## **Rules and Regulations**

#### Federal Register

Vol. 62, No. 247

Wednesday, December 24, 1997

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

5 CFR Part 532

RIN 3206-AI06

Prevailing Rate Systems; Removal of Putnam, Richmond, and Rockland Counties, NY, and Monmouth County, NJ, from the New York, NY, Appropriated Fund Survey Area

**AGENCY: Office of Personnel** 

Management.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is issuing a final rule to remove Putnam, Richmond, and Rockland Counties, NY, and Monmouth County, NJ, from the survey area of the New York, NY, appropriated fund Federal Wage System wage area. The four counties will remain in the area of application of the New York, NY, wage area.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 23, 1998. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Allen at (202) 606–2848, or send an email message to maallen@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 3, 1997, OPM published a proposed rule to remove Putnam, Richmond, and Rockland Counties, NY, and Monmouth County, NJ, from the survey area of the New York, NY, appropriated fund Federal Wage System (FWS) wage area (62 FR 59300). The proposed rule provided a 30-day period for public comment, during which OPM received one comment. The comment was related to the definition of the Newburgh, NY, wage area—a matter previously decided by OPM following lengthy discussions at meetings of the Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee (FPRAC), the statutory national-level labor-management committee responsible for advising OPM on matters concerning the pay of

FWS employees. The proposed rule is therefore being adopted as a final rule.

When the FWS was established in 1972, the New York, NY, survey area was composed of Bronx, Kings, Nassau, New York, Queens, Richmond, Rockland, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties, NY; and Essex, Hudson, Morris, and Union Counties, NJ. In 1975, FPRAC agreed by consensus to recommend that the New York, NY, survey area be expanded to include Putnam County, NY; and Bergen, Middlesex, Monmouth, Passaic, and Somerset Counties, NJ. This change was made so as to include 100 percent of the New York wage area's FWS employment in the New York, NY, survey area and to provide for a larger number of surveyable private industrial establishments.

As the largest FWS survey—with a sample of more than 900 industrial establishments—the New York, NY, FWS wage survey has become increasingly difficult to conduct because its logistical demands create unusual burdens on local agency activities already strained by downsizing and budget constraints. To reduce the logistical burdens of the New York, NY, FWS wage survey, OPM is removing Putnam, Richmond, and Rockland Counties, NY, and Monmouth County, NJ, from the New York, NY, survey area. Of the 19 counties in the New York, NY, survey area, OPM is removing these four counties from the survey area because their removal appears to offer the best means of reducing the logistical burdens of surveys in the New York, NY, wage area while least affecting the determination of prevailing rates for FWS employees in that wage area.

The removal of these four counties from the New York, NY, FWS survey area leaves about 90 percent of the wage area's FWS employment in the New York, NY, survey area, and reduces the number of surveyable private industrial establishments in the New York, NY, survey universe by only about 4 percent. OPM also considered the possible removal of other counties from the New York survey area, but none appeared to offer as convincing a rationale for removal as do Putnam, Richmond, Rockland, or Monmouth Counties. FPRAC reviewed and concurred by consensus with this change.

Because of a typographical error in appendix C to subpart B of 5 CFR part

532, the wage area listing for the New York, NY, wage area follows immediately after the wage area listing for the Newburgh, NY, wage area without showing the title of the New York, NY, wage area. This final rule also corrects that inadvertent omission.

#### **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

I certify that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they affect only Federal agencies and employees.

#### List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 532

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Government employees, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wages.

Office of Personnel Management.

#### Janice R. Lachance,

Director.

Accordingly, OPM is amending 5 CFR part 532 as follows:

## PART 532—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS

1. The authority citation for part 532 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 5343, 5346; § 532.707 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552.

