancement.

The Chief Professional Officers (CPO) and Professional Advisory Committee (PAC) Chairs, in consultation with their constituent category members, revise the Benchmarks annually to reflect the ever changing missions and policies of the Corps. All five promotion precepts are identical for all categories, as are the benchmarks for promotion precepts 1, 4, and 5. The benchmarks for promotion precepts 2 and 3 are category-specific. Except for the promotion precept 5, “Readiness”, which has criteria developed by the Office of Force Readiness and Deployment, the benchmarks for the other precepts are not criteria required for promotion.

Benchmarks are levels of achievement and/or standards of excellence that describe the “best-qualified” officer. They serve as a basis by which officers can be measured within each category. The members of the Promotion Boards review the service records of each officer under consideration for promotion and each assigns a score for the specific promotion precept. Promotion Board members exercise their professional judgment and discretion in the review and rating of each record. No Officer is expected to meet all of the benchmarks. The Benchmarks are not to be considered a checklist of activities that must be completed in order to be promoted. Quality of service is more important than quantity.

The individual factors within each precept are not listed in priority order. The importance of each factor is left to the discretion of the Promotion Boards. There is no time period that limits which of the officer’s activities and accomplishments are eligible for consideration. However, activities and accomplishments subsequent to an officer’s last promotion should receive priority consideration.

The promotion precepts are weighted as follows:

- | | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Performance Rating and Reviewing Official Statement
(Performance) | 40% |
| 2. Education, training, and professional development | 15% |
| 3. Career progression and potential | 25% |
| 4. Professional contributions and services to the
PHS Commissioned Corps (Officership) | 15% |
| 5. Response Readiness | 5% |

2009 PHARMACY CATEGORY BENCHMARKS

Promotion Board members examine many documents in the officer's electronic Official Personnel Folder (eOPF) during the promotion review. Examples of these documents include, but are not limited to: Commissioned Officers' Effectiveness Report (COER), Promotion Information Report (PIR), curriculum vitae, the Officers Statement, award narratives, and letters of appreciation. The most recent COERs (e.g., the last 3-5 years) are generally given the most consideration by Promotion Board members, although earlier COERs may also be reviewed. Promotion Board members evaluate both the letter values of the COER and the accompanying narrative.

Career development resources (e.g., Curriculum Vitae (CV) reviews, mentoring, internet training tools, career development seminars, fellow officers) provided by the PACs, agency liaisons, Office of Commissioned Corps Operations (OCCO), and the CPOs should be explored and fully utilized by all officers.

The benchmarks will continue to evolve as the Commissioned Corps continues to evolve. Any comments or suggestions that you have on the Benchmarks may be submitted to your PAC Chair, and will be carefully considered for incorporation into the next annual revision.