

1 incidentally this particular product has been withdrawn from
2 the market, and of course Baxter now working on the second
3 generation product.

4 There are basically two issues that we deal with,
5 our work sort of, you know, focus on, and these are really
6 issues or rather problems that we encounter because of the
7 uniqueness of this product. One of them, one of the primary
8 problems is really we are dealing with a rather unique
9 product.

10 Hemoglobin, once you take the hemoglobin out of
11 the red cells, you can't really control it. That's
12 primarily because the hemoglobin, unlike any plasma-derived
13 product, contains an active product which is the heme or
14 rather the iron. These are transition metals. They
15 continually change, undergo changes, so the hemoglobin that
16 we really would like to keep in circulation of course is the
17 ferrous functional form, the iron in two states.

18 Hemoglobin outside the red cells will
19 uncontrollably go to the ferric, non-functional form. The
20 heme now turns into the ferric form or the 3+. In some
21 instances where there are oxidants in the tissue, hemoglobin
22 can even turn to even higher oxidation state, the ferryl,
23 which is rather toxic. In brief, the hemoglobin, this
24 particular product can go from functional to non-functional
25 and in some instances downright toxic.

1 The other issue that we deal with, because of the
2 uniqueness of this product, is the locality or the
3 neighborhood where the hemoglobin actually finds itself,
4 blood cells, and that is primarily the vasculature. And
5 what I'm showing you here, basically a cartoon section of
6 the vascular wall. This is the inside of the blood vessels.
7 This is the vessel wall. And all we have learned in recent
8 years about the endothelial cells that line the blood
9 vessels, generate an important factor which is endothelial
10 relaxing factor, which we know is a simple nitric oxide.
11 And this nitric oxide activate a number of enzymatic
12 reaction, ultimately lead to relaxation of blood vessel.
13 That's under normal conditions.

14 Other, additional important things that transpire
15 from research in recent years, that nitric oxide, besides
16 its vasodilatory action, it actually has some antioxidant
17 property. In conditions where the vasculature is
18 compromised, in conditions such as diabetes or hypertension
19 or a number of other conditions, and/or when you have
20 hemoglobin floating around close to the vascular system,
21 close to the nitric oxide's production side, the reaction is
22 of course immediate and very rapid. That would lead to
23 vasoconstriction instead of vasodilation, and of course the
24 hemoglobin now has to face these unwanted oxidants which
25 normally the nitric oxide suppressed when we have a higher

1 level of nitric oxide.

2 This is the hemoglobin molecule, and really I am
3 showing this just to show you where we are focusing in terms
4 of research. And we wanted to know, or rather to define,
5 the interplay between the hemoglobin and these oxidants or
6 antioxidants, or we define them as ligands. The area that
7 we focus, of course, is where the heme resides in the
8 protein. We call it the heme pocket, where the actions take
9 place; all these reactions actually take place here.

10 But also, the second reason is that when you
11 modify the hemoglobin as they do, the manufacturers, even if
12 your reagent is here or on the surface, you are influencing
13 this very crucial area. So we spend a great deal of time
14 and energy, really, to look at these dynamics and the
15 chemistry of the heme pocket and whether we can actually
16 influence the reactions there at that level.

17 This is just two close-ups of the heme pocket. I
18 am showing you in normal hemoglobin, this is the heme, this
19 is the oxygen, a number of key amino acids. So we have
20 reason, we said if we can actually in some instances make it
21 a little bit more crowded or more space for ligands to get
22 in, we can actually control some of these reactions.

23 And what we did, basically we use a variety of
24 prototypes, variety of proteins. The major proteins that we
25 use are simpler components than the hemoglobin, which is the

1 recombinant, in collaboration with a number of outside
2 people, particularly Rice University, and we were able to
3 change key amino acid in the heme pocket, and we were able
4 to see actually some reduction in the rate of hydrogen
5 peroxide reaction and nitric oxide reaction.

6 We also use chemically modified hemoglobin. In
7 fact, some of these example of hemoglobin that we have taken
8 directly from industry. They were kind enough to give us
9 very little of those compounds. And we have also looked at
10 the dynamics in respect of these unique chemical
11 modifications on these reactions.

12 We have also developed an endothelial cell model
13 that these reactions can be tested, and our hypothesis, that
14 if we can genetically engineer or chemically alter the heme
15 pocket, we can influence the reaction at the level of
16 endothelial cells and consequently the toxicity.

17 In brief, what we did over the years, over the
18 last nine years or so, we determined length between the
19 redox chemistry of a given protein and its toxicity. We
20 have also developed ways and means to actually suppress and
21 control some of the side reactions of hemoglobin. We
22 developed a number of endothelial cell models that mimic
23 ischemia or perfusion, an important phenomena in
24 particularly those patients who receive blood substitute,
25 and also we developed endothelial cell model in which to

1 mimic the lowered antioxidant capacity of the individual,
2 such as what you encounter particularly in those patients
3 that receive these candidate products.