## Appendix C to Subpart B of Part 532 [Amended]

2. Appendix C to subpart B is amended by revising the wage area listings for the Newburgh, New York, and New York, New York, wage areas to read as follows:

#### Appendix C to Subpart B of Part 532— Appropriated Fund Wage and Survey Areas

\* \* \* \* \* \*
New York
\* \* \* \* \*

### Newburgh

Survey Area

New York:

Dutchess

Orange Ulster

Area of Application. Survey Area Plus

New York:

Delaware

Sullivan

#### **New York**

Survey Area

New York:

Bronx

Kings

Nassau

New York

Queens Suffolk

Westchester

Westcheste

New Jersey:

Bergen

Essex Hudson

Middlesex

Morris

Passaic

Somerset

Union

Area of Application. Survey Area Plus

New York:

Putnam

Richmond

Rockland

New Jersey: Monmouth

Sussex

\* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 97–33581 Filed 12–23–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–01–P

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

#### 5 CFR Part 532

RIN 3206-AI11

# Prevailing Rate Systems; Abolishment of Kansas City, MO, Special Wage Schedule for Printing Positions

**AGENCY: Office of Personnel** 

Management.

**ACTION:** Interim rule with request for

comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is issuing an interim rule to abolish the Federal Wage System (FWS) special wage schedule for printing positions in the Kansas City, Missouri, wage area. Printing and lithographic employees in Kansas City will now be paid rates from the regular Kansas City wage schedule.

**DATES:** This interim rule becomes effective on January 4, 1998. Comments must be received by January 23, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to Donald J. Winstead, Assistant Director for Compensation

Administration, Workforce Compensation and Performance Service, Office of Personnel Management, Room 7H31, 1900 E Street NW., Washington,

DC 20415, or FAX: (202) 606–4264. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Allen at (202) 606–2848, or send an email message to maallen@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Defense recommended to OPM that the Kansas City, MO, special wage schedule for printing positions be abolished and that the regular Kansas City wage schedule apply to printing employees in the Kansas City wage area. This recommendation was based on the fact that the number of employees paid from the special schedule has declined in recent years from a total of about 70 employees in 1985 to a current total of about 30 employees. With the reduced number of employees, it has become increasingly difficult to comply with the requirement that workers paid from the special printing schedule participate in the local wage survey process. A fullscale special wage survey in the Kansas City wage area would require the substantial work effort of contacting about 70 printing establishments spread over 8 counties and would require the participation of about 10 percent of the employees who are paid from the special printing schedule.

Upon abolishment of the Kansas City special printing schedule, the printing and lithographic employees will be converted to the regular schedule for the Kansas City wage area on a grade-forgrade basis. An employee's new rate of pay will be set at the rate for the step of the applicable grade of the regular schedule that equals the employee's existing scheduled rate of pay. When the existing rate falls between two steps, an employee's new rate will be set at the rate for the higher of those two steps. Pay retention provisions will apply for the few employees not receiving increases upon conversion. This conversion does not constitute an equivalent increase for within-grade increase purposes.

The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee, the statutory national-level labor-management committee responsible for advising OPM on matters concerning the pay of FWS employees, has reviewed and concurred by consensus with this change.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), I find that good cause exists for waiving the general notice of proposed rulemaking. Also, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), I find that good cause exists for making this rule effective in less than 30 days. The notice is being waived and the regulation is being made effective in less than 30 days because a new regular wage schedule will go into effect in the Kansas City wage area on January 4, 1998, and employees currently paid from the special printing schedule for the wage area would have received a wage adjustment on that date had the Department of Defense been

able to conduct a special wage survey in the wage area in 1997.

#### **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

I certify that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they affect only Federal agencies and employees.

#### List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 532

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Government employees, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wages.

Office of Personnel Management.

## Janice R. Lachance,

Director.

Accordingly, OPM is amending 5 CFR part 532 as follows:

## PART 532—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS

1. The authority citation for part 532 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 5343, 5346; § 532.707 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552.

#### §532.279 [Amended]

2. In § 532.279, paragraph (j)(3) is removed, and paragraph (j)(4) is redesignated as paragraph (j)(3).

[FR Doc. 97-33583 Filed 12-23-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Rural Housing Service** 

**Rural Business-Cooperative Service** 

**Rural Utilities Service** 

Farm Service Agency

#### 7 CFR Part 2003

# Functional Organization of the Rural Development Mission Area

**AGENCIES:** Rural Housing Service; Rural Business-Cooperative Service; Rural Utilities Service; Farm Service Agency; USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The issuing agencies amend their regulations to reflect the reorganization of the Department of Agriculture. The intended effect of this action is to provide efficient utilization of Department personnel resources. This publication provides the function statements for organizational units within the Rural Development mission area, the Rural Housing Service, Rural Business-Cooperative Service, and the Rural Utilities Service.