4 And some of these, as a final outcome of what we
5 have done, some of these suggestions that we publish in the
6 literature luckily found itself in the second generation of
7 blood substitutes, and of-course this is quite pleasing and
8 rewarding, and also in the fact that that will also give us,
9 based on that, a little bit know-how and a nitty-gritty type
10 of knowledge of what the genetic engineering or chemical
11 modification of second generation hemoglobin might entail.

12 And I think that's all I have in my time, which is
13 10 or so minutes. Thank you.

14 DR. HOLLINGER: Are there any questions of Dr.
15 Alayash?

16 [No response.]

17 DR. HOLLINGER: Okay. Dr. Scott?

18 DR. SCOTT: Abdu and I want to thank you for
19 staying to listen to us, because I know it's getting late.
20 I'm just going to briefly give you an overview of one of my
21 projects, and quite briefly, in a whirlwind fashion,
22 summarize some of the others that I've been working on in
23 the lab.

24 The first one is involving antibody subclass
25 efficacy in specific immune globulins. Just to remind you,

1 we regulate a number of human specific immune globulins
2 which are used to prophylax against infectious agents, and I
3 have just listed them here for you. We're expecting a
4 couple of others to come down towards us in the next year.

5 And the question that I got interested in finding
6 out the answer to was, could we develop techniques to assess
7 whether or not the activity of specific immune globulins
8 resides within a particular subclass of IgG?

9 Just to show you a snapshot of the different
10 subclasses of IgG, all of these are contained in most immune
11 globulins, except that some processing removes a lot of
12 IgG4, and these are the subclasses IgG1, 2, 3 and 4. And
13 what you can notice is that they have somewhat different
14 structures, different numbers of disulfide bonds. There are
15 other differences which aren't reflected here, and
16 especially IgG3 has this long hinge region which makes it
17 very susceptible to proteolytic degradation. They have
18 different chemical characteristics, and based on these, they
19 can be separated from a preparation of immune globulin.

20 So there are some potential regulatory
21 implications or at least some efficacy implications if there
22 is a selective subclass that works against some of these
23 pathogens. First of all, if you knew that there was a
24 particularly efficacious subclass, you might want to select
25 donors that had that best antibody subclass against the

1 pathogen. And in cases where donors were to be immunized
2 for a specific immune globulin, you might wish to select an
3 immunization method or an adjuvant which resulted in
4 production of the best antibody subclass. Also, in
5 manufacturing one may be able to enrich for an antibody
6 subclass, and certainly one would wish to avoid processes
7 that removed the desired subclass.

8 It's going to be a little difficult, but you can
9 leave off the top. What I started with was HIV immune
10 globulin. We had access to these preparations through NIH.
11 And this is just almost a prototype of the sort of project
12 that I would like to continue, and I used protein A
13 Sepharose and a pH gradient to sequentially elute the IgG
14 subclasses and then tested these for binding of viral
15 antigens in the solid phase, and also in bioassays, in
16 collaboration with Hanna Golding's lab at FDA, which looked
17 at neutralization of HIV, both HIV as a free virus and
18 neutralization of cell-to-cell viral transmission.

19 You have the data, I think, in the package you
20 received, but I'm just going to summarize the two major
21 findings. And one was that all of the IgG subclasses that
22 we looked at, which were 1, 2 and 3--this preparation has a
23 chromatography step which removes almost all of the IgG4,
24 which is a very minor component anyway--all of the other
25 subclasses bound well to HIV antigens in ELISA and on

1 Western blot, and the rank order of binding was, IgG1 was
2 often slightly better than or similar to the total
3 preparation, and both of those bound better than IgG2 and
4 IgG3 in ELISAs. However, even though IgG3 typically was the
5 worst at binding in ELISA, and even by Western blot, it was
6 superior in preventing infection by cell-free virus and in
7 preventing cell-to-cell transmission of HIV.

8 So, very briefly, I just want to emphasize that
9 now we have the ability to separate antibody subclasses. We
10 have been able to develop this, and I have shown you at
11 least in one case that there is evidence for selective
12 efficacy of a particular subclass. And we have also looked
13 at RSV immune globulin with Judy Beeler, and it appears that
14 in that case IgG1 by far is the most effective in bioassays.
15 And, as I pointed out, we may be able to enhance efficacy of
16 specific immune globulins just by knowing the subclass, how
17 well the subclass functions, although obviously this isn't
18 an in vivo challenge, and we plan to study other specific
19 immune globulins.

20 Also, I would just note that there was a
21 discrepancy between binding to solid phase antigens, such as
22 in ELISAs, and bioassays. And to us this stresses the
23 importance of selecting biologically relevant tests which
24 predict efficacy in the case of specific immune globulins.

25 And for HIV immune globulin in particular, one

1 could imagine that you could take some of this information,
2 it would be nice to test it in an in vivo model first, but
3 this has some implications because one might improve its
4 efficacy by enriching and stabilizing IgG3, which only
5 comprises about 4, 2 to 4 percent of that total preparation.
6 And also I would point out that one might wish to change the
7 dosing interval if you really thought that IgG3 was by far
8 more important than the other subclasses, because IgG3 has a
9 very short in vivo half life. It's only 7 days, compared to
10 the others which are 21 days.

11 So this is just to illustrate how such findings
12 might be applied in the future. I'm just not quite finished
13 with that. Thanks, though. I wanted to say that right now
14 I'm pursuing studies to determine whether the IgG efficacy
15 in the HIVIG is due to preferential recognition of important
16 HIV neutralizing epitopes, or whether it's due to the IgG3
17 hinge structure, and I think I set that out also in the
18 report, but I'd be glad to talk about it.

19 The other major project that I've been working on
20 is understanding immune responses to inactivated Gram-
21 negative bacteria, and the preparation I use is a heat
22 inactivated or a heat killed Brucella abortus, but this
23 represents sort of a class of immunogens which have both
24 toxic effects but also may be used in a way as a vaccine
25 adjuvant. And so really there are two kind of separate arms

1 to this study, and one is understanding the toxicity of
2 these inactivated bacterial components.

3 And the reason I would like to do that is that it
4 enhances my expertise in understanding inflammatory
5 responses to bacterial constituents, and this might be very
6 relevant to the side effects that occur from products
7 containing trace levels of inactivated bacteria. And we
8 already know that, for example, immune globulins contain
9 bacterial DNA, which is a known immune stimulant, and they
10 often may contain very low levels of LPF, certainly lower
11 than the amount that is specified as a cutoff.

12 What I have been able to show is that such
13 microbial preparations induce proinflammatory cytokines like
14 TNF and IL-1, but in particular and most recently,
15 interleukin 12, and this interleukin 12 comes from dendritic
16 cells. They also cause migration of dendritic cells to T
17 cell areas of spleens, and all of the preparations that I
18 have looked at seem to elicit to a greater or lesser degree
19 an anti-inflammatory cytokine as well, interleukin 12, and
20 they are toxic in vivo to mice who are deficient in IL-10.

21 So I'll go to the next one. Besides understanding
22 the proinflammatory responses to these, it's also possible
23 to harness the immune responses to microbial substances,
24 because in our case, the ones that we have looked at, and in
25 particular the heat-killed Brucella but some others can do

1 this, as well, induce potent T and B cell responses to
2 antigens that are covalently linked to them. So these can
3 be used as vaccine adjuvants, if you can get over the
4 proinflammatory initial response.

5 And it has been shown by myself and by Dov Golding
6 that you can use such an adjuvant to induce certain
7 subclasses of complement fixing antibodies in mice, and we
8 believe on several lines of evidence that this could be
9 achieved in humans, as well. And so understanding the
10 adjuvant activity of such preparations is potentially useful
11 in promoting subclass specific responses for the generation
12 of specific immune globulins, which relates back to what I
13 talked to you about a minute ago. And also the studies that
14 I've done in IL-10 suggest that it might be useful to
15 evaluate the induction of IL-10 as a safety factor for
16 adjuvants that might be given to humans.

17 And finally, just to very briefly say I do have a
18 third project that I've been working on, which is the study
19 of proinflammatory cytokine release, particularly, IL-6, IL-
20 1 and TNF, by immune globulins, and by what mechanisms this
21 may occur. And I've been able to develop an in vitro model
22 which demonstrates that many immune globulins from different
23 manufacturers can reliably cause release of TNF by human
24 cells, and I'm very interested in finding out how this
25 works.

1 The final project that I have just begun to begin
2 is one where I would like to look at the induction of
3 tolerance in prevention of Factor VIII inhibitor antibodies
4 in hemophiliac mice which we have just gotten and we are
5 breeding to be able to address this in a mouse model.

6 So that's a summary of the research projects that
7 I've been working on, and I think you'll find as an add to
8 this package that we have a list of our recent publications.

9 DR. HOLLINGER: Thank you, Dr. Scott.

10 Any questions of Dr. Scott?

11 [No response.]

12 DR. HOLLINGER: If not, that really concludes the
13 open public portion of this review, and we are now going
14 into closed committee deliberations, so I'll have Linda tell
15 us what we have to do at this point.

16 DR. SMALLWOOD: For this particular session, only
17 those members of the committee that are permitted to
18 participate in a closed session and the members of the FDA
19 with their identifying identification are permitted to
20 remain here in the room. We would ask those other
21 individuals, that we thank you for your participation, and
22 if you could move swiftly and quietly, we can proceed.
23 Thank you.

24 [Whereupon, at 2:45 p.m., the Open session was
25 adjourned.]

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, **ELIZABETH L. WASSERMAN**, the Official Court Reporter for Miller Reporting Company, Inc., hereby certify that I recorded the foregoing proceedings; that the proceedings have been reduced to typewriting by me, or under my direction and that the foregoing transcript is a correct and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, ability and belief.

Elizabeth L. Wasserman

ELIZABETH L. WASSERMAN